Palladium(II)-Catalyzed Efficient Synthesis of Wedelolactone and Evaluation as Potential Tyrosinase Inhibitor
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Abstract: Tyrosinase is an enzyme widely distributed in nature, which has multiple functions, especially in the melanin biosynthesis pathway. Despite the few clinically available tyrosinase inhibitors for whitening, a great demand remains for novel compounds with low side effects in terms of potential carcinogenicity and improved clinical efficacy. A natural product, wedelolactone (WEL), with a polyhydroxyl moiety, attracted our attention as a potential tyrosinase inhibitor. Before we studied the biological activity of the natural product, a synthetic methodological research was firstly carried to obtain enough raw material. WEL could be obtained efficiently through palladium-catalyzed boronation/coupling reactions and 2,3-dicyano-5,6-dichlorobenzoquinone (DDQ)-involved oxidative deprotection/annulation reactions. Immediately after, the natural product was proven to be an efficient tyrosinase inhibitor. In conclusion, we developed a mild and efficient approach for the preparation of WEL, and the natural product was disclosed to have anti-tyrosinase activity, which could be widely used in multiple fields.
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1. Introduction

Tyrosinase (EC 1.14.18.1) is an enzyme widely distributed in nature, which has multiple functions, especially in the melanin biosynthesis pathway [1]. The enzyme can catalyze L-tyrosine to L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-DOPA), with further oxidation to dopaquinone [2]. Dopaquinone transforms from brown to black through several reactions. Abnormal melanin production, including melasma, freckles, lentigo, senilis, and other forms of melanin hyperpigmentation could be a serious aesthetic problem [2,3]. In addition, tyrosinase is also involved in the defensive and developmental functions of pests [4]. Excessive dopaquinone was also reported to cause neurodegeneration related to Parkinson’s disease [5]. Thus, many tyrosinase inhibitors are applied in cosmetics and pharmaceutical products [6]. Despite the few clinically available tyrosinase inhibitors for whitening, a great demand remains for novel compounds with low side effects in terms of potential carcinogenicity and improved clinical efficacy [7,8]. Obviously, more efforts are still needed in that direction; therefore, we recently focused our interest on discovering novel tyrosinase inhibitors.

Compounds with the polyhydroxyl moiety were proven to be potential tyrosinase inhibitors [9–11]. Recently, our group undertook research on a natural product with a polyhydroxyl moiety named wedelolactone (WEL), which is derived from the medical plant Eclipta prostrata [12]. Although a wide
range of pharmacological activities of WEL were reported, there is less information on the inhibitory effect and reversibility of WEL on tyrosinase. Thus, the inhibitory activity and mechanism of WEL toward tyrosinase deserves deeper investigation; however, but the present knowledge on synthesis of the natural product is limited. Although several groups invested substantial effort in the preparation of WEL, these methods had several disadvantages, including a time-consuming nature with complicated synthetic approaches [13–15].

Among these methods, two routes listed in Figure 1 are commonly recognized by the industry. However, both methods have several disadvantages. The first method (reported by Yang [14]) involves a crucial intermediate, phenyl acetylene, which is difficult to prepare. The route has a low 15% overall yield with a long linear sequence (total of 12 steps), and it is rarely applied to access a variety of WEL analogues for structure transformations. The second method (reported by Lee et al. [13]) employs toxic organotin and organomercurial reagents, which limit industrial production and increase operation complexity. In addition, both methods can only obtain the natural products on a small scale. As the present methods are imperfect and unsatisfactory for further investigation of WEL as an efficient tyrosinase inhibitor, the development of a facile, versatile, and mild approach is urgently needed.

![Figure 1. Reported synthesis routes of wedelolactone (WEL) and our proposal.](image)

