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Abstract: In recent years, the use of services for Open Systems development has consolidated and strengthened. Advances in the Service Science and Engineering (SSE) community, promoted by the reinforcement of Web Services and Semantic Web technologies and the presence of new Cloud computing techniques, such as the proliferation of microservices solutions, have allowed software architects to experiment and develop new ways of building open and adaptable computer systems at runtime. Home automation, intelligent buildings, robotics, graphical user interfaces are some of the social atmosphere environments suitable in which to apply certain innovative trends. This paper presents a schema for the adaptation of Dynamic Computer Systems (DCS) using interdisciplinary techniques on model-driven engineering, service engineering and soft computing. The proposal manages an orchestrated microservices schema for adapting component-based software architectural systems at runtime. This schema has been developed as a three-layer adaptive transformation process that is supported on a rule-based decision-making service implemented by means of Machine Learning (ML) algorithms. The experimental development was implemented in the Solar Energy Research Center (CIESOL) applying the proposed microservices schema for adapting home architectural atmosphere systems on Green Buildings.
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1. Introduction

Some current software systems need to adapt their behavior and structure to new requirements which were not identified during the development phase. As a particular type of system, component-based applications are in general defined at design time according to a component architecture and a set of initial requirements. In this sense, it could be useful that some kind of dynamic systems would be able to analyze, for example, the interaction with users (including profile information) or some changes in the environment, with the purpose of modifying the architecture of such systems to adapt them at runtime, thus meeting the new requirements. Some dynamic systems include these features and provide the software with the ability to modify their behavior depending on the execution circumstances, for example, changes in the user interactions, variations in the available resources, new required values in the quality of service (QoS) properties, changes in the execution platform, etc. The majority of the adaptation capabilities can be identified in the analysis and design stages and, therefore implemented during the development. However, unforeseen circumstances, different to the original conditions may arise, and it could be necessary for the software system to be adapted to these new situations. In these cases, it is useful to provide the systems with mechanisms through
which they could adapt their behavior automatically. These solutions are known in the literature as Self-Adaptive Systems (SAS) [1]. Furthermore, this dynamic behavior is obtained in many cases from the management of the abstract representations of a system (i.e., models), instead of manipulating the code that implements it. As a consequence, the static view of the models is being updated by proposals that try to adapt the software automatically by manipulating the models that define it.

1.1. Related Work

In the particular domain of component-based software systems, using Model-Driven Engineering (MDE) techniques can facilitate the design and development of architectures, i.e., defining the structure, the behavior of its components and their relationships, interaction or functional and nonfunctional properties [2]. Moreover, management architecture models make it possible to generate different software systems based on the same abstract definition in run-time while the adaptation process is focusing on user interaction, component status or runtime platform [3].

A lot of research papers, dealing with the dynamic adaptation of software systems (DAS) in runtime context, use architecture based approaches [4,5]. The Rainbow’s [4] framework provides mechanisms to adapt and update the architecture models to the needs of the system using the models abstract architecture to monitor, evaluate and adapt the settings then get the system running, in the context of mobile applications architectural models can be used to describe the variability, i.e., that the models themselves contain information and selection criteria for the middleware can derive adaptation runtime context [5]. There are also proposals where variability models are defined to describe the logic and to separate adaptation and system operation [6]. There are works where the authors propose implementing an adaptive loop control system as a component-based system [7]. Therefore, the control loop can be reconfigured at run-time to incorporate new knowledge dynamically. The aim of our proposal is to develop a similar system in which the logic of adaptation to change as knowledge is gained from the run.

Another type of adaptive systems are dynamic software product lines (DSPL). These systems are similar to traditional software product lines but the variability is linked to runtime [8,9]. In Reference [10] the authors apply their use in the domain of home automation (smart homes). In its proposal, variability models are used to activate or deactivate features at runtime, thus fulfilling the context conditions.

There are proposals for systems that use high level adaptive programming languages for evolution. In Reference [11,12] systems based on Java implementations that run within an OSGi [13] platform for adapting software at runtime are proposed. In this case, the programs written in programming languages are static artifacts and cannot evolve in runtime. Regarding the dynamic composition model transformations, there are studies that propose an incremental way to update the processes of transformation and construction dynamically from a set of rules [14]. In Reference [15] an approach to the composition of transformations in ATLAS Transformation Language (ATL) is proposed while in Reference [16] a similar proposal is described using the language of Query/View/Transformation (QVT) transformation. In References [17,18], the authors present a mechanism for building model transformations from prebuilt modules that can be referenced or imported from an ATL file.

In our proposal the transformation phase of models is based on these works to define a repository of ATL rules which are dynamically selected to build a transformation at runtime.

Other works such as References [19,20] propose an update of the transformations in order to make a refactoring of models to adapt at runtime but do not try to refactor the transformation itself. One of the M2M transformations goals is to improve and to adapt their behavior to the context of the system through including new rules or helpers [21]. Following an MDE approach, this refactoring can be implemented as transformations in which the transformations themselves are involved as input/output transformations in so called Higher-Order Transformations (HOT) [22,23]. In our proposed use of HOT to dynamically generate transformations an adaption of the model runtime architecture is carried out.

