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Abstract: A novel and convenient approach for the solid-phase 5′-functionalization of oligonucleotides is proposed in this article. The approach is based on the activation of free 5′-hydroxyl of polymer support-bound protected oligonucleotides by N,N′-disuccinimidyl carbonate followed by interaction with amino-containing ligands. Novel amino-containing derivatives of closo-dodecaborate, estrone, cholesterol, and α-tocopherol were specially prepared. A wide range of oligonucleotide conjugates bearing closo-dodecaborate, short peptide, pyrene, lipophilic residues (cholesterol, α-tocopherol, folate, estrone), aliphatic diamines, and propargylamine were synthesized and characterized to demonstrate the versatility of the approach. The developed method is suitable for the conjugate synthesis of oligonucleotides of different types (ribo-, deoxyribo-, 2′-O-methylribo-, and others).
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1. Introduction

Conjugates of oligonucleotides with various types of ligands combine the sequence-specific binding ability of oligonucleotides to nucleic acids with the functionality of ligands. A large variety of functional ligands, like peptides, vitamins, hormones, growth factors, enzymes, carbohydrates, lipophilic molecules, aptamers, polymers, fluorescent dyes, spin labels, metal complexes, nanoparticles, and solid surfaces, have been conjugated to DNA, RNA, or their analogs. The oligonucleotide conjugates attract particular attention as therapeutics for the treatment of cancer, genetic and viral diseases, and as imaging and diagnostic tools in biomedicine (see, e.g., [1–12]). They also find broad application in other fields such as the development of biohybrid nanomaterials and agents for biocatalysis, design of biomimetics, template-directed biosynthetic chemistry, magnetic and (opto)-electronic nanomaterial design (see, e.g., [13–15]). Taking into account the vast diversity of ligands and research tasks, the development of convenient and efficient approaches to the synthesis of oligonucleotide conjugates remains a significant challenge.

Ligands may be introduced at various positions of the molecule (5′- or 3′-terminus, sugar, phosphodiester backbone, or nucleobases). The synthetic strategies for oligonucleotide conjugates fall into two main categories: solution and solid-phase conjugation, each with its own benefits and drawbacks. Solid-phase synthesis of functionalized oligonucleotides most often relies on commercially available automated DNA/RNA synthesizers. Terminal functionalization of oligonucleotides or the site-specific introduction of modified nucleotides and non-nucleotidic monomers can be easily
integrated into the automated synthesis protocol based on phosphoramidite or H-phosphonate chemistry. For example, in our previous works, we developed an approach for solid-phase synthesis of 5′-modified RNA with the use of using different H-phosphonates of lipophilic molecules [16–18]. Alternatively, post-synthetic modification of oligonucleotides may be performed on the solid support outside of the synthesizer. In this case, one can perform the functionalization not only through phosphoramidite/H-phosphonate chemistry, but also by other approaches, including Michael addition [19], or Cu(I)-catalyzed azide-alkyne Huisgen cycloaddition (see, e.g., [19,20]).

Two simple homobifunctional reagents have been routinely used in organic and bioorganic chemistry to activate the amino and hydroxyl moieties, 1,1′-carbonyldiimidazole (CDI) and N,N′-disuccinimidyl carbonate (DSC) [21]. The reactivity of the N-succinimidyl carbonate formed during DSC activation is much higher than that of imidazole carbamate, the active species in CDI activation. The carbamate linkage formed after the coupling of succinimidyl carbonate to amine-containing ligands is chemically stable [22]. In the context of nucleic acid chemistry, the activation of 5′-hydroxy by CDI for the synthesis of 5′-aminoalkyl oligodeoxynucleotides was described earlier [23,24], but no examples of using DSC have been reported to date.

In the present work, we have developed a new approach to the solid-phase 5′-modification of oligonucleotides using N,N′-disuccinimidyl carbonate. The approach is based on the activation of free 5′-hydroxyl of protected oligonucleotides (ribo-, deoxyribo- or 2′-O-methylribo-) by DSC followed by the interaction with amino containing ligands of different chemical nature.

2. Results

N,N′-Disuccinimidyl carbonate is widely used for the preparation of modified surfaces as well as for the introduction of polyethylene glycol (PEG) to the definite sites of proteins or nucleic acids (see, e.g., [25–27]). The advantages of DSC as compared to CDI include longer shelf life, a wide range of suitable solvents, and higher reactivity of the activated hydroxyl. The activation of 5′-amino group of solid-phase-attached oligodeoxyribonucleotide by DSC was successfully used for peptide conjugates preparation in previous works [28,29]. However, much to our surprise, DSC has not yet been employed for the synthesis of oligonucleotides conjugated through the activation of 5′-hydroxyl of polymer support-bound oligonucleotides.