**2. Results and Discussion**

**2.1. Palladium(II)-Catalyzed Efficient Synthesis of WEL**

Retrosynthetically, WEL could be logically disconnected by the ring opening of furan to afford the intermediate 4, which is further disconnected by C–C bond cleavage to trace back to the intermediate 3-bromo-5-benzyloxy-7-acetoxyl-2-chromenone 3 and the readily prepared 4,5-dibenzyloxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)oxy-phenyl boronic ester 2 (Scheme 1). This similar synthetic strategy was ever used by Shen for the synthesis of hirtellanine A [16]. Synthetically, we expected that polysubstituted coumarin 4 could be obtained by Pd(II)-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura coupling of 3-bromocoumarin 3 and polysubstituted phenyl boronate ester 2 which could be generated by a Pd(II)-catalyzed boronation reaction of the polysubstituted bromobenzene 1. The coupling product 4 then underwent a DDQ-oxidation deprotection/annulation reaction to deliver the final product WEL 5.
In the beginning of our synthesis, we focused on the generation of the polysubstituted bromobenzene 1 (Scheme 1). Selective protection of the three phenolic hydroxyl groups presented a big synthetic challenge. After reviewing the literature [16,17], we chose the commercially available 3,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde 6 as the starting material to provide the polysubstituted bromobenzene 1 via the mCPBA-mediated Baeyer–Villiger oxidation strategy, which resolved the selective protection of phenolic groups. Synthetically, protection of the phenol groups of 3,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde 6 with benzyl bromide afforded 3,4-bis(benzyloxy)benzaldehyde 7 in 86% yield, which subsequently underwent mCPBA-mediated oxidation and hydrolysis to deliver 3,4-bis(benzyloxy)phenol 8 in 87% yield. Next, 8 was firstly protected with PMBCl and then selectively brominated with NBS to obtain polysubstituted bromobenzene 1 in high yield.

Subsequently, we aimed to synthesize 3-bromo-5-benzyloxy-7-acetoxyl-2-chromenone 3 (Scheme 2). According to reported methods [14], the commercially available phloroglucinol 10 and ethyl propiolate as starting materials smoothly underwent ZnCl₂-catalyzed esterification and cyclization reactions to provide dihydroxycoumarin 11. Dihydroxycoumarin 11 was then treated with acetyl chloride to deliver the corresponding diacetoxyxycoumarin 12 in good yield. The following reaction involved the dibromination and dehydrobromination of ketene 12 to afford 3-bromo-5,7-diacetoxyl-2-chromenone 13 in 75% yield. Reaction temperature is vital to the bromination reaction. It was found that maintaining the reaction temperature at 10 °C could avoid the bromination of acetyl group of 12 and acquire the optimal reaction yield. Finally, we ran the partial benzylation of 3-bromo-5,7-diacetoxyl-2-chromenone 13. The similar reactivity of the 5- and 7-hydroxy groups did not facilitate the selective 5-benzylation of 13. According to Humbert’s selective alkylation reaction conditions [18], we tried similar normal benzoylation conditions (BnBr, K₂CO₃, acetone, reflux) and found that traces of water in acetone chemoselectively hydrolyzed the 5-acetyl group as well, generating the intermediates 14 and 15, stabilized by the conjugating effect of the pyrone ring. Although the undesired deacetylation byproducts still existed, the major benzylating product was 3-bromo-5-benzyloxy-7-acetoxyl-2-chromenone 3. With the use of ethanol recrystallization, the crude product 3 was obtained in 65% yield and directly used without further purification for the next step.
With the key intermediates polysubstituted bromobenzene 1 and 3-bromo-5-benzyloxy-7-acetoxyl-2-chromene 3 in hand, we focused on the combination of two fragments via boronation and a subsequent coupling reaction (Scheme 3). Under strong alkaline and low-temperature conditions [19], polysubstituted bromobenzene 1 could be treated with bis(pinacolato)diboron to afford the borate ester 2. To simplify the operation procedure, Pd(II)-catalyzed boronation was attempted, and the corresponding borate ester 2 was obtained in quantitative yield as well. The formed crude product 2 could be utilized directly without further purification for the following Suzuki–Miyaura reaction and afforded the deacetyl coupling product 16 in good yield. To avoid the stability issue of borate ester in column chromatography, the boronation and subsequent coupling reaction were carried out conveniently in one pot and gave a 72% overall yield. The one-pot reaction conditions were optimized by initiating an experiment to evaluate the effect of the various parameters on the reaction yield (see Supplementary Materials). Methylation of the deacetyl coupling product 16 in the standard treatment with methyl iodide gave polysubstituted coumarin 4 in 85% yield.