On the other hand, computational intelligence [24] is a set of technologies consisting of: artificial neural networks, fuzzy systems, evolutionary computation, Bayesian and probabilistic methods, chaos
theory and “swarm” systems or distributed intelligence. At this point we emphasize that fuzzy logic allows us to treat imprecision, use approximate reasoning and to define more closely to natural language; neural networks focus on learning, adaptation and classification and probabilistic methods are based on statistical reasoning about evidence. One of the ideas is to use hybrid models where the advantages of the learning ability of fuzzy logic and neural networks were combined to form the neural diffusion systems [25]. Currently, the European Center for Soft Computing (ECSC) is a world leader and main applications are focused on: planning and optimization of industrial production lines, information and personalized advice to encourage energy savings, the application of cognitive technologies in production processes, etc. In the research group Applied Computing has worked in the field of “soft computing” in image retrieval based on fuzzy content using neuro-fuzzy systems and Bayesian networks [26] and the definition of a methodology feature selection processes intelligent learning by Bayesian networks and neuro-fuzzy systems [27]. In the field of software engineering and computer intelligence we can mainly find applications focused on assessing the cost of reusing components as in References [28,29] where neuro-fuzzy models for classifying component reuse is proposed. Instead our proposal aims to make intelligent model transformations at runtime using computational intelligence techniques that are showing excellent results.

Work on efficiency in energy use and people comfort can be found at the Polytechnic University of Bucharest where a control implementation of an intelligent building through the implementation of a mechanism driven by a set of policies under which the heating system is activated [30]. Other studies were focused on the implementation of Human-Robot Cloud architectures (HRC) [31] on the specific scenario of smart building for efficiency gains and energy saving. This paper presents a proposal whereby sensors, processors and actuators, which include facial identifiers and human locators, are transferred to a distributed and reconfigurable cognitive system which can support multiple applications in the future.

With regard to the methodologies used to control smart buildings, some proposals are largely based on the definition of a logical simple inference using the values of different measuring sensors and presence sensors [32–34]. Other methodologies use techniques of Bayesian inference (Bayesian network) to predict user behavior patterns [35]. An example of this is Reference [36] a method capable of predicting energy consumption per capita. On the other hand, iDorm [37] is presented as an adaptive system (able to learn from the interaction with the user and thus predict their future needs) using embedded agents. These agents use incremental synchronous learning (ISL) based on fuzzy logic. In Reference [38] the use of Markov chains is proposed to establish the likelihood of occupation of certain areas. In Reference [34] a comparative study of different methods and techniques used to control smart buildings is presented.

1.2. Objectives

This article proposes a solution to the problem of adapting software systems at runtime. However, this approach is not suitable for all types of systems but is only focused on component-based software. Specifically, the architectures describing the software are built from coarse-grained component managed as black boxes. Therefore, the components which are included in an architecture provide the functionality required by the system at a specific time, but due to some changes in the context or other adaptation purposes, these components must be changed or the architecture reconfigured to adapt the system behavior and meet the new requirements.

A main characteristic of our proposal is the abstraction process in the representation of the systems, and in the adaptation, which results in process domain and platform independence. The representation is performed by modeling techniques and the adaptation is addressed using model-to-model (M2M) transformation mechanisms implemented in Atlas Transformation Language (ATL) [39]. In addition, the model transformations are not static, but are built at runtime and can be conformed to the changes in the context, user interaction, new requirements, etc. In the present work, the adaptation mechanisms are provided with a decision-making system and a machine learning process that collect the information
from the user interactions and the system context, and generates the corresponding adaptation rules that will define the system knowledge. These rules are utilized by the adaptation process to perform the corresponding model transformations dynamically at runtime.

1.3. Main Contributions of the Work

In this paper, we illustrate a novel adaptive system and effective way of building open and adaptable computer systems at runtime. Specifically, by successfully using interdisciplinary techniques on model-driven engineering, service engineering and soft computing, our system achieves the following.

a. Manage a microservices schema for adapting component-based software architectural systems at runtime. It is very easy to integrate a new components into the system. It is portable to other systems or buildings.

b. Include Machine learning algorithms in the adaptive transformation as a rule-based decision-making service. It is easy to interpret and combines multiple classifiers to find a solution with the least number of rules.

c. Facilitate comfort control and energy savings through the user profiles analyzed on Green Buildings.

1.4. Outline of This Paper

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 explains the methodology that supports our approach. A case study applying our approach is presented in Section 3. Finally, Section 4 presents the conclusions and the indications for future work.

2. Adaptive Models and Systems

This section presents and explains our approach for adapting models of component-based software systems under a service-oriented architecture. Because these software systems are modeled as component architectures to avoid any misunderstanding, hereinafter we use the term infrastructure to identify our underlying service solution.

The infrastructure supporting the adaptive transformation described in section one has been developed under a Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) approach [40]. Therefore, all the operations from the adaptation and decision-making subsystems are deployed as small services (or microservices) to be requested locally or remotely, orchestrated through a microservice architecture. Some services are related to M2M transformations, for example, the services Context Processing and Architectural Transformation from the adaptation process (Figure 1). Other services form part of the decision-making process, such as the Decision-Making System and the Operation Selection Service. These services may not be deployed in the same host following a monolithic approach, but they can be distributed in different servers following an approach based on microservices [41]. Accordingly, when a client wants to execute one of the offered functionalities, it may be necessary to orchestrate a set of services so that they are executed in a specific order to, for example, obtain an adapted architecture model from an initial one. As a case study, we apply the adaptive transformation and decision-making subsystems to the social healthcare and the energy efficiency applied to home automation, in particular, for domotic control in green buildings.
As mentioned, the adaptation of systems is addressed as a transformation process at runtime. Additionally, this process is not valid for all types of applications, but is focused on systems that are built from coarse-grained components managed as black boxes. The components present in an architecture determine the system behavior and its properties, satisfying the requirements in a specific time. However, these requirements may change at runtime (e.g., due to changes in the application context) and therefore the architecture should be adapted to meet the new requirements. In our case, adaptation purposes must be accomplished by performing changes in the aforementioned coarse-grained components, for example, inserting a new component, deleting an unnecessary element, varying the association between modules or modifying some configuration properties of the existing components. In addition, component dependencies must be taken into account because they can imply some additional operations. For example, the inclusion of a new Component A into the architecture is subject to the addition of a Component B if the latter resolves some functionality which is required by the former.

