Combustion of Laser-Induced Individual Magnesium Microparticles under Natural Convection
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Abstract: Metal magnesium (Mg) fuels have been widely used in rocket propellants. The combustion study on individual Mg microparticles is crucial to the in-depth unveiling of the combustion mechanism of Mg-based propellants. In this paper, a new experimental setup was proposed to directly observe the combustion of individual micron-sized Mg particles, based on laser ignition and microscopic high-speed cinematography. The combustion process of individual Mg microparticles could be directly and clearly observed by the apparatus at high temporal and spatial resolutions. Individual Mg microparticles took gas phase combustion, and mainly underwent four stages: expansion, melting, gasification, ignition, and combustion. The ignition delay time and total combustion time had an exponential decay on the particle diameter, and they had a linear decay on the ignition power density. The melting took a dominant role in the whole burnout time. The gas-phase combustion flame seemed thick, inhomogeneous, and ring-like structure. The combustion model of individual Mg microparticles was built through combining the experimental results with the SEM, XRD, XPS, and EDS analysis of original samples and combustion residues. This study will be beneficial to understand the combustion process and reveal the combustion mechanism of metal microparticles besides Mg.
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1. Introduction

Compared to metals like aluminum and boron, magnesium (Mg) has the advantages of lower ignition temperature, shorter burn time, smaller molecular weight of combustion products [1–3], and lower oxygen consumption, which can burn completely at low oxygen fuel ratio in ramjet flying at high altitude [4]. Therefore, much more attention has been paid to the research of Mg-based propellants. The combustion of Mg particles in air is not only used in conventional ramjet engines, but also widely used in powdered fuel ramjets. In the conventional ramjet, the reaction of metal particles with the air produces high temperature gas, the high temperature gas then enters the secondary combustion chamber to drive the ramjet [5]. However, in a powdered fuel ramjet, the high-energy metal powder can directly enter the precombustion chamber in the form of two-phase flow under the action of fluidized gas and react with the ram air [6]. Therefore, it is of great significance to study the ignition and combustion of Mg particles in air, to further reveal the combustion mechanism of solid rocket propellant and applied in powdered fuel ramjet.
The performance of propellants largely depends on the combustion details of metal particles, including deformation, accumulation, ignition, and diffusion of combustion products, etc. Many experiments and theoretical studies have been carried out to unveil the combustion behavior of Mg in different ambiances. In the early 1960s, Cassel [7] carried out the experiment on the combustion of Mg particles with diameters of 20–120 μm in air or pure O₂. It was considered that the combustion of Mg particles in air was controlled by the diffusion rate of O₂. Prachukho et al. [8] studied the combustion characteristics of single micron-sized Mg particles in high temperature steam, which observed that Mg particles took gas phase combustion. Later, Derevyaga [9] studied the combustion mechanism of large Mg particles with diameters of 3, 4, and 6 mm in high temperature O₂. The combustion of Mg in O₂ could be divided into four stages: foam stage, gaseous oxidation stage, transition stage, and sputtering combustion stage. Shafirovich and Goldshleger [10,11] investigated the ignition and combustion characteristics of Mg particles in CO₂/CO in a high temperature furnace. It was found that the soot formed on the surface of Mg particles during ignition and combustion in CO₂. During ignition, the particles gradually melted and volatilized as the temperature elevated. When the temperature rose to a certain extent, the protective oxide film broke down, and then the particle took the gaseous combustion.

The combustion characteristics of Mg particles depend on not only the ambiances but also their physicochemical properties. Yuan et al. [12] conducted the thermal analysis on the reaction of Mg with nitrogen and oxygen mixture, which studied the reaction characteristics of Mg powder under different nitrogen concentration by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) method. The activation energy of Mg powder oxidation in air by the Kissinger–Akahira–Sunose (KAS) method was 234 kJ/mol. The activation energy related to the properties of corresponding protective film at the particle surface.

