Anti-Influenza Virus Activity and Chemical Components from the Parasitic Plant *Cuscuta japonica* Choisy on *Dimocarpus longans* Lour.
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**Abstract:** Dodder (*Cuscuta* spp.) is a parasitic weed damaging many plants and agricultural production. The native obligate parasite *Cuscuta japonica* Choisy (Japanese dodder) parasitizes *Dimocarpus longans* Lour., *Ficus septica* Burm. F., *Ficus microcarpa* L.f., *Mikania micrantha* H.B.K. and *Melia azedarach* Linn, respectively. Five Japanese dodders growing on different plants exhibit slightly different metabolites and amounts which present different pharmacological effects. Among these plants, a significant antiviral activity against influenza A virus (IAV) was found in Japanese dodder parasitizing on *D. longans* Lour. (CL). To further explore methanol extract components in Japanese dodder (CL), four undescribed aromatic glycosides, cuscutasides A–D (compounds 1–4) were isolated, together with twenty-six known compounds 5–30. The chemical structures of 1–4 were elucidated using a combination of spectroscopic techniques. The eighteen isolated compounds were evaluated for antiviral activity against IAV activity. Among them, 1-monopalmitin (29) displayed potent activity against influenza A virus (A/WSN/1933(H1N1)) with EC$_{50}$ 2.28 ± 0.04 µM and without noteworthy cytotoxicity in MDCK cells. The interrupt step of 29 on the IAV life cycle was determined. These data provide invaluable information for new applications for this otherwise harmful weed.
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1. Introduction

Influenza A virus (IAV) is a highly contagious, epidemic etiology respiratory illness that has affected 3–5 million population annually worldwide with high mortality and morbidity [1]. This virus has developed multiple strategies to evade host immune defenses including continuous genetic changes through mutation and re-assortment [2]. The influenza viral life-cycle is well known. The viral ion channel protein M2 and viral releasing neuraminidase are the clinical therapeutic targets present time. However, most currently circulating IAV’s are resistant to M2 inhibitors, neuraminidase inhibitors are the only class of recommended anti-IAV treatments to date [3]. It is still unmet for anti-IAV agents. Plants have long been thought a potential source of anti-viral drugs. With the development of new influenza strains resistant to current commercially antiviral drugs, a wider range of influenza antiviral compounds is needed [4].

_Cuscuta japonica_ Choisy (Japanese dodder) is a unique kind of holoparastic vine from the Convolvulaceae family. Dodder is completely dependent on the host plant for nourishment to survive, so its phytochemical constituents depend on the type of host [5]. When _Cuscuta_ sp. parasitizes a medicinal plant such as _Taxus brevifolia_ and grows thereon and can produce secondary metabolites lead to a pharmaceutical component (camptothecin) [6]. Dodder is a parasitic weed and spreads rapidly, afflicting many commercial crops, ornamentals and native plants by virtually decimating or killing them [7]. Herbicides are able to control the parasitic weed and are surely phytotoxic to the host plants. There are thus advantages in utilizing this harmful weed as a source of valuable products, including increased effective management and reduced negative impacts on the environment.

_Cuscuta_ is a genus of about 170 species of threadlike, yellowish, orange or reddish parasitic plants distributed mainly in North and South America. A few species are found in Asia and Europe. The _C. chinensis_ Lam., _C. japonica_ Choisy, and _Cuscutae_ Semen seeds are a well-known traditional medicinal material, commonly used for improving sexual function, tonifying the livers and kidneys, reducing urination, treating aches and weakness in the loins and knees, and treating pharyngitis [8]. Investigation into the phytochemistry of _Cuscuta_ spp. seeds has so far led to the isolation of many compounds, consisting of flavonoids, alkaloids, steroids, fatty acids, lignans [7], aromatics [9], resin glycosides [10] and polysaccharides [7]. These compounds exhibit a range of pharmacological effects such as hepatoprotective, anti-osteoporotic, immune regulation, neuroprotection, antioxidative, anti-aging, cytotoxic, renoprotective, reproductive system, prevention of abortion, antimutagenic effect, antidiabetic, cardioprotective, antidepressant, and anti-inflammatory activities [7]. However, the parasitic plant _C. japonica_ growing onto a plethora of different plants has only been the subject of rare studies concerning host-parasite relationships and phytochemical constituents. In this work we analyzed the chemical fingerprint in five Japanese dodders (_C. japonica_) parasitizing on different plants using HPLC, thus evidencing slight differences.