The general scheme of the proposed solid-phase 5′-functionalization of oligonucleotides includes two stages (Scheme 1): 1) the activation of 5′-hydroxyl of protected oligonucleotide by DSC with the formation of the intermediate 5′-succinimidyl carbonate, and 2) the interaction of this intermediate with an amino ligand followed by standard deprotection of resulted oligonucleotide conjugate.
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Scheme 1. The general scheme of the solid-phase 5′-functionalization of oligonucleotides (ribo-, 2′-O-methylribo-, and deoxyribo-). R–NH2 structures see Supplementary Table S1.

Amine-containing ligands of various structures were coupled with activated 5′-hydroxyl group of oligonucleotides (Supplementary, Table S1). We used a range of amines of closo-dodecaborate and lipophilic molecules (cholesterol, folic acid, α-tocopherol, estrone), manufactured by us in our laboratory, as well as a series of commercially available amino ligands (pyrenemethylamine, oleylamine, trileucine, propargylamine, aliphatic diamines, 3-aminopropan-1-ol, 12-aminododecan-1-ol).
The synthesis of amino-modified cholesterols (I, II) was performed by analogy with previous works [30] (Scheme 2a). Cholesteryl chloroformate was introduced to the reaction with an excess of diamine (hexamethylenediamine or dodecamethylenediamine) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$, then the solution was washed by water to remove the unreacted diamine, and amino-modified cholesterols were isolated by column chromatography. For the preparation of amino-modified α-tocopherol (III) and estrone (IV), the hydroxy groups were activated by DSC followed by reaction with hexamethylenediamine by analogy with previous work [31,32] (Scheme 2b,c) and isolated by column chromatography. The γ-carboxylic group of folic acid was activated by N$_2$N’-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) in the presence of N-hydroxysuccinimide during 16 h at room temperature (r.t.). Activated folic acid was reacted with an excess of hexamethylenediamine by analogy with previous work [33] (Scheme 2d), and γ-amino-modified folate (V) was isolated by column chromatography.

An aminotetramethylene oxonium derivative of closo-dodecaborate (VI) was prepared by the treatment of closo-dodecaborate anion with BF$_3$·Et$_2$O in tetrahydrofuran and subsequent interaction with ammonia (NH$_3$ aq) (Scheme 2e) [34,35]. For further information on processing, see Materials and Methods.

The structures of all amino-modified ligands were confirmed by NMR (see Materials and Methods for details).

We demonstrated the feasibility of our solid-phase approach for the synthesis of 5′-functionalized oligonucleotides based on DSC activation using the wide range of conjugates of the model
oligodeoxyribonucleotide dT₇ (1–12) (Table 1, Scheme 3A). The optimal solvent for solid-phase attachment of each particular amino ligand was thoroughly selected (Supplementary Table S1). The degrees of conversion of dT₇ to the conjugates were about 80–95% (Figure 1, Supplementary Figure S1). The retention times of dT₇ conjugates in reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP HPLC) varied depending on the nature of the ligand. Conjugates with cholesterol (1,2), pyrene (8), and dodecamethylenediamine (11) had the highest values for the retention time (Table 1).

**Scheme 3.** Solid-phase synthesis of 5′-conjugates of oligonucleotides (Table 1) based on DSC activation. (A) Direct synthesis of 5′-functionalized oligonucleotides based on DSC activation; (B) introduction of prolonged linkers by carrying out several successive activation/addition solid-phase reactions through DSC; (C) the use of non-nucleotidic biolabile linker with subsequent activation of hydroxyl of this linker by DSC and attachment of the amino ligand.

**Figure 1.** RP HPLC analysis of reaction mixtures upon conjugation of dT₇ with different amino ligands (Table 1). See Materials and Methods for details.
The conjugates of model dT7 and 20-mer hetero-oligodeoxyribonucleotide with an aminotetramethylene oxonium derivative of closo-dodecaborate (9, 17) were prepared using the same DSC-based approach (Table 1, Scheme 3A).

