

Article

On the Fekete–Szegő Type Functionals for Close-to-Convex Functions

Katarzyna Trąbka-Więclaw , Paweł Zaprawa , Magdalena Gregorczyk  and Andrzej Rysak 

Mechanical Engineering Faculty, Lublin University of Technology, ul. Nadbystrzycka 36, 20-618 Lublin, Poland; p.zaprawa@pollub.pl (P.Z.); m.gregorczyk@pollub.pl (M.G.); a.rysak@pollub.pl (A.R.)

* Correspondence: k.trabka@pollub.pl

Received: 28 October 2019; Accepted: 7 December 2019; Published: 10 December 2019



Abstract: In this paper, we consider two functionals of the Fekete–Szegő type $\Theta_f(\mu) = a_4 - \mu a_2 a_3$ and $\Phi_f(\mu) = a_2 a_4 - \mu a_3^2$ for a real number μ and for an analytic function $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$, $|z| < 1$. This type of research was initiated by Hayami and Owa in 2010. They obtained results for functions satisfying one of the conditions $\operatorname{Re} \{f(z)/z\} > \alpha$ or $\operatorname{Re} \{f'(z)\} > \alpha$, $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Similar estimates were also derived for univalent starlike functions and for univalent convex functions. We discuss $\Theta_f(\mu)$ and $\Phi_f(\mu)$ for close-to-convex functions such that $f'(z) = h(z)/(1-z)^2$, where h is an analytic function with a positive real part. Many coefficient problems, among others estimating of $\Theta_f(\mu)$, $\Phi_f(\mu)$ or the Hankel determinants for close-to-convex functions or univalent functions, are not solved yet. Our results broaden the scope of theoretical results connected with these functionals defined for different subclasses of analytic univalent functions.

Keywords: coefficient problem; close-to-convex function; Fekete–Szegő functional; functional of Fekete–Szegő type

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the family of all functions analytic in $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ having the power series expansion:

$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots, \quad (1)$$

and let \mathcal{S}^* denote the class of univalent starlike functions in \mathcal{A} (for the definitions and properties of \mathcal{S}^* and other classes, see [1]). For a given real argument $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and a given function $g \in \mathcal{S}^*$, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called close-to-convex with argument β with respect to g if:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{e^{i\beta} z f'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Let $\mathcal{C}_\beta(g)$ be the class of all such functions. Moreover, let:

$$\mathcal{C}_\beta = \bigcup_{g \in \mathcal{S}^*} \mathcal{C}_\beta(g).$$

Let \mathcal{C} denote the family of all close-to-convex functions (see [2,3]). It is obvious that:

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)} \mathcal{C}_\beta.$$

All functions in \mathcal{C} are univalent.

In this paper, we consider the class $\mathcal{C}_0(k)$, where k is the Koebe function:

$$k(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} = z + 2z^2 + 3z^3 + \dots \quad (2)$$

The class $\mathcal{C}_0(k)$ is sometimes denoted by \mathcal{CR}^+ . Such functions have a well known geometrical meaning. Namely, for each function f in this class, the set $f(\Delta)$ is a domain such that $\{w + t : t \geq 0\} \subset f(\Delta)$ for every $w \in f(\Delta)$. Such functions f are convex in the positive direction of the real axis.

For a function f analytic in Δ of the form (1), we define two functionals for a fixed real μ :

$$\Theta_f(\mu) = a_4 - \mu a_2 a_3 \quad (3)$$

and:

$$\Phi_f(\mu) = a_2 a_4 - \mu a_3^2. \quad (4)$$

The functionals $\Theta_f(\mu)$ and $\Phi_f(\mu)$ are the generalizations of two well known expressions: $a_4 - a_2 a_3$ and $a_2 a_4 - a_3^2$. Both functionals are symmetric, or invariant, under rotations. The first one is a particular case of the generalized Zalcman functional. It was investigated, among others, by Ma [4] and Efraimidis and Vukotić [5]. The second functional is known as the second Hankel determinant, and it was studied in many papers. The investigation of Hankel determinants for analytic functions was started by Pommerenke (see [6,7]) and continued by many mathematicians in various classes of univalent functions (see, for example [8–16]). The functional $\Phi_f(\mu)$ was first studied by Hayami and Owa [17]. They discussed an even more general functional $a_n a_{n+2} - \mu a_{n+1}^2$ for the classes $\mathcal{Q}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \{f(z)/z\} > \alpha$ and $\operatorname{Re} \{f'(z)\} > \alpha$, respectively. The functionals $\Phi_f(\mu)$ and $\Theta_f(\mu)$ for the classes \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{K} of starlike and convex functions, respectively, were discussed in [18].

It is worth pointing out a particularly interesting property of $\Phi_f(\mu)$. The sharp estimates of this functional are often symmetric with respect to a certain point. It was shown in [18] that such points for \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{K} are $8/9$ and one, respectively. We have:

$$|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq \max\{9\mu - 8, 1\} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{S}^* \quad (5)$$

and:

$$|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq \max\{|\mu - 1|, 1/8\} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{K}.$$

A similar situation occurs for $\mathcal{Q}(1/2)$ and for the class $\mathcal{C}_0(h)$, where $h(z) = z/(1-z^2)$; this point is $1/2$ (see [17,19]). This situation appears even in the class \mathcal{T} of typically real functions, which do not necessarily have to be univalent (see [19]).

In this work, we derive bounds of $\Theta_f(\mu)$ and $\Phi_f(\mu)$ for functions in $\mathcal{C}_0(k)$.

2. Preliminary Results

Let \mathcal{P} denote the class of all analytic functions h with a positive real part in Δ satisfying the normalization condition $h(0) = 1$. Let $h \in \mathcal{P}$ have the Taylor series expansion:

$$h(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \dots \quad (6)$$

We shall need here three results. The first one is known as Caratheodory's lemma (see, for example, ref. [1]). The second one is due to Libera and Złotkiewicz ([20,21]), and the third one is the result of Hayami and Owa.

Lemma 1 ([1]). *If $h \in \mathcal{P}$ is given by (6), then the sharp inequality $|p_n| \leq 2$ holds for $n \geq 1$.*

Lemma 2 ([20,21]). Let h be given by (6) and p_1 be a given real number, $p_1 \in [-2, 2]$. Then, $h \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if:

$$2p_2 = p_1^2 + (4 - p_1^2)x$$

and:

$$4p_3 = p_1^3 + 2(4 - p_1^2)p_1x - (4 - p_1^2)p_1x^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)y$$

for some complex numbers x, y such that $|x| \leq 1, |y| \leq 1$.

Lemma 3 ([17]). If $h \in \mathcal{P}$ is given by (6), then:

$$|p_3 - \mu p_1 p_2| \leq \max\{2, |2 - 4\mu|\}.$$

The next lemma is an improvement of Lemma 3 for $\mu \in [1/2, 1]$.