Our adaptation process is supported by an infrastructure of services with the goal of building a set of subprocesses in terms of microservices that can solve each atomic operation (those with sufficient entity and which can be used for different purposes) in a separate way. Therefore, we can update the repository of adaptation rules at any time by requesting the corresponding microservice, as a possible example among others. Figure 2 shows the two main services provided by our approach. On the one hand, the Adaptive Transformation Service (a) is composed of a set of microservices implementing a sequence of M2M transformations. On the other hand, the Decision-Making Service (e) is formed by a machine learning solution and generator of transformation rules. As a consequence, the normal behavior of our proposal executes the following steps. The Adaptive Transformation service takes as its inputs: an initial architectural model and the actions performed by the user (b), a model containing the context information required to get the adaptation (c), and the adaptation rules generated by the Decision-Making Service (d). As an output, it generates the adapted architectural model (g). The Decision-Making Service provides the ability to learn from the user and the environment. The acquired knowledge is used for composing the adaptation rules at runtime which provide the system with the capability of generating the architectural model that is best adapted from the initial
model (i.e., taking into account the context variables and the user actions). The following subsections describe the composition and behavior of both main services in detail.

![Figure 2. The schema of the service-oriented adaptive architecture.](image)

### 2.1. Adaptive Transformation Service

This service is composed of a set of microservices implementing M2M transformations. The execution of these microservices following a specific sequence results in an adapted architectural model from an initial one. As mentioned, the new architecture is adapted to the user and the context, since the Adaptive Transformation Service receives feedback from the Decision-Making Service. The microservices, the involved resources and the transformation sequence are explained as follows (Figure 3):

- **Context Processing Service.** This microservice executes an M2M transformation which receives the initial architectural model (AM$_i$), the model containing the context variables (OBM$_i$) and the model with the rules generated by the Decision-Making Service (AAOpDmM$_i$) as its inputs. As a result, it generates the model of adaptation operations to be executed (AOpDmM$_i$).

- **Operation Selection Service.** In this microservice, the operations to be executed selected by the user (AAOpUserM$_i$) are compared to the operations generated by the Context Processing Service (AOpDmM$_i$) through an M2M transformation. In the case that neither match, the operations selected by the user (AAOpUserM$_i$) will be chosen, thus generating the model of operations that are going to be executed (AEOpM$_i$).

- **Rule Selection Service.** This microservice selects the transformation rules from the repository (RRM) that must be executed to accomplish the set of adaptation operations (AEOpM$_i$). The algorithm of this service is inspired by the reinforcement learning concept in the sense that it selects those rules which score better from all the available ones. It generates the set of selected transformation rules (RM$_i$) as an output, which will conform the new M2M transformation.

- **RSL Service.** This consists of an M2M transformation that updates the attributes of the rule repository (RRM) based on bonus and penalty scores depending on the selected rules (RM$_i$).
• Rule Transformation Service. This microservice implements a Higher-Order Transformation (HOT) [22,23] which is in charge of translating the selected adaptation rules (RM$^i$) into ATL rule model (TM$^i$).

• ATL Extraction. This process executes a Textual Concrete Syntax (TCS) [42] extraction responsible for generating the ATL code from the rule model (TM$^i$).

• Architectural Model Transformation Service. Is the M2M transformation created dynamically as result of the transformation sequence and it is in charge of adapting the initial architectural model by applying the selected transformation rules.

The purpose of Section 2.1 is to summarize the main functionality of the adaptive transformation service. For this reason, we have listed the components and briefly describe their behavior. More details about this service (and its components) are available in previous research work [43–45], which has been included in this section to provide additional explanations.

2.2. Decision-Making Service

This service provides the capability of adaptation over time to our approach of architectural transformations. It is possible due to the machine learning solution supported by this service, thus the adaptation rules defined a priori are not static, but can be modified and even new rules can be generated over time. This learning is the result of processing the user interactions and the changes in the environment and, as a consequence, the system evolves over time adapting to the users’ behavior and the variations of the system context. The Decision-Making Service takes (1) the system context information and (2) the adaptation rules executed in the Adaptive Transformation Service (AEOpM$^i$) as its inputs. As an output, it generates the adaptation rules to be executed by the system. Next, the processes that conform the Decision-Making Service and their execution sequence are described (Figure 4):
2.2. Decision-Making Service

This service provides the capability of adaptation over time to our approach of architectural transformations. It is possible due to the machine learning solution supported by this service, thus generating rule models which are meaningful to the possible generation of new rules as an output (Classified Class). Only the attributes and the classes from the Knowledge DB which are meaningful to the possible generation of new rules are processed. Therefore, we avoid the unnecessary processing of records of the database that have been modified in the execution, thus reducing the computing time.

a: DB Update

This is the process that is executed first. It is initiated when at least one rule has been generated in the model of operations to be executed (AEOpM_i). This process receives the model containing the context variables used in the Context Processing Service (OBM_i) as inputs and the outputs are generated by the Operation Selection Service. In this process, the knowledge database (Knowledge DB) is updated according to the environment variables (OBM_i) and the rules to be executed (AEOpM_i).

b: TMT Class

The inputs to this process are the rules generated by the Operation Selection Service, and it generates the classes involved in these rules as an output (Classified Class). Only the attributes and the classes from the Knowledge DB which are meaningful to the possible generation of new rules are processed. Therefore, we avoid the unnecessary processing of records of the database that have been modified in the execution, thus reducing the computing time.

c: Classifier

This process includes the execution of the classifiers of the knowledge database generating the corresponding rules.

This execution includes three subprocesses. The first subprocess is the Feature Selection (Figure 4–6.a). There are the two approaches to perform this selection:

- Filter methods: These methods select features by ranking them by means of compression techniques (Principal Components Analysis) or by computing correlation with the output (class).
- Wrapper methods: these methods searching for an optimal subset selection using the classifier.

