Nonstoichiometric Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$ Nanowires as an Anode Material for High Performance Lithium Storage
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Abstract: Transition metal oxide is one of the most promising anode materials for lithium-ion batteries. Generally, the electrochemical property of transition metal oxides can be improved by optimizing their element components and controlling their nano-architecture. Herein, we designed nonstoichiometric Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$ nanowires for high performance lithium-ion storage. It is found that the specific capacity of Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$ nanowires remain 880 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 50 cycles, exhibiting much better electrochemical performance than CuCo$_2$O$_4$ and NiCo$_2$O$_4$. After experiencing a large current charge and discharge state, the discharge capacity of Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$ nanowires recovers to 780 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 50 mA g$^{-1}$, which is ca. 88% of the initial capacity. The high electrochemical performance of Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$ nanowires is related to their better electronic conductivity and synergistic effect of metals. This work may provide a new strategy for the design of multicomponent transition metal oxides as anode materials for lithium-ion batteries.
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1. Introduction

Lithium-ion batteries (LIB) have many advantages, such as high energy density, excellent cycle stability, no memory effect, and so on [1]. They are widely used in many fields, such as electronic products, electric vehicles, and energy storage system, which have greatly improved modern humans’ lives [2]. The energy density of LIB is closely related to the lithium storage performance and voltage of the anode materials. The transition metal oxide Co$_3$O$_4$ used as a promising anode material for lithium-ion batteries, first reported by Poizot [3] in 2000, has attracted extensive interest of researchers with 890 mAh g$^{-1}$ theoretical capacity, which is much higher than commercial graphite (372 mAh g$^{-1}$). Different from lithium intercalation mechanism of graphite, Co$_3$O$_4$ is based on a conversion reaction as anode materials transforms into metallic cobalt, with great volume expansion and metal reunion during lithiation/deithiation [4].
Due to the inherent defects of the material, many researchers attempt to enhance the electrochemical performance of CoO by controlling their microstructures and optimizing their components [5]. On the one hand, great efforts have been devoted to the morphological control of CoO, and therefore, many of the different shaped CoO nanostructures, such as peapod-like [6], yolk-shell [7], hollow nanofibers [8], nanowires [9,10], other complex structures [11–18] have been developed and applied as anode materials in lithium-ion batteries. Compared with other nanostructures, nanowires have large open space, which allows electrolytes to diffuse into the electrode inner region and shorten the solid-phase diffusion path of lithium ions. Moreover, the mechanical flexibility and Young’s modulus of nanowires are significant for micro-flexible electronic components [19]. For example, Guan [9] synthesized 1D CoO mesoporous nanowires, grown on Ni foam, whose specific capacity was approximately 1600 mAh g⁻¹ at current density of 0.5 A g⁻¹. Zeng [20] designed quasi-single-crystalline mesoporous CoO and CuO nanowires from precursor Co(CO)₅(OH) and the CoO nanowires remain nearly 100% capacity retention after 70 cycles.

On the other hand, it is a viable strategy to design transition-metal oxide composites for enhancing lithium storage performance [21]. It has been reported that the composites of bimetal oxide showed much higher electrochemical performance than the single ones due to low activation energy for electron transfer between cations [10,22,23]. MCoOₓ (M = Ni [24–27], Cu [28], Fe [29], Zn [30], Mn [31]) have been widely used as anode materials over the last few years. Lou [26] synthesized NiCoO₂ complex hollow spheres as anode material in lithium-ion battery and its specific capacity was 1400 mAh g⁻¹ at 150 mA g⁻¹ with great cycling stability. Jiang [32] reported CuCoO₂ nanoparticle showed high reversible capacity of 1040 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.1 C. Cao [33] designed ultrathin ZnCoO₂ nanosheets for lithium anode with excellent long life (about 900 mAh g⁻¹ after 200 cycles at 200 mA g⁻¹ current density).

Herein, we report nonstoichiometric Cu₁₀₆Ni₆₄Co₄O₁₁ nanowires, which can be regarded as a composite of Cu₃CoO₄ and NiCo₄O₄ as an anode material in lithium-ion battery. As we know, such composites, as an anode material in lithium-ion area has not been reported yet. Owing to the relatively low activation energy for electron transfer between Cu²⁺/Cu, Ni²⁺/Ni³⁻ and Co³⁺/Co⁴⁺, the electronic conductivity and cycling stability for Cu₁₀₆Ni₆₄Co₄O₁₁ nanowires are significantly improved. In the first cycle, discharge and charge capacities are 1120 mAh g⁻¹ and 972 mAh g⁻¹ at 50 mA g⁻¹, respectively. After 50 cycles, the specific capacity of Cu₁₀₆Ni₆₄Co₄O₁₁ nanowires remain 880 mAh g⁻¹ and the coulombic efficiency is approximately 100%.

