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Abstract: The effects of replacing over-mature forest with secondary forests and plantations are significant for terrestrial ecosystem carbon (C) dynamics. However, the carbon balance and recovery time of this replacement process remain unclear. This study measured the fluxes of CH4 and CO2 in soils and the annual net C sequestration (ANCS) from seven ecosystems with different vegetation types (over-mature forest (OMF), Korean pine plantation (KPP), hardwood forest (HWF), Betula platyphylla forest (BPF), Populus davidiana forest (PDF), mixed deciduous forest (MDF), and Mongolian oak forest (MOF)) using the static chamber-gas chromatography method and the relative growth equation method. We examined the effects of environmental factors (e.g., air and soil temperature, soil volumetric water content (SVWC), soil pH, nitrate nitrogen (NO3−-N), ammonium nitrogen (NH4+-N), and soil organic carbon (SOC)) on CH4 and CO2 fluxes at the Maoershan Ecosystem Research Station in Northeast China. The carbon source or sink of OMF, KPP, and five secondary forests (HWF, BPF, PDF, MDF, and MOF) were then evaluated based on net ecosystem C balance. The results revealed that the mean annual CH4 fluxes varied between −0.046 and −0.077 mg m−2 h−1. The mean annual absorption of CH4 in the secondary forests and OMF were respectively 1.09–1.67 times and 1.11 times higher than that of KPP (0.046 mg m−2 h−1, p < 0.05). The mean annual CO2 fluxes varied between 140.425 and 250.023 mg m−2 h−1. The CO2 fluxes in the secondary forests and KPP soils were respectively 1.33–1.78 times and 1.16 times higher than that of OMF (140.425 mg m−2 h−1, p < 0.05). The CH4 and CO2 fluxes were mainly influenced by air and soil temperature, SVWC, soil pH, NO3−-N, NH4+-N, and SOC in Northeast China. The ANCS of vegetation (3.41 ± 0.27 – 6.26 ± 0.75 t C ha−1 y−1) varied widely among different forest types: KPP had the largest ANCS (6.26 ± 0.75 t C ha−1 y−1, which was higher than secondary forests and OMF by 1.20–1.84 times and 1.46 times, respectively, p > 0.05). Carbon sources and sinks were significantly different among the seven types of vegetation: OMF and KPP were observed to be the greatest C sinks, and secondary forests were shown to be the weakest carbon sinks or net C sources in the study region.
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1. Introduction

Global climate changes caused by increasing major greenhouse gases (CH4, CO2, and N2O) in the atmosphere have aroused worldwide concerns [1]. Research has shown that the earth’s response
to greenhouse gases could be more sensitive than predicted, and global temperature could rise by more than 3 °C (1.8–4.0 °C) by the end of the 21st century compared to the end of the 20th century [2]. Therefore, it is urgent to enhance the Carbon (C) sinks capacity of terrestrial ecosystems which could effectively mitigate the increased CO$_2$ concentration in the atmosphere [3]. Forests have relatively stronger sustainability with the higher stability of structure and function in comparison with other terrestrial ecosystems (i.e., prairie ecosystem and desert ecosystem) [4]. They have stored approximately 40% and 80% of belowground and aboveground carbon in terrestrial ecosystems, respectively [5]. Therefore, the forest ecosystem has contributed significantly to global C sinks, and also has a positive effect on slowing or even reversing global warming [6,7].

In the past few decades, extensive research has focused on C cycling in forest ecosystems [8–10]. The preliminary assessments have shown that mature and growing forests generally remove carbon from the atmosphere at a rate of a few tons per hectare (t/ha) annually [11]. Carbon sinks were usually observed in boreal and hemiboreal forested areas [12,13]. Northern mid-latitude forests in the United States along with primary ponderosa pine forests in Oregon serve as a sink for atmospheric CO$_2$ [14,15]. However, several studies have shown that disturbances (such as harvest and fire) can transform mature forests from C sinks to sources [16,17]. Artificial afforestation and natural restoration after deforestation or fire are two effective ways to restore forest carbon sinks, while the recovery process is significantly influenced by the recovery mode [18,19]. Plantations and secondary forests are two methods for recovering from the effects of anthropogenic disturbances, and related source or sink characteristics will be significantly altered as land-use changes [20]; as such, the carbon balances of such regenerating forest have numerous uncertainties [21]. For instance, several commercial plantations have been observed to be net C sinks in the eastern United States [22,23]. A young ponderosa pine forest, which replaced an old-growth ponderosa pine forest, was shown to be a source of C to the atmosphere [15]. There is also uncertainty about the length of time required for the C balance recovery. For example, it took decades to recover the sink statuses in Siberia [16,17], the United States [15,24], and Europe [25] due to a wide range of emission rates. Net Primary Productivity (NPP) can take decades to exceed heterotrophic respiration in the boreal forest [26], and it took 20–30 years for Pacific Northwest regenerating coniferous forests to convert C source into net atmospheric CO$_2$ sinks following the disturbance of forest regeneration [27]. Simulations have indicated it could take between 50 and 100 years for regrowth to restore C sinks after a stand-replacing disturbance caused by a clearcut or fire [15]. Although this is influenced by forest management as far as C sequestration, the amount of time required for temperate secondary forests and plantations to convert carbon sources into forest sinks remains unclear.

Forestry practices may not only affect C sequestration but may also change soil respiration ($R_S$) [28]. As the structure and function of a forest ecosystem could be altered after the replacement of the over-mature forests by secondary forests and plantations [29], forest conversion has shown complex and changeable effects on $R_S$ [30]. An estimate based on limited data has shown that the conversion of natural forest to secondary forests and plantations has led to reduced $R_S$ by 32% and 46%–48%, respectively [31], while a significant increase in CO$_2$ emission was observed by stand-replacing from secondary forest to plantations [32]. However, the environmental changes (soil temperatures, soil organic material, etc.) caused by disturbance have been observed to decrease [33], increase [34,35], or have no effect on soil heterotrophic respiration rates [36,37]. Additionally, some evidence has shown that carbon emissions can be gradually restored to the state of native vegetation using the secondary succession process; however, significant differences were observed in its recovery time in the results among these researchers. It took 30 years for CH$_4$ emissions to be restored to forest level after conversion from pasture to forest in Costa Rica and Indonesia [38,39], 40 years in Switzerland [40], and 100 years in Denmark [41]. The phenomenon was caused by various factors (such as vegetation types and composition, climate conditions, and the activities of microbial enzymes, and so on) [42]. Therefore, a comprehensive study should be conducted on C balance based on NPP and $R_S$ at the
ecosystem level of the over-mature forest, plantations, and secondary forests; this type of study is greatly needed in the temperate region of Asia.

Temperate forest in Northeast China is the largest temperate mixed forest in Asia. These forests have an important impact on the national C balance due to recent changes in land use including large standing tree volume and forested area [43,44], which account for 34.5% and 35.3% of the whole nation, respectively [30]. Due to the disturbance of human activities, secondary forests, which were developed due to the degradation of over-mature forest, have become the principal source of the current forest resources in China [45]. Therefore, the influence of secondary forests and plantations on C balance should be explored further to assist researchers in understanding their potential effects on climatic variation [46]. The specific objectives of the present study are twofold: (1) quantify the C emissions (CH$_4$ and CO$_2$) from soil heterotrophic respiration, vegetation NPP, and net carbon sequestration of over-mature forest, Korean pine plantation, and five major natural secondary forests; and (2) evaluate the effects of replacement of over-mature forest by Korean pine plantation and secondary forests on C sources and sinks of temperate forests at the Maoershan Ecosystem Research Station in Northeast China. Our results could be critical for estimating regional and national C sinks of forests and provide the basis for forest management guidelines.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Site and Plot Layout

The research presented in this paper is an experiment that was conducted at the Maoershan Ecosystem Research Station of Northeast Forestry University, Heilongjiang Province, Northeast China (127°30’–34°E, 45°20’–25°N). This region represents typical forest types and landscapes with an average altitude of 300 m above the mean sea level in Northeast China. The study area is characterized by a continental monsoon climate in the north temperate zone with a mean annual temperature of 2.8 °C, and mean minimum and maximum air temperatures are −21.5 and 32.0 °C, respectively [47]. Annual precipitation ranges from 600 to 800 mm, of which 80% falls in July and August. The parent material is granite bedrock with dark brown forest soil. Early frost occurs in September, late frost in May, and the frost-free period ranges from 120 to 140 days [48].