In the course of screening for anti-virus (H1N1) substances from five Japanese dodders parasitizing different plants (_Dimocarpus longans_ Lour., _Ficus septica_ Burm. F., _Ficus microcarpa_ L. f., _Mikania micrantha_ H. B. K. and _Melia azedarach_ Linn., respectively), Japanese dodder parasitizing on _D. longans_ Lour. (CL) exhibited significantly suppressed anti-virus activation. Japanese dodder (CL) was not previously studied from a phytochemical viewpoint. During research efforts to discover bioactive compounds from the methanol extract of the whole plant Japanese dodder (CL), four previously undescribed aromatic glycosides 1–4 and four known aromatic glycosides 5–8, two caffeylquinic acid derivatives 9–10, five lignans 11–15, four tropane alkaloids 16–19, two indole alkaloids 20–21, five flavonoids 22–26, two aromatic compounds 27–28, and two fatty acids 29–30 were obtained. Eighteen of the isolated compounds were subjected to anti-IAV activity assays and the effective compound that interrupted the IAV life cycle was determined.
2. Results

The chemical constituent patterns of five Japanese dodder parasitizing on different plants, *D. longans* Lour. (CL), *F. septica* Burm. f. (CF), *F. microcarpa* L. f. (CM), *M. micrantha* H. B. K. (CMA), and *M. azedarach* Linn. (CMA), respectively, detected by HPLC-DAD analyses were slightly different (Figure 1). The MeOH extract (100 µg/mL) of Japanese dodder parasitizing on *D. longans* Lour. (CL) showed anti-IAV activity with no cytotoxicity in Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells (Figure 2a). Among them, the most active extract from Japanese dodder (CL) was further subjected to solvent partitioning and three layers were obtained, including ethyl acetate (CLE), *g*-butanol (CLB), and water layers (CLW). The CLE further showed stronger anti-IAV activity at 50 µg/mL without cytotoxicity against MDCK cells at 100 µg/mL (Figure 2b). To understand the source of the active compound in the CLE extract, we were isolated by employing diaion HP-20, Sephadex LH-20, silica gel, and C_{18} column chromatography and further purified by RP-HPLC to obtain 30 compounds, including four new compounds 1-4 (Figure 3) and twenty-six known compounds.

![Figure 1. HPLC-DAD chromatogram of five Japanese dodder parasitizing on different plants, *D. longans* Lour. (CD), *F. septica* Burm. f. (CF), *F. microcarpa* L. f. (CM), *M. micrantha* H. B. K. (CMA), and *M. azedarach* Linn (CMA), respectively. Wavelengths: 254 nm (a) and 280 (b) nm.](image)

2.1. Structural Elucidation of New Compounds

Compound 1 was isolated as a white amorphous solid. Its molecular formula was deduced to be C_{21}H_{22}O_{10} from the analysis of its $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum and the HRESIMS molecular ion peak at *m/z* 433.1123 [M – H]^−, calculated for 433.1129, corresponding to 11 degrees of unsaturation. The UV absorption maxima at $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of 207 and 309 nm implied the presence of a conjugated chromophore, while the IR spectrum exhibited absorption bands due to a hydroxyl (3361 cm$^{-1}$), a conjugated carbonyl (1693 cm$^{-1}$), and an 1,4-disubstituent aromatic ring (1604, 1514 and 831 cm$^{-1}$). The $^{1}$H-NMR spectrum of 1 (Table 1) displayed downfield signals of 1,4-disubstituted aromatic protons at $\delta_{H}$ 7.56 (2H, d, $J$ = 8.5 Hz, H-2''/6'') and 6.79 (2H, d, $J$ = 8.5 Hz, H-3''/5''), and a pair of trans olefinic protons at $\delta_{H}$ 7.60 (1H, d, $J$ = 16.0 Hz, H-7'') and 6.37 (1H, d, $J$ = 16.0 Hz, H-8'') for the trans $p$-coumaroyl moiety, along with an anemic proton at $\delta_{H}$ 5.05 (1H, d, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, H-1) which resonances with one di-oxygenated carbon at $\delta_{C}$ 100.9 for a sugar moiety. The $^{13}$C-NMR (Table 2) and DEPT spectra of 1 showed the obvious resonances for 10 carbons, which were resolved as four aromatic methine C-2''/C-6'' ($\delta_{C}$ 131.5 × 2) and C-3''/C-5'' ($\delta_{C}$ 116.9 × 2), two aromatic quaternary C-1'' ($\delta_{C}$ 127.3) and C-4'' ($\delta_{C}$ 161.5), two olefinic methine C-7'' ($\delta_{C}$ 147.1) and C-8'' ($\delta_{C}$ 114.9), one unsaturated carbonyl carbon C-9'' ($\delta_{C}$ 169.1), and one anemic carbon C-1 ($\delta_{C}$ 100.9). These data suggested that 1 is a glycoside derivative with a para-coumaroyl moiety [11]. The correlation between H-1 to H-6 [$\delta_{H}$ 5.05 (H-1), 3.46 (H-2), 3.48 (H-3), 3.37 (H-4), 3.77 (H-5), 4.23 and 4.55 (H$_2$-6)] showed a coupling network.
in the $^{1}$H-$^{1}$H COSY spectrum, which proved the presence of the sugar unit to be a glucospyranoside (Figure 4). The β-configuration of glucopyranose was determined through NOESY correlations and coupling constant [12]. HMBC correlations between the H-6 and carbonyl carbon ($\delta_{c}$ 169.1, C-9′′) indicated the presence of p-coumaroyl moiety at glucose methylene signal ($\delta_{c}$ 64.7, C-6). Apart from the above observation, further $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum analysis revealed the other signals for a carbonyl ($\delta_{c}$ 171.4), two quaternary carbons ($\delta_{c}$ 167.5 and 164.9), two olefins ($\delta_{c}$ 101.6 and 92.0), and a methyl group ($\delta_{c}$ 19.8) carbons for this moiety. The additional signals were deduced from the resonances at $\delta_{H}$ 6.09 (1H, d, $J$ = 1.0 Hz, H-3′), 5.72 (1H, d, $J$ = 1.0 Hz, H-2′) and 2.20 (3H, s, H$_{3}$-5′), which were supported by corresponding signals at $\delta_{c}$ 101.6 (C-3′), 92.0 (C-2′) and 19.8 (C-5′) in the HSQC spectrum. Combined with HMBC correlations, the moiety was established as 5-methyl-2-furoyl. The position of this 5-methyl-2-furoyl unit was assigned unambiguously as C-1 by HMBC correlation between the anomeric proton ($\delta_{H}$ 5.05, H-1) to the carbonyl ($\delta_{c}$ 171.4, C-6′) (Figure 4). Hence the structure of 1 was established as 6-O-(E)-p-coumaroyl-1-β-O-(5-methyl-2-furoyl)-β-d-glycopyranoside, and the compound was given the trivial name cuscutaside A.

