Selective Photocatalytic Oxidation of 5-HMF in Water over Electrochemically Synthesized TiO₂ Nanoparticles
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Abstract: TiO₂ nanoparticles were prepared via an electrochemical method using pulse alternating current and applied in the photocatalytic oxidation of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) to 2,5-diformylfuran (DFF). Its physicochemical properties were characterized by SEM, HRTEM, XRD, and BET methods. The effect of scavenger and UVA light intensity was studied. The results revealed that electrochemically synthesized TiO₂ nanoparticles exhibit higher DFF selectivity in the presence of methanol (up to 33%) compared with commercial samples.
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1. Introduction

5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) is one of the most versatile “biomass platform molecules” produced from abundant and renewable lignocellulose-derived glucose. HMF can be further converted into a series of high-value chemicals such as 2,5-diformylfuran (DFF), 5-hydroxymethyl-2-furancarboxylic acid (HMFCA), and 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid (FDCA) [1]. Among them, DFF is regarded as a valuable starting material for the polymer, pharmaceutical, and other industries [2]. The catalytic (both homogeneous and heterogeneous) oxidation of HMF is the most promising and extensively studied method to produce DFF. However, these catalytic processes are often carried out in organic solvents and at elevated temperatures and pressures, which makes them energy intensive and harmful to the environment [3,4]. Heterogeneous photocatalysis has become an attractive technology for air and wastewater treatment due to the easy in situ formation of powerful oxidizing agents in the presence of photocatalysts under mild conditions (ambient temperature and pressure, solar radiation, etc.). [5,6]. Recently, a lot of efforts have been made in the field of selective and partial photocatalytic oxidation or reduction of diverse substrates to form compounds of higher value in aqueous solutions (organic synthesis) [7,8]. However, to control the selectivity of HMF oxidation to DFF in an aqueous medium is challenging. It is reported that a number of factors, such as the type of photocatalyst and crystallinity, as well as the type of irradiation, can affect the photocatalytic oxidation of HMF to synthesize DFF [9,10]. A lot of research is devoted to the application of new promising photoactive materials [11]. However, TiO₂-based photocatalysts are more attractive for use in photocatalytic technology due to their stability (both chemical and physical) and nontoxicity [12,13]. It is well documented in the literature that physicochemical properties, such as phase and surface structure, light-absorbing ability, and morphology, vary greatly depending on the synthesis method, and even a small change in the preparation procedure can lead to a large difference in photocatalytic activity [13]. Electrochemical methods are a green and beneficial alternative...
to traditional synthetic methods. They are easy to scale and very attractive for industrial applications. In our previous studies, the pulse alternating current (PAC) synthesis was described as a promising strategy producing highly active nanosized materials based on transition metal oxides, including SnO$_2$–SnO nanocomposite [14], ZnO [15], Co$_3$O$_4$/CoOOH [16], and CuO$_x$ [17] for various applications.

In the present study, TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (TiO$_2$-NPs), which have been prepared electrochemically under pulsed alternating current conditions, were used in the oxidation of HMF as a photocatalyst.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Photocatalyst Preparation

TiO$_2$-NPs were synthesized by an electrochemical process using pulse alternating current (PAC) followed by thermal treatment. An aqueous electrolyte solution was prepared by dissolving a NaCl salt in distilled water at a concentration of 2 M. An electrochemical cell equipped with a cooling jacket was filled with the electrolyte solution. The Ti plates (99.7%) used as electrodes were immersed into the cell parallel to each other at a distance of 1 cm between them. The asymmetric PAC was then adjusted using a self-made source. The anodic:cathodic average current density ratio ($j_a:j_c$) was 1.0:0.2 A/cm$^2$. The current waveform used in the study is illustrated in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. The current waveform during pulse alternating current (PAC) synthesis.](image)

A magnetic stirrer inside the cell maintained the mixing speed in the solution at 400 rpm. A reaction time of 20 min was selected to prevent a considerable deterioration of the Ti electrode. After synthesis, the product was separated by filtration and washed with distilled water. The collected sample was dried in an oven at 80 °C, and then calcined at 500 °C in air for 3 h.

2.2. Characterization

The crystalline phase was analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) on an ARL X’TRA diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å) in 0.02° increments. The size of nanoparticles was calculated using the Scherrer equation:

$$d_{XRD} = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $d_{XRD}$ is crystallite size (nm), $\lambda$, $\theta$, and $\beta$ are X-ray wavelength, Bragg diffraction angle, and full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the diffraction peak, respectively.