In the step Feature Selection, a filter method selects features by computing correlation with the output. In general, this method is independent of the classification algorithm. The computational cost is low. Correlation based Feature Selection (CFS) is the algorithm used for this purpose [46]. It determines a ranking of the main features. In our case, the subset features are between 100% and 50% of value in the ranking. The next step is responsible for the building the rule model (Figure 4–6.b) and then this rule model is validated (Figure 4–6.c), i.e., the classifier is trained and evaluated. The results obtained from this validation are processed by the Rules Generator operation. This compares the generated rule model and the stored rule model with the aim of selecting the one with the best score following the punctuation criteria defined a priori (Expert’s Knowledge DB). As inputs, this process (Classifier) receives the records about the behavior of the model transformations at runtime and their interaction with the environment throughout time (Knowledge DB). In addition, further input is

![Figure 4. Decision-Making Service schema.](image-url)
formed by the records about the classes involved in the rules generated from the model transformations ( Classified Class). This data is reset when the Build Model and Validation processes are finished. The last input of the Classifier process is a database storing the different classifier types that must be executed (Classifiers DB). The selection of these classifiers as well as the different parameters associated with them (Rhoa, Cross-Validation, etc.) arise from the data preprocessing and are selected by the experts following the most suitable criteria according to the requirements of the system of model transformations at runtime. For the Build Model and Validation processes a Java application has been developed which uses the algorithms and libraries included in the Waikato Environment for Knowledge Analysis platform (WEKA), [47].

**d: Rules Generator**

This process generates the rules to be executed by the Adaptative Transformation Service. The inputs to the process are:

- The optimal rules generated by the classifier (Classifier Results).
- The log of the optimal results obtained from the previous executions of the classifier (Classifier Results Log).
- The criteria and parameters to be compared from the obtained results to be able to perform the selection from among the generated rules. The selected rules are those that best fit the system specifications (Expert’s Knowledge DB). These parameters are defined by the experts. As its output, this process updates the Classifier Results Log and stores the Rules to be executed by the Adaptive Transformation Service only if the obtained results are better than the results stored in the log (step 9 in Figure 4).
- Actions Selection. A Higher-Order Transformation (HOT) [22,23] in charge of translating the Rules generated by the Decision Making into the rules to be executed by the Context Processing Service (AAOpDmM).

**3. Case Study**

For the case study we extend our proposal of Adaptive Domotic System in Green Buildings [45] where we propose a home automation system based on our adaptive architecture of component-based development of model transformations at runtime. In Reference [45] we managed to optimize the energy consumption of the CIESOL building and increase user comfort by adapting the system to the user preferences. In the present proposal of adaptive control system in green buildings presented in this work, we focus on two of the three basic factors (thermal comfort, visual comfort, and indoor air quality) that determine the quality of life in buildings [47].

In our study, we intend to develop an adaptive control system based on services in which the thermal comfort and visual comfort within the CIESOL building can be achieved by parameterizing and processing the collected data that are generated from the user’s interaction with the system. Figure 5 shows the adaptation home automation system schema.

In our proposal the decision-making system will generate new adaptation rules using the collected data from the sensors and actuators in the building. These rules are defined based on the interaction between the user and the home automation system. In fact, these adaptation rules will be transformed into control rules and added to the system control by the adaptation process.
3.1. The CIESOL Building

The Solar Energy Research Center (CIESOL) was founded and managed according to an agreement between the University of Almeria (Spain) and the Center for Energy, Environment and Technology (CIEMAT) at the Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (Spain). The center is located in the Campus of the University of Almeria. CIESOL was built using bioclimatic standards and is designed for efficient energy use.

CIESOL engages in research and technology transfer activities in the field of solar energy applications concerning: organometallic photochemistry, water treatment, environmental chemistry, modeling and automatic control of solar systems [48], home automation for energy efficiency, as well as solar cooling and solar resource assessment.

CIESOL (single-store building) encompasses an area of 1100 m² with 10 laboratories, five offices and a conference room with a maximum occupancy of higher than 75 people.

3.2. Data Acquisition and Preprocessing

The CIESOL building includes a weather station with outdoor and indoor sensors. The data from different sensors are parameterized and collected every minute. The main environmental values are temperature, humidity, radiation and wind speed. In this study, the data are collected by the temperature and movement sensors located in the different rooms of the CIESOL building in the year 2014 during the months of February, May, July and October. These months are the most representative of each season for the city of Almeria and are the months with a higher index of human activity in the different rooms located in the building.

From the data collected we observed that the temperatures measured during working hours (from Monday to Friday, from 8 a.m. to 8 p.m.) in the rooms varied significantly, which shows that the optimal comfort temperature depends on the user preferences and is not a known value a priori.

Figures 6 and 7 show the temperatures measured by the four temperature sensors located in two rooms of the CIESOL building in which we have focused this study. These measurements correspond to the same period of time (second week of February and July, Monday to Friday) in each room. The differences between the temperatures of the rooms can be appreciated when there is human presence during working hours. For this reason, the difference between the desired temperature depending on the user preferences.