![Figure 2](image-url)
Figure 2. Anti-IAV activity of the extracts, fractions, and isolated compounds using the viral plaque reduction assay on MDCK cells with A/WSN/33 (H1N1) virus. (a) The anti-IAV activity of the CL, CF, CFM, CM, and CMA extracts. Cell viability was shown in upper panel and plaque forming reducing was shown in bottom panel. (b) The anti-IAV activity of the EtOAc (CLE), BuOH (CLB), and H2O (CLW) layers from CL extract. Cell viability was shown in the upper panel and plaque forming reducing is shown in the bottom panel. The data are presented as mean ± SD of three independent experiments and were normalized to the data of solvent control (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001).

Figure 3. Chemical structures of compounds 1–4.

Table 1. 1H-NMR (500 MHz) spectroscopic data for 1–4 in CD3OD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.05 (d, 7.5)</td>
<td>5.05 (d, 7.5)</td>
<td>5.34 (d, 8.0)</td>
<td>5.25 (d, 8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.46 (dd, 9.0, 7.5)</td>
<td>3.48 (dd, 8.5, 7.5)</td>
<td>5.07 (dd, 9.0, 8.0)</td>
<td>5.03 (dd, 9.0, 8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.48 (t, 9.0)</td>
<td>3.50 (t, 8.5)</td>
<td>3.71 (t, 9.0)</td>
<td>3.64 (t, 9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.37 (t, 9.0)</td>
<td>3.39 (t, 8.5)</td>
<td>3.51 (br d, 9.0)</td>
<td>3.47 (t, 9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.77 (ddddd, 9.0, 7.5, 2.0)</td>
<td>3.78 (ddddd, 8.5, 7.5, 2.0)</td>
<td>3.57 (m) a</td>
<td>3.53 (m) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.23 (dd, 12.0, 7.5)</td>
<td>4.25 (dd, 12.0, 7.5)</td>
<td>3.74 (dd, 12.0, 5.5)</td>
<td>3.71 (dd, 12.0, 5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2'</td>
<td>5.72 (d, 1.0)</td>
<td>5.74 (d, 2.0)</td>
<td>5.68 (d, 2.0)</td>
<td>5.66 (br s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'</td>
<td>6.09 (d, 1.0)</td>
<td>6.08 (d, 2.0)</td>
<td>5.98 (br s)</td>
<td>5.95 (br s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'</td>
<td>2.20 (s)</td>
<td>2.15 (s)</td>
<td>2.16 (s)</td>
<td>2.18 (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2''</td>
<td>7.56 (d, 8.5)</td>
<td>7.24 (d, 2.0)</td>
<td>7.43 (d, 8.5)</td>
<td>7.63 (d, 8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3''</td>
<td>6.79 (d, 8.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.79 (d, 8.5)</td>
<td>6.73 (d, 8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4''</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5''</td>
<td>6.79 (d, 8.5)</td>
<td>6.81 (d, 8.5)</td>
<td>6.79 (d, 8.5)</td>
<td>6.73 (d, 8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6''</td>
<td>7.56 (d, 8.5)</td>
<td>7.09 (dd, 8.5, 2.0)</td>
<td>7.43 (d, 8.5)</td>
<td>7.73 (d, 8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7''</td>
<td>7.60 (d, 16.0)</td>
<td>7.62 (d, 16.0)</td>
<td>7.67 (d, 16.0)</td>
<td>6.90 (d, 12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8''</td>
<td>6.37 (d, 16.0)</td>
<td>6.42 (d, 16.0)</td>
<td>6.36 (d, 16.0)</td>
<td>5.80 (d, 12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCH3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.92 s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Overlapped signals are reported without designating multiplicity.
Table 2. $^{13}$C-NMR (125 MHz) spectroscopic data for 1–4 in CD$_3$OD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\delta$C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.9</td>
<td>100.8</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>98.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>74.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>76.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>71.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>78.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>62.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1'</td>
<td>167.5</td>
<td>167.3</td>
<td>167.3</td>
<td>167.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2'</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>91.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'</td>
<td>101.6</td>
<td>101.6</td>
<td>101.4</td>
<td>101.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'</td>
<td>164.9</td>
<td>164.8</td>
<td>165.1</td>
<td>165.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6'</td>
<td>171.4</td>
<td>171.3</td>
<td>171.0</td>
<td>171.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1''</td>
<td>127.3</td>
<td>127.7</td>
<td>127.1</td>
<td>131.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2''</td>
<td>131.5</td>
<td>111.9</td>
<td>131.4</td>
<td>133.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3''</td>
<td>116.9</td>
<td>149.6</td>
<td>117.0</td>
<td>116.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4''</td>
<td>161.5</td>
<td>151.0</td>
<td>161.5</td>
<td>162.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5''</td>
<td>116.9</td>
<td>116.6</td>
<td>117.0</td>
<td>116.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6''</td>
<td>131.5</td>
<td>124.6</td>
<td>131.4</td>
<td>133.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7''</td>
<td>147.1</td>
<td>147.4</td>
<td>147.5</td>
<td>147.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8''</td>
<td>144.0</td>
<td>115.1</td>
<td>114.7</td>
<td>115.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9''</td>
<td>169.1</td>
<td>169.1</td>
<td>168.2</td>
<td>168.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCH$_3$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Key $^{1}$H-$^{1}$H COSY and HMBC correlations of compounds 1–4.