Lemma 4 ([22]). If $h \in \mathcal{P}$ is given by (6) and $\mu \in [1/2, 1]$, then:

$$|p_3 - \mu p_1 p_2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}\mu^2 p^3 - \frac{1}{2}\mu(2 - \mu)p^2 + 2, & p \in [0, 2/(2 - \mu)] , \\ (3 - 2\mu)p - (1 - \mu)p^3, & p \in [2/(2 - \mu), 2] , \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $p = |p_1|$. The inequality is sharp.

The following lemma was proven by Lecko (see Corollary 2.3 in [23]).

Lemma 5 ([23]). If $h \in \mathcal{P}$ is given by (6), then:

$$|p_{n+1} + 2p_n + p_{n-1}| \leq 2(2 + \operatorname{Re}\{p_1\}). \quad (8)$$

We have proven the next lemma.

Lemma 6. If $h \in \mathcal{P}$ is given by (6), then:

$$|p_1 p_3 - p_2^2| \leq 4 - |p_1|^2. \quad (9)$$

The inequality is sharp.

Proof. By Lemma 2,

$$4(p_1 p_3 - p_2^2) = (4 - p_1^2) [2p_1(1 - |x|^2)y - 4x^2].$$

Applying the invariance of $|p_1 p_3 - p_2^2|$ under rotation, we can assume that p_1 is a non-negative real number. Writing $r = |x| \in [0, 1]$ and $p = p_1 \in [0, 2]$, we get by the triangle inequality and the assumption $|y| \leq 1$:

$$4|p_1 p_3 - p_2^2| \leq (4 - p^2)[2p(1 - r^2) + 4r^2] = (4 - p^2)[2p + (4 - 2p)r^2] \leq 4(4 - p^2),$$

which gives the desired bound. The equality (9) holds for:

$$h(z) = \left(1 - \frac{p}{2}\right) \frac{1 + z^2}{1 - z^2} + \frac{p}{2} \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} = 1 + pz + 2z^2 + pz^3 + \dots, \quad (10)$$

which means that there is equality in (9) for rotations of (10). \square

The next lemma is a special case of more general results due to Choi et al. [24] (see also [9]). Let $\bar{\Delta} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$. Define:

$$Y(a, b, c) = \max_{z \in \bar{\Delta}} (|a + bz + cz^2| + 1 - |z|^2), \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Lemma 7. If $ac < 0$, then:

$$Y(a, b, c) = \begin{cases} 1 + |a| + \frac{b^2}{4(1 + |c|)}, & |b| < 2(1 + |c|) \text{ and } b^2 < -4a(1 - c^2)/c, \\ 1 - |a| + \frac{b^2}{4(1 - |c|)}, & |b| < 2(1 - |c|) \text{ and } b^2 \geq -4a(1 - c^2)/c, \\ R(a, b, c), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where:

$$R(a, b, c) = \begin{cases} |a| + |b| - |c|, & |ab| \geq |c| (|b| + 4|a|), \\ -|a| + |b| + |c|, & |ab| \leq |c| (|b| - 4|a|), \\ (|c| + |a|) \sqrt{1 - \frac{b^2}{4ac}}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $ac \geq 0$, then:

$$Y(a, b, c) = \begin{cases} |a| + |b| + |c|, & |b| \geq 2(1 - |c|), \\ 1 + |a| + \frac{b^2}{4(1 - |c|)}, & |b| < 2(1 - |c|). \end{cases}$$

Applying the correspondence between the functions in $\mathcal{C}_0(k)$ and \mathcal{P} :

$$(1 - z)^2 f'(z) = h(z), \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_0(k), \quad h \in \mathcal{P} \quad (11)$$

and Expansions (1) and (6) we get:

$$2a_2 = 2 + p_1, \quad 3a_3 = 3 + 2p_1 + p_2, \quad 4a_4 = 4 + 3p_1 + 2p_2 + p_3. \quad (12)$$

Moreover, by Lemma 1, $\text{Re} \{a_2\} \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $p_1 = -2$. The equality is possible only for the function $h(z) = \frac{1-z}{1+z} \in \mathcal{P}$, and then, $f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z} \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$.

Hence, we can express $\Theta_f(\mu)$ and $\Phi_f(\mu)$ for $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$ as coefficients of a corresponding function $h \in \mathcal{P}$ in the following way:

$$\Theta_f(\mu) = \frac{1}{4}p_3 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\mu\right)p_2 + \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{7}{6}\mu\right)p_1 - \frac{1}{6}\mu p_1 p_2 - \frac{1}{3}\mu p_1^2 + 1 - \mu \quad (13)$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_f(\mu) = & \frac{1}{8}p_1 p_3 - \frac{1}{9}\mu p_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}p_3 + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{4}{9}\mu\right) p_1 p_2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3}\mu\right) p_2 \\ & + \left(\frac{3}{8} - \frac{4}{9}\mu\right) p_1^2 + \left(\frac{5}{4} - \frac{4}{3}\mu\right) p_1 + 1 - \mu. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

3. Example

Let us consider the function:

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha) \log \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \alpha \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1], \quad (15)$$

which has the following Taylor series expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= (1 - \alpha) \left(z + \frac{1}{3}z^3 + \dots \right) + \alpha \left(z + 2z^2 + 3z^3 + 4z^4 + \dots \right) \\ &= z + 2\alpha z^2 + \frac{1}{3}(1 + 8\alpha)z^3 + 4\alpha z^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Since:

$$(1 - z)^2 F'(z) = (1 - \alpha) \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} + \alpha \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \in \mathcal{P},$$

so $F \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$. Moreover,

$$F(\Delta) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \left\{ x \pm i(1 - \alpha) \frac{\pi}{4} : x \leq \frac{1}{4}[(1 - \alpha) \ln \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} - 1] \right\}.$$

For F , we have:

$$\Theta_F(\mu) = \frac{2}{3} \left[-8\mu\alpha^2 + (6 - \mu)\alpha \right]$$

and:

$$\Phi_F(\mu) = \frac{1}{9} \left[8(9 - 8\mu)\alpha^2 - 16\mu\alpha - \mu \right].$$

For $\mu < 0$, we have: $\Theta_F(\mu) \leq 4 - 6\mu$ and $\Phi_F(\mu) \leq 8 - 9\mu$. We find the estimation of $\Theta_F(\mu)$ and $\Phi_F(\mu)$ for $\mu \geq 0$.