![Adaptive Domotics system schema.](image)
In order to obtain information about the air distribution, five sensors were installed at different heights. The idea was to measure directly the fan coil output and the air temperature at different levels to be able to model the behavior and techniques as in studies similar to this one. Therefore, the fan coil was placed in the roof of the laboratory, as it was necessary to see how the air flowed down to the ground. The total height of the laboratory is about three meters so, the criterion was to divide the height of the laboratory by three equally spaced points. Therefore, three sensors were positioned on a vertical pole to provide information about the air temperature stratification which could be used to model the speed at which a homogeneous temperature was reached in the laboratory. Therefore, with these sensors it was possible to monitor the average temperature in the room and, moreover, the time required to reach the desired temperature throughout the laboratory. This was the main reason to install a sensor in the roof (just in front of the fan coil), which guarantees the direct measure of the fan coil output. Finally, the bottom sensor (placed on the floor) is also used to measure the temperature at ground level, which gives us information about the interaction between the ground and the ambient, considering the possible heat/cold exchange between these two spaces.
Once the data had been preprocessed, five variables were considered in this study, which would define the state of the fan coil (shutdown, heating, air conditioning) in the different rooms studied, resulting in a total of $60 \times 24 \times 121 = 174,200$ data vectors per room (4638–2.66% data was not usable because of the value inconsistency). Figure 8 shows both the location of the fan coil inside and the location of the temperature sensors in which we have focused our case study.

We have also extended the scope of our study to control the lights of the rooms. Unfortunately, at the time of developing our proposal there was no record of the values of light intensity inside the rooms as well as the state of the lights (on/off). Therefore, we decided to simulate these parameters taking the same range as the one used for the preprocessing of room temperatures during the months of February, May, July and October, a measurement per minute (24 h a day Monday through Friday) around 174,200 data vectors. For the minimum and maximum illumination level values (lux) we take as a reference the Spanish standard for ergonomic requirements for office work with data visualization screens. In addition, applying energy efficiency criteria establishes a maximum value of lighting level from which the lights will turn off depending on whether there is presence in the room and whatever the preferences of the user since it is considered that artificial lighting is unnecessary. Random values of light intensity, alarm status, motion sensor and on/off status were generated.

After preprocessing and analyzing the data, the study variables are shown in Table 1.
Table 1. Variable Specification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abrev.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>Ti_Sup_Lab</td>
<td>Temperature measured in room (°C)</td>
<td>Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>LightIntensity</td>
<td>Light intensity measured in room (lx)</td>
<td>Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>AlarPresActive</td>
<td>Central alarm activated (0, 1)</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>SenMovLab</td>
<td>Motion sensor (0, 1)</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>Month</td>
<td>Month of the measurements (1, 2, 3, 4)</td>
<td>Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>FANCLab</td>
<td>Fan coil Output: 0 Off, 1 Heating and 2 Air</td>
<td>Numeric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>LightsLab</td>
<td>Indoor Lights Output: 0 Turn off, 1 Turn on</td>
<td>Numeric</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The variable s indicates the presence of users in the room. If there is no one (s = 0), both the room and fan coil lights must be switched off regardless of the values of light intensity (i) or temperature (t) measured in the room (l = 0 and f = 0). The variable a indicates if the central alarm of the CIESOL building is activated or not. If yes (i.e., a = 1) both the room lights and the fan coil should be turned off (l = 0 and f = 0) regardless of the values of the movement sensor (s), the light intensity (i) or the temperature (t).

In order to simplify the study and treatment of the generated rules, the temporal variable of measurement of room temperature (date format: hour: minutes) was transformed into a new variable (Month, m) which indicates the month in (February = 1, May = 2, July = 3, October = 4).

3.3. Data Classification

Our goal is to build an intelligent system for decision-making knowledge based on rules. The classifiers used to generate the rules in our proposal have been selected taking into account the good results obtained in contexts similar to the ones in our current research and the low computational costs. The following subsection will review the classifiers used for the adaptation rules of this proposal.

3.3.1. Standard Classifiers

Different classifiers have been used for the adaptation rules that best fit our adaptive architecture and the results have been compared according to different factors such as the time taken to build the model, number of rules, correctly and incorrectly classified instances, etc. The following classifiers have been used:

a) The OneR classifier [49] uses the minimum-error attribute for prediction, discretizing numeric attributes.

b) The NNge classifier [50] is based on the generic algorithm.

c) The C4.5 classifier [51] generates a decision tree using entropy.

d) The Multilayer Perceptron algorithm (MLP) [52] (artificial neural network) uses back-propagation to classify our system’s different actions based on prior information.

e) The K-nearest neighbors classifier (K-NN) [53] assigns the action of the class of its nearest neighbor.

f) The Naive Bayes classifier [54] where it is assumed that all variables are independent of each other given the class.

3.3.2. Fuzzy Classifier

The idea is to incorporate the fuzzy classifier in the decision-making process to see if it improves the success rate with respect to the standard classifiers. Fuzzy Lattice Reasoning (FLR) classifiers have been included in this study due to their reliability in the generation of rules and the good results described in other applications. The capacity for learning of this classifier are demonstrated in a real-world application domain. A fuzzy inference system is a technology based on fuzzy logic [55], that generates rules by an induction process. This is a hybrid system such as fuzzy lattice neuro-computing for clustering and classification in different data domains using lattice theory [56].
The fuzzy lattice reasoning classifier generates rules from the training data in two-step rule induction and generalization [57]. Four important ideas of fuzzy lattice reasoning can be summarized as follows [57]:

- The rule induction may be affected by replacing a hyperbox $A_j$ with a larger hyperbox $a_i A_j$, where the larger hyperbox is assigned a category label $C_j$.
- A rule $A_j \rightarrow C_j; j = 1, L$ defines a fuzzy set $k (x \leq A_j)$ in the family of hyperboxes such that hyperbox $A_j$ corresponds to the core of fuzzy set $k (x \leq A_j)$.
- Fuzzy lattice reasoning can deal with semantic data and also non-numeric data, e.g., structured data (graphs).
- A fuzzy lattice reasoning classifier can deal with a missing data value in a constituent lattice $L_i$ by replacing a missing datum with a lattice interval $[a, b]$ such that $v_i ([a, b]) = v_i(b) - v_i(a) \approx 0$. The latter replacement is semantically interpreted as absence of information.