Compound 2 was obtained as a white amorphous powder. The molecular formula C$_{22}$H$_{24}$O$_{11}$ was determined based on the HRESIMS ion at $m/z$ 503.0947 [M + K]$^+$ (calculated for 503.0950), which showed 11 indices of unsaturation. The IR spectrum exhibited characteristic absorptions for a hydroxyl (3416 cm$^{-1}$), a conjugated carbonyl (1692 cm$^{-1}$), and an aromatic ring (1605 and 1514 cm$^{-1}$). Inspection of $^{1}$H- and $^{13}$C-NMR data of compound 2 (Tables 1 and 2) indicated similar NMR features to those of 1 except that it differed in the benzoate substitution patterns and the presence of one additional methoxy group ($\delta$H 3.92/$\delta$C 56.7). In the $^{1}$H-NMR data revealed the ABX protons of a 1,3,4-trisubstituted aromatic.
ring at δ_H 7.24 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-2″), 7.09 (1H, dd, J = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, H-6″), and 6.81 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-5″) which in combination with the 13C-NMR data suggested the appearance of a feruloyl group. The methoxy group (δ_C 3.92) attached at C-3″ of feruloyl group were confirmed by its NOESY correlation with H-2″ (δ_H 7.24, d, J = 2.0 Hz) and its HMBC correlation with C-3″ (δ_C 149.6). The full chemical structure of 2 was further confirmed by 1H-1H COSY, HSQC, and HMBC correlations (Figure 4). Thus, the structure of 2 was determined as 6-O-feruloyl-1-O-(5-methyl-2-furoyl)-1-β-d-glycopyranoside and it was named cuscutaside B.

Compound 3 was obtained as a white amorphous powder and [α]_D^25 + 15.3 (c 0.1, MeOH). The molecular formula, C_{21}H_{22}O_{10}, was deduced from HRESIMS (m/z 457.1105 [M + Na]^+, calculated 457.1105). The UV spectrum showed absorption maxima at λ_max of 205 and 312 nm. The IR absorptions at 1602, 1514, and 831 cm⁻¹ indicated an 1,4-disubstituted aromatic system. The IR and UV spectra similarity of 3 with those of 1 suggested that they have a similar p-coumaroyl glycoside. Detailed analysis of the 1H- and 13C-NMR spectra (Tables 1 and 2) of 3 with those of 1 indicated that most of the resonances in 3 were the same as those of 1. The significant difference between 1 and 3 was that the trans-p-coumaroyl moiety linked to C-6 of glucose in 1 and connected to C-2 of glucose in 3, which could be inferred from the γ-effect. The position of the p-coumaroyl moiety of 3 was compared with that of 1, which showed upfield shifts of C-1 (δ_C 98.9) by 2.0 ppm, of C-3 (δ_C 75.9) by 1.9 ppm and of C-6 (δ_C 62.2) due to γ-effect by 2.5 ppm and a downfield shift of C-5 (δ_C 78.9) by 2.5 ppm without γ-effect and of H-2 (δ_H 5.07) by 1.61 ppm according to –OH substituent change to ester function. This was further confirmed thought the observation of a HMBC correlation from δ_H 5.07 (H-2) to a carbonyl carbon at δ_C 168.2 (C-9″) and from δ_H 6.36 (H-8″) to a carbonyl carbon at δ_C 186.2 (C-9″), in addition to the consequence of 1H-1H COSY correlation fragment (Figure 4) of δ_H 5.34 (H-1)/5.07 (H-2)/3.71 (H-3)/3.51 (H-4)/3.57 (H-5)/3.74, 3.96 (H-2-6). The chemical shift and J values (J = 8.0 Hz) of its anomeric proton indicated the β-configuration of glucose. The previously observed a further HMBC correlation of H-1 to C-6′ positioned the remaining 5-methyl-2-furoyl ester at C-1. Accordingly, the structure of 3 was determined to be 2-O-(E)-p-coumaryl-1-O-(5-methyl-2-furoyl)-1-β-d-glycopyranoside and named cuscutaside C.

