Ammonium Sorption from Landfill Leachates Using Natural and Modified Zeolites: Pre-Tests for a Novel Application of the Ion Exchanger Loop Stripping Process
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Abstract: Ammonium (NH₄⁺) is a main constituent of landfill leachates (50–2200 mg L⁻¹) which has to be removed prior to indirect (<200 mg L⁻¹) or direct discharge (<10 mg L⁻¹) during landfill operation and aftercare, i.e., for more than 100 years after the end of waste disposal. In this study, lab-scale experiments regarding the sorption of NH₄⁺ from landfill leachates using natural and modified clinoptilolite (Ca₀.₅Na₄K₆(Al₆Si₃O₇)₂·20H₂O) were conducted to assess the applicability of the innovative ion exchanger loop stripping (ILS) process for ammonium recovery. Samples of 20 g clinoptilolite after different pre-treatments (Ca loading, Na loading, natural loading) were shaken with 500 mL of each leachate from ten Austrian landfills (75 mg L⁻¹ < c(NH₄⁺) < 2805 mg L⁻¹; 7.7 < pH < 8.7) for 24 h. Between 13% and 61% of the dissolved NH₄⁺ was adsorbed to the clinoptilolite, which remained stable during the experiment. In summary, our study indicates that the ILS process is highly promising with respect to NH₄⁺ recovery from landfill leachates, but further research is needed to reach threshold values for direct discharge.
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1. Introduction

Ammonium (NH₄⁺) is a main constituent of leachates from municipal solid waste (MSW) landfills (50–2200 mg L⁻¹) [1]. It is formed in the landfill from MSW (which has an average nitrogen content of 0.67 wt.% dry matter [2]) by the microbial decomposition of proteins (ammonification) [3], which are present in organic waste or sewage sludge [4].

In 2004, landfilling of untreated MSW was prohibited in Austria [5]. However, the MSW which was landfilled prior to 2004 still releases 1.4 billion liters of leachate per year [6], which corresponds to 1134 t a⁻¹ NH₄⁺ assuming an NH₄⁺ concentration of 800 mg L⁻¹. This corresponds to more than 10% of the nitrogen demand of the industry in Austria, which uses NH₄⁺ for off-gas cleaning (NOx reduction) [7].

About 90% of the total nitrogen in landfill leachate is present as NH₄⁺ [4]. NOx formation (nitrification) is limited due to a lack of oxygen in the methanogenic phase of landfills [4]. Therefore, NH₄⁺ concentration in the leachate can only decrease via leaching [8]. In the first two to five years of a landfill, NH₄⁺ concentrations in the leachate are predicted to increase and remain constant.
afterwards [9]. Over longer time scales, NH$_4^+$ concentrations in leachate decrease [10], but residual concentrations may be found even 500 years after disposal [9]. A qualitative model of the evolution of landfill leachate and gas composition [1] has been adapted to the Austrian situation, i.e., landfill ban for untreated MSW in 2004, and to recent unpublished data indicating a decrease in methane (CH$_4$) content in landfill gas to 8–12 vol.% (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Evolution of landfill leachate and gas composition (modified from [1]).](image)

According to Austrian legislation, NH$_4^+$ has to be removed from landfill leachates prior to indirect (<200 mg L$^{-1}$, in the case of unprotected cement-bound materials in public sewage systems <50 mg L$^{-1}$) or direct discharge (<10 mg L$^{-1}$) [11]. For this purpose, landfills have to run leachate treatment plants during the aftercare period. Current legislation requires the formation of provisions for 40 years of aftercare for landfills containing biodegradable waste [12]. However, considering that landfill after care will be required for more than 100 years [9,13], these provisions will not be sufficient.

Currently applied microbiological methods for landfill leachate treatment convertNH$_4^+$ to nitrate (NO$_3^-$, nitrification) and further to nitrogen (N$_2$) or nitric oxides (NO$_x$) (denitrification) [6]. Instead of an expensive microbial degradation, NH$_4^+$ may also be recovered and utilized (for instance as a denitrification agent in the selective noncatalytic reduction (SNCR) of exhaust gases [14]).