To further reveal the combustion behavior of individual Mg particles, Legrand et al. [13] studied the ignition and combustion performance of Mg particles levitated by an electrodynamic levitator in CO₂ gas. The results showed that the combustion of Mg particles in CO₂ consisted of two chemical reaction processes, i.e., gaseous combustion and heterogeneous reaction at the particle surface, but the whole combustion process of vapor phase was controlled by the diffusion rate.

In this work, we proposed a novel experimental apparatus to directly observe the ignition and combustion process of individual Mg microparticles by combining laser ignition and microscopic high-speed cinematography, like thermal expansion, melting, gasification, and heterogeneous combustion. The apparatus was simplified by optimizing optical design and integrating optical components, which can acquire the images of combustion at high temporal and spatial resolutions. Firstly, the physical parameters of Mg microparticles were characterized by multiple methodology of SEM, XRD, XPS, and EDS, etc. Secondly, the ignition and combustion characteristics were elaborately demonstrated based on the self-built experimental setup. The effects of particle diameter and ignition power density on the ignition and combustion characteristics were summarized. The combustion residues were characterized by SEM and EDS. Finally, the simple physical model of individual Mg microparticle combustion was built according to the experimental phenomena and theoretical analysis.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Materials

The Mg microparticles were prepared by melt atomization technique presented in our previous work [14]. The morphology of as-prepared Mg microparticles was characterized by SEM (Quanta 600FEG, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, America), as shown in Figure 1. Mg microparticles are spherical, there are a few cracks of reticular structure at the particle surface. According to PillingBedworth theory, the dense oxide film will form when the volume ratio of metal oxide to metal atom (Pilling–Bedworth ratio, RPB) is >1.
The RPB of Mg is of 0.8, thus the oxide film is not compact but porous [15], which is consistent with the present SEM results. Additionally, no significant agglomeration among Mg microparticles was observed. While it can be observed that few finer particles adhered on the surface of large particles, which attributed to the satellite drops produced during melt atomization.

**Figure 1.** SEM photography of Mg microparticles, (a) 800×, (b) 3000×.

The XRD pattern of Mg microparticles was obtained by an Empyrean X-ray diffractometer (Malvern Panalytical Ltd, Malvern, UK.) under Cu Ka radiation of 16 kW, as shown in Figure 2. In total, 8 characteristic diffraction peaks are at 2θ (marked as filled circles in Figure 2) about 32.20°, 34.40°, 36.63°, 47.83°, 57.38°, 63.06°, 68.64°, and 70.00°, which are in accordance with the diffraction peaks of Mg particles.

The as-prepared Mg microparticles were further characterized by XPS, the spectrum is shown in Figure 3. At the Mg particle surface, Mg and O elements were observed, suggesting that the surface of Mg microparticle was covered with the magnesium oxide or possible magnesium hydroxide. It concluded that the oxide shell outside of Mg microparticle was not compact according to Figures 1 and 3, unlike the compact alumina shell outside metallic aluminum.
The physical depth of interaction (<10 nm) of XPS analysis is less than the thickness of magnesium oxide film. Thus, to obtain the purity of Mg microparticles, the EDS analysis (depth of interaction >1 μm, INCA Energy 300, Oxford Instruments, Oxford, UK) was conducted. The position of interaction and corresponding spectrum are shown in Figure 4. It demonstrates that the purity of the Mg microparticle was ~100%, and the oxidation only occurred at the particle surface.
2.2. Experimental Setup

2.2.1. Laser Ignition

The schematic of experimental setup is shown in Figure 5, including laser ignition and microscopic high-speed cinematography parts. A continuous wave Nd: YVO₄ near-infrared laser (wavelength of 1064 nm, TEM₀⁰ mode, beam divergence of 1.5 mrad (full angle) and variable output power of 0–600 mW) was used to ignite individual Mg microparticles. The laser beam is first split into two beams by the splitter (1:9). The dominant forward beam transmits through the beam expander (3×) and the dichroic to the objective. The weak beam enters the probing of power meter to measure the laser power. The objective (Olympus Plan N 20×, NA of 0.40) focuses the beam to ignite Mg microparticles. The laser was continuously powered on throughout the test of each Mg microparticle. The beam power acted on the microparticles \( P \) was reduced by ~50% of laser output power owing to the attenuation of the lens and objective in the optical system. The laser beam spot profile was acquired by the high-speed camera, and the waist diameter of focused beam spot \( D_{\text{fbs}} \) could be measured by Gaussian fitting. The diameter of beam spot is 13.9 μm, less than those of Mg microparticles. Therefore, the ignition power density \( IPD \) can be expressed by the ratio of the beam power to the area of focal spot \( IPD = 4P/((\pi D_{\text{fbs}}^2)) \).