Table 1. Synthesized conjugates of oligonucleotides and their characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Conjugate, 5′-3′</th>
<th>RP HPLC Retention Time, min</th>
<th>Molecular Weight Calculated</th>
<th>Molecular Weight Experimental</th>
<th>Yield, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Chol4–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>13.1 (+0.5)</td>
<td>2623.2</td>
<td>2624.7</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chol4–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>18.2 (+0.8)</td>
<td>2707.0</td>
<td>2708.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Oleyl–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>12.2 (+0.6)</td>
<td>2581.9</td>
<td>2561.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Toc4–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>12.3 (+0.7)</td>
<td>2586.9</td>
<td>2567.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Toc4–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>11.1 (+0.5)</td>
<td>2687.3</td>
<td>2668.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Toc4–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>11.8 (+0.2)</td>
<td>2677.2</td>
<td>2627.0</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(Leu1)–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>12.5 (+0.9)</td>
<td>2451.9</td>
<td>2332.1</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ppy–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>15.9 (+0.3)</td>
<td>2325.7</td>
<td>2325.8</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>NH2–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>11.2 (+0.6)</td>
<td>2383.0</td>
<td>2383.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>NH2–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>10.4 (+0.8)</td>
<td>2210.6</td>
<td>2210.4</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>NH2–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>14.3 (+0.7)</td>
<td>2294.8</td>
<td>2293.4</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>CH3–CH2–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>10.8 (+0.2)</td>
<td>2194.5</td>
<td>2194.5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Ppy–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>17.0 (+7.4)</td>
<td>2427.8</td>
<td>2426.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Ppy–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>13.2 (+0.6)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Ppy–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>17.2 (+7.6)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Cy2–CH2–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>6281.2</td>
<td>6282.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>(Oleyl–NH–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>6300.4</td>
<td>6300.2</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>(Leu1)–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>7221.7</td>
<td>7222.4</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Chol4–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>7394.2</td>
<td>7393.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Chol4–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>7549.6</td>
<td>7551.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Chol4–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>7722.5</td>
<td>7722.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Chol4–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>7629.5</td>
<td>7631.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Chol4–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>9792.1</td>
<td>9794.3</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Chol4–NH–(C–O–Water)–dT(TTTTTT)</td>
<td>6074.2</td>
<td>6076.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 For the RP HPLC conditions, see Materials and Methods. The difference from retention time for d(TTTTTT) (9.6 min) is given in the brackets. 2 Obtained by MALDI-TOF or ESI mass spectrometry. 3 Calculated based on molar amount of the first nucleotide attached to the polymer support (CPC). 4 Not available, characterized by PAGE only. 5 Not determined. Chol4–NH–, cholesteryl-6-aminohexylcarbamate residue; Chol4–NH–, cholesteryl-12-aminodecanoylcarbamate residue; Oleyl–NH–, oleylamine residue; (Leu1)–NH–, trileucine residue; Ppy–NH–, pyrenemethylamine residue; EstL4–NH–, esteryl-6-aminohexylcarbamate residue; Toc4–NH–, a-tocopheryl-6-aminohexylcarbamate residue; Fol4–NH–, folate-γ-aminodecanoylcarbamate residue; NH2–L4–NH–, 2-(6-aminohexylcarbamate)–NH2–L4–NH–, 2-(6-aminohexylcarbamate)–NH2–L4–NH–, propargylamine residue; Ppy–, N(2-hydroxyethyl)phenazinium (Supplementary Figure S2); Cy2L3, cyanine Cy3 with 1,2,3-triazole linker (Supplementary Figure S3); c-β2–NH–, closo-dodecaborate residue; N, ribonucleotide; N, 2′-O-methylribonucleotide; d(N), deoxyribonucleotide.

Conjugates of anti-mdr1 siRNA sense strand [36,37] with trileucine (19) and cholesteryl-6-aminohexylcarbamate (20) were also successfully synthesized in optimal conditions (Table 1, Scheme 3A). Moreover, the proposed approach allows, when necessary, to lengthen the spacer between the oligonucleotide and the ligand by several successive activation/addition solid-phase reactions through DSC. This possibility was demonstrated by using amino alcohols of various structures to obtain the cognate conjugates (13,23,25) (Table 1, Scheme 3B).

We have also demonstrated the possibility of applying the DSC-based approach for the activation of hydroxyl of non-nucleotidic biolabile linkers introduced upon oligonucleotide solid-phase synthesis. For this purpose, commercially available disulfide-containing phosphoramidite (Thiol-Modifier) was used for the synthesis of 5′-S-S-modified anti-mdr1 siRNA sense strand with subsequent activation of hydroxyl of Thiol-Modifier by DSC and coupling with trileucine (conjugate (21)) or cholesteryl-6-aminohexylcarbamate (conjugate (22)) (Table 1, Scheme 3C).