Let us denote:

$$f(\alpha) = \frac{2}{3} \left[-8\mu\alpha^2 + (6 - \mu)\alpha \right] \quad \text{and} \quad g(\alpha) = \frac{1}{9} \left[8(9 - 8\mu)\alpha^2 - 16\mu\alpha - \mu \right].$$

The critical point $\alpha_0 = (6 - \mu)/(16\mu)$ of $f(\alpha)$ is in $(0, 1)$ if $\mu \in (6/17, 6)$. Hence,

$$|\Theta_F(\mu)| \leq \max\{|f(\alpha_0)|, |f(1)|, |f(0)|\} = \max\left\{ \left| \frac{(6 - \mu)^2}{48\mu} \right|, |4 - 6\mu|, 0 \right\}$$

for $\mu \in (6/17, 6)$ and:

$$|\Theta_F(\mu)| \leq \max\{|f(1)|, |f(0)|\} = |4 - 6\mu| \quad \text{for } \mu \in [0, 6/17] \cup [6, \infty).$$

Similarly, the critical point $\alpha_1 = \mu/(9 - 8\mu)$ of $g(\alpha)$ is in $(0, 1)$ if $\mu \in (0, 1)$. Hence,

$$|\Phi_F(\mu)| \leq \max\{|g(\alpha_1)|, |g(1)|, |g(0)|\} = \max\left\{ \left| \frac{\mu}{8\mu - 9} \right|, |8 - 9\mu|, \left| -\frac{\mu}{9} \right| \right\}$$

for $\mu \in (0, 1)$ and:

$$|\Phi_F(\mu)| \leq \max\{|g(1)|, |g(0)|\} = |9\mu - 8| \quad \text{for } \mu \in \{0\} \cup [1, \infty).$$

Finally, for a function F given by (15), we obtain:

$$\Theta_F(\mu) \leq \begin{cases} 4 - 6\mu, & \mu \leq 6/17 = 0.352\dots \\ \frac{(6 - \mu)^2}{48\mu}, & 6/17 \leq \mu \leq 6(15 + 16\sqrt{2})/287 = 0.786\dots \\ 6\mu - 4, & \mu \geq 6(15 + 16\sqrt{2})/287 \end{cases}$$

and:

$$\Phi_F(\mu) \leq \begin{cases} 8 - 9\mu, & \mu \leq (73 - \sqrt{145})/72 = 0.846\dots \\ \frac{\mu}{9 - 8\mu}, & (73 - \sqrt{145})/72 \leq \mu \leq 1 \\ 9\mu - 8, & \mu \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

4. Bounds of $|\Theta(\mu)|$ for the Class $\mathcal{C}_0(k)$

In the main theorem of this section, we establish the sharp bounds of $|\Theta(\mu)|$ for the class $\mathcal{C}_0(k)$. The proof is divided into six lemmas. The first one is a particular case of the result obtained in [22] (Theorem 3.1 or Theorem 3.3 in [22]), and the second one is obvious.

Lemma 8. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$. Then, $|\Theta_f(1)| = |a_4 - a_2a_3| \leq 2$. The result is sharp.

Lemma 9. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$ and $\mu \leq 0$. Then, $|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq 4 - 6\mu$. The result is sharp.

Lemma 10. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$ and $\mu > 1$. Then, $|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq 6\mu - 4$. The result is sharp.

Proof. From (13), we can write $\Theta_f(\mu)$ as follows:

$$\Theta_f(\mu) = \frac{1}{4}(p_3 - \frac{2}{3}\mu p_1 p_2) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\mu\right)p_2 - \frac{1}{3}\mu p_1^2 + \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{7}{6}\mu\right)p_1 + 1 - \mu.$$

If $\mu \geq 3/2$, then, taking into account Lemmas 1 and 3, we get:

$$|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{8}{3}\mu - 2\right) + 2\left(\frac{1}{3}\mu - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{4}{3}\mu + 2\left(\frac{7}{6}\mu - \frac{3}{4}\right) + \mu - 1 = 6\mu - 4.$$

If $\mu \in (1, 3/2)$, then we have:

$$\Theta_f(\mu) = (3 - 2\mu)(a_4 - a_2a_3) + (2\mu - 2)\left(a_4 - \frac{3}{2}a_2a_3\right).$$

Now, from Lemma 8 and the first part of this proof (i.e., $|a_4 - \frac{3}{2}a_2a_3| \leq 5$), we obtain:

$$|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq 2(3 - 2\mu) + 5(2\mu - 2) = 6\mu - 4.$$

It is clear that $\Theta_f(\mu) = 4 - 6\mu$ only when $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 2$, which means that this equality holds only for the Koebe function (2). In other words, the Koebe function is the extremal function for $\mu > 1$. \square

Taking into account (13) and Lemma 2, we can write $\Theta_f(\mu)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_f(\mu) &= 1 + \frac{1}{16}p_1^3 + \frac{1}{4}p_1^2 + \frac{3}{4}p_1 - \frac{1}{12}\mu p_1^3 - \frac{1}{2}\mu p_1^2 - \frac{7}{6}\mu p_1 - \mu \\ &+ \left[\frac{1}{8}p_1 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{12}\mu(2 + p_1)\right](4 - p_1^2)x - \frac{1}{16}(4 - p_1^2)p_1x^2 + \frac{1}{8}(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)y. \end{aligned}$$

From the above formula, we can obtain bounds of $|\Theta_f(\mu)|$, while $\mu \in (0, 1)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$, but only with an additional assumption that a_2 is a positive real number. The assumption of Lemma 2 enforces that $p_1 \in [-2, 2]$. Notice that if $p_1 = 2$, then $f(z) = k(z)$ given by (2), and we have:

$$\Theta_f(\mu) = 4 - 6\mu. \quad (16)$$

If $p_1 = -2$, then $f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z} = z + \frac{1}{3}z^3 + \frac{1}{5}z^5 + \dots$ is in $\mathcal{C}_0(k)$, and so:

$$\Theta_f(\mu) = 0. \quad (17)$$

To shorten notation, we write p instead of p_1 . One can observe that $\Theta_f(\mu)$ can be written as:

$$8\Theta_f(\mu) = (4 - p^2) \left[a + bx + cx^2 + (1 - |x|^2)y \right], \quad (18)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{48(1-\mu) + 4(9-14\mu)p + 12(1-2\mu)p^2 + (3-4\mu)p^3}{6(4-p^2)}, \\ b &= (2+p)\left(1 - \frac{2}{3}\mu\right), \\ c &= -\frac{1}{2}p. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

From (18), the triangle inequality, $|y| \leq 1$, and Lemma 2, we get:

$$8|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq (4-p^2) \left[|a+bx+cx^2| + 1 - |x|^2 \right], \quad (20)$$

where a , b , and c are given by (19).

Lemma 11. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$, a_2 be a real number, $a_2 \in [0, 2]$ and $\mu \in (0, 1/3]$. Then, $|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq 4 - 6\mu$. The result is sharp.

Proof. For $\mu = 1/3$, we have (20) with:

$$a = \frac{5p^2 + 2p + 48}{18(2-p)}, \quad b = \frac{7(2+p)}{9}, \quad c = -\frac{p}{2}.$$

We use Lemma 7. Clearly, $ac < 0$ for $p \in (0, 2)$. Note that the inequality $|b| < 2(1 + |c|)$ from the first case of Lemma 7 is equivalent to the obviously true inequality:

$$7(2+p) < 18(1+p/2). \quad (21)$$

The inequality $b^2 < -4a(1-c^2)/c$, which can be written as:

$$\frac{4(2+p)(p^2 + 20p - 108)}{81p} < 0,$$

holds for all $p \in (0, 2)$. Hence, for $p \in (0, 2)$, we have:

$$Y(a, b, c) = 1 + |a| + \frac{b^2}{4(1+|c|)} = \frac{2(238 - 36p - p^2)}{81(2-p)}. \quad (22)$$

For $p \in (-2, 0]$, we have $ac \geq 0$, and the inequality $|b| < 2(1 - |c|)$ from the last case of Lemma 7 is equivalent to (21). Therefore, $Y(a, b, c)$ is also given by (22).