More details about the setup could be found in our previous work [16].

Figure 5. Schematic of experimental setup.

2.2.2. Microscopic High-Speed Cinematography

Microscopic high-speed cinematography part was composed of high-speed camera, filter, lens, dichroic, objective, illumination, and condenser. An individual Mg microparticle was magnified by the objective (20×). High-speed camera (Phantom Micro M310, Vision Research Inc., Wayne, NJ, USA) was utilized to record the combustion process. A lens was installed in front of high-speed camera. The notch filter of 1064 nm was inserted to minimize the influence of laser beam on the flame images. The illumination (LED lamp) and the condenser were used to supply uniform bright field for clear imaging of high-
speed camera. In this work, the recording frame ratios of high-speed camera was set to 11,000 fps. For all tests, the settings of high-speed camera kept the same.

A synchronizer was used to trigger the laser and high-speed camera. The heating expansion, melting, gasification, ignition delay time, and burnout time of Mg microparticles were determined using the time interval between laser onset and each representative stages occurrence recorded by high-speed camera.

2.3. Experimental Procedures

In the experiments, Mg microparticles were firstly dried in an oven at 120 °C for about 1 h. Then, Mg microparticles were fetched to cool to room temperature. Finally, Mg microparticles were delivered by the spoon controlled by manual vibration into the open combustor installed on a sample stage with 3-axis (X, Y, and Z) translation, and were sparsely distributed on the bottom of the combustion chamber. Mg microparticles were exposed into the air with natural convection at room temperature (25 °C), atmospheric pressure (1 atm) and relative humidity (39%).

In this work, 60 individual Mg microparticle samples were selected to conduct the laser ignition experiments. The detailed particle diameters were measured by the microscopy, their size distribution was shown in Figure 6. It demonstrates that the diameter of Mg microparticles ranged from 19 to 80 μm, and most of Mg microparticles were 45–75 μm.

The 3-axis translation platform was adjusted to keep an isolated individual Mg microparticle appear in the field of vision of the high-speed camera. It was to eliminate the influence of other Mg microparticles on the present Mg microparticle. Then, Mg microparticle was moved by 3-axis translation platform and positioned under the laser beam. The laser and the high-speed camera were synchronously triggered. The ignition and combustion of individual Mg particles could be clearly observed through the high-speed camera at high temporal and spatial resolutions.

The ignition and combustion behavior of Mg microparticles depends on the laser power density and particle size, thus the effects of the Mg particle diameter and the ignition power density on the ignition delay time and the combustion time were also investigated by analyzing the images recorded by high-speed camera. The combustion residues were finally sampled and tested.

![Figure 6. Particle diameter distribution of 60 individual Mg microparticles.](image-url)
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Combustion Stages of Individual Mg Microparticles

By observing the whole combustion processes of tens of individual Mg microparticle samples, it can be found that, under the continuous action of laser, these combustion processes could be divided into four stages: expansion stage with migration, melting stage, gasification stage, ignition, and combustion stage. In this work, Supplementary Material Video S1 was set an example to analyze the combustion process, which demonstrated the combustion of an individual Mg microparticle (initial diameter of 64.8 μm) induced by a CW laser with an ignition power density of 0.96 × 10^5 W/cm^2.