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis of Anode Materials

All the reagents (Tianjin Damao Chemical Reagent Factory, Tianjin, China) were analytically pure without further treatment. Similar preparation methods could be referred to our previous work [34]. To prepare the Cu₁₀₆Ni₆₄Co₄O₁₁ nanowires, 4 mmol cobalt acetate was dissolved in 30 mL ultrapure water. Subsequently, 4 mmol lauryl sodium sulfate, 1.2 mmol copper chloride, and the 0.8 mmol nickel chloride were added to the above cobalt acetate solution in sequence. Then, 48 mmol hexamethylenetetramine was dissolved in 30 mL ultrapure water to form a transparent solution which was dropped into the above mixed solution slowly. After stirring for 1 h, the resultant solution was poured into a 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless autoclave. Then the autoclave was heated at 120 °C for 12 h in oven. The obtained sediment was filtered, rinsed and dried. Finally, after calcination of the powder at 600 °C for 2 h in muffle, Cu₁₀₆Ni₆₄Co₄O₁₁ was obtained. Similarly, NiCo₄O₄ and CuCo₄O₄ were obtained by adjusting the addition amount of copper chloride and nickel chloride.

2.2. Characterizations

Crystal information of the samples was acquired by Bruker D8 Discover X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Tokyo, Japan) with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406Å). Microstructures, particle sizes and element mapping were analyzed by using a JEOL-7100F field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, Hitachi, Japan). Interior structure was observed by a JEOL JEM-2100F transmission electron
microscope (TEM, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA). The elements and chemical states of the sample were analyzed by a Kratos Axis Ultra DLD X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (XPS, VG, Manchester, UK). The molar ratios were determined with an Agilent 7800 Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) Mass Spectrometry (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, US).

2.3. Electrochemical Performance Test

The 2032-coin battery is assembled in a glove box filled with inert atmosphere for electrochemical performance test. The fabricated electrode consisted of active materials, acetylene black, polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) with a mass ratio of 7:2:1. Each component was well mixed to form a paste, which was coated on copper foil current collector. The loading on the electrode is approximately 1 mg cm⁻². The electrolyte used was 1.0 M LiPF₆ in a 50:50 (w/w) mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC) and diethyl carbonate (DEC). The specific capacity of the battery was tested by cyclic charge and discharge between 0–3 V (Land CT2001A, Hubei, China). The cyclic voltammetry and electrochemical impedance test were obtained by using an electrochemical workstation (CHI 760E, CH Instrument Ins., Shanghai, China).

3. Results and Discussion

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the NiCo₂O₄, CuCo₂O₄, and Cu₀.₆Ni₀.₄Co₂O₄ powders are shown in Figure 1. It is discovered that the characteristic peaks of the NiCo₂O₄ and CuCo₂O₄ are very similar. All the diffraction peaks of the NiCo₂O₄, CuCo₂O₄ powders correspond to the (220), (311), (222), (400), (422), (511), and (440) plane reflections of cubic spinel NiCo₂O₄ or CuCo₂O₄. Cu₀.₆Ni₀.₄Co₂O₄ has similar characteristic peaks as NiCo₂O₄ [35] and CuCo₂O₄. We can regard Cu₀.₆Ni₀.₄Co₂O₄ as a composite of NiCo₂O₄ and CuCo₂O₄ [36]. The peak at 25° for anode materials is attributed to the disorderedly stacked carbon, derived from incomplete decomposition of organic ingredients [37]. There is a small peak in NiCo₂O₄ at 44° indexing as NiO (JCPDS NO. 65-2901), which is also found in previous literature [38]. The peak at 33° for CuCo₂O₄ may be indexed as CuO (JCPDS NO. 44-0706). According to the Scherer’s formula, the average crystal size of a material can be calculated [39,40],

$$D = 0.89\lambda/(\beta\cos\theta)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where D is the average grain size, λ is X-ray wavelength and constant of 0.154 nm, β is half-height width of diffraction peak, and θ is Bragg diffraction angle. The average crystalline sizes of NiCo₂O₄ and CuCo₂O₄ are 16.5, and 24.9 nm, respectively. Meanwhile, that of Cu₀.₆Ni₀.₄Co₂O₄ is 18.0 nm, which is between 16.5 nm and 24.9 nm, indicating that the formation of composite of NiCo₂O₄ and CuCo₂O₄ will not result in a pronounced change in crystalline size.