Korean pine is the dominant species in primary Chinese temperate forests and is mixed with such deciduous species as Quercus spp., Populus spp., and Betula spp., etc [44]. Since the turn of the 20th century, China’s over-mature forest has been harvested on a large scale for industrial use and replaced by secondary forests and plantations [49]. A representative land-use sequence was selected for the present research in this region, including an over-mature mixed-broadleaved Korean pine forest, five major secondary forests, and a Korean pine plantation. The over-mature forest located at the top of a mountain was an over-mature forest that had never been damaged or disturbed by human activities. The five secondary forests were regenerated naturally following the harvest of the over-mature mixed-broadleaved Korean pine forest between 1949 and 1953. The Korean pine plantation was also converted from the over-mature forest by reforestation in 1964. The above seven forest types had the same homogeneous substrate and original vegetation in history. The over-mature forest and six restored forests were all in the natural growth state without human disturbance or fertilization. They had a similar regional climate and topography, with slopes ranging from 7° to 23°. Seven forest types were distributed under different site conditions: over-mature forest at moist fertile gentle upper slopes, Mongolian oak forest at arid infertile steep upper slopes, mixed deciduous forest and Populus davidiana forest at well-drained fertile gentle mid-slopes, Betula platyphylla forest and Korean pine plantation at well-drained fertile gentle toe slopes, and hardwood forest at moist fertile gentle toe slopes [48]. The basic characteristics of these forest types are summarized in Table 1.
Table 1. Characteristics of stands from which sample trees were harvested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest Type</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>Main Species Composition</th>
<th>Land-use History</th>
<th>Density (Tress ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>BA (m² ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>DBH (cm) Mean Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OMF</td>
<td>&gt;150</td>
<td>(8), 9, 2, 3, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14</td>
<td>Natural forest</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>25.3 4.0-69.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPP</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>(8), 6, 3, 9, 5, 7, 6</td>
<td>Reforestation in 1965</td>
<td>2111</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>15.0 3.9-34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWF</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>(4), (3), 2, (7)</td>
<td>Natural regeneration after clearcutting in 1955</td>
<td>1578</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>10.1 1.6-50.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPF</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>(6), 15, 12, 17, 18</td>
<td>Natural regeneration after clearcutting in 1950</td>
<td>3022</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>7.4 1.4-34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>(6), (5), 7, 4, 3, 2</td>
<td>Natural regeneration after clearcutting in 1950</td>
<td>1833</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>12.1 1.6-49.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDF</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2, 7, 4, 3, 5, 6</td>
<td>Natural regeneration after clearcutting in 1949</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>11.3 1.9-48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOF</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>(1), 2, 3, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>Natural regeneration after clearcutting in 1949</td>
<td>1511</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>13.7 2.0-55.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Note: BA stands for the basal area; DBH for diameter at breast height; OMF for the over-mature forest; KPP for Korean pine plantation; HWF for hardwood forest; BPF for *Betula platyphylla* forest; PDF for *Populus davidiana* forest; MDF for the mixed deciduous forest; MOF for Mongolian oak forest. HWF, BPF, PDF, MDF, and MOF were five major secondary forests. **(1), Quercus mongolica Fisch.; (2), Tilia amurensis Rupr.; (3), Fraxinus mandshurica Rupr.; (4), Phellodendron amurense Rupr.; (5), Populus davidiana Dode.; (6), Betula platyphylla Suk.; (7), Juglans mandshurica Maxim.; (8), Pinus koraiensis Sieb. et Zucc.; (9), Betula costata Trautv.; (10), Acer ukurunduense Trautv. et Mey; (11), Abies nephrolepis (Trautv.) Maxim; (12), Ulmus laciniata (Trautv.) Mayr; (13), Acer tegmentosum Maxim; (14), Acer mono Maxim; (15), Larix gmelinii Rupr.; (16), Picea koraiensis Nakai; (17), Ulmus japonica (Rehd.) Sarg; (18), Alnus sibirica Fisch. ex Turcz.

The experiment was designed as a randomized complete block with three randomly selected sites in each forest type [50]. The three sites were approximately 0.5 km apart, and each site was approximately 0.06 ha (20 × 30 m) in size. A comprehensive survey of soil and vegetation was conducted at the end of April 2015 to ensure the comparability (e.g., similar basic landform type in low mountain and hilly regions with an average slope of 10°–15°; the soil is dark brown forest soil) of the soil sampling plots. Three static chambers were randomly placed in each forest type.

2.2. Study Measurements

2.2.1. Carbon Sequestration and NPP

Based on significant differences in climate conditions and forest growth, annual experimental data were organized into six periods; Spring: March to May; Summer: June to August; Fall: September to November; Winter: December to February (the following year); Growing season: early May to late October; and Non-growing season: early November to mid-to-late April (the following year).

The vegetation at the Maoershan Ecosystem Research Station of Northeast Forestry University was investigated in 2015. For arborous plants, the diameter at breast height (DBH) was measured with a caliper and recorded before and after the growing season in 2015. Species of both living and dead arborous trees were also recorded. The annual net primary productivity of each tree in 21 plots was determined from the difference between the two DBH measurements using allometric equations [48]. Carbon sequestration was calculated from annual net primary productivity estimates and C concentration which were determined previously from a destructive sampling of biomass components for the studied arborous species within the Maoershan Ecosystem Research Station [51].

Shrubby and herbaceous plants (i.e., herbs, mosses, and grasses) were surveyed in five 5 × 5 m and 10 1 × 1 m subplots within each of the 21 fixed plots. Within these temporary plots, specimens were clipped at ground level and all species of shrubby and herbaceous plants (underground and aboveground) were collected and measured (measuring the fresh weight of plant samples). All the plant samples were dried at 70 °C for 24 h. The samples were then weighed, and the water content was calculated. Finally, the dry plant biomass of the shrubby and herbaceous layers was obtained. The biomass of the shrubby layer divided by its average age (5 years) provided the annual net primary production of the shrubby layer. The annual biomass of the herbaceous layer was calculated by the sum of the annual underground biomass (annual new roots near the stem and lighter in color) and aboveground biomass (all the parts on the ground) in each of the 21 fixed plots. As the growing season
was relatively short, only one sampling period was needed [52], and the peak standing biomass was
the estimated aboveground herbaceous biomass at the end of the growing season [53].

Plant samples (stem, root, branch, and foliage of arborous plants; root, branch, and foliage of
shrubby plants; aboveground stem, aboveground foliage, and underground root of herbaceous plants)
were dried at 70 °C for 24 h, weighed, and ground (in a Taisei mill with a 40-mesh screen; 0.85 mm
mesh), and C concentration of subsamples were analyzed by a Multi CN 3100 analyzer (Analytik Jena
AG, Jena, Germany).

