

Article

# Higgs–Chern–Simons Gravity Models in $d = 2n + 1$ Dimensions

Eugen Radu <sup>1</sup> and D. H. Tchrakian <sup>2,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centre for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA),  
Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro, Campus de Santiago, 3810-183 Aveiro, Portugal;  
eugen.radu@ua.pt

<sup>2</sup> School of Theoretical Physics, Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, 10 Burlington Road,  
D04 C932 Dublin, Ireland

<sup>3</sup> Department of Computer Science, National University of Ireland Maynooth, Maynooth, Ireland

\* Correspondence: tigran@stp.dias.ie

Received: 20 November 2020; Accepted: 10 December 2020; Published: 12 December 2020



**Abstract:** We consider a family of new Higgs–Chern–Simons (HCS) gravity models in  $2n + 1$  dimensions ( $n = 1, 2, 3$ ). This provides a generalization of the (usual) gravitational Chern–Simons (CS) gravities resulting from non-Abelian CS densities in all odd dimensions, which feature vector and scalar fields, in addition to the metric. The derivation of the new HCS gravitational (HCSG) actions follows the same method as in the *usual*-CSG case resulting from the *usual* CS densities. The HCSG result from the HCS densities, which result through a one-step descent of the Higgs–Chern–Pontryagin (HCP), with the latter being descended from Chern–Pontryagin (CP) densities in some even dimension. A preliminary study of the solutions of these models is considered, with exact solutions being reported for spacetime dimensions  $d = 3, 5$ .

**Keywords:** gravity models; Chern–Simons gravity; exact solutions

## 1. Introduction

The study of the Chern–Simons gravities (CSG) derived from non-Abelian Chern–Simons (CS) densities has started with Witten’s work in Ref. [1], dealing with the  $2 + 1$  dimensional case. Subsequently, Witten’s results were extended to all odd dimensions by Chamseddine in Refs. [2,3]. Generic CSG models consist of superpositions of gravitational models of all possible higher order gravities in the given dimensions (leading to second order equations of motion), each appearing with a precise real numerical coefficient. These gravitational models are usually referred to as Lovelock models, which, here, we refer to as  $p$ -Einstein gravities. The integer  $p \geq 0$  is the power of the Riemann curvature in the Lagrangian; the  $p = 0$  term is the cosmological constant, for  $p = 1$  the Ricci scalar etc.

The recent work [4,5] has proposed a new formulation of the CSG systems, which, different from the standard case in [1–3], allows for their construction in all, *both* odd and even dimensions. Following Ref. [6], let us briefly review this construction. As discussed there, the expression of the new-CS densities is found following exactly the same method as the *usual*-CS densities in odd dimensions. The *usual* CS density results from the one-step descent of the corresponding Chern–Pontryagin (CP) density. We recall that the CP density is a total-divergence

$$\Omega_{\text{CP}} = \partial_i \Omega^i, \quad i = \mu, D; \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots, d; \quad d = D - 1;$$

then the CS density is defined as the  $D$ -th component of  $\Omega^i$ , namely  $\Omega_{\text{CS}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Omega_D$ .

In the proposal put forward in [4,5], the role of the usual-CP density, which is defined in even dimensions only, is played by what we refer to as the Higgs–Chern–Pontryagin (HCP) density

(see the Refs. [7,8] and in Appendix A of Ref. [9] for a discussion of HCP models). These are dimensional descendents of the  $n$ th CP density in  $N = 2n$  dimensions, down to residual  $D$  dimensions ( $D < N = 2n$ ). However, as a new feature,  $D$  can be either odd or even. Additionally, the relics of the gauge connection on the co-dimension(s) are Higgs scalars. The remarkable property of the HCP density  $\Omega_{\text{HCP}}[A, \Phi]$ , which is now given in terms of both the residual gauge field  $A$  and Higgs scalar  $\Phi$ , is that, like the CP density, it is also a *total divergence*

$$\Omega_{\text{HCP}} = \partial_i \Omega^i, \quad i = \mu, D; \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots, d; \quad d = D - 1.$$

The corresponding new Chern–Simons density is defined by considering the one-step descent of the density  $\Omega_i$ , as the  $D$ -th component of  $\Omega_i$ , namely  $\Omega_{\text{HCS}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Omega_D$ . In what follows, the quantity  $\Omega_{\text{HCS}}$  is referred to as the Higgs–Chern–Simons (HCS) density. As mentioned above, such densities exist in both odd and even dimensions. Moreover, in any given dimension, there is an infinite family of HCS densities, following from the descent of a CP density in any dimension  $N = 2n > D$ . A detailed discussion of these aspects is given in Refs. [7–9]. Note that a similar definition for the HCS density was proposed in Ref. [10], but only in odd dimensions and with the Higgs scalar being a complex column, not suited to the application here.

