Supplementary File

Theoretical Study of Zirconium Isomorphous Substitution into Zeolite Frameworks

Duichun Li¹, Bin Xing¹, Baojun Wang^{2,*} and Ruifeng Li^{1,*}

- ¹ College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Taiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan 030024, China; liduichun1985@163.com (D.L.); xingbin@tyut.edu.cn (B.X.)
- ² Key Laboratory of Coal Science and Technology of Ministry of Education and Shanxi Province, Taiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan 030024, China
- * Correspondence: wangbaojun@tyut.edu.cn (B.W.); rfli@tyut.edu.cn (R.L.)

Contents:

Equations	2
The substitution energies (E _{sub})	2
The deprotonation energies (E_DPE)	2
The electrostatic potential (v)	2
The structural distortion (Θ and Ω)	3
-igures	4
Figure S1. The relative energies (Δ E/eV) of different T site for Zr atom substitution in the SO	D
framework with Si/Al ratio from 11 to 1	4
Figure S2. The most stable configurations of a) one, b) two, c) three, d) four, e) five and f) s	ix
Zr atoms substitution on the basis of SOD framework with Si/AI=11, Zirconium (Blue-green).	.5
Figure S3. The most stable configurations of a) one, b) two, c) three, d) four, e) five and f) s	ix
Zr atoms substitution on the basis of SOD framework with Si/Al = 5 \sim 1	7
Figure S4. The most stable configurations of AIPO-34-Zr ₁₋₆	8
Figure S5. The most stable configurations of SAPO-34- $Zr_{1\sim6}$	9

1. Equations

1.1. Substitution Energies (Esub)

Because the distribution of substituted T sites in frameworks is determined by the thermodynamic stability of molecular sieve frameworks [1,2], the substitution energy (E_{sub}) is an appropriate criterion for evaluating the energetic priority for a specific T site substitution by a heteroatom. The following virtual reaction is carried out to represent the substitution process:

$$(O-Si-O)_n^{SOD} + nAl(OH)_3 + nH_2O \rightarrow (O-Al-OH)_n^{SOD} + nSi(OH)_4$$
(1)

$$(O-Si-O)_n^{SOD} + nZr(OH)_4 \rightarrow (O-Zr-O)_n^{SOD} + nSi(OH)_4$$
⁽²⁾

$$(O-P-O)_n^{AIPO/SAPO} + nZr(OH)_4 \rightarrow (O-Zr-OH)_n^{AIPO/SAPO} + nH_3PO_4$$
(3)

Also, one can define the substitution energies (Esub) as follows [3,4]:

$$E_{sub-nAl} = E_{(O-Al-OH)_n^{SOD}} + nE_{Si(OH)_4} - E_{(O-Si-O)_n^{SOD}} - nE_{Al(OH)_3} - nE_{H_2O}$$
(4)

$$E_{sub-nZr} = E_{(O-Zr-O)_n^{SOD}} + nE_{Si(OH)_4} - E_{(O-Si-O)_n^{SOD}} - nE_{Zr(OH)_4}$$
(5)

$$E_{sub-nZr} = E_{(O-Zr-OH)_n^{AlPO/SAPO}} + nE_{H_3PO_4} - E_{(O-P-O)_n^{AlPO/SAPO}} - nE_{Zr(OH)_4}$$
(6)

where $E_{sub-nAl/Zr}$ corresponds to the substitution energies of the optimized SOD, AIPO-34, and SAPO-34 framework substituted by Al or Zr atoms; $E_{(O-Si-O)_n^{SOD}}$ and $E_{(O-P-O)_n^{AIPO/SAPO}}$ are the energies of the SOD, AIPO-34, SAPO-34 frameworks, respectively; $E_{(O-Zr-O)_n^{SOD}}$ and $E_{(O-Zr-OP)_n^{AIPO/SAPO}}$ are the energies of the corresponding Zr-substituted SOD, AIPO-34, and SAPO-34 frameworks, respectively. $E_{Si(OH)_4/Zr(OH)_4/H_3PO_4}$ is the energy of the virtual complexes.

The average substitution energy (E_{av-sub}) is used in this work to compare the difficulty of substitutions with different Zr content in the framework

$$E_{av-sub} = E_{sub}/n \tag{7}$$

1.2. Deprotonation Energies (EDPE)

The deprotonation energy (EDPE) is defined as follows [5]:

$$(O-Al-OH)^{SOD}/(O-Zr/Si-OH)^{AlPO/SAPO} \rightarrow (O-Al-O^{-})^{SOD}/(O-Zr/Si-O^{-})^{AlPO/SAPO} + H^{+}$$

$$E_{DPE} = E_{(O-Al-O^{-})^{SOD}/(O-Zr/Si-O^{-})^{AlPO/SAPO}} + E_{H^{+}} - E_{(O-Al-OH)^{SOD}/(O-Zr/Si-OH)^{AlPO/SAPO}}$$
(8)

where $E_{(O-Al-O^-)^{SOD}/(O-Zr/Si-O^-)^{AlPO/SAPO}}$, E_{H^+} , and $E_{(O-Al-OH)^{SOD}/(O-Zr/Si-OH)^{AlPO/SAPO}}$ are the respective energies of the framework anion after deprotonation, the gaseous proton, and the neutral protonated form of the molecular sieve.