Thus, from (20) for $\mu = 1/3$, Lemma 7, (16) and (17), we obtain:

$$|\Theta_f(1/3)| \leq g(p), \quad (23)$$

where $g(p) = (2+p)(238 - 36p - p^2)/324$ and $p \in [-2, 2]$ according to the assumption. The function g is increasing for $p \in [-2, 2]$; therefore:

$$|\Theta_f(1/3)| \leq g(2) = 2. \quad (24)$$

Moreover, we have by the triangle inequality:

$$|\Theta_f(\mu)| = (1-3\mu)a_4 + 3\mu\left(a_4 - \frac{1}{3}a_2a_3\right), \quad \mu \in (0, 1/3).$$

From Lemma 9 and from (24), we get:

$$|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq 4(1-3\mu) + 2 \cdot 3\mu = 4 - 6\mu,$$

and the proof is complete. Equality holds for the Koebe function (2). \square

Let us denote:

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &= 2(\sqrt{103} - 10)/3 = 0.099\dots, \\ K &= 16(103\sqrt{103} - 910)/2187 = 0.9901\dots \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Lemma 12. Let $f \in C_0(k)$, a_2 be a real number, $a_2 \in [0, 2]$, and K be given by (25). Then, $|\Theta_f(2/3)| \leq K$. The result is sharp.

Proof. For $\mu = 2/3$, we have (20) with:

$$a = \frac{12-p}{18}, \quad b = \frac{5(2+p)}{9}, \quad c = -\frac{p}{2}.$$

We use Lemma 7. Clearly, $ac < 0$ for $p \in (0, 2]$. First, note that the inequality $|b| < 2(1 + |c|)$ is equivalent to the obviously true inequality:

$$5(2+p) < 18(1+p/2). \quad (26)$$

The inequality $b^2 < -4a(1-c^2)/c$, which is equivalent to:

$$\frac{8(2+p)(2p^2+22p-27)}{81p} < 0,$$

holds for $p \in (0, (5\sqrt{7}-11)/2]$. For $p \in ((5\sqrt{7}-11)/2, 2]$, we have:

$$Y(a, b, c) = 1 + |a| + \frac{b^2}{4(1+|c|)} = \frac{8(p+20)}{81}, \quad (27)$$

so from (20) for $\mu = 2/3$ and Lemma 7, we obtain:

$$|\Theta_f(2/3)| \leq (4-p^2)(p+20)/81. \quad (28)$$

From Lemma 7, the inequality system consists of $|b| < 2(1+|c|)$, and $b^2 \geq -4a(1-c^2)/c$ is contradictory, because the first inequality gives $p < 4/7$, while the second one yields $p \geq (5\sqrt{7}-11)/2$.

Now, consider the third case of Lemma 7. Let $p \in [(5\sqrt{7}-11)/2, 2]$. The inequality $|ab| \geq |c|(|b|+4|a|)$ is equivalent to $60-128p-16p^2 \geq 0$, and it is not satisfied for any $p \in [(5\sqrt{7}-11)/2, 2]$. The inequality $|ab| \leq |c|(|b|-4|a|)$, which can be written as $30+44p-17p^2 \leq 0$, is also not satisfied for any $p \in [(5\sqrt{7}-11)/2, 2]$. Thus, for $p \in [(5\sqrt{7}-11)/2, 2]$, we have:

$$Y(a, b, c) = (|c|+|a|)\sqrt{1-\frac{b^2}{4ac}} = \frac{4(2p+3)}{27}\sqrt{\frac{(2p+25)(2p+1)}{(12-p)p}}. \quad (29)$$

From (20) for $\mu = 2/3$ and Lemma 7, we obtain:

$$|\Theta_f(2/3)| \leq \frac{(4-p^2)(2p+3)}{54}\sqrt{\frac{(2p+25)(2p+1)}{(12-p)p}}. \quad (30)$$

For $p \in [-2, 0]$, we have $ac \geq 0$, and the inequality $|b| < 2(1 - |c|)$ from the last case of Lemma 7 is equivalent to the inequality in (26).

Thus, $Y(a, b, c)$ is given by (27). Finally, from (16), (28) and (30), we obtain:

$$|\Theta_f(2/3)| \leq g(p) ,$$

where:

$$g(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{81}(4 - p^2)(p + 20), & p \in [-2, (5\sqrt{7} - 11)/2] \\ \frac{1}{54}(4 - p^2)(2p + 3)\sqrt{\frac{(2p + 25)(2p + 1)}{(12 - p)p}}, & p \in [(5\sqrt{7} - 11)/2, 2] . \end{cases}$$

Now, let us consider the function g for $p \in [(5\sqrt{7} - 11)/2, 2]$. We have:

$$g'(p) = \frac{M(p)}{54(12 - p)^2 p^2} \sqrt{\frac{(12 - p)p}{(2p + 25)(2p + 1)}} ,$$

where $M(p) = 24p^6 - 52p^5 - 3802p^4 - 4801p^3 + 4242p^2 + 1500p - 1800$ and:

$$M(p) = 24p^5(p - 13/6) + 900(p - 2) + 3802p^2(1 - p^2) + p(-4801p^2 + 440p + 600) < 0$$

for $p \in (1, 2]$. Hence, $g'(p) < 0$ for $p \in [(5\sqrt{7} - 11)/2, 2]$.

Taking the above into account, one can check that the function g is increasing for $p \in [-2, p_0]$ and is decreasing for $p \in (p_0, 2]$, where p_0 is given by (25). Therefore,

$$|\Theta_f(2/3)| \leq g(p_0) = 16(103\sqrt{103} - 910)/2187 = 0.9901 \dots ,$$

so we have the desired result. \square

Lemma 13. Let $f \in C_0(k)$, a_2 be a real number, and $a_2 \in [0, 2]$.

1. If $\mu \in (1/3, 2/3)$, then $|\Theta_f(\mu)| < 3 - 3\mu$.
2. If $\mu \in (2/3, 1)$, then $|\Theta_f(\mu)| < 3\mu - 1$.