After a short heating time, the individual Mg microparticle firstly underwent a small degree of thermal expansion. During expansion, Mg microparticle took a migration owing to the photophoretic force resulting from uneven temperature distribution at two sides of microparticle along the laser propagation direction [17,18]. As Mg microparticle was consistently heated by the laser till melting temperature (648 °C), it began to deform due to melting. The microparticle with high sphericity became irregular, and the melting stage lasted for a long time. Before the particle completely melted, it is considered that there was no gasification of Mg. When the temperature continued to rise to the boiling point (1107 °C), molten Mg began to gasify. In the gasification stage, the vapor cloud can be clearly observed and quickly diffused. Finally, the gaseous Mg was heated till ignition temperature, and ignited by the laser. The flame brightness gradually intensified, resulting from gas phase combustion [19]. The evolution of combustion depended on the natural diffusion of O_2 in air. The flame gradually extinguished and the whole combustion process completed.

To demonstrate more details of the whole combustion of the Mg microparticle, each stage was separately discussed in the following sections.

3.1.1. Expansion with Migration

The results show that the ignition of individual Mg microparticles can be induced by the continuous radiation of 1064 nm laser. The position of the laser spot remained unchanged, and the expansion and deviation did not make the Mg microparticle escape from the irradiation of laser spot, as shown in Figure 7. After the laser irradiated for 2.91 ms, the position of the microparticle slightly shifted (Figure 7c), which is caused by the photophoretic force. Under the laser light field with high energy level, the microparticle absorbs or reflects light energy, which causes uneven energy distribution and temperature rise inside the particle. The gas molecules near the microparticle will also be heated, resulting in a temperature gradient, which leads to the unevenly heating of the local gas molecules, and thus makes the microparticle migrate irregularly. The similar migration phenomenon also occurred at 11.36 ms (Figure 7h), which is possible due to the temperature difference between two side of Mg microparticle. One side of the Mg microparticle was settled on a cold slide, while the other one was heated by the laser beam to keep a high temperature. The movement displacement was so small that the Mg microparticle still stood under the laser beam, and the Mg microparticle can be ignited by the laser beam. If the Mg microparticle escaped from the laser beam, the ignition would be stopped.

After the laser irradiated on the Mg microparticle for 5.82 ms (Figure 7d), a wisp of smoke was observed, which is attributed to H_2 release by analyzing XRD pattern (Figure 2). Upon heating, the solubility of hydrogen in Mg will increase with the increase in temperature, and the hydrogen will be released [20]. However, the solubility trend is only valid for the interstitial solid solution of H in Mg, and hydrogen may exist as a component of different phases, such as Mg(OH)_2. Some researchers [20,21] have shown that there is always Mg(OH)_2 film on the surface of Mg, and it begins to decompose at 350 °C. The decomposition products of Mg(OH)_2 are MgO and H_2O below 420 °C, and MgO and H_2 above 440 °C. Shevtsov et al. [22] also reported the existence of hydrogen in Mg. At 6.73 ms (Figure 7e), the obvious expansion of the Mg microparticle was observed. The expansion ratio depended on the temperature rise.
Figure 7. Representative snapshots of expansion and migration stage of individual Mg microparticle. (Images acquired from Supplementary Materials, Video S1, recording frame: 11,000 fps; exposure time: 99 μs). (a) Sample, (b) Laser on, 0 ms, (c) 2.91 ms, (d) 5.82 ms, (e) 6.73 ms, (f) 8.55 ms, (g) 8.64 ms, (h) 11.36 ms, (i) 12.36 ms, (j) 12.45 ms, (k) 12.64 ms, (l) 17.45 ms.

3.1.2. Melting

Figure 8 shows the melting process of the individual Mg microparticle. At 17.55 ms, the shape of Mg microparticle became irregular. Dreizin et al. [19] believed that the release of hydrogen might lead to deformation and fracture of metal particles. Even in the absence of hydrogen, the expansion would also lead to the rupture of the oxide shell on the surface of Mg. Derevyaga [6] studied the combustion of a single millimeter-sized spherical Mg particle, and it was found that the expansion of particle led to the rupture of the surface oxide layer and the exposure of liquid Mg. It suggests that the broken Mg particles reached the melting point temperature and began to melt. The partial solid phase Mg transformed into liquid phase Mg and outflowed the surface, so the shape of the microparticle became irregular. The whole melting process took a relatively long time (~93 ms) and completed until 110.64 ms in Figure 8j.

