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Strain-Induced Graphitization Mechanism of Coal-Based Graphite from Lutang, Hunan Province, China
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Abstract: Anthracite and coal-based graphite (CBG) samples were collected at varying distances from a granite intrusion. Optical microscopy, X-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) were used to characterize the structural evolution of CBG at different scales. The results indicated differences in the graphitization rates of coal macerals and crystallization degree of different graphite-like particles. Differentiated graphitization of coal was caused by deformation, which led to the discontinuous distribution of CBG. This indicates that samples located at the same distance from the intrusion were graphitized to different degrees or that CBG with a similar graphitization degree occurred at varying distances from the intrusion. A possible mechanism for graphitization is strain-induced graphitization, where the local stress concentration leads to preferred orientations of the basic structure units (BSUs), as well as the motion and rearrangement of structural defects, resulting in the formation of a locally ordered structure. The graphitization degree is enhanced as the local graphite structure spreads.
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1. Introduction

Graphite, as a raw material for the production of new carbon materials, has been listed as a strategic resource by countries around the world [1–5]. Coal-based graphite (CBG) is formed by the metamorphism of coal seams under the effects of magmatic intrusion and tectonic stress [3–7]. Owing to the advantages of a high carbon content, the availability of abundant resources, and easy exploration, CBG has become of considerable interest to researchers [3–10].

CBG is the end-member product of the continuous evolution of coal [8–10]. With increasing metamorphic degrees, anthracite, semi-graphite, and graphite are progressively formed [11–15]. In recent years, optical analysis, X-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) have been applied to characterize coal and CBG [11,16–20]. Marques et al. [10] investigated the correlations between optical, chemical, and microstructural properties in anthracite and CBG. Li et al. [11] studied the chemical composition and petrographic characteristics from anthracite to CBG. Rantitsch et al. [17] proposed Raman spectroscopy to discriminate semi-graphite from graphite. Zheng et al. [21] classified CBG into aromatic graphite, microcolumn graphite, corrugated graphite, and stiff graphite based on nanostructure analysis using HRTEM. Deurbregue et al. [14] studied the graphitization of anthracites through HRTEM and X-ray diffraction and concluded that the graphitization of coal is a progressive process. Nyathi et al. [15] assessed the graphitization of different ranks of anthracite using X-ray diffraction and Raman analysis.
Due to the complex composition of coal and the fact that macerals in coal respond differently to temperature and pressure, the degree of graphitization varies greatly under the same temperature and pressure conditions \([8,14]\). With rising temperature, short, curved, and disoriented basic structure units (BSUs) experience aromatization and condensation, and develop crystalline structure \([16,22]\). Oberlin \([12]\) noted that non-graphitizing carbon does not transform to a graphite structure, even at a temperature of 3000 °C, because of its microporous structure. Thus, graphitization strongly depends on the type of source carbon material. Coal graphitization is not only a process that requires a carbon-rich environment, but also transforms coal from a disordered structure to an ordered three-dimensional structure \([3,11,22–24]\). At the nanometer scale, Rantitsch et al. \([9]\) identified two types of graphitized microtextures (a microporous phase and an onion-ring like phase) in carbonaceous materials through HRTEM. At the micrometer scale, because of the chemical and physical differences in the maceral macromolecular structures, vitrinite, liptinite, and inertinite undergo various changes, although they tend to form graphite structures \([25]\). The morphology, chemical and physical structure, and reflectance of macerals change notably during coal metamorphism and graphitization. Suárez-Ruiz and García \([20]\) identified four types of graphite-like particles as dense particles, flakes, microspheres, and crystalline aggregates. In addition, Li et al. \([11]\) found needle-like graphite and pyrolytic carbon in graphitized coal and CBG. Thus, macerals, newly formed graphite particles, and some transitional matter all coexist \([9,26,27]\). Han et al. \([28]\) and Song et al. \([29]\) demonstrated that deformation influences the evolution of coal. González et al. \([8]\), Wilks et al. \([30]\), and Bustin et al. \([31]\) discussed the effect of minerals (e.g., illite and siderite) and deformation on coal graphitization through laboratory investigations at high temperatures and high pressures. Previous studies have shown that tectonic stress and minerals play a catalytic role in graphitization \([14,18,30–33]\). Extremely high activation energies and thermodynamic conditions limit comparisons between experimental graphitization and natural graphitization \([16]\). Previous studies mainly focused on: (1) the structural and geochemical properties of CBG, (2) different temperature and pressure conditions for graphitization, and (3) the behavior of influencing factors during graphitization from an experimental point of view. However, no attempt has been made to investigate the characteristics of coal graphitization and the distribution of CBG under the effect of an igneous intrusion coupled with tectonic deformation in nature, especially when tectonic deformation has participated in graphitization.