Compound 4 was purified as a white amorphous powder and its molecular formula of C_{21}H_{22}O_{10} was determined on the basis of HRESIMS at m/z 433.1113 ([M – H]^−, calculated 433.1129). The spectroscopic data of 4 was very similar to and shared many features with 3. Comparison of the NMR data (Tables 1 and 2) for 3 and 4 readily identified 4 as having the same a 5-methyl-2-furoyl unit substituted at C-1 and a p-coumaroyl moiety attached to C-2′ of a glycopyranosyl moiety. However, obviously different signals were noted in the 1H-NMR chemical shift for δ_H 6.90 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, H-7″) and δ_H 5.80 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, H-8″) of 4 compared to 3. The geometry of double bonds was determined to be cis by the magnitude of the coupling constant J_{11.27″,8′′} (12.5 Hz). In the HMBC spectrum, δ_H 5.03 (H-2), 6.90 (H-7″), and 5.80 (H-8″) exhibited interactions with δ_C 168.2 (C-9″). The relative configuration of glucosyl moiety was also determined through both NOEYS correlations and coupling constants. The magnitude of the coupling constants for H-1 (J = 8.0 Hz) was also constructive of axial-axial couplings and established a β-D-glucose moiety. Thus, compound 4 was deduced as 2-O-(Z)-p-coumaryl-1-O-(5-methyl-2-furoyl)-1-β-D-glycopyranoside and named cuscutaside D.

Twenty-six known metabolites were isolated and identified as four aromatic glycosides, 6-O-p-coumaroyl-glucopyranosyl (5), 6′-O-cinnamoyl-glucopyranosyl (6) [13], 6′-O-(E)-p-coumaroyl-2-O-β-D-glucopyranosyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (7) [14], and 4-(E)-p-coumaroyl-glucopyranosyl (8) [15], two caffeoylquinic acid derivatives, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (9) [16], and methyl 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic (10), five lignans, clemaphenol A (11) [17], (+)-pinoresinol (12), (+)-syringaresinol (13) [18], bombasinol A (14) [19], and (+)-epipinoresinol (15) [20], four tropane alkaloids, p-coumaroyltyramine (16) [21], N-trans-furelulotyramine (17) [22], N-(p-cis-coumaryl)tyramine (18) [23], and N-cis-furelulotyramine (19) [24], two indole alkaloids, indole-3-carboxaldehyde (20) [25], and indole-3-carboxylic acid (21) [26], five flavonoids, quercetin (22) [27], quercetin-3-O-glucopyranoside (23) [28], quercetin-3-O-β-D-glucopyranosyl-(1→4)-β-D-glucopyranoside (24), 4′-O-methylquercetin-3-O-β-D-
glucopyranoside (25) [29], and 3,5,7,3’-tetrahydroxy-4’-methoxyisoflavanone (26) [30], two aromatic compounds, trans-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (27) [31], and methyl 3,4-dihydroxycinnamate (28) [32], two fatty acids, 1-monopalmitin (29) and 1-α-linolenoylglycerol (30) [33] by comparing their physical and spectroscopic data with those reported in the literature. These compounds were obtained from this plant for the first time. The phytochemical composition of the 16 marker compounds from the whole plant Japanese dodder parasitizing on D. longans Lour. (CL) was investigated by HPLC (Figure 5).

2.2. Antiviral Activity against Influenza A Virus (IAV)

Some of the isolated compounds (1–4, 9, 10, 13, 15–17, 19, 21, 22, and 26–30) were further evaluated for their antiviral activity against IAV (A/WSN/1933(H1N1)) (Figure 6). The results indicated that fatty acids 29 and 30 possessed high antiviral activities against IAV. The most effective compound 29 were further evaluated the different stages of viral infection by plaque reduction assay (Figure 7a). Compound 29 was found to effectively inhibit the entry step of IAV into cells (Figure 7b). The values of EC_{50} is 2.28 ± 0.04 μM and EC_{90} value is 4.77 ± 0.17 μM (Figure 7c).