![Scheme 3. Synthesis of polysubstituted coumarin 4.](image)

Finally, the completion of the remaining steps in WEL synthesis required PMB deprotection, cyclization, and debenzylation. Removing the PMB on the substituted coumarin 4 in acidic conditions (HOAc, reflux) led to the decomposed mixture and gave an unsatisfactory result [20]. It was reported that DDQ could also be used as a deprotecting reagent to remove the PMB group [21]. Thus, we adopted this synthetic strategy and hoped that oxidation cyclization could subsequently happen after the deprotection. Fortunately, DDQ-involved deprotection and oxidative annulation proceeded well as anticipated, forming the desired product 1,8,9-tris(benzyloxy)-3-methoxy-6H-benzofuro[3,2-c]chromen-6-one 17 in 56% yield (Scheme 4). Compound 17 was then treated with BCl₃ as a debenzylation reagent, generating the final product wedelolactone 5 in 81% yield. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of the synthetic product were in agreement with reported data for WEL [13].

![Scheme 4. Synthesis of wedelolactone 5.](image)
2.2. Biological Activity

With a sufficient amount of WEL in hand, we tested the in vitro tyrosinase activity. Kojic acid was used as a positive control, as typically employed in the evaluation of tyrosinase inhibitors. As shown in Figure 2, WEL caused strong tyrosinase inhibition in a concentration-dependent manner. The 50% inhibitory concentration (IC$_{50}$) values of WEL and kojic acid were determined to be 1.2 ± 0.3 and 14.2 ± 1.6 µM, respectively. The strong tyrosinase inhibitory activity may be due to the multiple hydroxyl groups in the structure. However, this needs to be further confirmed by determining the derivatives of WEL (data not shown). To confirm the inhibitory mechanism of WEL against tyrosinase, the plots of initial velocity versus tyrosinase concentration at different concentrations of WEL were developed, and a set of straight lines was obtained (as shown in Figure 2). All of the lines passed through the origin, and an increase in the WEL concentration reduced the slopes of the lines, indicating that the compound was a reversible inhibitor.

Figure 2. (A) Inhibitory activity of WEL and the control Kojic acid on tyrosinase. (B) Inhibitory mechanism of WEL on tyrosinase.

2.3. The Docking Studies

Computational docking studies were employed to determine the preferred binding sites of WEL in tyrosinase using the GOLD5.1 software. Tyrosinase contains two copper ions, and each copper ion is coordinated by three histidine residues. The first copper (Cu A) is coordinated by His61, His85, and His94, and the ligands of the second copper ion (Cu B) are His259, His163, and His 296 [21]. WEL could insert into the active site with a copper domain and it was found to interact with various amino-acid residues (Figure 3). The possible site of hydrogen-bonding interactions of WEL with tyrosinase was Asn260. The hydrogen-bonding residues could affect the binding affinity considerably. According to the molecular docking study, it was found that the His61, Val248, His259, Asn260, and His263 amino-acid residues of tyrosinase interact with WEL. The enzyme kinetic analysis and molecular docking studies confirmed that WEL binds to tyrosinase in the active site.
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2.3. The Docking Studies
Computational docking studies were employed to determine the preferred binding sites of WEL in tyrosinase using the GOLD5.1 software. Tyrosinase contains two copper ions, and each copper ion is coordinated by three histidine residues. The first copper (Cu A) is coordinated by His61, His85, and His94, and the ligands of the second copper ion (Cu B) are His259, His163, and His296 [21]. WEL could insert into the active site with a copper domain and it was found to interact with various amino-acid residues (Figure 3). The possible site of hydrogen-bonding interactions of WEL with tyrosinase was Asn260. The hydrogen-bonding residues could affect the binding affinity considerably. According to the molecular docking study, it was found that the His61, Val248, His259, Asn260, and His263 amino-acid residues of tyrosinase interact with WEL. The enzyme kinetic analysis and molecular docking studies confirmed that WEL binds to tyrosinase in the active site.

Figure 3. Computational docking simulations between tyrosinase and WEL obtained using GOLD5.1. The cyan stick structures indicate WEL and the white stick structures represent the amino-acid residues of tyrosinase. The copper ions are depicted as yellow balls. The black dotted lines represent hydrogen bonds.

3. Materials and Methods
3.1. Reagents and Materials
LC-2010 HPLC system (Shimadzu) equipped with a Phenomenex Luna C18 analytical column (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm), a 6520 Accurate-Mass Q-TOF LC/MS system (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) were obtained for this study. The chemical reagents, TYR and L-tyrosine were purachased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Koic acid was purchased from Shanghai Yuanye biological technology Co., Ltd. (purity >98%; Shanghai, China). Ultrapure water was prepared using a Millipore water purification system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA).