The product of the annual net primary productivity at respective vegetation layers (arborous
layer, shrubby layer, and herbaceous layer) multiplied by the corresponding carbon concentrations
resulted in the annual net carbon sequestration. The sum of the annual net carbon sequestration at the
three vegetation layers was the total annual net carbon sequestration of all vegetation layers.

2.2.2. Soil Gas Fluxes

Soil gas fluxes were measured using the static chamber-gas chromatography method. The static
chamber was made of a stainless-steel square box consisting of two parts, the removable box (without
a bottom, 0.5 × 0.5 × 0.5 m) and a fixed understructure (without a top, 0.5 × 0.5 × 0.2 m) [54]. By using
stainless-steel channels, the fixed understructure remained in place for the duration of the year-long
sample collections. The channels were inserted 10 cm into the soil and filled with water to make
the system air-tight [55], and sticky tape and snow were used to maintain the seal in winter. The
removable box was inserted directly into the understructure during sample collection. Before sample
collection, it had to be determined that no vegetation was present in the fixed understructure. Two
battery-operated fans on the top of each chamber (10 cm in diameter) were used to circulate the air
inside the chamber when it was closed [50, 55]. Two holes of 2 cm in diameter were made on the top
of each chamber and were plugged with two rubber stoppers. One hole served as a sampling port,
and the other was used to insert the digital thermometer probe. The electrical cord for the fan also
passed through the top of the chamber, and the holes were sealed with silica gel [56]. Thermal foam
insulation material was fastened to the exterior surface of the removable box to reduce the fluctuation
of temperature in the removable box.

Soil gas fluxes were measured three times per month from May 2015 through April 2016, generally
between 08:00 a.m. and 11:30 a.m. local time [57]. For CH\textsubscript{4} and CO\textsubscript{2}, gas samples (50 mL each) were
collected every 10 min using 50-mL plastic syringes which were made air tight with a three-way
stopcock: four 50-mL samples of the air from the chambers were withdrawn at intervals of 0, 10, 20,
and 30 min after closing the chamber [58]. After drawing the samples, the air in the syringes was
transferred to previously evacuated and sealed reservoir bags, and was then brought to the laboratory
for analysis. The headspace volume and air temperature inside the chamber were recorded to calculate
the flux of CH\textsubscript{4} and CO\textsubscript{2} [55]. The collecting gas samples from inside the chambers and the monitoring
of environmental factors (air temperature, as well as moisture and soil temperature at 0–40 cm below
the soil surface) in each chamber were collected simultaneously. The collected gas samples were taken
to the laboratory and analyzed for CH\textsubscript{4} and CO\textsubscript{2} within 24 h using a gas chromatograph (Agilent
7890A, Agilent Co., Santa Clara, CA, USA). Sample sets were rejected unless they yielded a linear
regression value of $r^2$ greater than 0.9 for CH\textsubscript{4} and CO\textsubscript{2} [59].

2.2.3. Soil Properties Analysis

Over one collection year, after soil gas collection at the end of every month, the surface litter
beside each chamber location was removed, and a soil core of approximately 5 cm in diameter and
40 cm in length was also collected. After collection, soil samples were immediately transported in
an insulated cooler to the laboratory and stored at +4 °C before analysis [57]. The nitrate nitrogen
(NO\textsubscript{3}-N) and ammonium nitrogen (NH\textsubscript{4}+-N) of the soil were extracted with a 2 M KCl solution (soil:
solution, 1:5) and then filtered through a 0.45 μm filter. The extracted solutions were measured by an
AA3 Continuous Flow Analytical System. The collected soils (selecting a portion of collected soils from
the experimental area) were sieved to 2 mm. An aliquot (approximately 10 g) of dried soil samples was used to measure soil pH. Soil pH was determined in suspensions composed of a 1:5 ratio of soil to water with a PHS-3S pH meter [50]. Air and soil temperature were measured using digital thermometers beside each chamber location. The soil temperature measure had eight different depths down from the layers: 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, and 40 cm. Soil volumetric water content (cm$^3$H$_2$O cm$^{-3}$ soil) was measured using a portable soil moisture tester (HS2, USA), which consisted of a soil moisture sensor and a handheld display. Soil organic carbon was analyzed using a multi-N/C 2100 analyzer (Analytik Jena AG, Jena, Germany).

2.2.4. Carbon Source and Sink Analysis

In order to determine the amount of carbon source and sink in over-mature forest, secondary forests, and Korean pine plantations in Northeast China, an evaluation was conducted based on annual net ecosystem carbon balance between May 2015 and May 2016 (the difference between the annual net carbon sequestration and the carbon emissions from soil heterotrophic respiration). It should be noted that throughout the research presented in this paper, carbon sinks are given a positive sign and carbon sources are given a negative sign.

2.3. Calculation and Statistical Analysis

Results are expressed as means with standard errors. Annual net primary productivity and annual net carbon sequestration, CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ fluxes, air and soil temperature, soil volumetric water content and pH, soil NO$_3^-$-N and NH$_4^+$-N, and soil organic carbon (SOC) for each treatment were calculated by averaging the three replicates for each sampling. The normality of distribution of all the experimental data was examined before the repeated measures using the Shapiro–Wilk’s test. The difference in CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ fluxes in different seasons and vegetation types were determined by one-way analyses of variance (LSD; \(p < 0.05\)); Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) was conducted to assess the correlation between environmental factors and CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ fluxes across seasons; stepwise multiple linear regression was developed to quantify the response of the environmental variables to CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ fluxes in seven forest types. All data analyses were carried out using SPSS Statistics 17.0.

3. Results

3.1. Soil Properties

The annual average air temperature decreased in the following order: Mongolian oak forest (MOF) (6.93 ± 0.05 °C) > over-mature forest (OMF) (5.92 ± 0.01 °C) > Korean pine plantation (KPP) (5.63 ± 0.01 °C) > mixed deciduous forest (MDF) (4.93 ± 0.05 °C) > Betula platyphylla forest (BPF) (4.79 ± 0.02 °C) > Populous davidiana forest (PDF) (4.05 ± 0.21 °C) > hardwood forest (HWF) (3.44 ± 0.16 °C). As presented in Table 2, it can be observed that the annual average air temperature in OMF and KPP was higher than that of secondary forests (except for MOF) by 0.99–2.48 °C and 0.70–2.19 °C. The annual average soil temperature varied from 4.17 to 7.20 along with the seven sites, and it was higher in OMF than that in secondary forests by 0.30–3.03 °C and in KPP by 2.78 °C. The annual average soil volumetric water content was 35.45% in OMF that was higher by 27.1% and 14.9%–48.0% than that in KPP and secondary forests (except for HWF). Soil pH ranged from 5.43 to 6.02. The concentration of NO$_3^-$-N in KPP was 9.76 mg kg$^{-1}$ which was significantly higher than that in OMF (5.42 mg kg$^{-1}$) and secondary forests (1.09–2.58 mg kg$^{-1}$) by 80.1% and 278.3%–795.4%, and NO$_3^-$-N in OMF was higher than that of secondary forests by 110.1%–397.2%. The concentration of NH$_4^+$-N in KPP (24.12 mg kg$^{-1}$) was significantly higher than that in OMF (6.46 mg kg$^{-1}$) and secondary forests (4.32–4.97 mg kg$^{-1}$) by 273.4% and 385.3%–458.3%, and NH$_4^+$-N in OMF was higher than that in secondary forests by 30.0%–49.5% (\(p > 0.05\)). Soil organic C was 72.02, 55.26, and 48.42–96.37 g kg$^{-1}$ in OMF, KPP, and secondary forests, respectively. SOC in HWF soils was also significantly higher than that in all the
forest stands by 33.8%–99.0%. It was significantly higher in OMF than that in KPP and PBF, and MOF by 30.3%, 38.6%, and 48.7%.