![Figure 5. HPLC chromatograms of (a) the 16 marker compounds and (b) the CLE layer at optimum detection wavelength (280 nm).](image)

![Figure 6. Anti-IAV activity of isolated compounds from the CLE layer. Cell viability is shown in the upper panel and plaque forming reducing shown in the bottom panel. DMSO was used as a solvent control and betulinic acid was used as a positive control. The data are presented as mean ± SD of three independent experiments and were normalized to the data of solvent control.](image)
3. Discussion

Comparative profiling of metabolites by HPLC-DAD in five Japanese dodders after attachment to different hosts revealed several metabolic changes and amounts. Japanese dodders parasitizing on different plants exhibited distinct anti-virus activation depending on the host. The investigation of
Japanese dodder parasitizing on *D. longans* Lour. (CL) and its EtOAc fractions (CLE) showed it can effectively inhibits IAV. In this work, four new compounds 1–4 and twenty-six known compounds 5–30 were isolated from Japanese dodder (CL). It is interesting to note that 1-monopalmitin (29), a simple glycerol esterified fatty acid which has a role as a plant metabolite, was found to be one of anti-IAV (A/WSN/1933(H1N1)) substances from the whole plant Japanese dodder (CL). Moreover, the two fatty acids 1-monopalmitin (29) and 1-α-linolenoylglycerol (30) were more potent than betulinic acid which was used as a positive control. The fully saturated (C19:0) fatty acid, 1-monopalmitin (29) showed higher antiviral activities against IAV than the unsaturated (C21:3) fatty acid 30. The current results suggest that fully saturated fatty acids play a crucial role in the anti-influenza virus activity that was observed.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. General

1D- and 2D-NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker DRX-500 spectrometer (Bruker Instruments, Karlsruhe, Germany) with CD$_3$OD as the solvent. Infrared (IR) spectra, optical rotations, and UV spectra were measured using a JASCO FT/IR-480 plus spectrometer, a JASCO P-1020 polarimeter and a JASCO V-550 UV/Vis spectrometer, respectively (Jasco International Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). HRESIMS data were obtained using a maXis impact Q-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonik, Bremen, Germany). Sephadex LH-20 (GE healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA), Diaion HP-20 (Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) and silica gel (Merck 70–230 mesh and 230–400 mesh) (Merck & Co. Inc., Darmstadt, Germany) were used for the column chromatography. The analysis HPLC was performed on a Shimadzu LC-20AT series apparatus (Shimadzu Co., Kyoto, Japan) with a prominence SPD-M20A diode array detector, a SIL-20A prominence Auto sampler, and a CTO-20A prominence column oven, using a reverse phase column (Cosmosil 5C18-AR-II column, 5 µm particle size, 250 mm × 4.6 mm i.d.) (Merck & Co., Inc., NJ, USA). Preparative HPLC was performed using a reverse phase column (Cosmosil 5C18-AR-II column, 5 µm particle size, 250 mm × 10 mm i.d.) (Merck & Co., Inc., NJ, USA) using a Shimadzu LC-6AD series apparatus with a RID-10A Refractive Index detector (Shimadzu Co.). MPLC was performed using a reverse phase column (Buchi MPLC glass column, C18, 460 mm × 36 mm i.d.) on a Buchi pump module C-601 series apparatus (Buchi Ltd., Flawi, Switzerland) without a detector. The 1D and 2D NMR spectral data of compounds 1–4 can be found at Supplementary Materials.

4.2. Plant Material

Whole *C. japonica* on *D. longans* Lour. plants used in this experiment were collected on the mountains of Nantou County, Taiwan, in August 2012. The parasitic plant was identified by Dr. Shy-Yuan Hwang, Endemic Species Research Institute. A voucher specimen of *C. japonica* on *D. longans* (No. CMR201208CL) was deposited in the Department of Chinese Pharmaceutical Sciences and Chinese Medicine Resources, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan.