3.2. Chemical Synthesis
Preparation of 3,4-bis(benzyloxy)benzaldehyde 7: A 250-mL reaction vessel with a magnetic stirring bar was equipped with 3,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde (5.13 g, 37.2 mmol), K₂CO₃ (25.70 g, 186.0 mmol), BnBr (19.0 g, 111.6 mmol), and DMF (100 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. After the reaction was completed, water (500 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (500 mL). The organic layer was washed with water (250 mL × 2) and brine (250 mL). After drying over MgSO₄, the extracts were filtered and concentrated. The residue was firstly stirred in hexane (200 mL) overnight and then filtered to afford the intermediate 7 without any purification for the next step. White solid; 10.17 g, 86% yield; melting point (m.p.) 89–90 °C; Rf: 0.43 (EA:hexane = 1:4); IR (cm⁻¹): 3026, 2819, 2726, 1676, 1596, 1580, 1512, 1435, 1396, 1386, 1349, 1269, 1245, 1231, 1211, 1135, 1021; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 5.24 (s, 2H), 5.28 (s, 2H), 7.05 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.52 (m, J = 12.0 Hz, 12 Hz), 9.84 (s, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 70.4, 70.5, 112.0, 112.7, 126.2, 126.6, 126.8, 127.5, 127.6, 128.1, 128.2, 129.9, 135.8, 136.1, 148.8, 153.9, 190.3 ppm; HR-MS (ESI) calculated for C₂₁H₁₉O₃ [M + H] 319.1334, found 319.1330.

Preparation of 3,4-bis(benzyloxy)phenol 8: To a solution of compound 7 (8.60 g, 27.0 mmol) in DCM (135 mL) was added m-chloroperbenzoic acid (7.00 g, 40.5 mmol), and then the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 h. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₅ solution (25 mL) and saturated aqueous Na₂CO₃ solution (125 mL). The mixture was firstly extracted with DCM
(125 mL) and then successively washed with saturated aqueous Na$_2$CO$_3$ solution (125 mL × 2) and brine (75 mL). After drying over Na$_2$SO$_4$, the solvent was removed under vacuum. Next, the residue was firstly dissolved in MeOH (135 mL) and then treated with K$_2$CO$_3$ (4.10 g, 29.7 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. After the reaction was completed, the solvent was removed under vacuum, and water (100 mL) was added. HCl solution (3 M) was added to adjust the pH to 3. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (250 mL × 2). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (200 mL) and dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$. Finally, the extracts were filtered and concentrated to afford the intermediate 8 without any purification for the next step. White solid; 7.19 g, 87% yield; m.p. 105–106 °C; R$_f$: 0.25 (EA:hexane = 1:4); IR (cm$^{-1}$): 3031, 2926, 1608, 1508, 1453, 1435, 1281, 1269, 1245, 1165, 1003; $^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 300 MHz): 5.09 (s, 2H), 5.11 (s, 2H), 6.30–6.33 (m, 1H), 6.51 (s, 1H), 6.79–6.83 (m, 1H), 7.28–7.44 (m, 10H); $^{13}$C-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 75 MHz): 70.6, 72.4, 102.9, 106.5, 117.2, 126.8, 127.2, 127.3, 127.4, 127.9, 128.0, 136.5, 137.1, 142.3, 149.8, 150.4 ppm; HR-MS (ESI) calculated for C$_{10}$H$_{12}$O$_3$ [M + H] 307.1334, found 307.1336.

Preparation of 1,2-dibenzylxy-4-(p-methoxybenzyl)oxybenzene 9: To a solution of compound 8 (6.64 g, 21.7 mmol) in DMF (20 mL) was added NaH (60%, dispersion in paraffin liquid) (1.05 g, 26.1 mmol) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 30 min, and PMBCl (3.22 mL, 23.9 mmol) was added. The mixture was continually stirred at room temperature. After the reaction was finished according to TLC monitoring, the saturated NH$_4$Cl solution (100 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (100 mL × 2). The organic layer was then washed with water (100 mL) and brine (50 mL) and dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was stirred in hexane (75 mL) overnight. The mixture was filtered to provide the compound 9 without any purification for the next step. White solid; 8.32 g, 90% yield; m.p. 8.0–81 °C; R$_f$: 0.62 (EA:hexane = 1:4); IR (cm$^{-1}$): 3062, 3035, 2914, 2867, 1610, 1589, 1515, 1468, 1392, 1389, 1279, 1252, 1171, 1104; $^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 300 MHz): 3.84 (s, 3H), 4.92 (s, 2H), 5.12 (s, 2H), 5.15 (s, 2H), 6.47–6.51 (m, 1H), 6.68 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (s, 1H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 7.33–7.44 (m, 12H); $^{13}$C-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 75 MHz): 54.8, 69.8, 70.6, 72.2, 103.4, 105.2, 113.5, 116.6, 126.9, 127.1, 127.2, 127.3, 127.9, 128.0, 128.6, 128.8, 136.6, 137.2, 142.7, 149.7, 153.7, 159.0 ppm; HR-MS (ESI) calculated for C$_{28}$H$_{27}$O$_4$ [M + H] 427.1909, found 427.1909.