Graphitization is complicated under the effects of multiple factors \([4,33–36]\). An igneous intrusion introduces thermal energy; thus, the degree of coal graphitization gradually decreases with increasing distance from the intrusion. Nevertheless, the intrusion activity is often accompanied by tectonic activities, and both temperature and tectonic deformation influence graphitization, resulting in the differentiated evolution and discontinuous distribution of CBG. One of the main reasons for this is the critical role of tectonic stress during graphitization \([9,11,14]\). It is well known that deformation caused by stress and the elimination of structural defects could take place at the same time; for instance, the dynamic recrystallization mechanism during the deformation of minerals \([34–39]\). Similarly, for the purpose of extinguishing structural defects during graphitization, it is possible that deformation also coincides with coal graphitization, which is graphitization induced by deformation.

The objective of this study is to investigate the characteristics of differentiated coal graphitization under the combined effects of magmatic intrusion and tectonic activity, and to reveal a pathway for the evolution of organic matter (coal) to inorganic minerals (graphite), namely strain-induced graphitization. For this purpose, different deformed anthracite-CBG samples occurring at various distances from the intrusion were collected from CBG mines in Lutang, Hunan Province, China. Microscopy analysis, X-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, and HRTEM were used to characterize the microstructure of samples.

2. Geological Setting and Sample Locations

The Lutang mining area is located in the southeast of Hunan Province, China, which lies between the Cathaysia block and the Yangtze block (Figure 1a). The tectonic and magmatic activities were most
intense during the Indosinian-Yanshanian period (135–233 Ma) [40]. The Qitianling granitic pluton intruded east of the research area during the Yanshanian period (146–163 Ma) [41], covering an area of 50 km$^2$. The coal-bearing strata occurring in the Upper Permian Longtan formation were highly metamorphosed by the intrusion, while metamorphism gradually decreases with increasing distance from the pluton [42]. According to Shao and Che [43], the study area is divided into three zones near the intrusion: the chlorite zone (700–1300 m, 300–450 °C), the biotite zone (400–700 m, 400–500 °C), and the hornfelsic zone (0–400 m, 450–600 °C). The main tectonic structure in the research area is the NNE striking Lutang–Shatian syncline (LS syncline). The western flank manifests as broad and gentle folds, while structures at the eastern flank are characterized by tight folds. Minerals show dynamic recrystallization of quartz, kinking of feldspar, and the undulatory extinction of quartz, indicative of the decreasing degree of tectonic deformation from east to west. Coal seams were intensely deformed with varying thicknesses, appearing as nest-like or lotus-type structures.

**Figure 1.** (a) Geological map of the research area and (b) location of the research area in China. Samples of the fourth group displayed different deformation characteristics at different tectonic locations: (c) brittle deformation and (d) ductile deformation, and (e,f) sample D60 exhibiting scaly flakes at the shear zone.
Eight groups of samples were collected with increasing distances from the intrusion (Table 1). Within each group, the distance between two samples was less than 20 m, and samples show different deformation characteristics. In the group 4 samples, sample B4-1 had clearly visible bedding and several fractures (Figure 1c), whereas, sample F4-1 showed irregular bending (Figure 1d). Sample D60 collected furthest from the intrusion in a fault shear zone exhibited scaly flakes (Figure 1e,f). All samples were divided into brittle- and ductile-deformation groups based on Ju et al. [44]. For brittle deformed samples, several sets of fractures in different directions divided the coal body into several different sizes of fragments, and ductile deformed samples were characterized by wrinkle layers and irregular curves. There were minor differences in the chemical composition based on the proximate and ultimate analyses (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D (m)</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Proximate Analysis (%)</th>
<th>Ultimate Analysis (%)</th>
<th>H/C</th>
<th>Deformation Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M$_{ad}$</td>
<td>A$_d$</td>
<td>V$_{daf}$</td>
<td>FC$_{daf}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1340</td>
<td>B8-1</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>14.67</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>96.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B8-2</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>47.45</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>90.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840</td>
<td>B7-1</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>61.21</td>
<td>13.22</td>
<td>86.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760</td>
<td>B6-1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>51.04</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>93.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F6-1</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>13.55</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>93.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B5-1</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>93.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>630</td>
<td>F5-1</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>16.69</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>94.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F5-2</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>39.95</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>93.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>B4-1</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>24.99</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>95.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F4-1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>95.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td>F3-1</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>23.95</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>96.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F3-2</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>97.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>B2-1</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>14.38</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>95.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F2-1</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>28.46</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>94.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F2-2</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>11.59</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>98.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F2-3</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>96.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>B1-1</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>12.87</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>97.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F1-1</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>11.07</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>96.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D—distance from intrusion; M—moisture; A—ash yield; V—volatile matter; FC—fixed carbon; ad—air dry basis; d—dry basis; daf—dry ash-free basis.