Table 2. Physico-chemical properties of experimental sites. Data are expressed as mean ± SE, n = 12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>OMF</th>
<th>KPP</th>
<th>HWF</th>
<th>BPF</th>
<th>PDF</th>
<th>MDF</th>
<th>MOF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air T (°C)</td>
<td>5.92 ± 0.01B</td>
<td>5.63 ± 0.01B</td>
<td>3.44 ± 0.16E</td>
<td>4.79 ± 0.02C</td>
<td>4.05 ± 0.21D</td>
<td>4.93 ± 0.05C</td>
<td>6.93 ± 0.05A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil T (°C)</td>
<td>7.20 ± 0.1A</td>
<td>4.42 ± 0.01E</td>
<td>4.17 ± 0.06F</td>
<td>5.24 ± 0.07D</td>
<td>5.63 ± 0.04C</td>
<td>5.17 ± 0.15D</td>
<td>6.90 ± 0.11B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil moisture (%)</td>
<td>35.45 ± 0.49A</td>
<td>27.90 ± 0.12C</td>
<td>34.42 ± 0.33A</td>
<td>26.58 ± 0.32D</td>
<td>30.84 ± 0.41B</td>
<td>27.35 ± 0.56D</td>
<td>23.96 ± 0.47E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil moisture (%)</td>
<td>35.45 ± 0.49A</td>
<td>27.90 ± 0.12C</td>
<td>34.42 ± 0.33A</td>
<td>26.58 ± 0.32D</td>
<td>30.84 ± 0.41B</td>
<td>27.35 ± 0.56D</td>
<td>23.96 ± 0.47E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3$-N (mg kg$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>5.42 ± 0.35B</td>
<td>9.76 ± 0.19A</td>
<td>2.58 ± 0.26C</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.13D</td>
<td>2.22 ± 0.42C</td>
<td>2.18 ± 0.35C</td>
<td>1.98 ± 0.20C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_4$+N (mg kg$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>6.46 ± 0.48B</td>
<td>24.12 ± 2.32A</td>
<td>4.97 ± 0.07B</td>
<td>4.95 ± 0.66B</td>
<td>4.60 ± 1.69B</td>
<td>4.38 ± 0.75B</td>
<td>4.32 ± 1.19B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil organic C (g kg$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>72.02 ± 7.25B</td>
<td>55.26 ± 1.08CD</td>
<td>96.37 ± 8.15A</td>
<td>51.96 ± 3.32D</td>
<td>61.77 ± 2.07BCD</td>
<td>69.92 ± 4.52BC</td>
<td>48.42 ± 2.37D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The different capital letters after numerical values indicate statistically significant differences within physico-chemical properties under different vegetation types ($p < 0.05$).

3.2. Emission of GHGs

3.2.1. Methane Emission

The fluxes of CH$_4$ from seven forest types indicated a consistent net soil consumption of CH$_4$ (i.e., negative CH$_4$ flux) (Figure 1 and Table 3). The mean annual CH$_4$ fluxes varied between $-0.046$ and $-0.077$ mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$, and increased in the order of Korean pine plantation ($-0.046$ mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) < over-mature forest ($-0.051$ mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) < secondary forest (between $-0.046$ and $-0.077$ mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) (Table 3), and significant differences were observed among the seven forest types ($p < 0.05$), of which, four secondary forest types (except for BPF) were significantly higher than that of KPP by 32.6%–67.4%, and two secondary forest types (MDF and MOF) were 37.3%–51.0% greater than that of OMF (Figure 1 and Table 3). Among five secondary forests, the annual CH$_4$ absorption in MDF was 24.2%–54.0% greater than that of the other three forests except for MOF (Table 3). Seasonality had a significant impact on CH$_4$ absorption: the maximum CH$_4$ absorption value primarily occurred in May or June (summer), while little emission value was found in January in only a few types (winter) (Figure 1 and Table 3). Variation in CH$_4$ absorption was pronounced during the growing season($-0.079$–$0.101$ mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) compared to the non-growing season($-0.016$–$0.060$ mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) for all land-use types, of which the former was 1.7 to 5.5 times bigger than that of the latter (Table 3). No significant difference was observed in mean CH$_4$ absorption values during the growing season, but significant differences were observed during the non-growing season. The mean CH$_4$ absorption values in secondary forests (except for BPF) was significantly higher than that of KPP by 118.8%–275.0%, and in MDF and MOF the absorption value was 74.2% and 93.5% greater than that of OMF, which in OM was 93.8% greater than that of KPP during the growing season (Table 3). It was also found that the difference of the mean CH$_4$ absorption during the non-growing season was the primary cause of the differences in absorption value of the mean annual CH$_4$ among seven forest types.
were 4.8 to 11.2 times bigger than that of the non-growing season (26.743–87.042 mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) during the growing season (299.579–1192.280 mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) were 4.8 to 11.2 times bigger than that of the non-growing season (26.743–87.042 mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$).

**Table 3.** Methane fluxes (mg CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) from the over-mature forest, Korean pine plantation, and five secondary forest soils at the Maoershan Ecosystem Research Station in Northeast China. Data are expressed as mean ± SE, n = 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observe Period</th>
<th>Vegetation Types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OMF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>-0.072 ± 0.010Da</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>-0.062 ± 0.003Ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>-0.052 ± 0.004Ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>-0.018 ± 0.003Ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS</td>
<td>-0.069 ± 0.006Ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGS</td>
<td>-0.031 ± 0.004Ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aa</td>
<td>-0.051 ± 0.003Ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The different lowercase letters after numerical values indicate statistically significant differences within treatments among different seasons. The different capital letters after numerical values indicate statistically significant differences within seasons among different treatments. Abbreviations: Gs—Growing Season; Ngs—Non-growing Season; Aa—Annual average.

### 3.2.2. Carbon Dioxide Emission

A significant difference in the mean annual CO$_2$ fluxes was observed from the seven forest types, which decreased in the following order: secondary forest (186.387–250.023 mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) > Korean pine plantation (163.441 mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) > over-mature forest (140.425 mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$). Specifically, four secondary forests (except for HWF) averaged higher CO$_2$ flux by 33.0%–53.0% compared with KPP, and five secondary forests averaged higher CO$_2$ flux by 32.7%–78.0% compared with OMF (p < 0.05) (Table 4). However, no significant difference was observed between OMF and KPP (the latter was higher than that of the former by 16.4%, p > 0.05). Among the five secondary forests, both MDF and PDF were 34.1% and 21.1% greater than that of HWF, respectively (Table 4).

There were clear seasonal dynamics apparent in the CO$_2$ fluxes, which increased rapidly since the start of early spring; then the CO$_2$ peak flux occurred in summer when the soil temperature was relatively high, and humidity was moderate. Figure 2 showed a trend of fluctuating downward during the late summer and fall, and the emissions were already low during winter when both soil temperature and moisture were low. The mean CO$_2$ fluxes during the growing season (299.579–492.280 mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) were 4.8 to 11.2 times bigger than that of the non-growing season (26.743–87.042 mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) (Table 4).
The mean CO₂ fluxes in four secondary forests (except for HWF) were significantly higher than that of KPP by 28.6%–50.7% and in OMF by 40.2%–64.3%, respectively. No significant difference was observed in OMF and KPP. During the non-growing season, the four secondary forest types (except for HWF) were significantly higher than that of KPP by 52.2%–85.9%, and five secondary forest types were 139.1%–225.5% greater than that of OMF, but no significant difference was observed between OMF and KPP. Table 4 shows that the difference of the mean CO₂ fluxes during both growing and non-growing seasons was the primary cause of the differences in the mean annual CO₂ emissions among seven forest types.