3 Discussion

In the present work, we have proposed and validated the novel method of solid-phase synthesis of oligonucleotide conjugates with different amino ligands. The approach is based on the activation of the free 5′-hydroxyl of protected polymer-bound oligo-nucleotide obtained by an automated oligonucleotide synthesis with N,N′-disuccinimidyld carbonate (DSC), followed by the interaction with amino-containing ligands and standard deprotection steps (Scheme 1).
We demonstrated the proof of principle for our approach on a wide range of amino-modified bioactive and functional ligands that are interesting from a practical point of view (lipophilic molecules, short peptides, fluorophores, etc.) (Table 1, Figure 2).

![Diagram of possible applications of the proposed solid-phase approach for the synthesis of 5'-conjugates of oligonucleotides.](image)

**Figure 2.** Possible applications of the proposed solid-phase approach for the synthesis of 5'-conjugates of oligonucleotides.

For example, we employed this approach to conjugate oligonucleotides with different types of amino ligands which facilitate the cell penetration, such as lipophilic molecules (cholesterol, estrone, oleylamine, α-tocopherol, folic acid) and short peptides (trileucine) (Table 1, Scheme 3A, (1–7), (18–20)). Of note, the developed DSC-based solid-phase approach is especially convenient for the synthesis of oligonucleotide conjugates bearing highly hydrophobic residues since there is no need to seek the solvents that accommodate both extreme lipophiles and hydrophilic oligonucleotides.

The attachment of trileucine improves the intracellular delivery and endosomal escape of oligonucleotides [38,39]. In the case of peptide attachment, it is necessary to take into account the possibility of reaction with side-chain amino groups and peptide damage upon exposure to the harsh basic deprotection conditions used for removing the protective groups of the oligonucleotides. Additionally, peptides should be stable during the 2'-deprotection in the case of peptide conjugates of oligoribonucleotides. Here, we used trileucine since it is stable upon basic treatment and 2'-deprotection of oligoribonucleotides, and does not contain side-chain amino groups.

The key point upon the design of oligonucleotide therapeutics and probes is the proper choice of linker between the oligonucleotide and functional moiety, such as delivery agent, fluorophore, or other reporter groups [8,18,40,41]. Our method permits varying the size and structure of the linker to optimize its length and stability for the particular task. To illustrate this possibility, we synthesized a series of 5'-modified sense strands of anti-mdrl siRNA bearing trileucine or cholesterol attached either directly to the RNA (conjugates (19, 20), Scheme 3A) or via stable and biolabile linkers (conjugates (23) and (21, 22), respectively, Scheme 3B,C). The DSC-based method makes it possible to perform several successive
activation/addition solid-phase reactions with different amino ligands without isolation of intermediate derivatives. For example, we increased the length of stable linker within the 5′-cholesterol conjugate of the sense strand of siRNA (20) by the sequential attachment of 12-aminododecan-1-ol to the 5′-hydroxyl of RNA through DSC activation and the reaction with cholesteryl-6-aminocarbamate after the additional DSC activation of the linker’s hydroxyl (conjugate (23), Scheme 3B). A biolabile disulfide-containing linker (LSSL) was incorporated into siRNA strand at the final stage of solid-phase oligonucleotide synthesis through corresponding non-nucleotidic phosphoramidite with subsequent activation of the hydroxyl group of the linker by DSC and interaction with trileucine or cholesteryl-6-aminocarbamate, giving conjugate (21) or conjugate (22) respectively (Scheme 3C).

The developed method for 5′-conjugation was also successfully employed for the synthesis of fluorescently labeled oligonucleotides as hybridization probes for nucleic acid detection or visualization. A hydrophobic fluorophore pyrene was attached to model dT7 and oligo(2′-O-methylribonucleotide) either directly as pyrenemethylamine (Scheme 3A for (8, 24)) or through the introduction of aminopropanol as a linker (Scheme 3B for (13, 25)).

The incorporation of boron clusters into nucleic acids appears promising for the creation of new boron delivery agents for boron neutron capture therapy (BNCT) capable of specific interaction with nucleic acids inside the cells [42]. Several methods were developed for modification of oligonucleotides with boron clusters attached to internal positions of an oligonucleotide chain, such as sugar residues, nucleobases, or phosphorus atoms of the internucleotide linkages [14,43]. The reported methods for the synthesis of oligonucleotides modified with borane clusters include a post-synthetic “click”-chemistry approach based on Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition (see, e.g., [44]) and an H-phosphonate or phosphoramidite solid-phase approach using modified nucleotide monomers (see, e.g., [45]). The DSC-based method developed in this study represents an efficient alternative to currently used approaches and permits attachment of a boron cluster to the oligonucleotide terminus. The attachment of amino-modified closo-dodecaborates to the 5′-terminus of oligonucleotides gives the cognate boron-containing conjugates (9, 17) (Scheme 3A). It can be expected that the introduction of closo-dodecaborates to the 5′-terminus would not significantly disturb the complementary interactions of modified oligonucleotide with nucleic acid targets and their secondary structure, in contrast to the internal modifications mentioned above.