3. Methods

3.1. X-ray Diffraction

Samples were crushed to 75 µm and demineralized for subsequent experiments. Ten grams of sample were treated with 50 mL HCl (to dissolve carbonates) and then rinsed with distilled water. Next, 50 mL HF was added (to dissolve silicates) and heated in a steamboat for 12 h at 60 °C. Finally, the demineralized samples were washed and dried. X-ray diffraction analysis was performed using a Rigaku D/MAX-2500PC X-ray diffractometer (40 kV, 100 mA) (Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) with Cu ($\lambda$ = 1.5478 Å) irradiation, over the interval 2.5–70° at a scanning rate of 2° per min. The interlayer spacing $d_{002}$ was determined from the position of the (002) peak using Bragg’s equation. The mean crystallite dimensions $L_c$ and $L_a$ were calculated respectively from the (002) and (100) peaks, using the Scherrer formula with the values of $K = 0.9$ for $L_c$ and 1.84 for $L_a$ [45], respectively.

3.2. Optical Microscopy

The measurements were performed on polished particulate blocks in accordance with ISO 7404-3 procedures (Leitz, Berlin, Germany). Maximum reflectance ($R_{\text{max}}$) and minimum reflectance ($R_{\text{min}}$) were measured on vitrinite or graphite-like particles using an MPV-Combi (Leitz) reflected-light microscope (Leitz, Berlin, Germany). Measurements were carried out with a 50× oil immersion objective under polarized light, rotating the microscope stage through 360°. Bireflectance ($R_b$) related
to the anisotropic property was calculated as \( R_b = R_{\text{max}} - R_{\text{min}} \). The microscopic composition content of the samples was estimated via the point-counting of 500 points in polarized light.

3.3. Raman Spectroscopy

Raman spectra were obtained from the acid-treated samples. Raman spectroscopy was performed using a Jobin–Yvon Labram HR Evolution spectrometer (Horiba, Paris, France) with an argon laser wavelength of 532 nm. The laser power at the sample surface was controlled at about 2 mW to reduce thermal damage of the laser power. A 50× objective lens microscope was used to focus the laser beam on the sample to collect the Raman signal. Ten spots were measured for each individual sample. Each spectrum was fitted with the Origin 8.0 software to resolve the curve using the Lorentzian line shapes \([46–50]\). The parameter \( R_2 = AD_1/(AD_1 + AD_2 + AG) \) (area ratio of bands) was used to characterize the carbon materials \([17,18,51]\).

3.4. HRTEM

HRTEM was performed on a 300 kV Tecnai G2 F30 transmission electron microscope (Philips, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Fringe images were acquired for each sample from different spots and processed using Gatan Digital Micrograph software (Version 3.9, Gatan, Pleasanton, CA, USA) to obtain clearer lattice fringes. First, a region of interest (ROI) was processed with a fast Fourier transformation (FFT) to remove the noise without losing fringes. An inverse fast Fourier transform (IFFT) was also applied to obtain the crystal lattice images.