Figure 8. Representative snapshots of melting stage of individual Mg microparticle. (Images acquired from Supplementary Materials, Video S1, recording frame: 11,000 fps; exposure time: 99 μs). (a) 17.55, (b) 18.27 ms, (c) 23.09 ms, (d) 33.73 ms, (e) 53.00 ms, (f) 62.55 ms, (g) 84.73 ms, (h) 95.91 ms, (i) 110.09 ms, (j) 110.64 ms.
3.1.3. Gasification

When the laser continuously acted on the Mg microparticle for 110.73 ms shown in Figure 9a, it can be found that a lot of gaseous matters released from the microparticle surface (see Supplementary Material Video S1 for details). It suggests that the molten Mg reached the boiling point and began to vaporize, and the gasification process gradually proceeded. At 117.36 ms in Figure 9g, the vaporized Mg appeared as a smoke cloud. Compared to the melting time (~93 ms), the gasification time (~7 ms) was further shorter, indicating that the melting took a dominant role in the combustion process of the Mg microparticle.

![Figure 9](image_url)

**Figure 9.** Representative snapshots of gasification stage of individual Mg microparticle. (Images acquired from Supplementary Materials, Video S1, recording frame: 11,000 fps; exposure time: 99 μs). (a) 110.73 ms, (b) 111.00 ms, (c) 111.27 ms, (d) 111.55 ms, (e) 111.82 ms, (f) 116.45 ms, (g) 117.36 ms, (h) 118.09 ms.

3.1.4. Ignition and Combustion

The ignition and combustion process of the individual Mg microparticle is shown in Figure 10. The ignition position depended on the focal point of laser. In this case, the ignition delay time of the Mg microparticle of 64.75 μm in air was 118.18 ms at a laser ignition power density of 0.96 × 10⁵ W/cm². After ignition, the flame of the Mg microparticle appeared circle and homogeneous, and the initial diameter of flame front was 31.50 μm at 118.27 ms (Figure 10b). Then, the flame front quickly propagated. At 119.45 ms (Figure 10f), the diameter of flame front increased to 50.10 μm. Thus, at the rate of natural diffusion of oxygen in air, the flame propagation velocity reached ~ 15.8 mm/s. At the brightest moment of flame, the diameter of front was 52.08 μm, while the flame structure kept heterogeneous (Figure 10g). After that, the flame brightness gradually weakened and finally extinguished. The combustion time of gaseous phase Mg was 4.37 ms. The total combustion time was 122.55 ms.

It can be observed that the initial flame structure of the Mg microparticle after ignition seemed rings (Figure 10a–c). Among these pictures, Figure 10b was selected to analyze the flame structure for the best observation. In Figure 11a, the gray values along horizontal and vertical lines through the center of flame ring were extracted by self-programming digit imaging treatment method, as shown in Figure 11b,c, respectively. They clearly demonstrated that the brightness inside the flame was obviously lower than that of the flame edge, suggesting that the temperature of inner flame was lower than that of outer flame. The phenomenon kept good agreement with the flame structure studied by Legrand et al. [13], which revealed that the Mg microparticles take gas phase combustion despite in air, in H₂O or in CO₂, and the flame structure or the reaction zone is relatively thick.
Figure 10. Representative snapshots of ignition and combustion stage of individual Mg microparticle. (Images acquired from Supplementary Materials, Video S1, recording frame: 11,000 fps; exposure time: 99 μs). (a) 118.18 ms, (b) 118.27 ms, (c) 118.36 ms, (d) 118.55 ms, (e) 119.27 ms, (f) 119.45 ms, (g) 119.64 ms, (h) 119.73 ms, (i) 119.82 ms, (j) 119.91 ms, (k) 120.00 ms, (l) 120.91 ms, (m) 121.36 ms, (n) 121.82 ms, (o) 122.55 ms.

