



1 Article

2 **Identification of Potential Inhibitors from**  
3 **Pyriproxyfen with Insecticidal Activity by virtual**  
4 **screening**

5 **Ryan da Silva Ramos** <sup>1,2,3</sup>, **Josivan da Silva Costa** <sup>2,3</sup>, **Rai Campos Silva** <sup>2,4</sup>, **Glauber Vilhena da**  
6 **Costa** <sup>2</sup>, **Alex Bruno Lobato Rodrigues** <sup>1,2</sup>, **Érica de Menezes Rabelo** <sup>1</sup>, **Raimundo Nonato Picanço**  
7 **Souto** <sup>5</sup>, **Carlton Anthony Taft** <sup>6</sup>, **Carlos Henrique Tomich de Paula da Silva** <sup>3,4</sup>, **Joaquín Maria**  
8 **Campos Rosa** <sup>7</sup>, **Cleydson Breno Rodrigues dos Santos** <sup>1,2,4\*</sup>, **Williams Jorge da Cruz Macêdo** <sup>1,2,3</sup>

9 <sup>1</sup> Postgraduate Program in Biotechnology and Biodiversity-Network BIONORTE, Federal University of  
10 Amapá, Macapá, 68903-419 Amapá, Brazil, ryanquimico@hotmail.com

11 <sup>2</sup> Laboratory of Modeling and Computational Chemistry, Department of Biological and Health Sciences,  
12 Federal University of Amapá, 68902-280, Macapá, AP, Brazil; josivan.chemistry@gmail.com,  
13 camposchemistry@gmail.com, vilhenac@hotmail.com, alexrodrigues.quim@gmail.com,  
14 ericamrabelo@gmail.com

15 <sup>3</sup> Laboratory of Molecular Modeling and Simulation System, Federal Rural University of Amazônia,  
16 Capanema, Pará, 68700-030, Brazil; williamsmacedo@yahoo.com.br

17 <sup>4</sup> Computational Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences of Ribeirão  
18 Preto, 14040-903, São Paulo, Brazil; tomich@fcrp.usp.br

19 <sup>5</sup> Laboratory of Arthropoda, Federal University of Amapá, 68902-280, Macapá, AP, Brazil;  
20 nonatoiepa@hotmail.com

21 <sup>6</sup> Brazilian Center for Physical Research, Urca, 22290-180, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; catff@terra.com.br

22 <sup>7</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry, University of Granada, Granada, 18071, Spain;  
23 jmcampos@ugr.es

24 \* Correspondence: breno@unifap.br; Tel.: +55-096-4009-2699

25

26

27

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

28

29

Table S1. Type of interactions observed between acetylcholinesterase and the most promising molecules.

30

31

Table S2. Type of interactions observed between human acetylcholinesterase and the most promising molecules.

32

33

34

Table S3. Binding receptor interaction data juvenile hormone of the most promising molecules.

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51 **Table S1.** Type of interactions observed between acetylcholinesterase and the most promising molecules.

Molecular target	Residues	Distance (Å)	Type	ΔG (kcal/mol)
I40 vs 1QON	Trp71	4.10	Pi-Pi Stacked	-12.76
	Trp83	3.91	Pi-Pi Stacked	
	Trp83	3.55	Pi-Pi Stacked	
	Trp83	3.92	Pi-Pi Stacked	
	Trp83	4.28	Pi-Alkyl	
	Tyr370	3.86	Pi-Pi Stacked	
	Tyr370	4.15	Pi-Pi Stacked	
	Phe371	5.23	Pi-Alkyl	
	Tyr374	4.95	Pi-Pi T-shaped	
	Leu379	5.44	Pi-Alkyl	
	His480	2.08	Convent. Hydrogen Bond	
Z21 x 1QON	Trp83	3.63	Pi-Pi Stacked	-7.70
	Trp83	4.47	Pi-Pi Stacked	
	Tyr370	4.33	Pi-Pi Stacked	
	Tyr374	3.30	Convent. Hydrogen Bond	
	Leu479	3.90	Pi-Sigma	
Z24 vs 1QON	Trp83	3.79	Pi-Pi Stacked	-12.00
	Trp83	3.54	Pi-Pi Stacked	
	Trp83	4.67	Pi-Alkyl	
	Tyr370	3.36	Pi-Donor Hydrogen Bond	
	Tyr370	4.22	Pi-Pi Stacked	
	Gly481	3.19	Carbon Hydrogen Bond	