1.3. Electrostatic Potential (v)

 ν is calculated as:

$$\nu = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{q \times q_i}{r_i} = A \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{q \times q_i}{r_i}$$
(9)

where ε is the electrostatic constant, q and qi are the charges (|e|) of the Al atom and neighboring O atoms, and ri is the bond length (Å) of the four Al-O bonds. In this work, we mainly consider the relative value of v, and thus the $\frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon}$, q and ri are contributed to A.

1.4. Structural Distortion (Θ and Ω)

The local structural perturbations of $[TO_4]$ (T = Al, Zr) from a regular tetrahedron using the root mean square deviation parameter (Θ), which is defined as [6,7]:

$$\Theta = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{6} (\alpha_i - \overline{\alpha})^2}$$
(10)

where α_i represents the ith Θ (O-T-O) angle and $\bar{\alpha}$ is the average of the six Θ (O-T-O) angles. The Θ is not a direct measure of the distortion caused by the incorporation of heteroatoms into the framework positions of zeolites [8]. The changes in local [TO₄] geometries caused by the heteroatom substitution can be quantified using the parameter Ω_r , which is defined as [8]:

$$\Omega_{S_i \to Al} = \left(\Theta_{Al} - \Theta_{S_i}\right) / \Theta_{S_i} \tag{11}$$

$$\Omega_{S_i \to Z_r} = \left(\Theta_{Z_r} - \Theta_{S_i}\right) / \Theta_{S_i} \tag{12}$$

$$\Omega_{P \to Zr} = \left(\Theta_{Zr} - \Theta_{P}\right) / \Theta_{P} \tag{13}$$

where Θ_{Al} , Θ_{Zr} , Θ_{Si} , and Θ_P are the root mean square deviations from regular tetrahedron for the Al/Zr atom substitution in SOD, AlPO-34, and SAPO-34 frameworks.

2. Figures



Figure S1. The relative energies ($\Delta E/eV$) of different T site for Zr atom substitution in the SOD framework with Si/Al ratio from 11 to 1.

The location sites of Zr atom in the framework can refer to Figure 1 in the manuscript which shows location of Tn sites in the framework.



Figure S2. The most stable configurations of (**a**) one, (**b**) two, (**c**) three, (**d**) four, (**e**) five, and (**f**) six Zr atoms substitution on the basis of SOD framework with Si/Al = 11. Zirconium is blue-green.



The location sites of Zr atom in the framework can refer to Figure 1 in the manuscript which shows the location of Tn sites in the framework.

Si/Al = 2



Figure S3. The most stable configurations of (a) one, (b) two, (c) three, (d) four, (e) five, and (f) six Zr atoms substitution on the basis of SOD framework with $Si/Al = 5 \sim 1$.



Figure S4. The most stable configurations of AlPO-34- Zr_{1-6} .



Figure S5. The most stable configurations of SAPO-34-Zr₁₋₆.

References

- Deka, R.C.; Nasluzov, V.A.; Ivanova Shor, E.A.; Shor, A.M.; Vayssilov, G.N.; Rösch, N. Comparison of All Sites for Ti Substitution in Zeolite TS-1 by an Accurate Embedded-Cluster Method. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2005, 109, 24304–24310.
- 2. Chatterjee, A.; Mizukami, F. Location and role of exchangeable cations in zeolite catalysis: A first principle study. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2004**, *385*, 20–24.
- 3. Dong, J.; Zhu, H.; Xiang, Y.; Wang, Y.; An, P.; Gong, Y.; Liang, Y.; Qiu, L.; Zheng, A.; Peng, X.; et al. Toward a unified identification of Ti location in the MFI framework of high-Ti-loaded TS-1: Combined EXAFS, XANES, and DFT Study. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120*, 20114–20124.
- 4. Xing, B.; Ma, J.; Li, R.; Jiao, H. Location, distribution and acidity of Al substitution in ZSM-5 with different Si/Al ratios a periodic DFT computation. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *7*, 5694–5708.
- 5. Jones, A.J.; Iglesia, E. The Strength of Brønsted Acid Sites in Microporous Aluminosilicates. *ACS Catal.* **2015**, *5*, 5741–5755.
- 6. Gamba, A.; Tabacchi, G.; Fois, E. TS-1 from First Principles. J. Phys. Chem. A 2009, 113, 15006–15015.
- Yang, G.; Wang, Y.; Zhou, D.; Liu, X.; Han, X.; Bao, X. Density functional theory calculations on various M/ZSM-5 zeolites: Interaction with probe molecule H2O and relative hydrothermal stability predicted by binding energies. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2005, 237, 36–44.
- 8. Montejo-Valencia, B.D.; Curet-Arana, M.C. DFT Study of the Lewis Acidities and Relative Hydrothermal Stabilities of BEC and BEA Zeolites Substituted with Ti, Sn, and Ge. J. Phys. Chem. C 2015, 119, 4148–4157.