5′-Amino- and 5′-alkyne-modified oligonucleotides are a well-known platform for the preparation of different conjugates. The proposed method is quite versatile for obtaining 5′-amino- and 5′-alkyne-modified oligonucleotides using diamines or aminoalkynes of different length and structure. This possibility was validated through the model synthesis of aliphatic diamine-modified oligonucleotides (10, 11) or propargylamine-modified oligonucleotide (12) in the presence of DSC (Scheme 3A) followed by well-known reactions with N-(2-hydroxyethyl)phenazinium chloride or Cy3 azide (Supplementary, Figures S2 and S3, respectively).

Therefore, the developed approach is highly versatile in relation both to oligonucleotide nature and functional ligand type, and can be applied to the synthesis of functional RNAs, DNAs, and their analogs to be decorated by ligands of interest depending on the particular research task.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Chemicals and Reagents

A controlled pore glass support (CPG) derivatized with 2′-O-TBDMS-G, 2′-O-methyl-G, deoxycytosine (dC) or deoxythymidine (dT), 5′,N-protected 2′-O-methylribo- (A, C, G or U), 2′-O-TBDMS-ribo (A, C, G or U) and deoxyribo (dA, dC, dG or dT) phosphoramidites, 1-O-dimethoxytrityl-hexyl-disulfide,1′-[2-cyanoethyl]-(N,N-diisopropyl)-phosphoramidite (Thiol-Modifier C6 S–S) were purchased from Glen Research Inc (Sterling, VA, USA). (Pyrene-1-yl-methyl)amine hydrochloride, N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA), trileucine, α-tocopherol, propargylamine, hexamethylenediamine, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), N,N′-disuccinimidyl carbonate (DSC), cholesterol.
chloroformate, folic acid, oleylamine were purchased from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium), Cy3-azide, 10 mM Cu(II)-TBA Stock in 55% DMSO, ascorbic acid were purchased from Lumiprobe (Russia), dodecamethylenediamine was from Fluka (St. Louis, MO, USA), 12-amino-1-dodecanol was from TCI Chemicals (India), and sodium dodecaborate Na$_2$[B$_{12}$H$_{12}$] was from AviaBor (Dzerzhinsk, Russia). N-(2-hydroxyethyl)phenazinium chloride was obtained in ICBFM SB RAS as described in previous work [44].

All solvents (THF, DMSO, CH$_3$CN (various vendors)) were dried by 3 Å molecular sieves or by distillation and stored over CaH$_2$. Kieselgel F254 thin-layer chromatography plates were purchased from Merck (Kenilworth, NJ, USA).

4.2. Physical Measurements

$^1$H-NMR spectra of the compounds were measured with CDCl$_3$, d-DMSO, or acetone-d$_6$ as solvents using AVANCE III 400 NMR spectrometer (Bruker Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA).

Mass spectra were recorded using a MALDI-TOF Autoflex Speed mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA, USA) or Agilent G6410A LC-MS/MS Instrument (Agilent Technologies, CIIIA).

The optical densities of the solutions of oligonucleotide conjugates were measured using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

4.3. Synthesis of Polymer-Bound Oligonucleotides

Oligodeoxyribonucleotides, oligo(2′-O-methylribonucleotide), oligo(ribo-/2′-O-methylribo)nucleotides and their 5′-S-S-derivative were synthesized on an automatic ASM-800 synthesizer at 0.4 mmol scale using solid-phase phosphoramidite synthesis protocols [46] optimized for the instrument, with a 3 min coupling step for deoxy-phosphoramidites (0.05 M in CH$_3$CN), 10 min coupling step for 2′-O-TBDMS-protected phosphoramidites (0.1 M in CH$_3$CN), 6 min coupling step for 2′-O-methyl-phosphoramidites (0.05 M in CH$_3$CN), 10 min coupling step for Thiol-Modifier C6 S–S phosphoramidites (0.1 M in CH$_3$CN) and 5-ethylthio-1H-tetrazole (0.25 M in CH$_3$CN) as an activating agent. A mixture of acetic anhydride with 2,6-lutidine in THF and N-methylimidazole in THF were utilized as capping reagents. The oxidizing agent was 0.02 M iodine in pyridine/water/THF (1/9/90). Dichloroacetic acid (3%) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ was used as a detritylation reagent. Polymer-bound DMTr-off oligonucleotides were used for solid-phase conjugation.