Preparation of 1,2-dibenzyloxy-4-bromo-5-(p-methoxybenzyl)oxybenzene 10: To a solution of compound 8 (7.32 g, 17.2 mmol) in DMF (185 mL) was added the solution of NBS (3.21 g, 18.0 mmol) in DMF (35 mL) dropwise at 0 °C. After stirring for 30 min, the mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for additional 4 h. When the reaction was completed, ice water (500 mL) was added and a white solid appeared. After the suspension was filtered, the residue was washed with ice water, and dried under reduced pressure to give the intermediate 11. White solid; 7.54 g, 95% yield; m.p. 103–104 °C; R$_f$: 0.62 (EA:hexane = 1:4); IR (cm$^{-1}$): 3058, 3012, 2910, 1589, 1520, 1420, 1395, 1375, 1225, 1180, 1123, 1001; $^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 300 MHz): 3.84 (s, 3H), 4.96 (s, 2H), 5.09 (s, 2H), 5.11 (s, 2H), 6.33 (s, 1H), 6.68 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (s, 1H), 7.33–7.46 (m, 12H); $^{13}$C-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 75 MHz): 54.8, 70.6, 72.2, 103.4, 105.2, 113.5, 116.6, 126.9, 127.1, 127.2, 127.3, 127.9, 128.0, 128.6, 128.8, 136.6, 137.2, 142.7, 149.7, 153.7, 159.0 ppm; HR-MS (ESI) calculated for C$_{28}$H$_{27}$BrKO$_4$ [M + K] 543.0573, found 543.0559.

Preparation of 5,7-diacycloxyl-2-chronemonone 11: A stirred mixture of phloroglucinol dihydrate (18.90 g, 150.0 mmol), ethyl propiolate (17.7 mL, 180.0 mmol), and ZnCl$_2$ (1.00 g, 7.5 mmol) was heated at 100 °C for 2 h. A flocculent precipitate formed during the reaction. After the mixture was filtered, the solid was recrystallized from water to afford the intermediate 11. Yellow solid; 21.36 g, 80% yield; m.p. 263–264 °C; R$_f$: 0.50 (EA:hexane = 3:1); IR (cm$^{-1}$): 3421, 3054, 1686, 1615, 1574, 1474, 1365, 1302, 1244, 1156, 1071; $^1$H-NMR (DMSO-d$_6$, 300 MHz): 7.34 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 6.09 (m, 1H), 6.17 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (dd, J = 5.7, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 10.28 (s, 1H), 10.56 (s, 1H); $^{13}$C-NMR (DMSO-d$_6$, 75 MHz): 94.0, 98.2, 101.6, 108.6, 139.5, 155.9, 156.4, 160.7, 162.0; HR-MS (ESI) calculated for C$_9$H$_{12}$O$_3$ [M+H] 179.0344, found 179.0330.

Preparation of 5,7-diacycloxyl-2-chronemonone 12: A mixture of 11 (5.00 g, 28.0 mmol) and K$_2$CO$_3$ (19.40 g, 140.0 mmol) in dry acetone was heated to reflux (oil bath, 90 °C) for 1 h. Acetyl chloride (8.0 mL, 122.3 mmol) was added dropwise with syringe. The reaction suspension was stirred for...
another 1.5 h at 90 °C. The resulting solution was cooled down and filtrated out of the excess K₂CO₃. The solvent was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was recrystallized by MeOH to give compound 12. White solid; 6.24 g, 85% yield; m.p. 129–130 °C; Rf: 0.28 (EA:hexane = 1:2); IR (cm⁻¹): 3075, 1767, 1745, 1630, 1575, 1433, 1365, 1309, 1242, 1188, 1131, 1066, 1027; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 300 MHz): 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 6.51 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (dd, J = 0.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (dd, J = 0.6, 9.6 Hz, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 75 MHz): 20.6, 20.8, 108.0, 110.6, 112.9, 115.9, 137.9, 147.4, 152.6, 154.3, 159.2, 168.5, 168.8 ppm; HR-MS (ESI) calculated for C₁₃H₁₁O₆ [M + H] 263.0556, found 263.0538.