Proof. We have:

$$|\Theta_f(\mu)| = |(2 - 3\mu)(a_4 - \frac{1}{3}a_2a_3) + (3\mu - 1)(a_4 - \frac{2}{3}a_2a_3)|, \quad \mu \in (1/3, 2/3) .$$

From Lemmas 11 and 12, and the triangle inequality, we get the first part of Lemma 13, i.e.,:

$$|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq 2(2 - 3\mu) + K \cdot (3\mu - 1) < 2(2 - 3\mu) + 1 \cdot (3\mu - 1) = 3 - 3\mu .$$

Since:

$$|\Theta_f(\mu)| = |(3 - 3\mu)(a_4 - \frac{2}{3}a_2a_3) + (3\mu - 2)(a_4 - a_2a_3)|, \quad \mu \in (2/3, 1) ,$$

from Lemma 12, Lemma 8, and the triangle inequality, we get the second part of Lemma 13, i.e.,:

$$|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq 1 \cdot (3 - 3\mu) + 2(3\mu - 2) = 3\mu - 1 < 1 \cdot (3 - 3\mu) + 2(3\mu - 2) = 3\mu - 1 .$$

\square

The results presented in Lemmas 8–13 can be collected as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$, a_2 be a real number, and $a_2 \in [0, 2]$. Then:

$$|\Theta_f(\mu)| \leq \begin{cases} 4 - 6\mu, & \mu \leq 1/3, \\ 3 - 3\mu, & \mu \in (1/3, 2/3), \\ K, & \mu = 2/3, \\ 3\mu - 1, & \mu \in (2/3, 1), \\ 6\mu - 4, & \mu \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where K is given by (25). The results are sharp for $\mu \leq 1/3$, $\mu = 2/3$, and $\mu \geq 1$. The equality holds for the Koebe function (2) in the first and the last case. The assumption $a_2 \in [0, 2]$ is not necessary for $\mu \leq 0$ and $\mu \geq 1$.

5. Bounds of $|\Phi(\mu)|$ for the Class $\mathcal{C}_0(k)$

At the beginning of this section, we will quote the well known theorem of Marjono and Thomas [14].

Theorem 2 ([14]). If $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$, then:

$$|\Phi_f(1)| = |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq 1.$$

Now, we shall prove the bound for $\mu \geq 1$.

Theorem 3. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$ and $\mu \geq 1$. Then, $|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq 9\mu - 8$. The result is sharp.

Proof. Rearranging the components in (14):

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_f(\mu) &= \frac{1}{8}(p_1p_3 - p_2^2) - (\frac{1}{9}\mu - \frac{1}{8})p_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}(p_3 - p_1p_2) - (\frac{4}{9}\mu - \frac{1}{2})p_1p_2 \\ &\quad - (\frac{2}{3}\mu - \frac{1}{2})p_2 - (\frac{4}{9}\mu - \frac{3}{8})p_1^2 - (\frac{4}{3}\mu - \frac{5}{4})p_1 - (\mu - 1), \end{aligned}$$

and writing p instead of $|p_1|$, by Lemmas 1, 3, and 6, for $\mu \geq 9/8$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_f(\mu)| &\leq \frac{1}{8}(4 - p^2) + (\frac{4}{9}\mu - \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{2} + (\frac{8}{9}\mu - 1)p + (\frac{4}{3}\mu - 1) \\ &\quad + (\frac{4}{9}\mu - \frac{3}{8})p^2 + (\frac{4}{3}\mu - \frac{5}{4})p + (\mu - 1) \\ &= (\frac{4}{9}\mu - \frac{1}{2})p^2 + (\frac{20}{9}\mu - \frac{9}{4})p + \frac{25}{9}\mu - \frac{3}{2} \\ &\leq 9\mu - 8. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mu \in (1, 9/8)$, then:

$$\Phi_f(\mu) = (9 - 8\mu) (a_2a_4 - a_3^2) + (8\mu - 8) (a_2a_4 - \frac{9}{8}a_3^2).$$

From the previous part of this proof $|a_2a_4 - \frac{9}{8}a_3^2| \leq \frac{17}{8}$ and from Theorem 2, after using the triangle inequality, we get:

$$|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq (9 - 8\mu) \cdot 1 + (8\mu - 8) \cdot \frac{17}{8} = 9\mu - 8.$$

It is easy to verify that for the Koebe function (2), we have $\Phi_k(\mu) = 8 - 9\mu$, so the derived estimate is sharp. □

In the next step, we shall prove that the Koebe function (2) is the extremal function for $\mu \leq 63/92$.

Theorem 4. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$ and $\mu \leq 63/92$. Then, $|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq 8 - 9\mu$. The result is sharp.

Proof. At the beginning, let us discuss the case $\mu = 63/92$. From (14), it follows that:

$$184\Phi_f\left(\frac{63}{92}\right) = 14(p_1p_3 - p_2^2) + 9p_1p_3 + 20\left(p_3 - \frac{1}{2}p_1p_2\right) + 4(p_3 + 2p_2 + p_1) + 22p_3 + 58p_1 + 13p_1^2 + 58.$$

Now, applying Lemmas 1 and 4 for $\mu = 1/2$, Lemma 5 (remembering that $2(2 + \text{Re}p_1) \leq 2(2 + |p_1|)$), Lemma 6, and the triangle inequality and writing p instead of $|p_1|$, we obtain:

$$184|\Phi_f\left(\frac{63}{92}\right)| \leq 14(4 - p^2) + 18p + 20h(p) + 8(2 + p) + 44 + 58p + 13p^2 + 58,$$

where:

$$h(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{16}p^3 - \frac{3}{8}p^2 + 2, & p \in [0, 4/3], \\ 2p - \frac{1}{2}p^3, & p \in [4/3, 2]. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$184|\Phi_f\left(\frac{63}{92}\right)| \leq H(p),$$

where:

$$H(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{5}{4}p^3 - \frac{17}{2}p^2 + 84p + 214, & p \in [0, 4/3], \\ -10p^3 - p^2 + 124p + 174, & p \in [4/3, 2]. \end{cases} \tag{31}$$

Is it clear that H is an increasing function for $p \in [0, 2]$, so:

$$|\Phi_f\left(\frac{63}{92}\right)| \leq H(2) = \frac{338}{184} = 8 - 9 \cdot \frac{63}{92}.$$

If $\mu \in (0, 63/92)$, then:

$$\Phi_f(\mu) = \left(1 - \frac{92}{63}\mu\right)a_2a_4 + \frac{92}{63}\mu \left(a_2a_4 - \frac{63}{92}a_3^2\right).$$

From the previous part of this proof and the bound $|a_n| \leq n$ valid for all functions in $\mathcal{C}_0(k)$,

$$|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq \left(1 - \frac{92}{63}\mu\right) \cdot 8 + \frac{92}{63}\mu \cdot \frac{338}{184} = 8 - 9\mu.$$

Equality holds for the Koebe function. \square

It is worth adding that the function H given by (31) is decreasing for $p > 2$, so the choice $\mu = 63/92$ is important.

Now, we will find the exact bound of $\Phi_f(\mu)$ for μ close to one. Namely, we will discuss the case $\mu \in [\mu_0, 1]$, where:

$$\mu_0 = 18/19 = 0.947\dots \tag{32}$$

In this result, we need in addition that the coefficient a_2 should be real and $a_2 \in [0, 2]$. From (12), we get $p = p_1 \in [-2, 2]$. In the proof, we are going to apply Lemma 7.