Natural zeolites are hydrous framework aluminosilicates which are frequently used as sorbents for water and wastewater treatment [15]. Synthetic zeolites and their nanocrystalline precursor phases can also be used [16,17], but natural zeolites are often preferred in industrial applications due to their lower costs [18]. The crystal structure of clinoptilolite (Ca$_{0.5}$Na,K)$_6$(Al$_6$Si$_3$O$_{24}$·20H$_2$O) is characterized by channels [19] which easily host NH$_4^+$ ions. Consequently, clinoptilolite has already been used to remove NH$_4^+$ from landfill leachates in batch column studies in which the effects of pH, flow rate, NH$_4^+$ concentration and competitive cations on the removal efficiency were also investigated [20]. For landfill leachate treatment, the competition of NH$_4^+$ with other ions (e.g., K$^+$) plays an important role [21].

The ion exchanger loop stripping (ILS) process combines ion exchange on clinoptilolite with the simultaneous air-stripping of ammonia [22] and has been successfully applied for the recovery of NH$_4^+$ from the excess water of sewage plants [23]. First process optimization has already been achieved by NaOH and NaCl pre-treatment of clinoptilolite [24]. NaOH treatment removes Si and preserves Al in the clinoptilolite structure which increases the number of acid adsorptive sites [25].

The main aim of this work is to test the ILS treatment for NH$_4^+$ recovery from landfill leachates. The working hypothesis is that NH$_4^+$ can be recovered from landfill leachates by sorption to clinoptilolite. This hypothesis is a prerequisite for the final scope of the work, as efficient removal is a crucial step to avoid material losses in the intended nitrogen cycle. The principal conclusions from
our work will help to combine landfill aftercare with NH$_4^+$ recycling, which corresponds to the concept of enhanced landfill mining [26].

We investigated the applicability of a natural zeolite from Slovakia for NH$_4^+$ removal from a wide range of different Austrian landfill leachates, which has not been presented elsewhere. Another important novelty is the use of a coarser grain size, which is necessary for column operation in the ILS process in which ammonia is recovered from the zeolite. This connection of landfill leachate treatment or landfill aftercare with the idea of recycling nitrogen back to the industry represents the originality of this work.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

A zeolite product specifically tailored for our purposes (particle size: 1–2.5 mm, >80 wt.% clinoptilolite) from a Slovakian deposit was used for this study. The exact stoichiometry is 

(Na$_{0.08}$K$_{0.43}$Ca$_{1.64}$Mg$_{0.14}$)(Fe$^{3+}_{0.06}$Al$_{5.91}$Si$_{30.51}$)O$_{72}$·25H$_2$O.

Leachate samples ($n$ = 10) from Austrian landfills were provided by the respective landfill owners. Seven samples originate from mass waste landfills (an Austrian landfill class which mainly comprises residues of mechanical biological waste treatment), 1 sample is a concentrate from a leachate treatment plant of a residual waste landfill (currently mostly used for residues of thermal processes) and 2 samples are from landfills with compartments of either class.

2.2. Methods

Natural zeolites were treated for 24 h in packed-bed columns with 0.5 mol L$^{-1}$ CaCl$_2$ and 32% NaOH to obtain Ca- and Na-treated zeolite, respectively. The treated samples were flushed with deionized water, dried over night at 105 °C and stored in a hermetically sealed plastic container until further application.

The zeolite products with different cation loading and the used zeolites after treatment of landfill leachates were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD, PANalytical X’Pert Pro, Co K$_\alpha$, $\lambda$ = 1.7902 nm, Institute of Applied Geosciences of Graz University of Technology, Graz, Austria). XRD patterns were evaluated with the software package X’Pert HighScore Plus with its attached databases.

Landfill leachates were characterized optically for solid constituents. pH was determined prior to the experiments (WTW inoLab pH/IQN/Cond 750). NH$_4^+$ concentrations were determined without filtration before and after the experiments by the Kjeldahl method [27] using boric acid (H$_3$BO$_3$, 4 vol.%) and hydrochloric acid (HCl, 0.01/1 mol L$^{-1}$)/Tashiro’s indicator for titration. Measuring accuracy accounted for 0.6%.

NH$_4^+$ exchange isotherms were determined in batch experiments with ammonium sulphate ((NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$) solutions (Chair of Process Technology and Industrial Environmental Protection, Montanuniversität Leoben, Leoben, Austria). (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ solutions (500 mL) (concentration range: 500–5000 mg NH$_4^+$ L$^{-1}$) were contacted with 20 g of treated (Ca loading and Na loading) and untreated (natural loading) zeolite, which were placed in cotton bags in an overhead shaker for 24 h at 20 °C. After the experiments, zeolite samples were dried at 105 °C and sealed in plastic containers for subsequent XRD analyses. Liquid samples were taken before and after ion exchange. The difference in NH$_4^+$ concentration of the initial and final solution represents the amount of exchanged NH$_4^+$ and therefore the effective cation exchange capacity (CEC) for different equilibrium concentrations. Exchange isotherms were obtained by fitting the experimental data to the Langmuir adsorption equation using nonlinear regression.