4. Results

4.1. Crystallization Degree as Determined Using XRD

The interlayer spacing \( d_{002} \) values of samples >800 m away from the intrusion (apart from sample D60) were larger than 0.340 nm. X-ray diffraction profiles showed broad and low (002) peaks, indicating poorly crystallized crystallite (\( L_a \) and \( L_c \) were <10 nm) (Figure 2a, Table 2). The interlayer spacing \( d_{002} \) values of samples located within about 800 m from the intrusion ranged from 0.3358 nm to 0.3366 nm, indicating graphite according to Kwiecińska and Peterson \([52]\), and the \( L_a \) and \( L_c \) dimensions of the crystallite were both larger than several tens of nanometers. Although \( d_{002} \) values changed slightly with decreasing distance from the intrusion, with the full width at half maximum of (002) peak (FWHM (002)) decreasing significantly. Moreover, \( L_a \) and \( L_c \) values also changed. In X-ray diffraction profiles, broad (002) peaks gradually became sharp and the (100) and (101) peaks were moderately separated, indicating the formation of a three-dimensional crystalline structure \([12,18,19]\), accompanied by an increase in \( L_a \) and \( L_c \) values (Figure 2a). Sample B7-1, occurring about 840 m away from the intrusion and characterized by an asymmetric (002) peak, fit into two separate peaks at 26.4° and 25.8°, suggesting the coexistence of a crystalline structure and a turbostratic structure \([53]\) (Figure 2b). Well-graphitized CBG samples were distributed in the east wing of the LS syncline and poorly graphitized samples (\( d_{002} > 0.340 \) nm) were from the west wing of the syncline. Sample D60, originating furthest away from the intrusion, showed a \( d_{002} \) vaepeak was also sharp and high (FWHM of 0.3363 nm and a crystallite size with \( L_a = 29.36 \) nm and \( L_c = 65.55 \) nm. The (002) 002 = 0.278°).
Figure 2. (a) XRD profiles of representative samples with increasing distance from the intrusion. (b) Sample B7-1 had a (002) peak fitted into two separate peaks at 26.4° and 25.8°, signifying a crystalline structure and a turbostratic structure.

Table 2. XRD parameters and optical reflectance of samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance (m)</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>(d_{002}) (nm)</th>
<th>FWHM (°)</th>
<th>(L_c) (nm)</th>
<th>(L_3) (nm)</th>
<th>(R_{max}) (%)</th>
<th>(R_{min}) (%)</th>
<th>(R_b) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1540</td>
<td>D60</td>
<td>0.3363</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>29.36</td>
<td>65.55</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1340</td>
<td>B8-1</td>
<td>0.3506</td>
<td>3.065</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B8-2</td>
<td>0.3522</td>
<td>3.097</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840</td>
<td>B7-1</td>
<td>0.3410</td>
<td>3.048</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>5.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760</td>
<td>B6-1</td>
<td>0.3361</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>13.44</td>
<td>55.14</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F6-1</td>
<td>0.3360</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>15.14</td>
<td>27.01</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>630</td>
<td>B5-1</td>
<td>0.3363</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>32.57</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F5-1</td>
<td>0.3366</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>18.10</td>
<td>35.34</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F5-2</td>
<td>0.3363</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>17.48</td>
<td>46.09</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>B4-1</td>
<td>0.3365</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>16.29</td>
<td>47.09</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F4-1</td>
<td>0.3363</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td>19.95</td>
<td>39.96</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td>F3-1</td>
<td>0.3358</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>25.26</td>
<td>55.13</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>5.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F3-2</td>
<td>0.3363</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>22.18</td>
<td>49.78</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>B2-1</td>
<td>0.3363</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>19.02</td>
<td>32.20</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F2-1</td>
<td>0.3358</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>24.81</td>
<td>51.85</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F2-2</td>
<td>0.3358</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>25.83</td>
<td>62.65</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>5.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F2-3</td>
<td>0.3361</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>25.19</td>
<td>40.89</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>B1-1</td>
<td>0.3360</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>23.19</td>
<td>40.52</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>5.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F1-1</td>
<td>0.3358</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>22.67</td>
<td>44.55</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>6.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. Microscopical Characterization of CBG

Based on the microstructure observations, we summarize the three types of carbonaceous particles we identified in CBG, including coal macerals (mainly vitrinite and inertinite), pyrolytic carbons, and graphite-like particles. Granular particles, flakes, and silk-like graphite were recognized as graphite particles. Furthermore, the proportion of each type of carbonaceous particle varied with the degree of graphitization (Table 3) [11,20].