4.4. Preparation of Amino Compounds

Cholesteryl-6-aminohexylcarbamate (I) and cholesteryl-12-aminododecanylcarbamate (II) were obtained by analogy with previous work [30]. Diamine (8 mmol) and triethylamine (30 µL, 2 µmol) were dissolved in 7.5 mL of dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C. The solution of cholesteryl chloroformate (2 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 mL) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture and stirred overnight at r.t. The reaction was monitored by TLC (10% EtOH in CH$_2$Cl$_2$). After that, the mixture was filtered, the filtrate was washed with water 5 times, dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$, and evaporated in vacuum to dryness. The products were isolated by column chromatography and evaporated to dryness. The yields were 72 and 45%, respectively.

Cholesteryl-6-aminohexylcarbamate (I). $^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): 5.34 (s, 1H, alkenyl), 4.60 (s, 1H, COONH), 4.47 (m, 1H, oxycyclohexyl), 3.24–3.26 (q, 2H, NHCH$_2$), 2.75–2.86 (t, 2H, NH$_2$CH$_2$), 1.22 (m, 10H, (CH$_2$)$_4$), 0.64–1.63 (m, 45H, cholesteryl protons).

Cholesteryl-12-aminododecanylcarbamate (II). $^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): 5.4 (s, 1H, alkenyl), 4.88 (s, 1H, COONH), 4.51 (m, 1H, oxycyclohexyl), 3.35 (q, 2H, NHCH$_2$), 2.35 (t, 2H, NH$_2$CH$_2$), 0.69–1.43 (m, 45H, cholesteryl protons).

$\alpha$-Tocopheryl-6-aminohexylcarbamate (III) and estronyl-6-aminohexylcarbamate (IV) were prepared as in previous works [31,32]. $\alpha$-Tocopherol or estrone (1 mmol) was dissolved in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 mL) with subsequent adding of triethylamine (0.42 mL, 3 mmol) and solution of N,N′-disuccinimidyl carbonate (0.38 g, 1.5 mmol) in 3 mL of CH$_3$CN. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at r.t. After that, the reaction mixture was washed by the saturated water solution of NaHCO$_3$, dried over...
anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$, and evaporated in vacuum to dryness. These intermediates were used in the next step without any additional purification. Hexamethylenediamine (0.35 g, 3 mmol) was dissolved in 3 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Succinimidyld carbonates (1 mmol) obtained on the previous step were dissolved in 3 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and dropwise added to the diamine solution at 0 °C. Reaction mixtures were stirred during 16 h at r.t., evaporated in vacuum to dryness, then redissolved in 50 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$, washed twice by saturated water solution of NaHCO$_3$, then with water 5 times, dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$, and evaporated in vacuum to dryness. The products were isolated by column chromatography. The yields were 80 and 65%, respectively.

α-Tocopheryl-6-aminohexylcarbamate (III). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): 5.09 (t, 1H, –NH–), 3.27 (dd, 2H, –CH$_2$–NH–), 2.58 (t, 2H, 4,4-H, α-tocopherol), 2.37 (t, 2H, –CH$_2$–COO), 2.08 (s, 3H, –CH$_3$, α-tocopherol), 2.06 (s, 3H, –CH$_3$, α-tocopherol), 2.02 (s, 3H, –CH$_3$, α-tocopherol).

Estronyl-6-aminohexylcarbamate (IV). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): 7.15 (d, 1H, estrone), 6.8 (d, 1H, estrone), 6.72 (d, 1H, estrone), 3.25 (t, 1H, –NH–), 3.13 (dd, 2H, –CH$_2$–), 2.15 (s, 3H, –CH$_3$, estrone).

Folate-6-aminohexylcarbamate (V) was obtained by analogy with previous work [33]. Folic acid (320 mg, 0.62 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and dried in vacuum. The yield was 68%. 1H-NMR (400 MHz, d-DMSO, ppm) 8.63 (s, 1H, pterin), 7.98 (m, 1H), 7.56–7.67 (m, 2H, aromatic), 6.93 (m, 3H), 6.57–6.63 (m, 2H, aromatic), 4.48 (bs, 2H, benzyllic), 4.20–4.30 (m, 1H), 2.97–3.01 (m, 6H, –CH$_2$–), 2.72–2.76 (m, 2H, –CH$_2$–), 2.33 (m, 4H, glutamic moiety).