With this definition of the HCS densities, the construction of the corresponding gravitational theories is done in the same spirit as in [1–3]. In any given dimension, there is an infinite family of such theories, each that result from the infinite family of HCS densities. Working in  $d = D - 1$  dimensions, the gauge group is chosen to be  $SO(d)$ , while the Higgs multiplet is chosen to be a  $D$ -component *isovector* of  $SO(D)$  (These choices coincide with the representations that yield monopoles on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , as described in [7]). The central point in the construction of both CS and HCS gravity models is the identification of the non-Abelian (nA)  $SO(D)$  connection in  $d = D - 1$  dimensions (Note that no choice for the signature of the space is made at this stage), with the spin-connection  $\omega_{\mu}^{ab}$  and the *Vielbein*  $e_{\mu}^a$ , ( $\mu = 1, 2, 3; a=1,2,3$ ). Following the prescription presented in [1–3], we define

$$A_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu}^{ab} \gamma_{ab} + \kappa e_{\mu}^a \gamma_{aD} \Rightarrow F_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} - \kappa^2 e_{[\mu}^a e_{\nu]}^b \right) \gamma_{ab}, \tag{1}$$

( $\gamma^{ab}, \gamma^{aD}$ ) being the Dirac gamma matrices that are used in the representation of the algebra of  $SO(D)$ . Note that the presence of the constant  $\kappa$  in the above expression (with dimensions  $L^{-1}$ ), compensating the difference of the dimensions of the spin-connection and the *Dreibein*. In (1),

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = \partial_{[\mu} \omega_{\nu]}^{ab} + (\omega_{[\mu} \omega_{\nu]})^{ab}$$

is the Riemann curvature.

In the HCS case, in addition to (1), we supplement (1) with the prescription for the Higgs scalar  $\Phi$ ,

$$2^{-1} \Phi = (\phi^a \gamma_{a,D+1} + \psi \gamma_{D,D+1}) \Rightarrow 2^{-1} D_{\mu} \Phi = (D_{\mu} \phi^a - \kappa e_{\mu}^a \psi) \gamma_{a,D+1} + (\partial_{\mu} \psi + \kappa e_{\mu}^a \phi^a) \gamma_{D,D+1} \tag{2}$$

which clearly displays the *iso-D-vector* ( $\phi^a, \phi^D$ ), which is split into the  $D$  component frame-vector field  $\phi^a$  and the scalar field  $\psi \equiv \phi^D$ . Additionally, we define the covariant derivative  $D_{\mu} \Phi$  of the Higgs scalar

$$D_{\mu} \phi^a = \partial_{\mu} \phi^a + \omega_{\mu}^{ab} \phi^b. \tag{3}$$

Additionally, note that  $\phi^a$  is a vector field (with  $\phi_{\mu} = e_{\mu}^a \phi^a$  in a coordinate frame), which, however, has rather unusual dynamics, as will be seen below. As such,  $\phi^a$  is not a gauge (massless or massive) field; it has rather a geometric content.

In fact, one remarks that the fields ( $\phi^a, \psi$ ) are not usual matter fields, like gauge fields or Higgs scalar in the Standard Model of particle physics. In the latter cases, the covariant derivatives are not defined by the (gravitational) spin-connection, while, here, they are, as seen in (2). Thus, in this sense,

$(\phi^a, \psi)$  are like spinor fields (although their action look different). As such, theories like the one that is proposed here can support solutions with torsion, a possibility, which, however, is not explored in this work. However, the analogy with spinors is incomplete, since the fields  $(\phi^a, \psi)$  are rather ‘gravitational coordinates’, as they originate from the Higgs field  $\Phi$  of the nA gauge theory. Thus, as seen from (2),  $(\phi^a, \psi)$  are on the same footing as the *usual* ‘gravitational coordinates’  $(\omega_\mu^{ab}, e_\mu^a)$ . In fact, this provides the main physical motivation for their study, since such models can be seen as extensions of the usual CSG’s (reducing to them in the limit of vanishing  $(\phi^a, \psi)$ ). Therefore, it is interesting to see what are the new features introduced by extending the CSG’s to allow for nonzero  $(\phi^a, \psi)$ . Moreover, finding how the BTZ-like CSG’s black hole solutions in Ref. [11–13] are deformed by the  $(\phi^a, \psi)$ -fields is an interesting mathematical problem in itself.

The gravitational models resulting from the Higgs–CS (HCS) densities via (1) and (2) are referred to as HCS gravities [4] (HCSG). In this report, we restrict our study to the simplest HCSG models in  $2n + 1$  dimensions, namely to the HCSG models that result from the HCS density descended from the HCP densities in 6, 8, and 10 dimensions. These models are extensions of the *usual* Chern–Simons gravities [1–3], possessing an additional sector in terms of  $(\phi^a, \psi)$ .