Taking into account (14) and Lemma 2, we can write $\Phi_f(\mu)$ as follows:

$$144\Phi_f(\mu) = A_0 + A_1x + A_2x^2 + B(1 - |x|^2)y,$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_0 &= \frac{1}{2} (9 - 8\mu) p^4 + (27 - 32\mu) p^3 + 2(45 - 56\mu) p^2 + 12(15 - 16\mu) p + 144(1 - \mu), \\
 A_1 &= (4 - p^2) \left[12(3 - 4\mu) + 4(9 - 8\mu)p + (9 - 8\mu)p^2 \right], \\
 A_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}(4 - p^2)(2 + p) [(9 - 8\mu)p + 16\mu], \\
 B &= 9(4 - p^2)(2 + p).
 \end{aligned}$$

If $p = -2$ and $p = 2$, then $f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ and $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$, respectively, so:

$$\Phi_f(\mu) = -\mu/9 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_f(\mu) = 8 - 9\mu. \quad (33)$$

We will show that these values are less than or equal to the real bound of $|\Phi_f(\mu)|$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$. Now and on, we assume that $p \in (-2, 2)$. Taking into account (14) and Lemma 2, by the triangle inequality and the assumption $|y| \leq 1$, we get:

$$|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq \frac{1}{16}(4 - p^2)(2 + p) \left[|a + bx + cx^2| + 1 - |x|^2 \right], \quad (34)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a &= \frac{1}{9(4 - p^2)(2 + p)} \left[\frac{1}{2}(9 - 8\mu)p^4 + (27 - 32\mu)p^3 + 2(45 - 56\mu)p^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 12(15 - 16\mu)p + 144(1 - \mu) \right], \\
 b &= \frac{1}{9(2 + p)} \left[(9 - 8\mu)p^2 + 4(9 - 8\mu)p + 12(3 - 4\mu) \right], \\
 c &= -\frac{1}{18} [(9 - 8\mu)p + 16\mu].
 \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Now, we are ready to establish the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 5. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$, a_2 be a real number, $a_2 \in [0, 2]$, and $\mu \in [\mu_0, 1]$, where $\mu_0 = 18/19$. Then:

$$|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq \frac{\mu}{9 - 8\mu}. \quad (36)$$

Equality holds for the function F given by (15).

In the proof of this theorem, we will need the two lemmas that follow. We assume that a , b , and c are given by (35).

Lemma 14. If $(p, \mu) \in (-2, 2) \times [\mu_0, 1]$ are such that $a \leq 0$, then (36) holds.

Lemma 15. If $(p, \mu) \in (-2, 2) \times [\mu_0, 1]$ are such that $a > 0$, then the following inequalities hold:

$$b < 0, \quad |b| \geq 2(1 - |c|), \quad b^2 \geq -4a(1 - c^2)/c, \quad |ab| \leq |c|(|b| - 4|a|).$$

Proof of Lemma 14. At the beginning, observe that if $(p, \mu) \in (-2, 2) \times [\mu_0, 1]$, then:

$$c = -\frac{1}{18} [9p + 8(2 - p)\mu] \leq -\frac{1}{18} \left[9p + 8(2 - p) \cdot \frac{18}{19} \right] = -\frac{1}{38} (3p + 32) < 0. \quad (37)$$

According to Lemma 7 from (34), we obtain:

$$|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq \frac{1}{16}(4 - p^2)(2 + p) \cdot Y(a, b, c),$$

where:

$$Y(a, b, c) = \begin{cases} -a + |b| - c & , |b| \geq 2(1 + c), \\ 1 - a + \frac{b^2}{4(1 + c)} & , |b| < 2(1 + c). \end{cases}$$

If $|b| < 2(1 + c)$, then from (34), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 144|\Phi_f(\mu)| &\leq 9(4 - p^2)(2 + p) \\ &- \left[\frac{1}{2}(9 - 8\mu)p^4 + (27 - 32\mu)p^3 + 2(45 - 56\mu)p^2 + 12(15 - 16\mu)p + 144(1 - \mu) \right] \\ &+ \frac{[(9 - 8\mu)p^2 + 4(9 - 8\mu)p + 12(3 - 4\mu)]^2}{2(9 - 8\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Because the right hand side of this inequality is constant and equal to $144\mu/(9 - 8\mu)$; hence, $|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq \mu/(9 - 8\mu)$.

If $|b| \geq 2(1 + c)$, then:

$$|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{16}(4 - p^2)(2 + p)(-a + b - c), & b \geq 0, \\ \frac{1}{16}(4 - p^2)(2 + p)(-a - b - c), & b \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

The first expression in (38) is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{144} \left[-2(9 - 8\mu)p^4 - 8(9 - 8\mu)p^3 - 24(3 - 4\mu)p^2 + 64\mu p + 16\mu \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{72} \left[(9 - 8\mu)p^2(p + 2)^2 - 16\mu(p + 1)^2 + 8\mu \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $q = p + 1$, $q \in (-1, 3)$, we obtain:

$$W_1(q) = -\frac{1}{72} \left[(9 - 8\mu)q^4 - 18q^2 + 9 \right] = -\frac{1}{72} \left[(3 - 2\sqrt{2\mu})q^2 - 3 \right] \cdot \left[(3 + 2\sqrt{2\mu})q^2 - 3 \right].$$

Hence, the maximum value of $W_1(q)$ is achieved for:

$$q_*^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{3 - 2\sqrt{2\mu}} + \frac{3}{3 + 2\sqrt{2\mu}} \right) = \frac{9}{9 - 8\mu}.$$

This value is equal to $W_1(q_*) = \mu/(9 - 8\mu)$.

The second expression in (38) is equal to:

$$W_2(p) = \frac{1}{18} \left[-(9 - 8\mu)p^2 - 4(9 - 10\mu)p - 2(18 - 25\mu) \right],$$

so:

$$W_2(p) \leq W_2 \left(\frac{2(10\mu - 9)}{9 - 8\mu} \right) = \frac{\mu}{9 - 8\mu}.$$

It is easy to check that for $p_* = q_* - 1 = 3/\sqrt{9 - 8\mu} - 1$ and $p_{**} = 2(10\mu - 9)/(9 - 8\mu)$, we have $b = 2(1 + c)$ and $b = -2(1 + c)$, respectively. This means that the maximum value of $|\Phi_f(\mu)|$ for $|b| \geq 2(1 + c)$ is obtained if $|b| = 2(1 + c)$. \square

Proof of Lemma 15. Let $(p, \mu) \in (-2, 2) \times [\mu_0, 1]$. At the beginning, we want to constrain the range of variability of p to some subset of $(-2, 2)$ for which $a > 0$.