52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77

78 **Table S2.** Type of interactions observed between human acetylcholinesterase and the most promising  
 79 molecules

Molecular target	Residues	Distance (Å)	Type	ΔG (kcal/mol)
GNT vs 4EY6	Trp86	4.40	Pi-Alkyl	-9.79
	Trp86	4.72	Pi-Alkyl	
	Trp86	5.25	Pi-Alkyl	
	Gly121	3.96	Amide-Pi Stacked	
	Tyr124	3.58	Carbon Hydrogen Bond	
	Glu202	1.76	Conventional Hydrogen Bond	
	Ser203	2.80	Conventional Hydrogen Bond	
	Tyr337	5.42	Pi-Alkyl	
Z21 x 4EY6	Trp86	4.45	Pi-Pi Stacked	-6.51
	Trp86	3.97	Pi-Pi Stacked	
	Tyr124	3.28	Conventional Hydrogen Bond	
	Tyr337	3.75	Carbon Hydrogen Bond	
Z24 vs 4EY6	Trp86	4.35	Pi-Cation	-10.40
	Trp86	4.48	Pi-Cation	
	Trp86	4.86	Pi-Alkyl	
	Tyr124	3.14	Pi-Donor Hydrogen Bond	
	Ser125	1.83	Conventional Hydrogen Bond	
	Ser203	3.10	Carbon Hydrogen Bond	
	Ser203	3.30	Pi-Donor Hydrogen Bond	
	Tyr337	5.18	Pi-Pi T-shaped	
	Tyr341	5.15	Pi-Pi T-shaped	
His447	4.80	Pi-Pi T-shaped		

80  
 81  
 82  
 83  
 84  
 85  
 86  
 87  
 88  
 89  
 90  
 91  
 92  
 93  
 94  
 95  
 96  
 97  
 98  
 99  
 100  
 101  
 102  
 103  
 104  
 105

106 **Table S3.** Binding receptor interaction data juvenile hormone of the most promising molecules.

Molecular docking	Residues	Distance (Å)	Type	ΔG (kcal/mol)
JHIII vs 5V13	Leu37	4.66	Alkyl	-8.35
	Val51	4.59	Alkyl	
	Val51	4.83	Alkyl	
	Trp53	3.72	Pi-sigma	
	Trp53	4.80	Pi-Alkyl	
	Trp53	4.56	Pi-Alkyl	
	Trp53	4.54	Pi-Alkyl	
	Pro55	4.13	Alkyl	
	Val68	4.89	Alkyl	
	Tyr129	4.91	Pi-Alkyl	
	Tyr133	5.02	Pi-Alkyl	
	Phe144	4.39	Pi-Alkyl	
	Ala281	4.36	Alkyl	
Z21 vs 5V13	Tyr33	4.52	Pi-Pi Stacked	-8.13
	Trp50	2.91	Unfavorable Acceptor-Acceptor	
	Val51	3.63	Pi-Sigma	
	Trp53	3.62	Carbon Hydrogen Bond	
	Trp53	3.52	Pi-Sigma	
	Trp53	5.00	Pi-Pi T-shaped	
	Pro55	4.92	Pi-Alkyl	
	Val65	3.87	Pi-Sigma	
Z24 vs 5V13	Tyr33	4.32	Pi-Cation	-11.17
	Trp50	1.97	Conventional Hydrogen Bond	
	Trp53	4.50	Pi-Cation	
	Trp53	4.15	Pi-Alkyl	
	Trp53	3.41	Pi-sigma	
	Trp53	4.35	Pi-Alkyl	
	Pro55	4.49	Alkyl	
	Tyr64	3.21	Carbon Hydrogen Bond	
	Tyr129	3.28	Pi-Donor Hydrogen Bond	

107