3.4. Results and Discussion

The results obtained after applying the classifiers to the parameters involved in the inside temperature of the rooms are shown in Table 2. Ten-fold cross-validation was applied to all classifiers.

Table 2. Results obtained after applying the Classifiers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classifier</th>
<th>TBM</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>CCI</th>
<th>ICI</th>
<th>KS</th>
<th>MAE</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>RAE</th>
<th>RRSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fan coil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLR(rhoa 0.5)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>72.8374</td>
<td>27.1626</td>
<td>0.2329</td>
<td>0.1811</td>
<td>0.4255</td>
<td>56.117</td>
<td>105.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLR(rhoa 0.8)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>94.0673</td>
<td>5.9327</td>
<td>0.8705</td>
<td>0.0396</td>
<td>0.1989</td>
<td>12.2569</td>
<td>49.5117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLR(rhoa 0.9)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>99.9976</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>0.9883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBK</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>68.1185</td>
<td>31.8815</td>
<td>0.2663</td>
<td>0.2125</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>66.7205</td>
<td>115.6903</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4.5</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>96.6025</td>
<td>3.3975</td>
<td>0.9313</td>
<td>0.0428</td>
<td>0.1218</td>
<td>13.414</td>
<td>30.4927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilayer Perceptron</td>
<td>159.24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99.519</td>
<td>0.481</td>
<td>0.9901</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.0497</td>
<td>1.2277</td>
<td>12.3844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaiveBayes</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>97.1492</td>
<td>2.8508</td>
<td>0.9426</td>
<td>0.0455</td>
<td>0.1196</td>
<td>14.1098</td>
<td>29.7878</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNge</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>96.4578</td>
<td>3.5422</td>
<td>0.9287</td>
<td>0.0468</td>
<td>0.1244</td>
<td>14.5182</td>
<td>31.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OneR</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>70.659</td>
<td>29.341</td>
<td>0.3885</td>
<td>0.1956</td>
<td>0.4423</td>
<td>60.618</td>
<td>110.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor Lights</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLR(rhoa 0.5)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>82.5045</td>
<td>17.4955</td>
<td>0.2842</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.4183</td>
<td>51.0667</td>
<td>101.0631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLR(rhoa 0.8)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>96.3502</td>
<td>3.6498</td>
<td>0.9456</td>
<td>0.0539</td>
<td>0.1329</td>
<td>12.523</td>
<td>28.4825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLR(rhoa 0.9)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>99.9989</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.8764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBK</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>82.1024</td>
<td>17.8976</td>
<td>0.5341</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.3976</td>
<td>40.0031</td>
<td>81.2386</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4.5</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>98.8795</td>
<td>1.1205</td>
<td>0.9567</td>
<td>0.0321</td>
<td>0.0612</td>
<td>5.2684</td>
<td>10.1256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilayer Perceptron</td>
<td>181.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99.7729</td>
<td>0.2271</td>
<td>0.9954</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.0345</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.8956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaiveBayes</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>96.6634</td>
<td>3.3366</td>
<td>0.8969</td>
<td>0.0807</td>
<td>0.1671</td>
<td>23.5765</td>
<td>40.3989</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNge</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>97.3552</td>
<td>2.6448</td>
<td>0.9358</td>
<td>0.0545</td>
<td>0.1203</td>
<td>14.3165</td>
<td>30.6898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OneR</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>77.805</td>
<td>22.195</td>
<td>0.0163</td>
<td>0.2219</td>
<td>0.4711</td>
<td>64.8394</td>
<td>113.877</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TBM: Time to build the model; NR: Number of Rules; CCE: Correctly Classified Instances; ICI: Incorrectly Classified Instances; KS: Kappa Statistic; MAE: Mean Absolute Error; RMSE: Root Mean Squared Error; RAE: Relative absolute error; RRSE: Root Relative Squared Error.

After studying the obtained results, we decided to use the FLR and the C4.5 classifiers in our study because they obtained rules with the smallest computational cost and the highest percentage of correctly classified instances. Applying the classifier Fuzzy Lattice Reasoning (FLR) we obtain excellent results which can be seen in the accuracy scatter plot (Figure 9). In this graph it can be seen that the incorrectly classified instances are not significant and that the rules generated are confirmed after applying the classifier C4.5, meaning there are reference temperatures that match after applying the two classifiers and the possible phase in which the fan coil can be (heating, air conditioning) is determined by the months of the year (seasons).
In our system, priority will be given to the Fuzzy Lattice Reasoning (FLR) classifier because the FLR classifier enables the interpretation of qualitative and imprecise data as well as data from an uncertain source such as in our study case. Furthermore, the format of the generated rules is easily processed for its subsequent storage in the rules model to be performed by the system (AOPDmM).

Moreover, the C4.5 classifier generates fewer rules to be treated than the FLR classifier, although these rules are harder to treat. For example, in Figure 10 we can see the decision tree generated given the values of Laboratory 6. Taking all this into account and due to the fact the ranks of luminosity (visual comfort) to be examined present a very similar problem to the already processed temperature ranks (thermal comfort), we decided to apply the same classifiers (FLR y C4.5) to the rooms’ lights control data, obtaining the data shown in Table 2.

From observing the rules generated by the FLR y C4.5 classifiers it can be perceived that there is a different comfort temperature for each room (user):

- Lab 6: Reference temperature = 24° ± 1°
- Lab 8: Reference temperature = 23° ± 1°

Furthermore, the status of the fan coil (heating or air conditioner) depends on the months of the year, so that the air conditioning is not activated in the month of February and the heating is not activated during the months not belonging to the winter season (May, July, October).