Bis-tetrabutylammonium (4-aminobutoxy)-undecahydro-closo-dodecaborate (VI) was obtained according to [34,35]. BF$_3$·OEt$_2$O (0.7 mL, 0.78 g, 5.5 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 0.95 g (5.0 mmol) Na$_2$(B$_{12}$H$_{12}$) in 25 mL of dry THF and the reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at r.t. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the solvent was distilled off from the filtrate. The residue was dissolved in 70 mL water and treated with a solution of 3.22 g (10.0 mmol) Bu$_4$NB in water. The precipitate formed was filtered off, dried in air, and recrystallized from methanol, giving the tetrahydrofurane-closo-dodecaborate complex as a crystalline product in 84% yield. 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): 4.52 (4H, dt, –O(CH$_2$CH$_2$)$_2$), 3.21 (8H, m, Bu$_4$N$^+$–), 2.15 (4H, dt, –O(CH$_2$CH$_2$)$_2$), 1.62 (8H, m, Bu$_4$N$^+$–), 1.44 (8H, q, Bu$_4$N$^+$–), 0.98 (12H, t, Bu$_4$N$^+$–).

A solution of 25% NH$_3$ aq. (4 mL, 105 mmol) was added to tetrahydrofurane-closo-dodecaborate complex (1 g, 2.2 mmol) in CH$_3$CN (8 mL). The mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 11 h, cooled to room temperature and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The corresponding ring-opening product was obtained as a white solid.

The resulting product was suspended in MeOH and 10% (v/v) tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (TBAOH) in MeOH (6.73 mL, 2.54 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred until the white solid was dissolved. After removing the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and washed with water 3 times. The CH$_2$Cl$_2$ phase was dried over MgSO$_4$ and concentrated to give amine as a white solid (1.4 g, 1.95 mmol, 89%). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, acetone-d$_6$, ppm): 3.60 (2H, t, –CH$_2$OB$_2$); 3.42 (16H, m, N$^+$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$); 3.08 (2H, m, –CH$_2$NH$_2$); 1.79 (20 H, m, OCH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$N and N$^+$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$); 1.43 (16H, m, N$^+$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$); 0.97 (24H, t, N$^+$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$).

4.5. Solid-Phase Synthesis of Oligonucleotide Conjugates

A) Synthesis of conjugates (1–12, 17–22, 24) (see Scheme 3A,C): N,N$^′$-disuccinimidyl carbonate (6.5 mg, 25 µmol) in a mixture of CH$_3$CN (270 µL) and DIPEA (30 µL) was added to the dried polymer-bound DMT$_{10}$-off oligonucleotides or 5′-thiol-modified oligonucleotide (0.25–0.5 µmol).
The mixture was stirred (1500 rpm) for 1 h at 37 °C. Then the solution was replaced by freshly prepared DSC solution of the same concentration and stirred for another 30 min. The procedure was repeated twice. After that, the solution was removed, and freshly prepared solution of amino ligand (12 µmol) in 200 µL mixture of an optimal solvent (THF, CH$_3$CN, DMSO, see Table S1) and DIPEA (10%, v/v) was added and stirred at 37 °C. After 1 h, the second portion of the amino ligand solution with the same concentration was added to the polymer-bound oligonucleotide. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at 37 °C. Then, the solution was removed, the polymer was washed twice by 300 µL of a suitable solvent (THF, CH$_3$CN, DMSO), twice by 300 µL acetone, and dried in air.

B) Synthesis of conjugates (13, 23, 25) (see Scheme 3B): N,N′-disuccinimidyl carbonate (6.5 mg, 25 µmol) in the mixture of CH$_3$CN (270 µL) and DIPEA (30 µL) was added to the dried polymer-bound DMTr-off oligonucleotide (0.25–0.5 µmol). The mixture was stirred (1500 rpm) for 1 h at 37 °C. Then, the solution was replaced by freshly prepared DSC solution with the same concentration and stirred for another 30 min. The procedure was repeated twice. After that, the solution was removed, and freshly prepared solution of amino alcohol (12 µmol) in 200 µL mixture of DMSO and DIPEA (10%, v/v) was added and stirred at 37 °C. After 1 h, the second portion of amino alcohol solution with the same concentration was added to the polymer-bound oligonucleotide. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at 37 °C. The solution was removed, the polymer was washed twice by DMSO (300 µL), twice by acetone (300 µL), and dried in air. The subsequent introduction of pyrenemethylamine or cholesteryl-6-aminohexylcarbamate was fulfilled as described above (4.5.A).