Morphological characteristics were observed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) Tescan Vega 3 SB with Oxford Instruments X-Act EDS detector. Fine structural analysis was performed on a JEOL JEM-2100 transmission electron microscope (TEM). The main lattice spacing of the crystallite structure was calculated by means of a fast Fourier transform analysis of high-resolution TEM images. Specific surface area analysis via the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method was done on a Quantachrome NOVA 1200e instrument at 77.3 K.
2.3. Photocatalytic Procedure

Photocatalytic reactions were carried out in a quartz beaker (100 mL) under ambient conditions. The suspension was irradiated with a spotlight source (Hamamatsu, LC8) at a wavelength of 365 nm. The suspension in the beaker was irradiated from the top; the radiation energy ranged from 3.0 to 6.0 mW cm\(^{-2}\), which was measured using a UV34 Lux Meter (PCE). The initial concentration of the used substrate and photocatalyst was 0.4 mM and 1.0 g L\(^{-1}\), respectively. The experiments were carried out under aerobic conditions with continuous bubbling of O\(_2\) at a flow rate of 3 mL/min. A run was also carried out with the addition of methanol and Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) as the scavenger for hole and OH\(^{•}\), respectively. Before turning on the lamp, the suspension was kept in an ultrasonic bath for 5 min, and then stirred for 30 min to achieve adsorption–desorption equilibrium. The amount of HMF adsorbed by the catalyst in the dark was fairly low, i.e., less than 2% of the initial HMF amount. During the run, the aliquots of the aqueous suspension were taken at fixed time intervals and centrifuged (15,000 rpm) before analysis.

The reaction solution was analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) instrument (Agilent 1260 LC, stable bond C18 column). The mobile phase solution, consisting of 70% acetonitrile and 30% ultrapure water, was supplied to the column at 30 °C and a flow rate of 0.5 mL min\(^{-1}\). The amounts of HMF and DFF were determined from a calibration curve obtained using standard solutions of these compounds. The following formula was used to calculate the selectivity to DFF:

\[
\text{Select.} (\%) = \frac{M_{t, \text{DFF}}}{M_{0, \text{HMF}} - M_{t, \text{HMF}}} \times 100\% \tag{2}
\]

where \(M_{t, \text{HMF}}\) and \(M_{t, \text{DFF}}\) are the quantities of moles at a moment \(t\) of HMF and DFF, respectively, and the \(M_{0, \text{HMF}}\) is the initial amount of HMF.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 2a shows the XRD pattern of the obtained TiO\(_2\). The main diffraction peaks of (101), (103), (004), (112), (200), (105), (211), (213), (204), (116), (220), and (215) correspond to the crystal planes of anatase phase. It is well known that anatase TiO\(_2\) exhibits more appropriate photocatalytic properties compared to rutile TiO\(_2\) [18]. Using Equation (1), the value of crystallite size of TiO\(_2\) NPs was determined to be 17.4 nm. The calculation was in good agreement with the TEM results.

![Figure 2. XRD patterns of TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles before (a) and after (b) photocatalysis.](image)

The EDS spectrum (inset in Figure 3a) shows the presence of peaks associated with the elements Ti (0.4 keV and 4.5 keV) and O (0.5 keV), confirming the characteristic components of TiO\(_2\).
The HRTEM image (Figure 3b) shows individual nanocrystals with clear one-dimensional lattice fringes. The interplanar distance of 0.35 nm of the TiO$_2$ NPs correspond to the (101) plane of anatase [19].

![HRTEM image](image)

**Figure 3.** SEM (a) and HRTEM (b) images of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles.

The specific surface area of TiO$_2$ NPs synthesized by the electrochemical method using pulse alternating current was measured using BET analysis. Figure 4 displays the N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms of TiO$_2$. The sample presents a type-IV isotherm with a H3 type hysteresis. The BET surface area was calculated to be 58.6 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$.

![BET isotherm](image)

**Figure 4.** N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherm of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles.

The detected product of HMF photooxidation is mainly DFF, which is consistent with literature [20]. In the dark or when exposed to light in the absence of a catalyst, no DFF was obtained, suggesting that both light and catalyst are necessary for this photocatalytic oxidation reaction. The efficiency of the electrochemically synthesized TiO$_2$ NPs in the HMF photooxidation reaction with and without scavengers was evaluated (Figure 5a). The corresponding DFF selectivity values were demonstrated in Figure 5b. As can be seen, HMF can be almost completely oxidized without adding any trapping species over 360 min at a light intensity of 3 mW cm$^{-2}$ with DFF selectivity of approximately 10%. Moreover, HMF degradation during self-photolysis cannot be ignored. It should be considered,
however, that the contribution of photolysis in the presence of photocatalyst is always less significant due to the shielding effect of the suspended powder.