Sorption experiments with landfill leachates using natural and treated zeolites were conducted using the same batch system and experimental conditions as for isotherm determination. Zeolite removal rates for ammonium were calculated by dividing the difference in the concentrations of the leachates before and after 24 h of sorption by the initial NH$_4^+$ concentration of the investigated landfill leachate. The equilibrium sorption was calculated by dividing the amount of recovered NH$_4^+$ by the amount of used zeolite.
3. Results

3.1. Zeolite Characterization

XRD analyses confirm the presence of clinoptilolite as the main mineral phase in the samples. Accessory phases include mica (e.g., biotite \(\text{K(Mg,Fe}^{2+},\text{Mn}^{2+})_3((\text{OH,F})_2\text{AlSi}_3\text{O}_{10})\)), quartz \((\text{SiO}_2)\), plagioclase \(((\text{Na}_x\text{Ca}_{1-x})\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_8)\) and probably opal-C \((\text{SiO}_2\cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O})\). Minor differences regarding the accessory minerals (grey ranges in Figure 2) are found between samples after different pretreatment, but they are more likely to be due to the heterogeneity of the material than due to the pretreatment. The different cation loading has no significant impact on the location and shape of the clinoptilolite peaks.

![Figure 2. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of zeolites with different cation loading (ann: annite, cpt: clinoptilolite, pl: plagioclase, qz: quartz).](image)

3.2. Leachate Characterization

The investigated landfill leachates are characterized by neutral to slightly alkaline pH (7.0–8.7) (Table 1). This range is typical for the methanogenic phases of MSW bioreactor landfills [1]. The investigated samples are characterized by a yellow/brown color and \(\text{NH}_4^+\) concentrations between 8–2805 mg L\(^{-1}\). The sample with an \(\text{NH}_4^+\) concentration below 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) is characterized by a yellowish to light brown color, whereas samples with \(\text{NH}_4^+\) concentrations above 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) are darker brown to black. The presence or absence of solid particles does not correlate with the \(\text{NH}_4^+\) concentration, although no filtration was conducted prior to Kjeldahl analyses, which suggests that \(\text{NH}_4^+\) is preferentially dissolved and not adsorbed to particles.
Table 1. Landfill leachates (LL) used for NH_4⁺ ion exchange experiments, their corresponding landfill class, pH value, color/transparency, presence of solid particles and initial NH_4⁺ concentrations. MW: mass waste landfill, RW: residual waste landfill.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Landfill Class</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Color/Transparency</th>
<th>Solid Particles</th>
<th>NH_4⁺ Concentration (mg L⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LL 1</td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>Dark brown to black</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 2</td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Dark brown to black</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 3</td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>Dark brown to black</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 4</td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 5</td>
<td>RW</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Grey to yellowish/cloudy</td>
<td>Transparent floating particles, black particles (0.063–0.2 mm)</td>
<td>1037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 6</td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Orange to brown</td>
<td>Black particles (0.063–2.0 mm)</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 7</td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>Orange to dark brown</td>
<td>Transparent floating particles, black particles (0.063–2.0 mm)</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 8</td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>(Dark) brown</td>
<td>Black flaky particles (2.0–6.3 mm)</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 9</td>
<td>MW/RW</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Dark brown to black</td>
<td>Black flaky particles (2.0–6.3 mm)</td>
<td>1073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 10</td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Light brown/transparent</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Concentrate of a landfill leachate treatment plant.

3.3. Sorption Efficiency

Adsorption isotherms indicate an order of NH_4⁺ sorption capacity depending on the cation loading: Na loading > Ca loading > natural loading (Figure 3). Up to 20–25 mg NH_4⁺ g⁻¹ zeolite can be sorbed at the inner and outer surfaces. Considering the stoichiometry of the clinoptilolite used in this study, (Na_0.08K_0.43Ca_1.64Mg_0.14)(Fe_3^3+0.06Al_5.91Si_30.51)O_72·25H_2O, 4.07 mol of NH_4⁺, i.e., 73 g, might be adsorbed to 1 mol of clinoptilolite in case of complete occupancy of the crystallographic A site (Ca_0.5,Na,K,Mg) by NH_4⁺. This corresponds to a loading of 28 mg NH_4⁺ per g zeolite (assuming a molecular weight for NH_4⁺-clinoptilolite of 2622 g mol⁻¹). Experimental results indicate that in equilibrium with Na and Ca, about two thirds of the sites are occupied by NH_4⁺, whereas in equilibrium with Na only, NH_4⁺ occupies up to 80% of the crystallographic A sites.