Figure 2. Temporal emission of carbon dioxide from soil samples of seven forest types during May 2015 and April 2016.

Table 4. Carbon dioxide fluxes (mg CO₂ m⁻² h⁻¹) from the over-mature forest, Korean pine plantation, and five secondary forest soils at the Maoershan Ecosystem Research Station in Northeast China. Data are expressed as mean ± SE, n = 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetation Types</th>
<th>OMF</th>
<th>KPP</th>
<th>HWF</th>
<th>BPF</th>
<th>PDF</th>
<th>MDF</th>
<th>MOF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>344.022 ± 58.402Ba</td>
<td>403.728 ± 8.646DEa</td>
<td>417.525 ± 3.152CDEa</td>
<td>505.714 ± 21.556BCa</td>
<td>491.544 ± 22.390BCDa</td>
<td>615.834 ± 23.961Aa</td>
<td>492.280 ± 31.230Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>83.378 ± 11.224Cbc</td>
<td>92.808 ± 2.466BCc</td>
<td>121.463 ± 5.368ABc</td>
<td>146.722 ± 10.923Ac</td>
<td>138.262 ± 16.974Ac</td>
<td>153.552 ± 10.633Ac</td>
<td>120.554 ± 4.170ABc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>5.035 ± 0.418Cca</td>
<td>20.063 ± 0.816Bbd</td>
<td>21.297 ± 6.254BD</td>
<td>19.263 ± 2.307Ba</td>
<td>32.750 ± 1.315Ad</td>
<td>15.347 ± 2.192Be</td>
<td>24.763 ± 3.964ABd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ngs</td>
<td>299.579 ± 47.196Ca</td>
<td>326.703 ± 6.494Ca</td>
<td>357.814 ± 6.434BCa</td>
<td>435.952 ± 8.252Ba</td>
<td>420.000 ± 21.039Ab</td>
<td>490.290 ± 23.938Aa</td>
<td>421.820 ± 26.698Ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The different lowercase letters after numerical values indicate statistically significant differences within treatments among different seasons. The different capital letters after numerical values indicate statistically significant differences among different treatments. Abbreviations: Gs—Growing Season; Ngs—Non-growing Season; Aa—Annual average.

3.2.3. Key Soil Factors Affecting CH₄ and CO₂ Fluxes

Correlation analysis of greenhouse gas with environmental temperature and soil volume water content for each land-use type is depicted in Table 5. CH₄ fluxes were significantly negatively correlated with environmental temperature (air and soil temperature, 0–40 cm soil depth). CH₄ fluxes from five secondary forests were significantly negatively correlated with soil volumetric water content (SVWC) at different soil depths. However, CH₄ fluxes did not display any pronounced dependency on
SVWC for OMF (Table 5). Note that CH4 fluxes from KPP were significantly negatively correlated with environmental temperature, but positively correlated with SVWC (Table 5).

CO2 fluxes, as measured from KPP, OMF, and secondary forests, were significantly positively correlated with air and soil temperature (0–40 cm soil depth) (Table 5). The results showed no correlation between CO2 fluxes and SVWC for OMF (Table 5). However, the CO2 emission in KPP was negatively correlated with the surface SVWC (0–10 cm soil depth) and a significantly positive correlation was observed between CO2 fluxes from secondary forests except for HWF, which showed a negative correlation (15–40 cm) (Table 5).

**Table 5.** Correlations between CH4 and CO2 fluxes and environmental temperature and soil volume water content in seven types of vegetation at the Maoershan Ecosystem Research Station in Northeast China.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Layer/cm</th>
<th>Sample Site</th>
<th>OMF</th>
<th>KPP</th>
<th>HWF</th>
<th>BPF</th>
<th>PDF</th>
<th>MDF</th>
<th>MOF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air T</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temperature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temperature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soil volume water content</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Note: No significant relationships were observed between CH4 and CO2 fluxes and pH, NO3−-N, NH4+-N, and SOC. Therefore, the results are not listed in the table. ns: not significant; * p < 0.05 level of significant; ** p < 0.01 level of significant. |

Stepwise multiple linear regression was used to identify the key environmental factors affecting CH4 and CO2 fluxes. The results indicated that air and soil temperature, SVWC, pH, NO3−-N, NH4+-N, and SOC were the dominant factors controlling CH4 and CO2 fluxes from seven types of vegetation, and the CH4 and CO2 fluxes were influenced by different key environmental factors in the different forest types (Table 6).

In OMF, CH4 fluxes had significant negative correlations with pH, NH4+-N, and SOC (p < 0.05). In KPP, CH4 fluxes had significant positive correlations with SVWC, NO3-N, and NH4+-N, and negative correlations with air temperature (p < 0.01). In five secondary forests, CH4 fluxes had significant positive correlations with air temperature and negative correlations with soil temperature in HWF
(p < 0.05) and MDF (p < 0.1). In BPF, CH\textsubscript{4} fluxes had significant negative correlations with soil temperature and SOC, and positive correlations with SVWC and NO\textsubscript{3}-N (p < 0.001). In PDF and MOF, CH\textsubscript{4} fluxes had significant negative correlations with air temperature (p < 0.05) and pH (p < 0.1), respectively (Table 6).

CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes, as measured from KPP, OMF, and secondary forests, were significantly positively correlated with soil temperature (p < 0.001) (Table 6). In addition, CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes from OMF also had significant positive correlations with SVWC and NO\textsubscript{3}-N (p < 0.001). In KPP, CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes also had significant negative correlations with SVWC and NO\textsubscript{3}-N, and a positive correlation with pH (p < 0.001). In PDF, MDF, and MOF, CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes also had significant correlations with air temperature (negative correlations in MDF and MOF), SVWC (positive correlations in PDF and MDF), pH (negative correlations in PDF), NO\textsubscript{3}-N (negative correlations in MOF), and SOC (negative correlations in PDF, MDF, and MOF) (Table 6).

### Table 6. The driving factors of CH\textsubscript{4} and CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes in seven types of vegetation at the Maoershan Ecosystem Research Station in Northeast China.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plot</th>
<th>AT</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>SVWC</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{3}-N</th>
<th>NH\textsubscript{4}+N</th>
<th>SOC</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
<th>R\textsuperscript{2}</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OMF</td>
<td>-0.002 *</td>
<td>0.002 +</td>
<td>-0.008 *</td>
<td>0.004 *</td>
<td>0.001 *</td>
<td>-0.129 **</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>-0.056 +</td>
<td>-0.086 **</td>
<td>0.587 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPP</td>
<td>0.003 *</td>
<td>-0.007 *</td>
<td>0.004 *</td>
<td>0.019 **</td>
<td>-0.003 *</td>
<td>-0.117 *</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>-0.047 ***</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWF</td>
<td>-0.004 **</td>
<td>0.002 *</td>
<td>0.198 ***</td>
<td>-0.003 *</td>
<td>-0.117 *</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>-0.003 **</td>
<td>0.004 *</td>
<td>-0.007 *</td>
<td>-0.160 +</td>
<td>0.836 +</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDF</td>
<td>12.920 ***</td>
<td>15.382 *</td>
<td>14.930 *</td>
<td>-512.687 *</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMF</td>
<td>21.477 ***</td>
<td>-6.017 *</td>
<td>122.783 *</td>
<td>-2.275 *</td>
<td>-476.729</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPP</td>
<td>16.692 ***</td>
<td>114.102 ***</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWF</td>
<td>23.067 ***</td>
<td>57.177 *</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>25.094 ***</td>
<td>5.426 *</td>
<td>-485.257 *</td>
<td>-5.748 *</td>
<td>2928.966 *</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDF</td>
<td>-8.155 *</td>
<td>33.999 ***</td>
<td>11.265 *</td>
<td>-10.888 *</td>
<td>454.856 *</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOF</td>
<td>-19.018 *</td>
<td>49.746 ***</td>
<td>-112.542 *</td>
<td>-5.259</td>
<td>388.194 *</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * indicates significant effects at p < 0.1; **: indicates significant effects at p < 0.05; ***: indicates significant effects at p < 0.001. AT—air temperature; ST—soil temperature.