4.3. Extraction and Isolation

Whole *C. japonica* (10 kg) plants were sectioned and extracted three times with MeOH (20 L) for 72 h each time. The MeOH extract was continuously dried under reduced pressure at 45 °C to yield a brown syrup (ca. 988 g). The combined extracts were suspended on H$_2$O (2 L) and then partitioned with EtOAc (2 L) and n-BuOH (2 L), successively. The EtOAc layer (ca. 600 g) was subjected to a silica gel CC (15 × 50 cm i.d., Merck 70–230 mesh), eluting with n-hexane/EtOAc (40:1, 20:1, 10:1, 5:1, 1:1, 0:1, v/v) and EtOAc/MeOH (10:1, 5:1, 4:1, 1:1, v/v) in a gradient to give ten fractions (fr. 1–11). Fraction 5 (20.1 g) was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 column (3 × 90 cm, i.d.) chromatography eluting with CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH to yield 9 subfractions (fr. 5.1–5.9). Fr. 5.3 (453.5 mg) was subjected to reverse phase (RP) MPLC (C$_{18}$ gel, 3 × 60 cm i.d., flow rate: 20 min/mL) with gradient MeOH/H$_2$O (40/60 to
100/0, z/v/o) to yield 8 subfractions (fr. 5.3.1–5.3.8). Fr. 5.3.1 (165.5 mg) was chromatographed using preparative RP-HPLC (Cosmosil 5C18-AR-II column, flow rate: 3.0 min/mL) with 38% MeOH in H2O to yield 13 (8.5 mg, tR = 46.3 min), 14 (2.3 mg, tR = 53.1 min), and 15 (4.4 mg, tR = 23.8 min). Using preparative RP-HPLC (Cosmosil 5C18-AR-II column, flow rate: 3.0 min/mL) with 43% MeOH, 15 (8.8 mg, tR = 44.8 min) were obtained from fr. 5.3.3 (32.4 mg). The subfraction 5.3.6 was subjected to RP-HPLC with 45% MeOH to obtain 29 (42.9 mg, tR = 24.1 min), and 30 (59.1 mg, tR = 25.6 min). Fraction 5.4 (1.98 g) was subjected to RP-MPLC (C18 gel, 3 × 60 cm, flow rate: 20 min/mL) with gradient MeOH/H2O (30.70 to 100:0, z/v/o) to yield 10 subfractions (fr. 5.4.1–5.4.10). Fr. 5.4.2 (293.1 mg) was purified by preparative RP-HPLC (flow rate: 3.0 min/mL), eluting with 60% MeOH to yield 7 fractions (fr. 5.4.2.1–5.4.2.7). Fr. 5.4.2.4 (40.9 mg) was chromatographed using RP-HPLC with 43% MeOH to yield 27 (16.2 mg, tR = 13.8 min). 12 (5.2 mg, tR = 32.5 min) was isolated from fr. 5.4.2.6 (40.5 mg) by RP-HPLC (flow rate: 3.0 min/mL), eluting with 43% MeOH. Fr. 5.4.3 (41.7 mg) was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 column (3 × 90 cm, i.d.) chromatography eluting with MeOH to yield 6 subfractions (fr. 5.4.3.1–5.4.3.6). Fr. 5.4.3.4 (141.7 mg) was purified by RP-HPLC (45% MeOH) to yield compounds 26 (24.4 mg, tR = 17.8 min). 16 (30.0 mg, tR = 27.8 min) was separated by RP-HPLC with 45% MeOH from fr. 5.4.5 (149.3 mg). 5.4.6 (293.8 mg) was subjected to preparative RP-HPLC, eluting with 43% MeOH to yield 21 (4.8 mg, tR = 12.0 min), 20 (4.9 mg, tR = 17.0 min), 19 (7.3 mg, tR = 17.8 min), 28 (61.9 mg, tR = 22.1 min), 17 (55.7 mg, tR = 28.3 min), and 11 (75.5 mg, tR = 31.5 min). Using Sephadex LH-20 column (1.5 × 100 cm, i.d.) with isocratic MeOH, 22 (102.1 mg) and 23 (6.9 mg) were obtained from fr. 5.8 (1.67 g). Fr. 8 (14.72 g) was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 column (1.5 × 100 cm, i.d.) chromatography eluting with MeOH to yield 12 subfractions (fr. 8.1–8.12). Fraction 8.7 (2.76 g) was subjected to RP-MPLC (C18 gel, 3 × 60 cm, flow rate: 20 min/mL) with gradient MeOH/H2O (40:60 to 100:0, z/v/o) to yield 8 subfractions (fr. 8.7.1–8.7.8). Using preparative RP-HPLC with 50% MeOH, 1 (78.3 mg, tR = 44.8 min), 2 (6.3 mg, tR = 2.3 min), 3 (26.1 mg, tR = 40.8 min), and 4 (4.9 mg, tR = 39.1 min) were obtained from fr. 8.7.1 (650.5 mg). Subfraction 8.7.2 was further purified by RP-HPLC with 45% MeOH to give 5 (2.5 mg, tR = 17.8 min), 6 (2.0 mg, tR = 21.5 min), and 7 (3.4 mg, tR = 18.2 min). Fr. 8.11 (60.8 mg) was further separated by RP-HPLC with 50% MeOH to yield 8 (181.8 mg, tR = 7.5 min), 9 (7.7 mg, tR = 10.9 min), 10 (17.8 mg, tR = 16.5 min), 24 (4.1 mg, tR = 14.3 min) and 25 (9.1 mg, tR = 9.6 min).

4.4. Spectroscopic Data

*Cuscutaside A* (1). White amorphous powder. [α]D25 ° −86.0 (c 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λmax (log ε) 207 (4.0), 227 (3.8), 309 (4.1) nm; IR (KBr) νmax 3361, 1693, 1604, 1564, 1514, 1448, 1246, 1170, 1074, 1018, 831, 520 cm−1; 1H- and 13C-NMR data (CD3OD) see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z 433.1123 [M − H]− (calculated for C21H21O10, 433.1129).