Preparation of 3-bromo-5,7-diacetoxyl-2-chromenone 13: To a solution of 12 (2.00 g, 7.6 mmol) in DCM (20 mL) was added Br₂ (1.95 mL, 38.0 mmol) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at 10 °C for 8 h. The reaction was quenched with a 2 M NaOH aqueous solution (25 mL). The brown solution was extracted with DCM (50 mL). The organic layer was washed with water (25 mL × 2) and brine (25 mL) and then dried over Na₂SO₄. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to afford the dibromochromanone. To the above dibromide in DCM (25 mL) was added Et₂N (1.33 g, 13.2 mmol) at room temperature, which was then stirred for 1 h. The resulting solution was removed from the solvent in vacuo, and the residue was recrystallized by MeOH to give the desired product 13. White solid; 1.94 g, 75% yield; m.p. 130–131 °C; Rf: 0.51 (EA:hexane = 1:2); IR (cm⁻¹): 3065, 1765, 1743, 1619, 1430, 1239, 1192, 1132, 1067, 1022; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 7.04–7.06 (m, 2H), 8.11 (s, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 20.4, 20.6, 107.3, 110.4, 111.1, 112.2, 137.6, 145.9, 152.4, 153.4, 167.5, 167.6 ppm; HR-MS (ESI) calculated for C₁₃H₉BrNaO₆ [M + Na] 362.9480, found 362.9476.

Preparation of 3-bromo-5-benzylxy-7-acetoxyl-2-chromenone 3: To a solution of 13 (1.53 g, 4.5 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (1.88 g, 13.5 mmol) in acetone (30 mL) was added BnBr (0.81 mL, 6.8 mmol), and then the mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 8 h. The resulting solution was cooled down and filtrated out of the excess K₂CO₃. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to afford the dibromochromanone. The resulting solution was removed from the solvent in vacuo, and the residue was recrystallized by MeOH to give the desired product 13. White solid; 1.13 g, 65% yield; m.p. 176–177 °C; Rf: 0.60 (EA:hexane = 1:2); IR (cm⁻¹): 3091, 2924, 2853, 1737, 1613, 1499, 1459, 1430, 1351, 1296, 1234, 1208, 1131, 1028; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 300 MHz): 55.0, 70.0, 70.4, 71.4, 93.7, 94.8, 127.8, 137.9, 145.9, 152.4, 153.4, 167.5, 167.6 ppm; HR-MS (ESI) calculated for C₁₈H₁₄BrO₅ [M + H] 389.0025, found 389.0022.

Preparation of 3-bromo-5-benzylxy-7-acetoxyl-2-chromenone 3: To a solution of 13 (1.53 g, 4.5 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (1.88 g, 13.5 mmol) in acetone (30 mL) was added BnBr (0.81 mL, 6.8 mmol), and then the mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 8 h. The resulting solution was cooled down and filtrated out of the excess K₂CO₃. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to afford the dibromochromanone. The resulting solution was removed from the solvent in vacuo, and the residue was recrystallized by MeOH to give the desired product 13. White solid; 1.13 g, 65% yield; m.p. 176–177 °C; Rf: 0.60 (EA:hexane = 1:2); IR (cm⁻¹): 3091, 2924, 2853, 1737, 1613, 1499, 1459, 1430, 1351, 1296, 1234, 1208, 1131, 1028; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 300 MHz): 55.0, 70.0, 70.4, 71.4, 93.7, 94.8, 127.8, 137.9, 145.9, 152.4, 153.4, 167.5, 167.6 ppm; HR-MS (ESI) calculated for C₁₈H₁₄BrO₅ [M + H] 389.0025, found 389.0022.