### 3.3. Net Primary Productivity and Annual Net C Sequestration

Among seven investigative forest ecological systems, the annual net C sequestration in vegetation (tree + shrub + herb) was significantly greater (45.6% and 20.2% to 83.6%) in KPP (6.26 t ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}) than in OM (4.30 t ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}) and secondary forests (3.41–5.21 t ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}) (Table 7). From 82% to 97% of total vegetation C sequestration was stored in trees, which was significantly different among the seven forest types (p < 0.05). Annual net C sequestration (ANCS) was 1.1–1.5× greater (p < 0.05) in HWF (5.21 t ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}) than in either MDF (4.76 t ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}), MOF (3.85 t ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}), BPF (4.38 t ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}), or PDF (3.41 t ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}), among the five secondary forests (Table 7).

KPP had the largest NPP (11.84 t ha\textsuperscript{-1} y\textsuperscript{-1}); it was 1.2–1.5× and 1.3× higher than that of five secondary forests and OMF for NPP, respectively (Table 7).

### Table 7. Net primary productivity and net C sequestration of seven types of vegetation at the Maoershan Ecosystem Research Station in Northeast China.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>w</th>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Vegetation Types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OMF</td>
<td>KPP</td>
<td>HWF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Primary Productivity</td>
<td>Arborous layer</td>
<td>7.06 ± 0.17B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbaceous layer</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.06A</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.02A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrubbery layer</td>
<td>8.65 ± 0.51B</td>
<td>11.84 ± 0.42A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation</td>
<td>3.52 ± 0.58B</td>
<td>6.09 ± 0.75A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Net C Sequestration</td>
<td>Arborous layer</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbaceous layer</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.04A</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrubbery layer</td>
<td>4.30 ± 0.27BCD</td>
<td>6.26 ± 0.75A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The different capital letters after numerical values indicate statistically significant differences within NPP or ANCS among different treatments.
#### 3.4. Carbon Sources and Sinks

The annual C emission (ACE; that is heterotrophic soil respiration) ranged from 3.15 to 5.89 t ha\(^{-1}\) y\(^{-1}\) and differed significantly among the forest types \((p < 0.05)\) (Table 8). It decreased in the following order: secondary forests \((4.39–5.89 \text{ t ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1})\) > Korean pine plantation \((3.72 \text{ t ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1})\) > over-mature forest \((3.15 \text{ t ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1})\) (Table 8).

Based on the difference between ANCS and ACE, in this study, OMF acted as a C sink ecosystem, accumulating 1.15 t ha\(^{-1}\) y\(^{-1}\) (Table 8). The 51-year-old KPP acted as a strong C sink absorbing 2.54 t ha\(^{-1}\) y\(^{-1}\) (Table 8), which was 1.2 times higher compared to OMF. Among the five secondary forests converted from over-mature forests 60–66 years ago, only HWF acted as a small C sink with 0.82 ha\(^{-1}\) y\(^{-1}\) (Table 8). However, the other four secondary forests acted as C sources emitting 1.12 (MDF), 1.27 (MOF), 0.89 (BPF), and 1.90 ha\(^{-1}\) y\(^{-1}\) (PDF), respectively (Table 8).

**Table 8.** The carbon source or sink from seven types of vegetation at the Maoershan Ecosystem Research Station in Northeast China.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Vegetation Types</th>
<th>OMF</th>
<th>KPP</th>
<th>HWF</th>
<th>BPF</th>
<th>PDF</th>
<th>MDF</th>
<th>MOF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Net C Sequestration (ANCS) ((\text{t ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}))</td>
<td>4.30 ± 0.27BCD</td>
<td>3.35 ± 0.47D</td>
<td>5.11 ± 0.25AB</td>
<td>4.38 ± 0.35BCD</td>
<td>3.41 ± 0.27D</td>
<td>4.76 ± 0.40BCD</td>
<td>3.85 ± 0.16CD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual C Emission (ACE) ((\text{t ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}))</td>
<td>4.76 ± 0.27BCD</td>
<td>3.72 ± 0.08CD</td>
<td>5.39 ± 0.12BCD</td>
<td>5.28 ± 0.05A</td>
<td>5.87 ± 0.35A</td>
<td>5.69 ± 0.34A</td>
<td>5.12 ± 0.21AB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Source/Sink (ANCS/ACE) ((\text{t ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}))</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.65AB</td>
<td>2.54 ± 0.80A</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.15B</td>
<td>−0.89 ± 0.30C</td>
<td>−1.90 ± 0.64C</td>
<td>−1.12 ± 0.25C</td>
<td>−1.27 ± 0.37C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The different capital letters after numerical values indicate statistically significant differences within ANCS, ACE, or ANCS among different treatments.

#### 4. Discussion

##### 4.1. Carbon Fluxes

4.1.1. Methane Emission and Seasonal Dynamics

Methane uptake by soils from seven forest types was observed in this study. This finding is consistent with the previous studies where the temperate forest soil acted as a net sink of atmospheric CH\(_4\) [60]. The annual average CH\(_4\) absorption increased in the following order: Korean pine plantation < over-mature forest < secondary forests, and small emissions to the atmosphere were observed in winter in the present study. The results indicated that the Korean pine plantation, over-mature forest, and secondary forest soils were all sinks for atmospheric CH\(_4\). The fundamental condition for producing methane in soils by the microbial decomposition of organic compounds was the strict anaerobic conditions [61]. When the reduction of sulphate, iron (III), nitrate, manganese (IV), and molecular oxygen was complete—that is to say, all of which maintained a higher potential—CH\(_4\) production was merely beginning [61]. Such low redox conditions usually required prolonged waterlogging, for instance, natural wetlands and in lake sediments, as well as flooded rice fields [61]. Soil moisture in the temperate forest of Northeast China did not accomplish the anaerobic conditions needed to affect the activities of CH\(_4\)-consuming microbes during the monitoring period. Additionally, the shift in methanotrophic type is one of the factors that led to a change in soil CH\(_4\) oxidation [62], because the land-use changes greatly influence the methanotrophic community structure and activity [63]. Maurer et al. (2008) found that soil CH\(_4\) oxidation in methanotrophic community structure can be limited by toxic compounds from conifer species [64]. Thus, soil CH\(_4\) oxidation is impeded, which is the main reason for the lower CH\(_4\) absorption of the Korean pine plantation in this study area.