![Figure 1. X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the NiCo₂O₄, CuCo₂O₄, and Cu₀.₆Ni₀.₄Co₂O₄ powders.](image-url)
Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images are used to observe the morphology and structures of materials, as shown in Figure 2. Apparently, the samples of NiCo$_2$O$_4$ and Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$ consist of nanowires with an average diameter of ca. 50 nm. Interestingly, all these nanowires are assembled by small nanoparticles. The structure of CuCo$_2$O$_4$ is nanoparticles with an average diameter of ca. 30 nm. The TEM images of three anode materials in Figure 3, present the inner structures for NiCo$_2$O$_4$, Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$, and CuCo$_2$O$_4$. The anode materials are solid instead of hollow. Besides, inner structure of Cu$_{10}$Ni$_{10}$Co$_{20}$ is similar with NiCo$_2$O$_4$ rather than CuCo$_2$O$_4$. Figure 3g is high resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) images of the Cu$_{10}$Ni$_{10}$Co$_{20}$. The lattice spacing of ca. 0.23 nm, 0.2 nm, 0.28 nm, and 0.24 nm can be seen, corresponding to the crystal surfaces (222), (400), (220), and (311) planes of cubic spinel Cu$_{10}$Ni$_{10}$Co$_{20}$. Element mapping of Cu$_{10}$Ni$_{10}$Co$_{20}$ is measured in Figure 4. The elements of Co, Ni, Cu, and O can be found in the mapping picture, indicating that these elements are uniformly distributed. The result of ICP measure shows the ratio of Cu, Ni, and Co is 0.61:0.41:1.99, which are well match with the designed values.

Figure 2. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of (a,b) NiCo$_2$O$_4$, (c,d) CuCo$_2$O$_4$ and (e,f) Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$.

Figure 3. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of (a,b) NiCo$_2$O$_4$, (c,d) CuCo$_2$O$_4$ and (e,f) Cu$_{10}$Ni$_{10}$Co$_{20}$; (g) the inset showing the HRTEM image of Cu$_{10}$Ni$_{10}$Co$_{20}$. 
Figure 4. Elementary mapping of Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$.

In order to investigate the elements and chemical states on the surface of the anode materials, XPS analysis is carried out and the results are shown in Figure 5. In the XPS survey spectrum of Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$ (Figure 5c), the signals of elements of Co, Ni, and Cu can be observed, which was different from those of NiCo$_2$O$_4$ and CuCo$_2$O$_4$ (Figure 5a,b), as the former has both Cu and Ni elements, and the three transition elements Cu, Ni, and Co will affect the outer electronic structure of each other. As shown in Figures 5a,b, no signal of Ni is found in CuCo$_2$O$_4$, while the Cu signal was absent in NiCo$_2$O$_4$, which are as expected. Figures 5d–f are the Ni 2p, Cu 2p, and Co 2p spectrum of the anode materials, respectively. There are six peaks in Ni 2p for NiCo$_2$O$_4$ and Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$. The peaks are at 854.2 eV and 871.5 eV of Ni$^{2+}$, and 855.8 eV and 872.8 eV of Ni$^{3+}$ for Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$. Comparing to the peaks for NiCo$_2$O$_4$, binding energy of Ni 2p for Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$ are stronger. The peak areas mean the relative content of element valence. It’s noted that the peak area of them is various, which shows the relative content of Ni$^{3+}$ is lower in Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$. It indicates the amount of Ni$^{2+}$ decreases in the presence of Cu$^{2+}$. There are four peaks in Cu 2p spectrum for CuCo$_2$O$_4$ and Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$, indicating copper is divalent. Six peaks of Co 2p for the three materials can be found, manifesting the cobalt is trivalent and divalent. Similar, binding energy of Cu 2p, Co 2p for Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$ are stronger than CuCo$_2$O$_4$ and NiCo$_2$O$_4$ respectively. The peak positions are corresponding to previous reports [32,39,41], indicating that Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$ is prepared successfully and Cu$^{2+}$ reduces the content of Ni$^{3+}$. The electron density of Cu$_{0.6}$Ni$_{0.4}$Co$_2$O$_4$ in the outermost shell is affected by doping elements.
Transition metal oxides undergo a conversion reaction in the lithiation, with the change in metal valence and the formation of solid electrolyte interface (SEI) layer and Li2O [3]. Figure 6 shows the CV test of NiCoO4, CuCoO4, and Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 anode electrodes for the first three curves at a scan of 0.2 mV s⁻¹ between 0.01 and 3 V. For the NiCoO4 anode material, during the first scan, the main cathodic peaks locate in 0.7 V corresponding to the reduction of NiCoO4 to metallic Ni and Co (Equation (2)), and the broad peaks at ca. 0.37 V corresponds to SEI layer [42]. The anode peaks are at the 1.63 V and 2.3 V, corresponding to oxidation of metallic Ni⁺ to Ni²⁺ and Co to Co³⁺, respectively (Equations (5), (7) and (8)). For the CuCoO4, during the initial scan, the main cathodic peaks locate in 0.75 V, corresponding to the reduction of CuCoO4 to metallic Cu and Co (Equation (3)). It is mentioned that there are two peaks at ~0.5 V and ~0.6 V, revealing formation of SEI layer. The anode peaks were at the 1.1 V, 1.5 V and 2.2 V, corresponding to oxidation of metallic Cu and Co to CuCoO4 (Equations (6), (7) and (8), respectively). For Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 during the first scan, the main cathodic peaks locate in 1.2 V and 0.8 V, assigning to reduction of metal oxide and formation of SEI film (Equation (4)). The anode peaks are at the 1.63 V and 2.3 V, corresponding to oxidation of metallic Ni, Cu and Co to Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 (Equations (5)–(8)). At the same time, the peaks of Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 are narrower and stronger than those of NiCoO4 and CuCoO4. This can be due to co-work of Co, Cu, and Ni, which stabilizes the structure and enhance the electronic conductivity in the process of electrochemical reaction, resulting in a longer cycle life and a lower potential polarization. The different positions of the peaks reveal that the REDOX potential can be changed slightly by composition and content of metal oxide in the chemical reaction. The first cathodic scan of the three anode materials, in addition to the reduction of metals, the formation of SEI film and Li2O were occurred, so the second anode scan is quite different from the first one [43,44]. While, the second cycle anode scan of the three materials is roughly the same as the first cycle, demonstrating good cycle performance. The total reaction equations during charging and discharging are described as following:

\[
\text{NiCo}_2\text{O}_4 + 8\text{Li}^+ + 8e^- \rightarrow \text{Ni} + 2\text{Co} + 4\text{Li}_2\text{O}
\]

\[
\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4 + 8\text{Li}^+ + 8e^- \rightarrow \text{Cu} + 2\text{Co} + 4\text{Li}_2\text{O}
\]

**Figure 5.** XPS spectra of (a) NiCoO4, (b) CuCoO4, (c) Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 and (d) Ni 2p of NiCoO4 and Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4, (e) Cu 2p of CuCoO4 and Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4, (f) Co 2p of the three materials.
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cu}_{0.6}\text{Ni}_{0.4}\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4 + 8\text{Li}^+ + 8\text{e}^- & \rightarrow 0.6\text{Cu} + 0.4\text{Ni} + 2\text{Co} + 4\text{Li}_2\text{O} \quad (4) \\
\text{Ni} + \text{Li}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{NiO} + 2\text{Li}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \quad (5) \\
\text{Cu} + \text{Li}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{CuO} + 2\text{Li}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \quad (6) \\
\text{Co} + \text{Li}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{CoO} + 2\text{Li}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \quad (7) \\
\text{CoO} + \frac{1}{3}\text{Li}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4 + 2/3\text{Li}^+ + 2/3\text{e}^- \quad (8)
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 6. Cyclic voltammetry measure of (a) NiCo2O4, (b) CuCo2O4, and (c) Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4.