From (35) for $a = 0$, we have:

$$\frac{1}{2}(9 - 8\mu)p^4 + (27 - 32\mu)p^3 + 2(45 - 56\mu)p^2 + 12(15 - 16\mu)p + 144(1 - \mu) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to:

$$9(p^2 + 2p + 8)(2 + p)^2 - 8(p^2 + 4p + 6)^2\mu = 0.$$

If $p = 0, \mu = 0$, then from (35), $a = 2$. Hence, points for which $a > 0$ lie below the curve $a = 0$. For the function $M(p) = 9(p^2 + 2p + 8)(2 + p)^2 / 8(p^2 + 4p + 6)^2, p \in (-2, 2)$, there is:

$$M'(p) = \frac{9(2 + p)}{4(p^2 + 4p + 6)^3} \cdot (p^3 + 2p^2 - 10p - 4).$$

Consequently, $M(p)$ is an increasing function if $p \in (-2, p_0)$ and a decreasing function if $p \in (p_0, 2)$ for $p_0 = -0,376\dots$, where p_0 is the only solution of $M'(p) = 0$ in $(-2, 2)$. Since $M(-1) < \mu_0$ and $M(2/3) < \mu_0$, then $M(p) < \mu_0$ for $p \in (-2, -1] \cup [2/3, 2)$. This means that $a > 0$ and $\mu \in [\mu_0, 1]$ hold for $p \in I, I \subset (-1, 2/3)$ (in other words, if $a > 0$ and $\mu \in [\mu_0, 1]$, then $-1 < p < 2/3$).

I. Since $\mu \in [\mu_0, 1]$ and:

$$b = \frac{1}{9}(9 - 8\mu)(2 + p) - \frac{16\mu}{9(2 + p)}$$

as a function of $p \in (-1, 2/3)$, is increasing, it is enough to estimate this expression taking $p = 2/3$ as a limit value. Therefore,

$$b < \frac{2}{27}(36 - 41\mu) < 0.$$

II. The inequality $-b \geq 2(1 + c)$ can be written as $(8\mu - 9)p + 20\mu - 18 \geq 0$. For $\mu \in [\mu_0, 1]$ and $p \in (-1, 2/3)$,

$$(8\mu - 9)p + 20\mu - 18 > \frac{76}{3} \left(\mu - \frac{18}{19} \right) \geq 0.$$

III. With the notation $W = b^2 + 4a(1 - c^2)/c$ and:

$$g(p, \mu) = (9 - 8\mu) \left[(16\mu - 9)p^3 + 18(4\mu - 3)p^2 + 4(25\mu - 27)p \right] - 8(32\mu^2 - 117\mu + 81),$$

we can write:

$$W = \frac{8g(p, \mu)}{9(2 + p)^2[(9 - 8\mu)p + 16\mu]}.$$

We shall prove that $g(p, \mu) \geq 0$ for $\mu \in [\mu_0, 1]$ and $p \in (-1, 2/3)$. We have:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}(p, \mu) = (9 - 8\mu) \left[3(16\mu - 9)p^2 + 36(4\mu - 3)p + 4(25\mu - 27) \right].$$

For $\mu \in [\mu_0, 1]$, we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}(-1, \mu) = (4\mu - 27)(9 - 8\mu) < 0,$$

and:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}(2/5, \mu) = 4(1033\mu - 972)(9 - 8\mu)/25 > 0.$$

This means that:

$$\min\{g(p, \mu) : p \in (-1, 2/3), \mu \in [\mu_0, 1]\} = \min\{g(p, \mu) : p \in (-1, 2/5], \mu \in [\mu_0, 1]\}.$$

Since $(16\mu - 9)p + 18(4\mu - 3) \geq 0$ for $\mu \in [\mu_0, 1]$ and $p \in (-1, 2/3)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{g(p, \mu) : p \in (-1, 2/3), \mu \in [\mu_0, 1]\} \\ & > \min\left\{4(25\mu - 27)(9 - 8\mu)p - 8(32\mu^2 - 117\mu + 81) : p \in (-1, 2/5), \mu \in [\mu_0, 1]\right\} \\ & \geq 4(25\mu - 27)(9 - 8\mu) \cdot 2/5 - 8(32\mu^2 - 117\mu + 81) \\ & = 144(-20\mu^2 + 57\mu - 36)/5 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

In this way, we have proven that $b^2 + 4a(1 - c^2)/c \geq 0$.

IV. Let us denote $V = c(b + 4a) + ab$ and:

$$h(p, \mu) = 32(p^2 + 4p + 6)(2p + 5)^2\mu^2 - 36(2 + p)(16p^2 + 71p + 82)\mu + 81(2 - p)(2 + p)^3.$$

We have

$$V = \frac{4h(p, \mu)}{81(2 + p)^2(4 - p^2)}.$$

The function h of a variable μ increases for $\mu \in [\mu_0, 1]$. Indeed, for a fixed $p \in (-1, 2/3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \mu}(p, \mu) &= 64(p^2 + 4p + 6)(2p + 5)^2\mu - 36(2 + p)(16p^2 + 71p + 82) \\ &\geq 64(p^2 + 4p + 6)(2p + 5)^2 \cdot \frac{18}{19} - 36(2 + p)(16p^2 + 71p + 82) \\ &= \frac{36}{19} \left[32(p^2 + 4p + 6)(2p + 5)^2 - 19(2 + p)(16p^2 + 71p + 82) \right] \\ &= \frac{36}{19} \left[351 + 474(p + 1) + 395(p + 1)^2 + 336(p + 1)^3 + 128(p + 1)^4 \right] \end{aligned}$$

and is greater than zero. Finally,

$$\frac{361}{81}h(p, \frac{18}{19}) = 151p^4 + 732(p + 1)p^2 + \frac{176}{3}p^2 + \frac{4}{3}(6 - 7p)^2 > 0,$$

so h , as well as V are positive for $\mu \in [\mu_0, 1]$ and $p \in (-1, 2/3)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. From Lemma 14, we know that if $a \leq 0$ and $\mu \in [\mu_0, 1]$, then (36) holds. Assume now that $a > 0$ and $\mu \in [\mu_0, 1]$. By Lemmas 7 and 15, and Formula (37),

$$|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq \frac{1}{16}(4 - p^2)(2 + p)(-|a| + |b| + |c|) = \frac{1}{16}(4 - p^2)(2 + p)(-a - b - c).$$

This expression is the same as in the second line in (38), and it takes the maximum value $\mu/(9 - 8\mu)$ for $p = p_{**} = 2(10\mu - 9)/(9 - 8\mu)$. Observe that the function $[\mu_0, 1] \ni \mu \mapsto 2(10\mu - 9)/(9 - 8\mu)$ increases. Hence, $2/3 \leq p_{**} \leq 2$, so p_{**} is not less than $2/3$. For this reason, the maximum value of $|\Phi_f(\mu)|$ is equal to $\mu/(9 - 8\mu)$, but this value is obtained if $a \leq 0$.