Figure 11. Flame structure of individual Mg microparticle, (a) flame picture at 118.27 ms (Figure 10 b), (b) gray values along central horizontal line, (c) gray values along central vertical line inside the flame ring structure.

From Figure 10f to Figure 10h, the flame structure took a significant transformation. The transformation of flame structure can also be observed from the images of other burning Mg microparticles, as shown in the region remarked by dotted oval of Figure 12.

Figure 12. The transformation of flame structure during the combustion of individual Mg microparticle. (a) Flame structure before transformation, (b) Flame structure after transformation.
3.2. Effect of Particle Diameter on Combustion Characteristics

The ignition and combustion characteristics of individual Mg microparticles depend on the particle diameter and the ignition power density, as shown in Figures 13 and 14. The total combustion time was divided into two parts, i.e., the ignition delay time and gas phase combustion time after ignition. The ignition delay time played a significant role in the combustion evolution of individual Mg microparticles. In comparison to the ignition delay time, the gas phase combustion time was shorter. At the same ignition power density \((1.61 \times 10^5 \text{ W/cm}^2)\), the ignition delay time and the total combustion time significantly increased with the increase in the particle diameter. The gas phase combustion time depended on the particle diameter and the diffusion rate of oxygen in air.

![Figure 13](image1.png)

**Figure 13.** Characteristic ignition and combustion time of individual Mg microparticles at the same ignition power density \((1.61 \times 10^5 \text{ W/cm}^2)\) against the particle diameter.

![Figure 14](image2.png)

**Figure 14.** Characteristic ignition and combustion time of individual Mg microparticles at the same diameter (~65 \(\mu m\)) versus the laser ignition power density.
3.3. Effect of Ignition Power Density on Combustion Characteristics

Figure 14 shows that for individual Mg microparticles with the particle diameter of \(\sim 65 \mu m\), their ignition delay time and the total combustion time largely decreased with the increase in the laser ignition power density. To shorten the total combustion time of individual Mg microparticles, it should be feasible to lower the ignition delay time through increasing the ignition power density or through reducing the particle diameter.

According to the above combustion process, the energy equation of an individual Mg microparticle in each stage can be obtained.

In the heating stage, only the surface reaction of Mg microparticle is considered, the energy equation can be expressed as:

\[
m_p C_p \frac{dT_p}{dt} = \dot{Q}_L + \dot{Q}_{HSR} - \dot{Q}_{loss}
\]

where \(m_p\) and \(C_p\) are the mass and specific heat of the Mg microparticle, respectively. \(\dot{Q}_L\), \(\dot{Q}_{HSR}\), \(\dot{Q}_{loss}\) are the laser ignition power, the release heat of heterogeneous oxidation reaction, and the heat loss containing the convection heat loss and the conduction loss to the chamber wall, respectively.

In the melting stage, only the surface reaction is considered, the temperature of the Mg microparticle remains at the melting point, and thus the energy equation can be written as:

\[
m_{sl} h_{sl} = \dot{Q}_L + \dot{Q}_{HSR} - \dot{Q}_{loss}
\]

where \(m_{sl}\) and \(h_{sl}\) are the melting rate and the latent heat of phase change, respectively.

In the combustion stage, the gas phase reaction and the surface reaction coexist, and the temperature of the Mg microparticle remains at the boiling point, and thus the energy equation can be considered as:

\[
m_{lg} h_{lg} = \dot{Q}_L + \dot{Q}_{HSR} + \dot{Q}_{Rad} - \dot{Q}_{loss}
\]

where \(m_{lg}\) and \(h_{lg}\) are the evaporating rate and latent heat of vaporization, respectively. \(\dot{Q}_{Rad}\) is the radiation heat from the gas phase combustion.

From the Equations (1)–(3), the increase in particle diameter results in the reduction in temperature rise rate, the melting rate and evaporating rate owing to the enhancement of particle mass \(m_p\). It delayed the ignition time and made the total combustion time longer. The elevation of ignition power density is beneficial to accelerate the temperature rise, melting, and evaporating rate, which shortens the ignition delay and total combustion time.