The NH_4⁺ adsorption data on natural and Na/Ca treated zeolites are best fitted by the Langmuir isotherm (qₑ = qₘ × Kₛ × Cₑ/(1 + Kₛ × Cₑ)) indicating a specifically limited adsorption capacity with a monolayer surface coverage. Results (qₑ (mg g⁻¹) = equilibrium loading; Cₑ (mg L⁻¹) = equilibrium concentration) for Langmuir fitting are (qₑ (mg g⁻¹); Kₛ (L mg⁻¹) = Langmuir constant; R² (-) = statistical variance): natural zeolite (19.839 ± 1.092; 0.0028 ± 6.28 × 10⁻⁴; 0.947), Ca-loaded zeolite (21.154 ± 0.916; 0.0031 ± 5.57 × 10⁻⁴; 0.965) and Na-loaded zeolite (25.512 ± 3.824; 0.0020 ± 1.02 × 10⁻³; 0.7994).

Equilibrium loadings for landfill leachates are significantly below the sorption isotherms which were obtained by ideal solutions (Figure 3). This demonstrates that competition between different ions occurs in landfill leachates, which is not the case for ideal solutions. Also for landfill leachates, Na-treated zeolite shows better performance than Ca-treated and natural zeolite.
Figure 3. Adsorption isotherms (lines and triangles) of zeolites with different cation loading (blue: natural loading, green: Ca loading, red: Na loading) and equilibrium loadings and concentrations from experiments with landfill leachates (spots).

Removal rates for NH₄⁺ from landfill leachates are in the range of 13–52% for natural zeolite, 13–33% for Ca-treated zeolite and 17–61% for Na-treated zeolite (Table 2, Figure 4). For each leachate the removal rate using Na-treated zeolite was higher than for Ca-treated zeolite and natural zeolite. For 70% of the leachates, the removal rate using natural zeolite was higher than for Ca-treated zeolite, but overall this difference is not significant. In summary, this means that Na treatment of the used zeolite significantly increased the efficiency of NH₄⁺ removal, whereas Ca treatment did not have a significant impact. Comparing the removal rate with the initial NH₄⁺ concentration shows that the two highest removal rates were obtained for landfill leachates (LL) 8 and 10, which are the samples with the lowest initial NH₄⁺ concentrations (153 mg L⁻¹ and 75 mg L⁻¹). Consequently, the equilibrium sorption of NH₄⁺ to the used zeolite was lowest for these samples (between 0.6–2.3 mg L⁻¹). The highest equilibrium sorption is observed for LL 2 (9.0–12.1 mg g⁻¹), followed by LL 5 (8.0–10.8 mg g⁻¹).

Table 2. Landfill leachates (LL) used for NH₄⁺ ion exchange experiments, their initial concentration, equilibrium concentration, equilibrium loading and the corresponding removal rates using differently pre-treated zeolites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Initial NH₄⁺ Concentration (mg L⁻¹)/Cation Loading</th>
<th>Equilibrium NH₄⁺ Concentration (mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Equilibrium NH₄⁺ Loading (mg g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Removal Rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natural  Ca  Na</td>
<td>Natural  Ca  Na</td>
<td>Natural  Ca  Na</td>
<td>Natural  Ca  Na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 1</td>
<td>350       244 283 180</td>
<td>2.65 1.67 4.24</td>
<td>30.4          19.1 48.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 2</td>
<td>2805      2440 2444 2323</td>
<td>9.13 9.02 12.06</td>
<td>13.0          12.9 17.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 3</td>
<td>645       528 514 460</td>
<td>2.93 3.27 4.62</td>
<td>18.2          20.3 28.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 4</td>
<td>945       681 677 573</td>
<td>6.59 6.71 9.30</td>
<td>27.9          28.4 39.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 5</td>
<td>1037      713 717 604</td>
<td>8.12 8.00 10.82</td>
<td>31.3          30.9 41.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 6</td>
<td>523       347 368 311</td>
<td>4.40 3.89 5.30</td>
<td>33.6          29.7 40.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 7</td>
<td>503       320 374 232</td>
<td>4.58 3.22 6.77</td>
<td>36.4          25.6 53.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 8</td>
<td>153       95 103 60</td>
<td>1.47 1.26 2.34</td>
<td>38.2          32.9 61.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 9</td>
<td>1073      929 888 816</td>
<td>3.61 4.62 6.42</td>
<td>13.4          17.2 24.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL 10</td>
<td>75        36 51 32</td>
<td>0.97 0.59 1.08</td>
<td>51.8          31.3 57.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. Initial ammonium concentrations (squares) and remaining concentrations after treatment with differently treated zeolites (bars) in different landfill leachates (LL).