Coal macerals were preserved in sample B8-1, far from the intrusion, mainly as vitrinite (Figure 3a, collotelinite) and inertinite (Figure 3b, fusinite). Respective \(R_{max}\) and \(R_{min}\) values of 7.17% and 2.81% indicated that the sample was metamorphosed to meta-anthracite [11,25,52]. With increasing
coal rank, it was difficult to differentiate vitrinite and inertinite since their reflectance tended to be similar. In high-rank samples, the strong optical anisotropy of vitrinite was used to distinguish it from inertinite [11,54]. Samples adjacent to the intrusion showed irregular pores on the surface, possibly the result of gas volatilization during the decomposition of aliphatic functional groups and alkane branched chains [54–56]. Granular particles were formed in pores and fractures, as shown in sample B7-1 (Figure 3c). Approaching the intrusion, coal maceral content decreased, but granular particle content increased (Table 3). Apart from granular particles, flow-type anisotropy was also found (Figure 3e,f), similar to the needle-like graphite described by Li et al. [11], which was characterized by strong anisotropy (Figure 3f, sample B4-1, \( R_{\text{max}} = 7.21\% \), \( R_b = 6.35\% \)). Flakes also exhibited high reflectance and strong anisotropy, as shown for sample F2-2 (Figure 3g, \( R_{\text{max}} = 8.61\% \), \( R_b = 7.27\% \)). They display undulatory extinction when rotating the microscope stage. The content of silk-like graphite and flakes steadily increased in samples approaching the intrusion (Table 3). In sample F1-1 closest to the intrusion, both the content and size of silk-like graphite and flakes increased (Table 3), but non-graphitized inertinite was also recognized (Figure 3i). From the optical characteristics, silk-like graphite and flakes developed a higher degree of crystallization than granular particles. Helminthoid, clustered, or ribbon-like pyrolytic carbons (Figure 3h) often coated the rims of fractures or pores. Pyrolytic carbons displayed multiple colors interlaced under light polarized with a retarder plate (Figure 3h) and their size increased toward the intrusion.

Figure 3. Cont.
Figure 3. Photomicrographs of different microstructures in coal-based graphite (CBG). Images were taken in oil immersion (50×), polarized light. (a) Sample B8-1, collotelinite (Ct); (b) sample B8-1, fusinite (Fs); (c) sample F7-1 showing granular particles on the border of fractures and pores; (d) sample F5-2 showing granular particles; (e) sample B8-2, silk-like graphite (Sg); (f) sample B4-1, silk-like graphite with strong anisotropy; (g) sample F2-2 showing flakes (F) with undulatory extinction and strong anisotropy; (h) sample B4-1, helminthoid pyrolytic carbon (Pc) seen using polarized light with a retarder plate; and (i) sample F1-1, fusinite (Fs).

Table 3. Content of different types of microstructures in CBG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance (m)</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Vitrinite (%)</th>
<th>Inertinite (%)</th>
<th>Pyrolytic Carbons (%)</th>
<th>Granular Particles (%)</th>
<th>Flakes (%)</th>
<th>Silk-Like Graphite (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1340</td>
<td>B8-1</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B8-2</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840</td>
<td>B7-1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760</td>
<td>B6-1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F6-1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>630</td>
<td>B5-1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F5-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F5-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>B4-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F4-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td>F3-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F3-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>B2-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F2-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F2-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F2-3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>B1-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F1-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3. Raman Spectroscopy

With decreasing distance from the intrusion, D3 and D4 bands gradually disappeared and the D1 band became lower relative to the G band. The D2 band suddenly appeared in sample B7-1 and then decreased moderately (Figure 4a). In the second-order region (2200–3400 cm⁻¹), the 2D₁ band height increased with decreasing distance from the intrusion and evolved from a single band to an asymmetric band fitted into two separate symmetric bands at ~2685 cm⁻¹ and ~2715 cm⁻¹, corresponding with a higher degree of stacking order along the c-axis (Figure 4a,b) [15,17,57,58].

The $R₂$ values of samples (except sample D60) further than 800 m from the intrusion were >0.5; by contrast, $R₂$ values of samples within 800 m of the intrusion were <0.5 (Supplementary Table S1). At around 800 m away from the intrusion, the $R₂$ value decreased from 0.549 to 0.369, similar to the change observed in the interlayer spacing $d_{002}$. The $R₂$ values progressively decreased when approaching the intrusion; however, the $R₂$ values of the two samples closest to the intrusion, F1-1 ($R₂ = 0.401$) and B1-1 ($R₂ = 0.344$), were not at the minimum, revealing that the group of samples nearest the intrusion were not the most ordered. Sample F2-3, located further away from the intrusion (330 m) than the first group of samples, showed the minimum $R₂$ value of 0.243, indicating the highest degree of structural
order among the samples. Moreover, $R^2$ values were different at the same distance from the intrusion, as with sample F2-3 ($R^2 = 0.243$) and sample B2-1 ($R^2 = 0.303$).

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** (a) Raman spectra of representative samples at different distances from the intrusion. (b) The second-region Raman spectra of samples F2-2 and B1-1, with sample F2-2 showing an asymmetric 2D$_1$ band that was separated into two bands at 2685 cm$^{-1}$ and 2715 cm$^{-1}$, and sample B1-1 showing a symmetric and single 2D$_1$ band.