Similarly, applying the classifiers to the data generated by the simulator, one can perceive that the threshold for turning the lights on and off (visual comfort) in Laboratory 6 is 532 lx and 663 lx respectively, while the threshold in Laboratory 8 is 582 lx and 704 lx respectively. Unlike the rules generated from the actual results measured in the CIESOL building, one can appreciate that the month is not an influential factor, because the user’s visual comfort zone will depend on the lighting level or luminance of the room, regardless of the time of year. An example of the rules generated by means of the FLR classifier is shown below:

R1: \[ f = 1 \leftrightarrow, t_s[14.67, 22.98] \land (s_8) \land (\neg a) \land (m = 1) \]

R2: \[ f = 2 \leftrightarrow, t_s[23.99, 29.98] \land (s_8) \land (\neg a) \land (m = 3) \]

R3: \[ f = 0 \leftrightarrow, t_s[23.99, 29.98] \land (\neg s_8) \land (\neg a) \land (m = 3) \]
From observing the rules generated by the FLR and C4.5 classifiers it can be perceived that there is a different comfort temperature for each room (user):

- **Lab 6**: Reference temperature = 24° ± 1°
- **Lab 8**: Reference temperature = 23° ± 1°

Furthermore, the status of the fan coil (heating or air conditioner) depends on the months of the year, so that the air conditioning is not activated in the month of February and the heating is not activated during the months not belonging to the winter season (May, July, October).

Similarly, applying the classifiers to the data generated by the simulator, one can perceive that the threshold for turning the lights on and off (visual comfort) in Laboratory 6 is 532 lx and 663 lx respectively, while the threshold in Laboratory 8 is 582 lx and 704 lx respectively. Unlike the rules generated from the actual results measured in the CIESOL building, one can appreciate that the month is not an influential factor, because the user’s visual comfort zone will depend on the lighting level or luminance of the room, regardless of the time of year. An example of the rules generated by means of the FLR classifier is shown below:

R1: \[ f = 1 \leftrightarrow t_6 \in [24.99, 30.98] \land (s_6) \land (\neg a) \land (m = 1) \]

R2: \[ f = 2 \leftrightarrow t_8 \in [14.67, 22.98] \land (s_8) \land (\neg a) \land (m = 3) \]

R3: \[ f = 0 \leftrightarrow t_8 \in [23.99, 29.98] \land (\neg s_8) \land (\neg a) \land (m = 3) \]

R4: \[ f = 2 \leftrightarrow t_6 \in [24.99, 30.98] \land (s_6) \land (\neg a) \land (m = 3) \]

R5: \[ l = 10 \leftrightarrow i_6 \in [532, 663] \land (s_6) \land (\neg a) \]

R6: \[ l = 10 \leftrightarrow i_8 \in [582, 704] \land (s_8) \land (\neg a) \]

The rule model to be run by the Adaptive Transformation Service, is built from the evaluation of the rules generated by the classifiers. This way, once the processing of the study data is completed, our system has been loaded with the necessary parameters to obtain the adaptive transformation in the CIESOL building’s home automation system as described below:

- **Knowledge data base (Knowledge DB)**. Stores the registers used in the data preprocessing previously described. It will be updated over time as the user executes different actions to the ones generated by the decision-making system.
- **Rule model to be executed by the system (AOpDmM).** The rule model in which the rules generated by the classifiers in the data processing, are stored. This will be updated over time with the new rules generated in the Decision-Making Service.
- **Classifiers DB.** Stores the classifiers that have been selected as most suitable in the processing as well as its parameters (Rhoa, validation, etc.) In the case study of the CIESOL building, these will be the FLR and C4.5 classifiers.
- **Expert’s Knowledge DB.** Stores the criteria and parameters to be compared with the results obtained after applying the corresponding classifier in order to select from among the generated rules, those rules that best suit the corresponding criteria. For this case study (CIESOL) the following initial comparison criteria have been selected:
  - Correctly Classified Instances. The success rate allows us to identify the amount of cases tagged correctly by a classifier.
  - Kappa statistic measures randomness in the observed proportion of frequencies when categorizing qualitative variables.

Figure 10. Decision tree generated with C4.5 algorithm.
- Mean absolute error and Relative Absolute Error. The absolute and relative errors give us an idea of the imprecision of the provided data classification.

- The following secondary comparison criteria will be taken into account: (a) The classifier’s generation time and (b) the time to classify an instance (i.e., number of rules or depth of the tree).

- Classifier Results Log. To store the register of the results according to the parameters stored in the Expert’s Knowledge DB and obtained during any of the previous times the classifier has been run. In our case study the obtained results are those shown in Table 2 for Correctly Classified Instances, Kappa statistic, Mean absolute error, Relative Absolute Error, Time taken (to generate classifier) and Time taken (to classify an instance).

In order to validate the adaptive capacity of our architecture proposal, we decided to proceed in two steps. As a first approach, we decided to measure the adaptation capacity of our proposal in the case of a user’s change of behavior. In order to simulate this behavioral change of the user visual comfort, we used a function in Java to randomly change n times (n = 10,000) the registers (Knowledge DB) of Laboratory 6 decreasing 10 lx (LightIntensityLab6 = 522 lx) the minimum light intensity value at which the lights in the room would switch on (LightsLab = 1) taking into account the value of the rest of the attributes involved in the rule (MovSenLab6 and AlarActivePres). Then we proceeded to launch our system by means of simulation and concluded the system adapted itself to user’s behavior (visual comfort) adjusting the rule that determines the status of the lights inside Laboratory 6 deleting the existing rule and generating this new Rule 5:

\[
R5: \{l = 10 \leftrightarrow, i_6[532,663] \land (s_6) \land (\neg a)\}
\]

In a second approach we set out to test the adaptive capacity of our proposal in the case of possible changes in the system’s hardware composition (components, sensors). For this test we worked on the assumption that an actuator was added to the system that would be able to open and close the blinds along with a sensor to provide feedback about the status of this actuator. In accordance with our proposal, the actuator would be a new component (SunblindLab) added to the system and the sensor (BlindSenLab) would be a new variable of the system’s context (Table 3).