*Cuscutaside B* (2). White amorphous powder. [α]D25 ° −21.0 (c 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λmax (log ε) 207 (4.0), 308 (4.1) nm; IR (KBr) νmax 3416, 1692, 1632, 1605, 1565, 1514, 1451, 1246, 1180, 1075, 822 cm−1; 1H- and 13C-NMR data (CD3OD) see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z 503.0947 [M + K]+ (calculated for C22H23O11K, 503.0950).

*Cuscutaside C* (3). White amorphous powder. [α]D25 ° +15.3 (c 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λmax (log ε) 205 (4.0), 228 (3.7), 312 (4.0) nm; IR (KBr) νmax 3532, 1695, 1631, 1602, 1566, 1514, 1450, 1246, 1166, 1083, 1024, 831, 516 cm−1; 1H- and 13C-NMR data (CD3OD) see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z 457.1105 [M + Na]+ (calculated for C21H22NaO11, 457.1105).

*Cuscutaside D* (4). White amorphous powder. [α]D25 ° −19.6 (c 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λmax (log ε) 203 (3.9), 299 (3.2), 311 (3.2) nm; IR (KBr) νmax 3375, 1695, 1633, 1602, 1566, 1514, 1450, 1246, 1166, 1083, 1024, 831, 526 cm−1; 1H- and 13C-NMR data (CD3OD) see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z 433.1113 [M − H]− (calculated for C21H21O10, 433.1129).
4.5. HPLC-DAD Analysis

Five methanol crude extracts, EtOAc layer (CLE) and isolated compounds were diluted in MeOH (conc. 1.0 mg/mL), respectively. All samples were filtered through a filter cartridge (pore size of 0.22 µm) prior to analyses. The HPLC profiles of crude extracts, CLE fraction and the isolated compounds were performed on a RP-18 column at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min and detected at 254 and 280 nm. The injection volume was 5 µL. The separation was performed using a mixture of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) water solution (A) and methanol (B) with the gradient elution: 0–120 min from 5% B to 55% B.

4.6. Anti-Influenza Virus Assay

The anti-IAV activity was displayed using plaque reduction assay as described previously [34]. In brief, the five crude extracts (CL, CF, CFM, CM and CMA), three fractions (CLE, CLW, and CLW), compounds (1–4, 9, 10, 13, 15–17, 19, 22–24, and 26–30) cytotoxicity were determined by MTS cell viability assay at the concentration of 100 µM of each samples in MDCK cells in the first. MDCK cells were cultured in DMEM, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (PS; HyClone, Marlborough, MA, USA) at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ incubation. The non-cytotoxic samples were selected to the following plaque reduction assay. MDCK cells (2 × 10⁶ cells/well) were pre-seeded in a six-well plate at 37 °C overnight. The cell monolayer cells was then infected with influenza virus (A/WSN/1933 (H1N1), 100 PFU/well) with 25 µM of each samples and the plaque forming assay was performed. The anti-IAV activity of each sample was calculated using the plaque number compared with that of the virus infected control. The most effective compound 29, was used to further perform the dose dependent plaque reduction assay. The 50% and 90% effective concentrations (EC₅₀ and EC₉₀) were defined as the concentration required for 50% and 90% inhibition of virus infection, respectively.

4.7. Time-Of-Addition Assay

To determine the stage of at which 1-monopalmitin (29) inhibits IAV infection, three time points, including post-treatment, pre-treatment and mix-treatment, were used as previous study [34]. In brief, for the stage of post treatment, the viruses infected the cells for 1 h and the cells were overlaid with 0.3% agarose gel containing the compound for 48 h. The effect of 1-monopalmitin inhibits IAV at post-infection stage was determined using the virus plaque reduction assay. For the stage of Pre-treatment, the cells were pretreated with 1-monopalmitin for 1 h followed by virus infection of the pre-treated cells for another 1 h. After the virus removed, plaque reduction assay were performed. For the stage of Mix-treatment, the viruses were pretreated with 1-monopalmitin for 1 h, and then the mixture of compound and viruses was added to the cells for another 1 h. After the mixture remove, plaque reduction assay were performed.

5. Conclusions

Bioactivity-guided isolation of Japanese dodder (CL) let to the isolation and identification of four new compounds 1–4 and twenty-six known compounds 5–30. The structures were established via extensive spectroscopic investigations, including 1D and 2D NMR, UV, IR and HRESIMS techniques. The eighteen isolated compounds were evaluated for antiviral activity against IAV activity. 1-Monopalmitin (29) exhibits potential to be developed as a promising agent against influenza virus infection. This study is important as it explain the chemical and biological diversity of the whole plant Japanese dodder parasitizing on D. longans Lour. Furthermore, the results provide invaluable information for the new application of the harmful weed.

Supplementary Materials: The 1D and 2D NMR spectra of compounds 1–4 are available online.
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