The Raman spectrum of sample D60 was characterized by a low intensity D1 band and absent D2, D3, and D4 bands. A sharp, intense G band, an asymmetric 2D$_1$ band, and a small $R^2$ value (0.295) were observed for this sample (Figure 4a). The results suggest that sample D60 was highly organized with few structural defects, and a similar degree of structural ordering to sample B2-1 located about 330 m away from the intrusion.

### 4.4. Nanostructural Characterization of CBG

Sample D60 showed thin flakes, both macroscopically and microscopically. Many particles (0.3 µm in length) were arranged along one direction like loose scales (Figure 5a), and long and straight carbon layers were also observed (Figure 5b). Long-range ordered aromatic layers extended several tens of nanometers in sample B1-1, and interlayer delamination and stacking defects were observed (Figure 5c,d). In sample F1-1, ordered carbon layers curved and displayed a spherical shape (Figure 5e).
5. Discussion

5.1. Evolution of Microstructures with Increasing Graphitization Degree

The graphitization rate of carbonaceous matter is determined by the strength of cross-links in the carbon structure and the orientation of macromolecules [22,27]. The graphitization degree depends on the microstructural content [11,18]. Coal macerals evolve at different rates because of different chemical compositions and molecular structures. Inertinite, with a higher O/C ratio and low anisotropy (poor orientation of macromolecules), is less graphitizable and only slowly graphitized. Vitrinite is characterized by a relatively low O/C ratio and strong anisotropy, revealing the presence of weak cross-links in aromatic rings that develop a high degree of parallelism in macromolecules that allow vitrinite to easily and quickly graphitize [25]. In the investigated CBG, inertinite domiated over vitrinite (Table 3). Vitrinite was not present in the highly graphitized sample F1-1, whereas remnant inertinite (fusinite) was recognized, coexisting with granular graphite particles (Figure 3i). Granular particles dominated in sample F5-2; in contrast, coal macerals were the main components in sample B8-1, where the interlayer spacing \( d_{002} \) indicated poor graphitization.

With decreasing FWHM (002) and \( R_2 \), the content of coal macerals decreased and the content of graphite-like particles increased (Figure 6a,b). As FWHM (002) decreased, coal maceral content decreased, granular particle and pyrolytic carbon content increased, and granular particle content remained almost unchanged as the crystallization degree improved; however, pyrolytic carbon diminished. Flake and silk-like graphite content increased (Figure 6c). It is thought that different types
of microstructures reflect different degrees of crystallization; flakes and silk-like graphite are highly crystallized, showing strong anisotropy, while granular particles and pyrolytic carbon is relatively poorly crystallized. Flakes and silk-like graphite probably originate from pyrolytic carbon [26,59,60].

Figure 6. (a,b) Content of microstructures (vitrinite (V), inertinite (I), pyrolytic carbons (P), granular particles (G), flakes (F), silk-like graphite (S)) versus FWHM (002) (the full width at half maximum of the (002) peak) and Raman parameter $R_2$. (c) Correlation of microstructures content with FWHM (002). (d) Microstructure content versus distance from the intrusion.

Differences in graphitization rate between coal macerals and the degree of structural ordering resulted in the coexistence of coal macerals, pyrolytic carbons, and graphite-like particles [25,27]. Microstructure content varied with the distance to the intrusion (Figure 6d). When the distance was further than 800 m away from the intrusion, coal macerals predominated in microstructures, while when the distance was lower than 800 m, graphite-like particles and pyrolytic carbons were the majority, and coal macerals in the minority.

5.2. Different Graphitization Degree Caused by Deformation

The metamorphic temperature was higher when the distance was closer to the intrusion and the graphitization degree was higher, as shown by the interlayer spacing $d_{002}$ and $R_2$. FWHM (002) and $R_2$ showed nonlinear variations with distance from the intrusion (Figure 7a). At a distance of about 300–1400 m, the FWHM (002) and $R_2$ revealed that the graphitization degree rose when nearing the intrusion. However, the FWHM (002) and $R_2$ values of samples adjacent to the intrusion (<300 m) increased and the graphitization degree decreased. The well-graphitized sample D60, furthest from the intrusion, had low FWHM (002) and $R_2$ values. Thus, the graphitization degree of coal did not change linearly with distance from the intrusion but showed a continuous trend.
Previous work has demonstrated that tectonic stress plays a vital role in graphitization of carbonaceous matter [30,31,34–36,58–62]. Due to thermodynamic conditions, coalification and graphitization are influenced by deformation, which leads to different rates and degrees of graphitization for coal [63–71]. Wilks et al. [31] proved that coal is not graphitized under coaxial deformation, but graphitization occurs under shear deformation. Tectonic stress not only results in coal deformation, but also affects coal macromolecules; a slow strain rate in ductile deformation may provide enough time for stress to promote the rearrangement of macromolecules and reduce the disorder degree [71].