It is easy to check that both values of $\Phi_f(\mu)$ for $f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ and $f(z) = k(z)$, which are given in (33), are less than or equal to $\mu/(9 - 8\mu)$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 6. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$, $\mu \in [63/92, 18/19]$, and a_2 be a real number, $a_2 \in [0, 2]$. Then:

$$|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq (396 - 361\mu)/81.$$

Proof. By Theorems 4 and 5, $|\Phi_f(63/92)| \leq 169/92$ and $|\Phi_f(18/19)| \leq 2/3$. Putting $\alpha = 4(414 - 437\mu)/459$, we can write:

$$\Phi_f(\mu) = \alpha(a_2a_4 - \frac{63}{92}a_3^2) + (1 - \alpha)(a_2a_4 - \frac{18}{19}a_3^2).$$

Applying the triangle inequality, we obtain our claim. \square

The results presented in Theorems 2–6 can be collected as follows.

Corollary 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$ be given by (1), a_2 be a real number, and $a_2 \in [0, 2]$. Then:

$$|\Phi_f(\mu)| \leq \begin{cases} 8 - 9\mu, & \mu \leq 63/92, \\ (396 - 361\mu)/81, & \mu \in [63/92, 18/19], \\ \frac{\mu}{9 - 8\mu}, & \mu \in [18/19, 1], \\ 9\mu - 8, & \mu \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

The results are sharp for $\mu \leq 63/92$ and $\mu \geq 18/19$. The equality holds for the Koebe function (2) in the first and the last case. The function F given by (15) is an extremal function when $\mu \in [18/19, 1]$. The assumption $a_2 \in [0, 2]$ is not necessary for $\mu \leq 63/92$ and $\mu \geq 1$.

Observe that for $\mu \in (18/19, 1)$, we have $\mu/(9 - 8\mu) < 1$, so the sharp bound for $\mathcal{C}_0(k)$ is less than the sharp bound for \mathcal{S}^* given by (5).

6. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we estimated two functionals $\Theta_f(\mu) = a_4 - \mu a_2 a_3$ and $\Phi_f(\mu) = a_2 a_4 - \mu a_3^2$ for the family $\mathcal{C}_0(k)$, where μ is a real number. This family is a subset of the class \mathcal{C} of all close-to-convex functions.

The results presented above broaden our knowledge about the behavior of the coefficient functionals defined for functions not only in \mathcal{C} , but also generally in the class \mathcal{S} of univalent functions. Unfortunately, there are no good estimates of the discussed functionals in the whole classes \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{S} . It seems that further research on the classes of the type $\mathcal{C}_0(f)$, where f is different from k , may result in obtaining some conclusions about \mathcal{S} .

In our opinion, the most important problem to be solved now is the estimating of the second Hankel determinant, or in other words $\Phi_f(1)$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Even in the class \mathcal{C}_0 , the exact bound is unknown. It is only known that for \mathcal{C}_0 , there is $|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| < 1.242\dots$ (see [25]). On the other hand, the conjecture posed by Thomas [26] about 30 years ago that $|a_n a_{n+2} - a_{n+1}^2| \leq 1$ for \mathcal{S} and $n \geq 2$ was disproven. This means that there are functions in \mathcal{S} for which $|a_n a_{n+2} - a_{n+1}^2| > 1$. Finding (even non-sharp) estimates of $\Phi_f(1)$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ remains an interesting open problem.

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally to this work.

Funding: The project/research was financed in the framework of the project Lublin University of Technology-Regional Excellence Initiative, funded by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education (Contract No. 030/RID/2018/19).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Duren, P.L. *Univalent Functions*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1983.
2. Goodman, A.W.; Saff, E.B. On the definition of close-to-convex function. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **1978**, *1*, 125–132. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Kaplan, W. Close to convex schlicht functions. *Mich. Math. J.* **1952**, *1*, 169–185. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Ma, W. Generalized Zalcman conjecture for starlike and typically real functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1999**, *234*, 328–339. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Efraimidis, I.; Vukotić, D. Applications of Livingston-Type Inequalities to the Generalized Zalcman Functional. *arXiv* **2017**, arxiv:1611.00682v3.

6. Pommerenke, C. On the coefficients and Hankel determinants of univalent functions. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **1966**, *41*, 111–122. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Pommerenke, C. On the Hankel determinants of univalent functions. *Mathematika* **1967**, *14*, 108–112. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Cho, N.E.; Kowalczyk, B.; Kwon, O.S.; Lecko, A.; Sim, Y.J. Some coefficient inequalities related to the Hankel determinant for strongly starlike functions of order α . *J. Math. Inequal.* **2017**, *11*, 429–439. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Cho, N.E.; Kowalczyk, B.; Kwon, O.S.; Lecko, A.; Sim, Y.J. The bounds of some determinants for starlike functions of order α . *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **2018**, *41*, 523–535. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Hayman, W.K. On the second Hankel determinant of mean univalent functions. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **1968**, *18*, 77–94. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Janteng, A.; Halim, S.A.; Darus, M. Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions. *Int. J. Math. Anal.* **2007**, *1*, 619–625.
12. Krishna, D.V.; RamReddy, T. Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions of order α . *Tbil. Math. J.* **2012**, *5*, 65–76. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Lee, S.K.; Ravichandran, V.; Supramaniam, S. Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain univalent functions. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, *2013*, 281. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Marjono, M.; Thomas, D.K. The second Hankel determinant of functions convex in one direction. *Int. J. Math. Anal.* **2016**, *10*, 423–428. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Noor, K.I. On the Hankel determinant problem for strongly close-to-convex functions. *J. Nat. Geom.* **1997**, *11*, 29–34.
16. Zaprawa, P. Second Hankel determinants for the class of typically real functions. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2016**, *2016*, 3792367. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Hayami, T.; Owa, S. Generalized Hankel determinant for certain classes. *Int. J. Math. Anal.* **2010**, *52*, 2573–2585.
18. Zaprawa, P. On the Fekete–Szegő type functionals for starlike and convex functions. *Turk. J. Math.* **2018**, *42*, 537–547. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Zaprawa, P. On the Fekete–Szegő type functionals for functions which are convex in the direction of the imaginary axis. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **2020**.
20. Libera, R.J.; Złotkiewicz, E.J. Coefficients bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in \mathcal{P} . *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1983**, *87*, 251–257. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Libera, R.J.; Złotkiewicz, E.J. Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1982**, *85*, 225–230. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Trąbka-Więcław, K.; Zaprawa, P. On the coefficient problem for close-to-convex functions. *Turk. J. Math.* **2018**, *42*, 2809–2818. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Lecko, A. On coefficient inequalities in the Caratheodory class of functions. *Ann. Pol. Math.* **2000**, *75*, 59–67. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Choi, J.H.; Kim, Y.C.; Sugawa, T. A general approach to the Fekete–Szegő problem. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **2007**, *59*, 707–727. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Răducanu, D.; Zaprawa, P. Second Hankel determinant for close-to-convex functions. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **2017**, *355*, 1063–1071. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Thomas, D.K. Bazilevič functions with logarithmic growth. In *New Trends in Geometric Function Theory and Application*; Parvatham, R., Ponnusamy, S., Eds.; World Scientific Publishing Company: Singapore; New Jersey, NJ, USA; London, UK; Hong Kong, China, 1991; pp. 146–158.