Table 3. New Variable Specification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abrev.</th>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>SunBind Description</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>BlindSenLab</td>
<td>Close (0,1)</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>SunblindLab</td>
<td>Output: 1 Open/0 no action required</td>
<td>Numeric</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We defined the values of these variables in a way that the blinds of the room could only be opened (SunblindLab = 1) when the blinds are closed (BlindSenLab = 1). After defining the new context variable (attribute) and the new system component (class)and using a function in Java, we proceeded to modify the registers of the data base (Knowledge DB) in which the value of the component Lights Lab were defined by the prior rule (Rule5) so that when the light intensity value (LightIntensityLab6, i6) of the room is found to be outside the user’s comfort range (visual comfort), in the case the blinds of the rooms are closed, they will open. In the case they are already open, the lights inside the room will switch on. After applying these adjustments we proceeded as in the previous case, running our system by means of simulation and as a result the previous rule was changed (Rule 5) and a new rule was generated (Rule 6) as the system successfully adapted to the new system component as well as to the new requirements that rule its behavior, which is shown below.

\[
R5: \{n = 1 \leftrightarrow, i_6[522,663] \land (s_6) \land (\neg a) \land (b = 1)\}
\]

\[
R6: \{l = 1 \leftrightarrow, i_6[522,663] \land (s_6) \land (\neg a) \land (b = 0)\}
\]
Obviously the new requirements introduced into the system would not be complements for a real home automation control system, since the status of the room blinds (open/closed) should take into account the light intensity outside and, based on this value, change its state to open only in the case that the light intensity were higher than the light intensity of the room and it were inside the user’s visual comfort range determined by the system. However, the purpose of the described simulation is to ascertain the adaptive capacity of our architectural proposal considering the incorporation of new components and requirements into the control system, not the development of complex rules for a domotics control system, which is absolutely feasible with our current architectural proposal.

Figure 11 shows the result of applying our architectural proposal applied to the described case study. Based on an initial system, in which it has been assumed that the main alarm has been activated, in the first case, the Adaptive Transformation Service generates the M2M transformation running the repository’s adaptation rules previously generated by the Decision-Making Service as detailed in Section 2.2. This way, the Adaptive Transformation Service eliminates the components alarm and emergency lights from the initial model (Initial Domotics System) and inserts the new components indoor lights and heating into the new model (Adapted Domotics System A). If, as previously described, the user’s behavior changes and/or new sensors and/or components are added to the system, the decision-making service, through learning, will generate new adaptation rules or will alter the existing rules (adaptation rules) in order to adapt the system to the user’s behavior and to the context variable of the system. This way, when the Adaptive Transformation Service is executed, from the initial architectural model (Initial Domotics System) a new model (Adapted Domotics System B) will be generated in which the components indoor lights and heating are eliminated and the new components air conditioning and sun blind are inserted according to the new adaptation rules (Figure 11). In our proposed adaptive architecture at runtime, the components in which the adaptation rules (insert/enable, delete/disable) generated by the Decision-Making Service are applied, are the software components that control the hardware devices.

Figure 11. Description of the adaptation process by means of adaptive architecture.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we presented a schema for the adaptation of dynamic computer systems by using interdisciplinary techniques of model-driven engineering, service engineering and soft computing at runtime. This schema was developed as a three-layer adaptive transformation process that was supported on a rule-based decision-making service implemented by means of machine learning (ML) algorithms. In our case we introduced an experimental case study that applied the proposed microservice schema for adapting home architectural atmosphere systems on Green Buildings. The decision-making system will generate new adaptation rules using the collected data from the sensors and actuators in the building. These rules are defined based on the interaction between
the user and the home automation system in the CIESOL building. In fact, the solution presented equips the domotic system of CIESOL with the ability to adapt to the behavior of the user integrating a learning system into the domotic system, achieving an improvement in the system’s energetic efficiency and increasing user comfort by adapting the system to the preferences of the user, thus freeing the latter from controlling the components involved in the system. In fact, these adaptation rules will be transformed into control rules and added to the system control by the adaptation process.

As discussed in Section 1, our proposal as a solution to the problem of adapting software systems at runtime is not suitable for all types of systems but is only focused on component-based software. Specifically, the architectures describing the software are built from coarse-grained component managed as black boxes.

We can also say that our proposal is scalable because, although in the case study only temperature and light intensity were considered as comfort variables in order to limit the study, should we decide to consider other variables such as CO2 concentration, air pollutants, indoor airflow, humidity, etc. the system would not require any changes. It would only be necessary to identify the classifier that generates the best results with this new variable and, if this classifier had not already been identified in the case study we developed (FLR and C4.5), the new classifier would only have to be stored (IBK, OneR, etc.) in Classifiers DB for the Decision-Making Service to be able to generate new adaptation rules to be executed by the system.

Moreover, our approach can be applied to different types of software systems where the runtime adaptation of component-based architectures is required. Some examples of these kinds of architectures are related to home automation [10], smart cars [58], smart buildings [59], robotics [60], communication networks [4] or adaptive user interfaces [43].

**Future Work**

In future work, we will research new big data techniques that improve the optimization of the energy consumption and increase user comfort. Additionally, we aim to validate this approach in scenarios other than the smart buildings and domotic systems selected for this case study. Therefore, we intend to establish a formal methodology to be able to apply our proposal in any type of dynamic computer system that can be represented as architectures of coarse-grained components. In this sense, the methodology should include a protocol to define the available components and the set of adaptation rules depending on the context information and the behavior required. Furthermore, we aim to extend the amount of data stored that is related to relevant context information so that, in later stages, big data techniques that improve the optimization of the energy consumption and increase user comfort can be applied.
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