As revealed by the $R_2$ values of different deformed samples occurring further than 300 m from the intrusion, ductile deformed samples were more ordered than brittle deformed samples, with the exception of samples at 840 m from the intrusion (Figure 7b). This may be related to the complex deformation environments at the hinge zone of the LS syncline. Samples at different distances from the intrusion developed similar graphitization degrees due to the effects of deformation; for example, sample F5-1 (630 m away from the intrusion) and sample B2-1 (330 m away from the intrusion) had similar $R_2$ values. Moreover, X-ray diffraction and Raman analyses of sample D60, which was furthest from the intrusion and formed in a shear zone (Figure 1f), indicated that sample D60 developed a large crystallite size with few structural defects and had a similar graphitization degree to sample B2-1 (330 m from intrusion). The average lattice spacing obtained from the lattice intensity profile along the line was 0.3372 nm (Figure 5b), consistent with the result of the X-ray diffraction analysis. The FFT image of the ROI showed clear and symmetric diffraction spots against weak and diffuse rings, indicative of crystalline structure (Figure 5b). Despite sample D60 being less affected by the heat of intrusion ($T < 400 \degree C$), it was strongly deformed due to shear stress. Comparing with the poorly graphitized samples B8-1 and B82, the experimental results indicated that sample D60 had been highly graphitized. As a result, deformation led to graphitization of coal at a lower temperature. Graphitization may occur as a result of tectonic activities, such as seismogenic fault motion [72]. In nature, coals formed in the hinge zones of folds or ductile-shear zones tend to develop higher degrees of graphitization [67,72].

Samples B1-1 and F1-1 were closest to the intrusion; nevertheless, their $R_2$ values were not the minimum. The second-order Raman spectra of samples B1-1 and B2-1 showed that sample B1-1 had a lower degree of stacking order along the c direction [66]. The length and height of carbon layers in samples B1-1 and F1-1 were shorter than those in samples B2-1 and F2-1, suggesting that the continuity of carbon layers was affected (Table 3) [65,73–75]. Brittle deformed sample B1-1 exhibited interlayer delamination and stacking defects (Figure 5c,d). Carbon layers slipped along the basal plane within graphite crystallites, resulting in a decrease in crystallinity and the generation of structural defects [75,76]. Owing to the dislocation that was normal to the (002) plane, arc bending of the stacking layers as a fan-shape was observed in sample F1-1 (Figure 5e). The FFT image of the ROI exhibited three groups of symmetric diffraction spots, which were indicative of carbon layers extending along three directions because of the bending layers (Figure 5e). The bending of carbon layers with different
extending directions was probably responsible for the undulatory extinction of the flakes. In summary, different deformations, such as delamination in the stacking and bending of carbon layers or other deformations, occurred in the nanostructures.

5.3. Graphitization Induced by Deformation

Deformation has a marked effect not only on the amorphization of crystallite, but also on the ordering of the disordered structure [30–32,77]. Bustin et al. [31] proposed a model of graphitization under simple shear where BSUs gradually reorient and interconnect with each other to form larger carbon sheets with increasing shear strain. The pores experience flattening, polygonization, and coalescence to form three-dimensionally ordered graphite [47,78–80]. The model reflects the restriction of shear stress on the orientation of BSUs, namely that randomly oriented BSUs are reoriented uniformly along a preferred direction of shear stress. Deformation and crystallization could occur at the same time, as in the dynamic recrystallization of minerals induced by ductile deformation. In order to eliminate structural defects of minerals, there are two concurrent processes: one is the generation and accumulation of dislocations, and the other is the rearrangement and annihilation of lattice defects during deformation [35,81–83]. Regarding CBG, graphitization of coal is also an extinction process for structural defects [73,75,79]. Lattice deformation, dislocations [84], and bending caused by ductile deformation [66,67] are found in ductile deformed CBG. Hence, a possible graphitization mechanism is referred to as strain-induced graphitization. The essence of graphitization is the motion and reorganization of dislocations induced by ductile deformation in order to form a graphite structure with few defects.