4.6. Deprotection and Isolation of the Conjugates

The oligonucleotide conjugates were cleaved from the support and deprotected by 40% methylamine in the water at 65 °C for 15 min. 2′-O-TBDMS groups were removed upon treatment with a mixture of NMP/TEA-3HF/TEA (150/100/75) at 65 °C for 1.5 h. Deprotected conjugates were isolated by 12% denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), followed by elution from the gel with 0.3 M NaClO$_4$ solution, desalted with Amicon Ultra 3K (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA) or Sep-Pak C18 cartridge (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) and precipitated as sodium salts. The purified oligonucleotide conjugates were characterized by RP-HPLC and mass spectrometry (Table 1). Unmodified control oligonucleotides were deblocked in the same way.

4.7. Synthesis of N-(2-Hydroxyethyl)phenazinium Conjugates (14, 15)

The N-(2-hydroxyethyl)phenazinium derivatives (14, 15) were obtained by analogy with previous work [47]. The solution of the N-(2-hydroxyethyl)phenazinium chloride (0.05 M, 80 µL) in 0.1 M Na$_2$CO$_3$ was added to the amino-modified oligonucleotides (10,11) (Na$^+$/salts). The mixture was incubated for 10 min, precipitated with 2% solution of NaClO$_4$ in acetone, washed by acetone, and dried in air. The reaction mixture was dissolved and analyzed using RP HPLC (Supplementary, Figure S2). The values for degree of oligonucleotide conversion to the conjugates were about 85–95% according to the HPLC data.

4.8. Synthesis of Cy3 Conjugate (16)

Triethylammonium acetate buffer (pH 7.0), 10 mM Cy3-azide in DMSO, 5 mM ascorbic acid solution in water and 10 mM Cu(II)-TBTA stock in 55% DMSO were added to the water solution of 5′-alkyne-modified oligonucleotide (12) (25 nmol) according to the protocol of click reagent supplier (Lumiprobe, Moscow, Russia). The reaction mixture was incubated at r.t. overnight. The oligonucleotide conjugate was precipitated with 2% NaClO$_4$ in acetone and washed with acetone. The pellet was dried, dissolved in water, and analyzed by gel electrophoresis (Supplementary Figure S3). The conversion of the oligonucleotide to the conjugate was almost quantitative according to the PAGE data.
4.9. RP HPLC Analysis of the Oligonucleotide Conjugates

Reversed-phase HPLC (RP-HPLC) analysis of the oligonucleotides and their conjugates was performed on an Alphachrom A-02 high performance liquid chromatograph (EcoNova, Novosibirsk, Russia) with the use of ProntoSil-120-5-C18 AQ (75 × 2.0 mm, 5.0 µm) column, applying a gradient elution from 0% to 50% (20 min) of CH₃CN in 0.02 M triethylammonium acetate buffer, pH 7.0 at a flow rate 100 µL per min, and detection at 260 and 280 nm (345 nm for the pyrene conjugate).

5. Conclusions

Covalent conjugation of nucleic acids with different types of ligands is a powerful strategy to improve their chemical or biological properties or to confer them a complex of new characteristics during the development of new tools for synthetic biology, biomedicine, or bionanotechnology. Here, we present a novel convenient approach to the solid-phase 5′-functionalization of oligonucleotides, which relies on the activation of 5′-hydroxyl of protected polymer-bound oligonucleotide by N,N′-disuccinimidyl carbonate and subsequent interaction with an amino ligand. The versatility of the method was validated by conjugation of oligonucleotides with ligands of various structure and functionality, including lipophilic molecules, peptides, fluorophores, boron clusters, and diamines, introduced via short or long linkers, both stable or biolabile. The developed approach was successfully employed for 5′-functionalization of DNA, RNA, and 2′-O-methyl RNA, and it may be suggested that it is also suitable for conjugates of other types of NA analogs.

Taken together, our results confirm the useful contribution of this method in the design of the diversity of functional NA conjugates.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/24/23/4266/s1, Figure S1: Electrophoretic analysis of reaction mixtures upon solid-phase conjugation; Figure S2: Functionalization of 5′-diamo-modified oligonucleotide (11) with N-(2-hydroxyethyl)phenazinium chloride; Figure S3: Attachment of Cy3-fluorophore to 5′-alkyne-modified oligonucleotide (14) using “click”-chemistry reaction; Figure S4: Representative mass spectra of the 5′-conjugates of oligonucleotides; Table S1: Amino ligands for solid-phase attachment to oligonucleotides using DSC activation and selected optimal solvents for this reaction.
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