The soil CH\(_4\) fluxes among all the vegetation types have a clear seasonal pattern (Figure 1). The results showed that the permeability of atmospheric O\(_2\) into the soil had a significant impact on the balance between CH\(_4\) production (anaerobic environment) and consumption (aerobic environment), including the activities of methanogens and methanotrophs as well, which were affected by soil temperature, soil volumetric water content, and soil pH [65,66]. It was found that the CH\(_4\) absorbing
and releasing peak occurred in summer and winter, respectively (Figure 1). Additionally, the CH$_4$ fluxes were negatively correlated with soil temperature ($p < 0.05$) (Table 5). These results indicate that high soil temperatures are necessary conditions for supporting the diffusion and exchange of CH$_4$ produced by microbial activity between soil and atmosphere [67]. Optimal CH$_4$ consumption occurred in samples that were incubated at temperatures between −5 and 10 °C, and between 20 and 30 °C, under which condition methanogen has high activity. Note soil temperature is the dominant factor of CH$_4$ absorption in forest soil. However, CH$_4$ consumption was greatly reduced at 40 °C as the low and high temperature significantly reduce microbial activity [68]. Additionally, the soil temperature between 10 and 20 °C has no effect on CH$_4$ consumption; this is because that other factors, such as soil moisture, become the most important controller of CH$_4$ consumption [69]. According to Castro, Steudler [69], the minimum soil temperature is −5 °C in winter. However, in the present study, soil temperature below the depth of 5 cm was low (about −16.4 °C) in winter. The low temperature in winter is considered to be one of the factors affecting CH$_4$ release in forest soils.

4.1.2. Carbon Dioxide Emission and Seasonal Dynamics

The results of the present study indicate that the minimum CO$_2$ emissions occurred within over-mature forest plots. CO$_2$ emissions significantly increased in secondary forest soils relative to those in KPP and OMF in any season ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 2), which is in agreement with previous studies that proved that the average annual soil CO$_2$ efflux of mixed coniferous and broad-leaved over-mature forest was significantly lower than that of middle-aged, broad-leaved secondary forest [70]. The litter found in secondary forests is known to decompose more readily than that of coniferous species found in plantations and coniferous and broadleaf mixed species of over-mature forest [71]. The more rapid turnover of litter in secondary forests was one of the reasons for greater CO$_2$ emissions than the other sites. Seven forest types used for this study had historically similar soil status, hydrological condition, and original vegetation type. As such, differences in CO$_2$ emissions among secondary forest, KPP, and OMF may reflect the impact of the change in vegetation structure and species composition, the accompanying difference in C allocation pattern [72], differences in the quantity and quality of litter [73], and changes in soil microclimate and structure [73,74]. After human disturbance and the operation of the over-mature forest, the average CO$_2$ emissions during the whole year from KPP and secondary forests increased by various degrees. The most direct reason for the changes in soil-to-atmosphere C flux is the variation of land use.

A clear seasonal dynamic of CO$_2$ flux was observed following seven vegetation types at the Maoershan Ecosystem Research Station in Northeast China (Figure 2). The lowest CO$_2$ flux in this study occurred in winter and the peak flux was observed in the seasonal pattern in summer (Figure 2). This finding is in agreement with most of the previous studies [46,50,59]. There is a broad consensus that soil temperature is the key environmental factor to affect the seasonal CO$_2$ emission dynamics [46,75]. The proper soil temperature could promote the increase of microbial activity and then easily enhance mineralization of unavailable decomposable organic substrates [46,67]. It was found that soil temperature had a positive correlation with CO$_2$ emission (Table 5). Therefore, the peak CO$_2$ emission observed in summer is affected by its higher temperature compared with winter [50].

4.1.3. Emission Factors

The CH$_4$ uptake from secondary forests, KPP, and OMF had a negative correlation with air and soil temperature (Table 5). Sun [76] studied the characteristics of greenhouse gas emissions of soil from a typical forest in Beijing. The results indicated that CH$_4$ emissions positively correlated with soil temperature. In contrast, Dong, Shen [77] found a negative relation between CH$_4$ uptake and soil temperature. However, research by Fest, Hinko-Najera [78] showed that soil temperature only had a minor influence on soil CH$_4$ uptake. Therefore, affirmative conclusions about the impacts of soil temperature on CH$_4$ emissions (uptake) from forests have yet to be achieved. This may be related to different research methods, soil properties, and climatic conditions [79]. The relations between SVWC
and CH₄ fluxes are significantly positively and negatively correlated, having occurred at Korean pine plantation and secondary forest sites but not in over-mature forest sites. This may be because soil moisture of over-mature forest did not reach the water-holding field capacity during the measurement period [59]. Furthermore, the soil moisture contents in over-mature forest do not reach the marginal values needed to affect the activities of CH₄ consuming microbes throughout the study period.

Stepwise multiple linear regression conducted in the present study showed that CH₄ fluxes from seven different vegetation types were influenced by different environmental factors and had no regulation (Table 6). This was related to the combination of a multitude of environmental factors. In addition to environmental temperature and surface soil volumetric water content, high concentrations of N could increase NH₄⁺-oxidizer bacteria that significantly inhibits methanotrophic activities in soils [80]. Some scholars believe that large N concentrations reduced the number of methanotrophic bacteria, which inhibited the capacity of CH₄ oxidization [81]. However, studies have also shown that N provides sufficient nutrients for methane-oxidizing bacteria, thus promoting the process of methane oxidation. Methane-producing archaea also revealed high activity due to stimulation by sufficient nutrients and energy [82]. In addition, lower pH had adverse effects on methane-oxidizing bacteria, which could reduce the rate of methane oxidation [83]. On the other hand, higher soil moisture was not conducive to gas diffusion in soil and resulted in more CH₄ reserved in the soil, which could provide sufficient substrate for methane-oxidizing bacteria and promote CH₄ oxidation. However, higher humidity provided an aerobic environment that could promote CH₄ production [50,84]. The changes in SOC may directly limit the total mineralizable C used for sustaining microbial activity, including methanogenesis, thus impacting the net CH₄ flux. In addition, the changes in SOC could affect other soil properties (such as water retention and soil fertility), and thus the soil CH₄ oxidization and activities of methanotroph communities can also be indirectly affected [50,66]. CH₄ production and consumption have different responses to different forest types due to the comprehensive influence of the above factors. There is currently little related mechanism research, especially in the function and activity of related microorganisms, which still need to be researched further.

Soil CO₂ emissions from secondary forests, Korean pine plantation, and over-mature forest were positively correlated with soil temperature (Tables 5 and 6), which is not aligned with the conclusion that soil moisture is the most important factor for affecting the soil respiration and soil microbial activity [84], but is in accordance with the existing conclusion that soil temperature and soil volumetric water content are the most important factors for CO₂ emissions [44]. The reason is that the increase of the soil temperature facilitates soil microbial activity and the decomposition of soil organic matter [85]. This leads to greater CO₂ emissions as the soil temperature increases. One study indicated that soil microbial activity and the respiratory enzymes activity of plant roots increased as the temperature increased within the scope of 0–35 °C [86]. The condition of soil temperature is between −15.75 and 27.35 °C in the present study, and as such, the temperature of the growing season is suitable for microbial growth and CO₂ emissions. Thus, there are clearly seasonal dynamics occurring in the CO₂ fluxes in Northeast China. In addition, the present study exhibited the lower soil respiration from OMF with higher temperature and volume water content (Table 3). The content of soil moisture affects the production and emission of CO₂ by influencing soil ventilation conditions, soil oxidation-reduction status, and the spread of the channel from soil to atmosphere [87]. Superfluous soil moisture causes the oxygen supply for microbial metabolism to reduce, resulting in a decrease in the soil respiration [79]. However, soil temperature could promote soil respiration [44]. The effect of soil moisture and temperature on CO₂ emission frequently reveals strong interactions. The impacts of soil temperature on CO₂ fluxes are covered by soil moisture effect in OMF. Furthermore, the composition of tree species and litters (conifer-broadleaf forest) that were difficult to decompose compared with broad-leaved forests were also the reason for high respiration from OMF [71].