The coalescence and polygonization of pores are caused by stress. The local stress concentration leads carbon layers to curve and randomly distributed dislocations to move and reorganize, forming dislocation walls correspondingly with the appearance of edges at the rim of bending layers, after which, the polygonized structure is formed. The HRTEM and IFFT images of sample D60 (Figure 8a,b) exhibited few dislocations inside the polygonized structure, while several incomplete carbon layers were observed outside, which can probably be explained by the motion of dislocations from inside to outside to remove the internal defects of the graphite structure. The effect of strain on the breaking and rotation of chemical bonds allows for the motion of dislocations [28,71,85]. The outer incomplete carbon layers were presumably responsible for the appearance of the D2 band in the Raman spectrum, which was related to the edge defect. A clear dislocation wall composed of regularly arranged defects is shown in Figure 8c. With the growth of crystallites, as well as the removal of structural defects and stiffness of carbon layers, $R_2$ values steadily declined.

Graphitization can occur as a consequence of the motion of dislocation between two neighboring local molecular orientations (LMOs) that develop different degrees of structural order and orientation. In ductile deformed samples F4-1 and D60, the interface of two LMOs with different degrees of structural order and orientation formed as a grain boundary (Figure 8d,f), where both defects and stress were concentrated. Due to the difference in the structural defect density and strain energy of the two LMOs, the grain boundary moved toward the LMO with a high defect density, causing an irregular sinuous grain boundary, as shown in the IFFT image (Figure 8e,g). While grain boundaries progressively moved and modulate, neighboring LMOs connected each other along the same direction, forming a long-range ordered graphite structure. As a result, strain-induced graphitization mainly presented two aspects where, on one hand, it promoted preferred orientation and the rearrangement of BSUs, and on the other hand, it favored the motion and modulation of structural defects in order to produce a highly ordered graphite structure.

Owing to non-uniform stresses, local stress concentrations often result in the local development of the graphite structure and is perhaps the main reason for different graphitization degrees of graphite-like particles [18,83]. In sample B7-1, long and straight carbon layers were shown in the HRTEM image. The lattice intensity profile along the line indicated that the average lattice spacing was 0.344 nm, while $L_a$ and $L_c$ values were both larger than 10 nm (Figure 8h). However, randomly
oriented and bending carbon layers were also found beside straight layers, which agreed well with an asymmetric (002) peak in the X-ray diffraction profile of sample B7-1 (Figure 2b), indicating the coexistence of a graphite structure and turbostratic structure [53]. At the microscale, coal macerals dominated in B7-1 with a few granular particles and pyrolytic carbons. Since the internal stress induces a local stress concentration, which breaks the cross-links between BSUs, a locally ordered structure is produced [86]. As the degree of structural ordering gradually increases, silk-like graphite and flakes will steadily generate and spread throughout the structure and increase crystallinity, which corresponds to the enhancement in graphitization degree of the whole sample.

Figure 8. (a) Sample D60, several incomplete carbon layers outside stiff layers. (b) The inverse fast Fourier transform (IFFT) image of the ROI (yellow dash line) in (a), showing few defects inside the graphite structure. (c) The IFFT image of the ROI (blue dash line) in (a), where arranged dislocations formed a dislocation wall (red dash line). (d) Sample F4-1 showing two local molecular orientations (LMOs) with different ordering and orientation. (e) The IFFT image of the ROI in (d). (f) Sample D60 showing two LMOs with different ordering and orientation. (g) The IFFT image of the ROI in (f), showing an irregular grain boundary. (h) Sample B7-1 showing locally ordered graphite structure and bending layers; the right upward inset is the lattice intensity profile along the blue line, the lower right corner inset show the blurred diffraction rings.
In summary, local stress concentrations due to the non-uniform stress in ductile deformation led to preferred orientations of BSUs, as well as the motion, modulation, and reorganization of structural defects to form an ordered structure. As the graphite structure progressively expanded, graphitization degree was enhanced as a whole.

6. Conclusions

Coal macerals are graphitized at different rates, producing different graphite-like particles with various degrees of crystallization. Tectonic deformation affects the graphitization, which causes different graphitization degrees of coal and a discontinuous distribution of CBG. This indicates that coals are graphitized with different graphitization degrees at the same position or that CBG with a similar graphitization degree occurs in different locations, meaning that the distribution of CBG is spatially discontinuous.

Tectonic deformation has an effect on the amorphization of crystallitles and also leads to the graphitization of coal at low temperatures. A possible graphitization mechanism is strain-induced graphitization, which is similar to lattice deformation and recrystallization in the ductile deformation of minerals. In this mechanism, the local stress concentration promotes the preferred orientations of local BSUs and the motion and rearrangement of structural defects, leading to the gradual expansion of locally ordered structure into the whole sample, producing CBG as a result.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2075-163X/9/10/617/s1. Table S1: Raman spectra parameters of different deformed samples away from the intrusion.
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