**Figure 5.** Effect of scavengers on 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) photocatalytic oxidation (a) and 2,5-diformylfuran (DFF) selectivity (b). Experimental conditions: light irradiation intensity 3.0 mW cm$^{-2}$, catalyst dosage 1 g L$^{-1}$, HMF concentration 0.4 mM, O$_2$ flow 3 mL min$^{-1}$.

In order to improve the selectivity for the partial oxidation reaction, methanol and Na$_2$CO$_3$ were added to the reaction suspension as a hole and an OH$^\bullet$ scavenger, respectively. It was observed that a strong decrease in HMF oxidation efficiency was accompanied by an increase in the selectivity of DFF compared to a similar run carried out in the absence of methanol. It is noteworthy that the Na$_2$CO$_3$ trapping agent had a slight effect on DFF selectivity during HMF oxidation. These results indicate that in a methanol-containing photocatalytic system, alcohol acts as an efficient hole trap by preventing the deep mineralization of HMF and increasing selectivity for the target DFF. In a previous paper [9], a similar trend was observed for poorly crystallized TiO$_2$. The evolution of DFF slowed down with time (Figure 5b), which may be due to its overoxidation.

The effect of UVA light intensity on DFF selectivity was also examined. It was found that an increase in light intensity leads to an increase in overall efficiency of HMF degradation (Figure 6a), but decreases the selectivity of DFF (Figure 6b), probably due to higher contribution of direct photolysis processes at higher light intensities [11].

**Figure 6.** Experimental results on photocatalytic oxidation of HMF (a) and DFF selectivity (b) depending on irradiation intensity in the presence of electrochemically synthesized TiO$_2$ and methanol. Experimental conditions: catalyst dosage 1 g L$^{-1}$, HMF concentration 0.4 mM, methanol concentration 50 mM, O$_2$ flow 3 mL min$^{-1}$.
The obtained selectivity toward DFF (up to 33%) for electrochemically synthesized TiO$_2$ NPs was higher than for the previously reported titanium oxide samples prepared by microemulsion and sol–gel methods [9,12]. A run carried out for comparison with the commercial TiO$_2$ Evonik P25 (not reported here) showed that the commercial catalyst was more active in the decomposition of HMF; however, in this case, DFF was formed only in trace amounts (~1%). This may be due to the higher level of crystallinity in the commercial sample [9]. A comparison between the photocatalytic performance of the electrochemically synthesized TiO$_2$ NPs and other TiO$_2$ photocatalysts for HMF oxidation is presented in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Comparison of photocatalytic performance of the TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (NPs) with other TiO$_2$ photocatalysts for HMF oxidation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>HMF Concentration</th>
<th>Irradiation Type</th>
<th>HMF Conversion (%)</th>
<th>DFF Selectivity (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$-m</td>
<td>0.08 M</td>
<td>300 W Xe-lamp (250–2500 nm)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPA $^1$</td>
<td>0.5 mM</td>
<td>four 8 W Philips lamps (365 nm)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$ NPs $^1$</td>
<td>0.4 mM</td>
<td>Hamamatsu, LC5 (365 nm)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>the present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comm-TiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.4 mM</td>
<td>Hamamatsu, LC8 (365 nm)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>the present study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$—Run performed in the presence of 50 mM methanol.

The reusability of the synthesized TiO$_2$ NPs has been verified by recycling experiments during which TiO$_2$ NPs was reused by centrifugation and washing with water. Recycling experiments suggest that HMF could be converted into DFF in three consecutive runs using recollected photocatalyst. It is worth mentioning that the selectivity values became even higher after the second run (Figure 7) than can be attributed to the decrease in TiO$_2$ crystallinity.

![Figure 7. Cycling runs in photocatalytic oxidation of HMF over electrochemically synthesized TiO$_2$.](image)

The stability of the TiO$_2$ photocatalyst after the HMF photocatalytic oxidation has also been investigated using XRD analysis, which is shown in Figure 2b. The results suggested that the crystallinity of the TiO$_2$ NPs becomes slightly lower after its third usage, which may be due to the photocorrosion of the catalyst. In addition, the higher background in the diffraction pattern of the TiO$_2$ sample after photocatalysis is consistent with the appearance of some amorphous phase in the sample. However, no other diffraction peaks were observed after the third photocatalytic run.
4. Conclusions

Photocatalytic oxidation of HMF in water can provide an environmentally benign method to produce valuable DFF. Electrochemical synthesis using pulse alternating current was carried out to prepare TiO$_2$ nanoparticles with a higher selectivity toward DFF (up to 33%) compared to the commercial TiO$_2$ sample. Photocatalytic selective oxidation of HMF to form DFF using electrochemically synthesized TiO$_2$ can be scaled up after optimization of all parameters.
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