3.4. Zeolite Stability

XRD patterns of spent zeolite samples reveal no changes in the intensity, shape and location of clinoptilolite peaks, which suggests that clinoptilolite does not dissolve in the course of the experiments (Figure 5). No additional phases are present after the experiments, i.e., no precipitation of minerals from the landfill leachate was detectable. Minor changes in the intensities of the peaks of annite and plagioclase (grey ranges in Figure 5) are again due to the heterogeneity of the natural material and not due to the changes during the experiment.

Figure 5. XRD patterns of untreated zeolite before and after reaction with landfill leachates.

4. Discussion

NH₄⁺ concentration in the investigated landfill leachates are in agreement with literature data [1]. The brown color is suggested to be due to humic substances which represent a significant group of organic compounds in landfills whose aromatic character, molecular weight and consequently whose color intensity increases with the age of the landfill due to humification [28]. This suggests
NH4+ is present in intensely colored landfill leachates as NH4+ humate [29]. Adsorption isotherms were obtained for much higher dissolved NH4+ concentrations (up to 4500 mg L\(^{-1}\)) than in a previous study in which only up to 150 mg L\(^{-1}\) NH4+ were tested [28]. However, the shape of the isotherms suggest that the observed difference in final NH4+ loads of the zeolites (about 10 mg g\(^{-1}\) in the previous study [30] and 20 mg g\(^{-1}\) for our study) are not due to different dissolved concentrations. A comparison of the chemical composition of the used clinoptilolites [24,30] shows that our zeolite has a higher K/Ca ratio which suggests that the lower average charge of the initially present cations allows for an easier exchange against NH4+.

NH4+ removal rates in the present study range from 13–61% and are comparable to the values obtained for the Gördes clinoptilolite and a Turkish landfill leachate in the pH range of 7–8 (50–60%) [20]. The lower removal rates (<50%) obtained for some combinations of landfill leachates and zeolites in this study may derive from differences in the K2O content (5.0 wt.% vs. 2.83 wt.%) and particle sizes (0.6–1.2 mm vs 1–2.5 mm) compared to the previous study [20]. Furthermore, the previous study shows that the sorption efficiency decreases with increasing NH4+ concentration down to about 40% for 1500 mg L\(^{-1}\). This is in agreement with our observations, which revealed lower removal rates for leachate samples with higher initial ammonium concentrations.

5. Conclusions

In this study, natural clinoptilolite with three different cation loadings (Ca loading, Na loading and natural loading) was used to remove NH4+ from 10 landfill leachates. The working hypothesis that NH4+ can be removed from landfill leachates by sorption to clinoptilolite was generally confirmed. Removal rates are in the range of 13–52% for natural zeolite, 13–33% for Ca-treated zeolite and 17–61% for Na-treated zeolite. Na treatment enhanced the ammonium removal rate for each single leachate compared to Ca-treated zeolite and natural zeolite. Sorption efficiencies can be increased by using higher zeolite/liquid ratios to enhance the total ion exchange and therefore significantly increase the removable quantities of NH4+ from landfill leachates. However, considering a removal rate of 40%, more than 450 ta\(^{-1}\) NH4+ might be removed from landfill leachates in Austria which still represents a significant share of the entire nitrogen demand for off-gas cleaning of the national industry. This potential might be exploited if the NH4+-loaded clinoptilolite is subjected to the second step of the ILS process, in which ammonia is recovered by stripping, which will be tested in the near future.

The main advantage of the solution proposed in this study is the possible integration into in situ enhanced landfill mining concepts, i.e. the transformation of waste into a resource by extraction of raw materials from landfills using natural water flow. Furthermore, this approach of in situ landfill mining has the advantage over ex situ landfill mining in that much smaller volumes of material need to be treated and natural extraction processes are used. The proposed method is therefore more economical as costs for sludge and concentrate treatment (which are required in state-of-the-art biological landfill leachate treatment plants) can be reduced. Further process optimization or other approaches of zeolite modification will additionally improve the performance and the feasibility of this concept.
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