In addition to environmental temperature and SVWC, the data presented in Table 6 shows that CO₂ emissions were also controlled by soil pH, soil nitrogen, and SOC. The soil pH in this study ranged from 4.1 to 7.9, and previous studies have shown that it significantly affected soil microbial biomass
and activity [88,89]. For soil nitrogen, on the one hand, the differences in soil nitrogen availability may facilitate CO₂ emissions by stimulating the growth of microorganisms. On the other hand, soil nitrogen can reduce heterotrophic respiration by inhibiting decomposition of organic matter [90,91]. Additionally, negative correlations were observed in the present study between soil respiration rate and SOC in PDF, MDF, and MOF. This may be because SOC in the studied region was unable to provide adequate nutrition for soil organisms, leading to reduced biological activities and respiration rate.

4.2. Net Primary Productivity and Net Carbon Sequestration

The net primary productivity in the seven types of vegetation at the Maoershan Ecosystem Research Station (6.90–11.44 t ha⁻¹ y⁻¹) (Table 7) was higher than that reported for temperate forests in Northeast China (4.82–6.03 t ha⁻¹ y⁻¹) [92]. This is because their study sites are located in the cool temperate zone with lower productivity than the forest site of the proposed experiment [92]; however, it may also be due to differences in water availability and nutrients [93]. The results of the current study showed that the pine plantation had a higher NPP than over-mature forest and secondary forest types because it was in a period of rapid growth and had higher concentrations of NO₃⁻-N and NH₄⁺-N with fertilization before 1965 (Table 3), that could significantly promote increased tree growth. Pine plantation with sufficient stand densities in the present study had a higher NPP than the other six forest types through the development of a multilayer canopy structure (Table 1) [94]. In addition, BPF had higher stand densities than the other forest types (Table 1), and the NPP in BPF was lower than that of pine plantation (Table 7). As the secondary forest and pine plantation have consistent stand age and environmental conditions, the growing ability of KPP is higher than other tree species. HWF was located at the foot of a mountain with a higher C collection compared with other forests (Table 3). Higher SOC caused it to have a higher NPP than the other secondary forest types.

The annual net C sequestration varied between 0.34 and 0.63 kg C m⁻² y⁻¹ among seven investigative ecosystems, thus the ability of annual C sequestration of terrestrial vegetation in China (0.49 kg C m⁻² y⁻¹) [95] and global annual C sequestration (0.41 kg C m⁻² y⁻¹) [96] were used for comparison. It was found that KPP had the largest biomass C sequestration rate, higher than the Chinese and global mean level by 28.6% and 53.7%, respectively. While the rate for OMF (0.43 kg C m⁻² y⁻¹) was similar to the Chinese mean level, and four secondary forests (except for HWF) for annual C sequestration were lower than the Chinese mean level by 2.9%–30.0%. This result shows that secondary forests have an intermediate-level capacity of C sequestration; however, KPP has the strongest biomass C sequestration capacity. The divergent sequestration rates may be due to different forest practices, different species, and densities of growing trees, or differences in soil properties.

4.3. Carbon Balance

The annual soil heterotrophic respiration (R_H) reported in the present study agrees with previous research that the forest ecosystem respiration reveals a downward trend from younger to older forests [97]. Additionally, the finding showed that the secondary forests and KPP had a higher annual R_H than in the mixed forest [92]. As an important process of releasing C from soils to the atmosphere, every forest type has the different biophysical environments associated with them, which leads to their different R_H [98]. Moreover, the difference of size and source of the R_H among different forest types was influenced by disturbance history through converting live vegetation into dead materials [99].

The function of seven forests as C sources and sinks was not identical at the Maoershan Ecosystem Research Station in Northeast China. The five secondary forests emerged as weak C sinks or net C sources; this is consistent with the previous conclusion that the downy birch stand (78 years of age) acted as a C source emitting 0.95 t C ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ [10]. In contrast, OMF and KPP acted as stronger net C sinks in this study that was in the range reported for temperate forests [97]. This is owing to the intensive annual biomass production of the trees and management of such forests, which is essential in terms of effective C accumulation. The annual biomass production of an ecosystem increases, i.e., the C input exceeds C emission through soil R_H [10]; however, as a net C source, secondary forests may suffer
biological aging as well as a slow increase in DBH and volume, which leads to a significant decrease in the individual competition. Therefore, the secondary forest growth shows some stability [100]. The carbon sequestration of secondary forests is lower than that of KPP, but its soil C emissions are higher than that of KPP, thereby making secondary forests net C sources. KPP studied in this research was in a middle-aged stage and presented a stronger C sequestration ability at the intermediate stage of succession, and the C emissions of soil respiration were at a comparatively low level, thereby making it a stronger net C sinks. The annual net C sequestration and annual C emission of OMF were at a mid level ($p > 0.05$) and low level ($p < 0.05$) compared with the other forest ecosystems, respectively (Table 8). Therefore, the results of this study demonstrate that OMF is a net C sink. These results indicate that old-growth forests can still act as large C sinks. The regenerated forest types of approximately 60 years of age were weak C sinks or net C sources (secondary forest) and stronger net C sinks (Korean pine plantation) at the Maoershan Ecosystem Research Station in Northeast China.

5. Conclusions

In general, the absorption value of CH$_4$ in secondary forest soils was significantly higher than that of OMF and KPP soils because the land-use changes led to shifts in methanotrophic type. However, the toxic compounds produced by conifer species can limit soil CH$_4$ oxidation. Additionally, CO$_2$ emissions from OMF and KPP soils were lower than that of soils of the secondary forests. This may be influenced by the change in tree species, the ensuing difference in the pattern of C allocation, differences in the quantity and quality of litter, and changes in soil structure and microclimate. The CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ fluxes were mainly controlled by air and soil temperature, soil volumetric moisture content, soil pH, ammonium nitrogen, nitrate nitrogen, and the soil organic carbon.

According to the difference between annual net C sequestration and C released from the soil in Northeast China, the over-mature forests remain C sinks despite being more than 150 years old, and Korean pine plantations (51 years old) are determined to be C sinks. The net primary productivity of KPP was 1.2 to 1.5x higher than that of other forest types because the higher concentrations of NO$_3^-$-N and NH$_4^+$-N could promote the rapid growth of KPP with sufficient stand densities. Therefore, the role of over-mature forests and Korean pine plantation in regulating regional C balance should be explored in more detail, especially the selection of tree species in artificial afforestation. However, 60-year-old secondary forests that were regenerated naturally after clearcutting in Northeast China are small C sinks or main sources. This is caused by relatively high soil respiration and slow forest growth compared with OMF and KPP. The influence of secondary forest on regional C balance needs to be researched continuously and over a long period. It is especially important to study the secondary forests with different age gradients.

The authors of this paper, to strengthen C sink and C sequestration capacity of forests, recommends considering the following measures: (1) after forest regeneration, new stands (because of their high increments) start to accumulate more annual C than old-growth stands. Therefore, reasonable and sustainable forest harvesting and regeneration could be conducted. The young regenerated forest with high increments should be managed effectively. (2) Trees and timber after harvesting should be processed and utilized as much as possible; their comprehensive utilization rate should also be improved. As woody materials obtained from harvesting can be used for making building, furniture, and others, an important amount of carbon would be sequestered for long years apart from forests. This can be considered as a positive output of forest regeneration.

Further studies are required for the comparison of the C sources and sinks between over-mature forest, natural secondary forests, plantations, and croplands to forecast which vegetation type is the most similar to the over-mature forest in the aspects of ecosystem C cycles.
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