Figure 7 shows specific capacities of the NiCo2O4, CuCo2O4, Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 at the current density of 50 mA g\(^{-1}\) between 0.01 and 3 V (vs. Li/\text{Li}). The first discharge specific capacities of NiCo2O4, CuCo2O4, and Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 are 950, 901, and 1120 mAh g\(^{-1}\), respectively (Figure 7a). The first charge specific capacities of NiCo2O4, CuCo2O4, and Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 are 785, 633, and 972 mAh g\(^{-1}\), there are large specific capacity losses in the first charge/discharge cycle. We attribute them to some irreversible electrochemical reactions, including the SEI film formation, electrolyte decomposition, and so on. This phenomenon can be observed for most anode materials, which leads to the low coulombic efficiency in the first cycle and explains a large difference in the peak position of the reduction peak between the first and the second cycles in the CV test. The NiCo2O4 electrode shows a specific discharge capacity of 743 mAh g\(^{-1}\) in second cycle, and the specific capacity declines to 245 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 50 cycles. The CuCo2O4 electrode shows a specific discharge capacity of 690 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at the second cycle, while the specific capacity is attenuated to 375 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 50 cycles. The Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4, which can be regarded as a compound of CuCo2O4 and NiCo2O4, exhibits the excellent electrochemical performance. The specific discharge capacity of Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 is maintained 880 mAh g\(^{-1}\) from the second to the fiftieth cycle, and the coulombic efficiency close to 100%. As shown in Figure 7b, the change of the specific capacity of the three samples with the number of cycles is clearly visible. The capacities of NiCo2O4 and CuCo2O4 material go through a huge decay after 20 cycles, which is due to material pulverization. Meanwhile, Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4’s capacity has been well-maintained. The electrochemical stability is significantly improved because of better electronic conductivity of Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 and the synergistic effect of the elements. Due to the various insertion voltages for the metal oxides, the other inactive metal oxides can be “volume-buffering reservoir” to release volume stress when the active metal oxide is in a lithiation/delithiation process at a certain voltage [45]. The capacity rate performance of Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 was also studied and the results are showed in Figure 7c. The charge/discharge current density gradually increases to 2000 mA g\(^{-1}\) and then returns to 50 mA g\(^{-1}\). The charge-discharge voltage platform was found to be almost kept same. The stable reversible discharge capacities decrease from 830 to 150 mAh g\(^{-1}\) as the current density increases from 200 mA g\(^{-1}\) to 2000 mA g\(^{-1}\). Furthermore, when the current density is restored to 50 mA g\(^{-1}\), the discharge capacity is 780 mAh g\(^{-1}\), which is ca. 88% of the initial discharge capacity. These results demonstrate that the Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 has a better rate performance and a higher cycling stability.
Figure 7. Electrochemical tests of the three samples: (a) Initial charge and discharge curves profiles for NiCo2O4, CuCo2O4, Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 at the current of 50 mA g⁻¹ between 0.01 and 3 V (vs. Li+/Li). (b) Cycling performance of the three powders at a current of 50 mA g⁻¹. (c) Capacity rate performance of the anode materials.

To further compare the properties of the materials and the modification effects. The charge transfer behaviors of NiCo2O4, CuCo2O4, and Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 are measured according to electrochemical impedance spectroscopies (EIS). The Nyquist plots of the electrodes, with frequency range between 0.01 Hz to 100 kHz are shown in Figure 8. All plots make up a semicircle and a sloped line, the fitting circuit is shown insert. In the high intermediate frequency region, the diameter of the semicircle indicates charge transfer resistance (Rct) in the interface between the electrolyte and grains of active material [46]. It is easy to see that the diameter of the semicircle of Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 is much smaller than the diameter of NiCo2O4 and CuCo2O4. The electron transfer resistance of Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 (120 Ω) is less than that of NiCo2O4 (300 Ω) and CuCo2O4 (380 Ω), which shows Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 sample has the lowest charge-transfer resistance. The low-frequency line stands for the Warburg resistance (Wo) related to the lithium ions diffusion in electrode materials. The line slope of Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 is larger than that of NiCo2O4 and CuCo2O4, indicating faster solid-state diffusion of lithium ion is in the Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4. This evidence further proves that Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 has better electrochemical performance and lower charge transfer resistance due to co-work of Cu²⁺, Ni²⁺/Ni³⁺ and Co²⁺/Co³⁺.

Figure 8. Nyquist plots for the three electrodes in the frequency range from 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz.

4. Conclusions

In this work, Cu0.6Ni0.4Co2O4 nanowires, with high electrochemical performance, have been synthesized by a simple and scalable hydrothermal approach. The material shows initial specific discharge and charge capacities of 1120 and 972 mAh g⁻¹ at current density of 50 mA g⁻¹. After 50 cycles, its discharge capacity maintains 880 mAh g⁻¹, and the coulombic efficiency is close to 100%. After a high current charge/discharge test, the reversible specific capacity can still be restored to 780 mAh g⁻¹ at 50 mA g⁻¹, which is ca. 88% of the initial capacity. EIS and CV tests have proved that codoping with appropriate amount of Cu and Ni could significantly enhance ion diffusion and electron
conduction during charge-discharge process. We attribute the high performance to relatively low activation energy for electron transfer between Cu²⁺, Ni²⁺/Ni³⁺ and Co²⁺/Co³⁺, the electronic conductivity and structure stability for Co₃O₄ nanowires are improved greatly. Therefore, it is important to further research into other multi-component transition metal oxides as anode materials in lithium battery.
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