Preparation of 3-bromo-5-benzylxy-7-acetoxyl-2-chromenone 3: To a solution of 13 (1.53 g, 4.5 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (1.88 g, 13.5 mmol) in acetone (30 mL) was added BnBr (0.81 mL, 6.8 mmol), and then the mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 8 h. The resulting solution was cooled down and filtrated out of the excess K₂CO₃. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to afford the dibromochromanone. The resulting solution was removed from the solvent in vacuo, and the residue was recrystallized by MeOH to give the desired product 13. White solid; 1.13 g, 65% yield; m.p. 176–177 °C; Rf: 0.60 (EA:hexane = 1:2); IR (cm⁻¹): 3091, 2924, 2853, 1737, 1613, 1499, 1459, 1430, 1351, 1296, 1234, 1208, 1131, 1028; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 300 MHz): 55.0, 70.0, 70.4, 71.4, 93.7, 94.8, 127.8, 137.9, 145.9, 152.4, 153.4, 167.5, 167.6 ppm; HR-MS (ESI) calculated for C₁₈H₁₄BrO₅ [M + H] 389.0025, found 389.0022.
Preparation of 5-(benzyloxy)-3-(4,5-bis(benzyloxy)-2-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)phenyl)-7-methoxy-2-
chromenone 4: To a solution of 16 (1.38 g, 2.0 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (0.42 g, 3.0 mmol) in DMF (10 mL)
was added MeI (250 µL, 4.0 mmol) slowly, and then the mixture was stirred at the room temperature.
After TLC showed the reaction was completed, the mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (50 mL),
and the organic layer was washed with H₂O (40 mL x 2) and brine (25 mL). After drying over Na₂SO₄,
the filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography with ethyl
acetate/petroleum ether (1:3–1:2) to afford the desired product 4. Yellow solid; 1.20 g, 85% yield; m.p.
130–132 °C; Rf: 0.46 (EA:hexane = 1:2); IR (cm⁻¹): 3031, 2930, 2853, 1710, 1619, 1513, 1445, 1413,
1385, 1300, 1197, 1155, 1109, 1082, 1015; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 300 MHz): 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H),
4.95 (s, 2H), 5.04 (s, 2H), 5.20 (s, 2H), 5.25 (s, 2H), 6.63 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 2H), 6.76 (s, 1H), 6.79 (s, 1H), 7.00 (s,
1H), 7.11 (s, 1H), 7.20 (s, 1H), 7.23 (s, 1H), 7.30–7.44 (m, 11H), 7.46–7.49 (m, 4H), 7.90 (s, 1H); ¹³C-NMR
(DMSO-d₆, 75 MHz): 55.8, 70.8, 71.8, 72.0, 94.1, 97.1, 99.4, 105.5, 116.2, 126.8, 127.3, 127.5,
127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.5, 128.8, 129.1, 136.2, 136.4, 137.1, 137.4, 141.8, 149.4, 150.9,
155.5, 155.6, 158.8, 159.6, 163.0; HR-MS (ESI) calculated for C₄₅H₅₉O₃ [M + H] 707.2645, found 707.2648.

Preparation of 1,8,9-tris(benzyloxy)-3-methoxy-6H-benzofuro[3,2-c]chromen-6-one 17: To a solution of
4 (0.71 g, 1.0 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) was added DDQ (0.45 g, 2.0 mmol), and then the mixture was
stirred under reflux for 24 h. After the reaction was finished, the mixture was concentrated and then
puriﬁed by column chromatography (DCM) to give the product 17. White solid; 327 mg, 56% yield;
m.p. 212–213 °C; Rf: 0.40 (EA:hexane = 1:2); IR (cm⁻¹): 3031, 2930, 2850, 1736, 1604, 1450, 1401, 1352,
1301, 1273, 1197, 1161, 1137, 1081, 1049; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 3.88 (s, 3H), 5.25 (s, 2H),
5.27 (s, 2H), 5.31 (s, 2H), 6.48 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (s, 1H), 7.37–7.63 (m,
15H), 7.68 (s, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 55.8, 70.8, 71.8, 72.0, 94.1, 97.1, 99.4, 105.5,
116.2, 126.8, 127.3, 127.5, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.5, 128.7, 136.2, 136.8, 137.0, 148.0, 148.5,
150.1, 155.4, 155.9, 158.5, 159.7, 162.8; HR-MS (ESI) calculated for C₃₇H₃₇NaO₃ [M + Na] 607.1733, found 607.1718.