3.4. Combustion Residue Analysis

The morphology of combustion residue of the Mg microparticle was characterized by SEM and is shown in Figure 15. It can be seen from Figure 15a that after the combustion of Mg microparticle, the residue was approximately circular, flat, and slightly embedded in the surface of the glass substrate. Figure 15b shows the magnification of the local residue marked by dotted rectangle. The observation of the residue clearly shows that the morphology of the residue was not flying debris, while formed by solidification of falling oxides. The equivalent diameter of the range covered by combustion residue was about 2–3 times of the maximum cross-sectional diameter of the individual Mg microparticle before combustion. It is testified that the individual Mg microparticle took gas phase combustion.
The content of elements in combustion residue of the individual Mg microparticle was obtained by EDS analysis, as shown in Figure 16. It was found that the atomic percentage of Mg was 52.08%, and that of O is 32.57%. It indicates that Mg did not completely react with O, since the atomic percentage of MgO is 1:1. In addition to Mg and O elements, there were also Si, Cl, K, Ca, and other elements, which indicates that the glass substrate of the combustion chamber had indeed melted and bonded with the combustion residue of Mg microparticle.

3.5. Combustion Physical Model Analysis

To accurately describe the actual reaction characteristics of Mg microparticles, many researchers have carried out many experimental and theoretical studies on the combustion characteristics of single Mg microparticles. Based on the combustion theory of hydrocarbon fuel droplets, Brzustowski et al. [23] established a simplified rapid reaction model of Mg particles. It was assumed that the reaction process is controlled by diffusion, and the gas phase composition depends on the equivalence ratio. The fast reaction is completed in “thin surface area” and the reaction rate is infinitely fast. However, it was found by Edward [19] that the reaction rate of Mg on the surface is not infinite. In this work, the reaction zone demonstrated thick characteristics.
Combined with experimental phenomenon and theoretical research of Mg combustion, the combustion reaction model of an individual Mg microparticle is shown in Figure 17. As the Mg microparticle is heated by the laser, the temperature of microparticle surface quickly increases. The Mg expands (Figure 7) and takes solid state reaction with O₂ in air. With the increase in temperature, inside the microparticle, Mg melts from solid phase to liquid phase (Figure 8), and the temperature keeps constant. During melting, the O₂ dissolves the liquid state Mg and takes liquid phase reaction. After completely melting, the temperature continues to rise. Liquid phase Mg begins to evaporate and transforms into gaseous Mg vapor (Figure 9). Evaporated Mg takes a gas phase reaction with the diffusive O₂. As the heat released owing to exothermic reaction surpasses the heat loss to the air, the Mg vapor is ignited and takes a gas phase combustion (Figure 10). During combustion, the reaction zone \( \delta \) is large, leading to a relatively wide flame thickness (Figure 11).

![Figure 17. Schematic of physical model of individual Mg microparticle combustion.](image)

### 4. Conclusions

The experiments on combustion of individual Mg microparticles were conducted in the self-built experimental setup that combines the laser ignition with the microscopic high-speed cinematography. Based on the results and theoretical analysis, some conclusions can be reached as following:

1. The combustion process of individual Mg microparticles including four stages, i.e., expansion with migration, melting, gasification, ignition, and combustion, can be directly and clearly identified. The melting time is further longer than the evaporation time and gas phase combustion time. The melting plays a key role in the whole combustion process;
2. After individual Mg microparticles are ignited, initial outer flame presents the ring structure, unlike the reported thin flame layer with infinite fast reaction rate in the references, suggesting that the reaction zone or the flame thickness of Mg vapor in naturally diffusive air is wide;
3. The particle diameter and the ignition power density have significant effects on the ignition delay and combustion time. The ignition delay time shortens with the decrease in the particle diameter and the increase in the laser ignition power density. Since the gas phase combustion time is very short, the ignition delay time dominates the total combustion time.

This work will be beneficial to obtain the direct evidence through observing the complete combustion process of individual microparticles and to deeply understand the combustion mechanism.

**Supplementary Materials**: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/pr9081276/s1, Video S1: Supplementary material, video 1.
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