Preparation of WEL 5: To a solution of 17 (0.58 g, 1.0 mmol) in DCM (15 mL) was added BCl₃
(3 mL, 1M) slowly at 0 °C under Ar atmosphere. After the reaction was finished, the mixture was
concentrated and then puriﬁed by column chromatography (MeOH:DCM = 1:20) to give the product 5.
Gray solid; 254 mg, 81% yield; m.p. >300 °C; Rf: 0.48 (MeOH:DCM = 1:10); IR (cm⁻¹): 3397, 2955, 2923,
2853, 1710, 1670, 1612, 1447, 1324, 1284, 1206, 1151, 1070, 1047; ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 300 MHz): 3.82 (s,
3H), 3.65 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (s, 1H), 7.24 (s, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆,
75 MHz): 55.7, 93.2, 96.7, 98.1, 98.8, 101.7, 104.5, 113.7, 144.3, 145.4, 148.8, 154.8, 155.2, 157.7,
158.9, 162.2; HR-MS (ESI) calculated for C₁₆H₁₃O₂ [M + H] 315.0505, found 315.0504.

Characterization data of WEL reported by Yang’s group were as follows: ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆,
300 MHz): 3.77 (s, 3H), 6.40 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.55 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (s, 1H), 7.23 (s, 1H);
¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 75 MHz): 55.7, 93.2, 96.7, 98.1, 98.9, 101.7, 104.6, 113.8, 144.3, 145.4, 148.9,
154.8, 155.3, 157.8, 158.9, 162.2.

All above chemicals were commercially available and used without further puriﬁcation. Analytical
thin-layer chromatography was performed on glass plates precoated with silica gel impregnated
with a ﬂuorescent indicator (254 nm). The plates were visualized by exposure to ultraviolet light.
¹H-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX (300 MHz), and ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded on
a Bruker DRX (75 MHz). HR-MS spectra were taken on an Agilent Technologies Accurate-Mass Q-TQF
LC/MS 6520.

3.3. Biological Assays

The tyrosinase inhibition activity of the compounds was measured using l-DOPA as a substrate
according to a modiﬁed method of previous work [4,10,11]. WEL was ﬁrstly dissolved in DMSO
at a concentration of 1.0 mM, and the ﬁnal concentration of DMSO in the reaction mixture was
3%. In the investigation, the total volume of the reaction system was 300 µL; l-DOPA was used
as the substrate for the determination of diphenolase activity. Brieﬂy, l-DOPA (100 µL, 0.5 mM),
phosphate buﬀer (180 µL, pH 6.8, 50 mM), and diﬀerent concentrations of inhibitors (10 µL in DMSO)

were mixed and incubated at 30 °C. Then, an aqueous solution of mushroom tyrosinase (10 µL, 1000 U/mL) was added to the above solution and mixed quickly. Absorption and kinetic measurements were carried out on a spectrophotometer from Thermo Fisher at 475 nm. Control experiments, containing the same amount of DMSO without inhibitor, were routinely carried out. All measurements were performed in triplicate. The enzyme activity was calculated according to Lambert–Beer’s law ($\varepsilon = 3700$ L·mol$^{-1}$·cm$^{-1}$). The extent of inhibition by the inhibitor was expressed as the concentration required to reduce tyrosinase activity to 50% (IC$_{50}$). The percentage inhibition of tyrosinase activity was calculated as follows:

$$\% \text{ inhibition} = \left[ 1 - \frac{(X - A_1)}{(A_2 - A_1)} \right] \times 100\%,$$

where $X$ is the absorbance at 475 nm of the inhibitor, $A_1$ is the absorbance at 475 nm of the solution without tyrosinase, and $A_2$ is the absorbance at 475 nm of the bank (without inhibitor).

4. Conclusions

In summary, we developed an efficient synthetic route to synthesize wedelolactone, wherein Pd(II)-catalyzed boronation/coupling reactions and DDQ-involved oxidative deprotection/annulation are key reactions. The obtained WEL was determined as an efficient tyrosinase inhibitor which could inhibit the enzyme in a reversible, competitive manner. Computational docking simulations showed that WEL could bind with tyrosinase because its hydroxyl group on the scaffold bound residue Asn260 located in the active site. The study suggested that WEL may be efficient in restricting enzymatic browning reactions, which could be widely used in multiple fields.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online. Table S1: Optimization of boronation/Suzuki-Miyaura reactions; $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR spectra of the products.
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