This paper is organized, as follows. In the next Section, we present the explicit form of the resulting HCSG Langrangians for  $d = 3, 5, 7$ , with the connection with the usual CSG also being reviewed. A preliminary investigation of the simplest solutions in  $d = 3, 5$  dimensions is considered in Section 3, extending the study (for the  $n = 1$  case) in Ref. [6]. Section 4 presents the concluding remarks.

## 2. HCSG Models in $2n + 1$ Dimensions, $n = 1, 2, 3$

### 2.1. General Expressions

The Higgs–Chern–Simons densities (HCS) considered here are the “simplest” examples in  $2 + 1, 4 + 1, 6 + 1$  dimensions. Simply, we mean that the Higgs–Chern–Simons (HCS) density that is employed to construct the HCS gravity (HCSG) is the one resulting from the “simplest” Higgs–Chern–Pontryagin (HCP) density, which is defined in one dimension higher, namely in *four, six, and eight* dimensions, respectively. Now, in *any* dimension, HCP densities can be constructed as dimensional descendants of a CP density in  $2n > 4$  dimensions; hence, it is reasonable to describe the “simplest” cases here to be the HCP densities in 4, 6, 8 dimensions, which descend from the CP densities in  $2n = 6, 8, 10$  dimensions, respectively, i.e., those descended by the minimal (nontrivial) number of dimensions, namely by *two* dimensions.

Because, like the CP density, the HCP density is a *total divergence*, then the corresponding HCS density results from the usual *one-step* descent, which, in the cases at hand, are those from 4, 6, 8 to 3, 5, 7 dimensions, with the corresponding expressions

$$\Omega_{\text{HCS}}^{(3,6)} = \eta^2 \Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(3)} + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \text{Tr} \gamma_5 D_\lambda \Phi (\Phi F_{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu} \Phi), \quad (4)$$

$$\Omega_{\text{HCS}}^{(5,8)} = \eta^2 \Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(5)} + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} \text{Tr} \gamma_7 D_\lambda \Phi (\Phi F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} + F_{\mu\nu} \Phi F_{\rho\sigma} + F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} \Phi), \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\text{HCS}}^{(7,10)} = \eta^2 \Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(7)} + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau\lambda\kappa} \text{Tr} \gamma_9 D_\kappa \Phi (\Phi F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} F_{\tau\lambda} + F_{\mu\nu} \Phi F_{\rho\sigma} F_{\tau\lambda} \\ + F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} \Phi F_{\tau\lambda} + F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} F_{\tau\lambda} \Phi). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Let us remark that the HCS densities (4)–(6) are the “simplest” examples in these dimensions, which arise from the descents of the Chern–Pontryagin densities in 6, 8, and 10 dimensions, respectively. It may also be interesting to display HCS densities that arise from CP densities in higher dimensions. To this end, we consider the HCS density in 3 dimensions that result from the descent from the CP in 8 dimensions

$$\Omega_{\text{HCS}}^{(3,8)} = 2\eta^4 \Omega_{\text{CS}}^{(3)} + (2\eta^2 - |\phi^a|^2 - \phi^2) \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \text{Tr} \gamma_5 D_\lambda \Phi (\Phi F_{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu} \Phi) \quad (7)$$

The leading term  $\Omega_{CS}^{(3)}$  in (4) and (7), and the leading terms  $\Omega_{CS}^{(5)}$  and  $\Omega_{CS}^{(7)}$  in (5) and (6) are the usual CS densities for the  $SO(D)$ ,  $D = 4, 6, 8$  gauge connection,

$$\Omega_{CS}^{(3)} = \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu} \text{Tr} \gamma_5 A_\lambda \left[ F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{2}{3} A_\mu A_\nu \right], \tag{8}$$

$$\Omega_{CS}^{(5)} = \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{Tr} \gamma_7 A_\lambda \left[ F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} - F_{\mu\nu} A_\rho A_\sigma + \frac{2}{5} A_\mu A_\nu A_\rho A_\sigma \right], \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{CS}^{(7)} = \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau\kappa} \text{Tr} \gamma_9 A_\lambda \left[ F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} F_{\tau\kappa} - \frac{4}{5} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} A_\tau A_\kappa - \frac{2}{5} F_{\mu\nu} A_\rho A_\sigma F_{\tau\kappa} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{4}{5} F_{\mu\nu} A_\rho A_\sigma A_\tau A_\kappa - \frac{8}{35} A_\mu A_\nu A_\rho A_\sigma A_\tau A_\kappa \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

In (4)–(6), the Higgs scalar  $\Phi$ , the gauge connection, and the constant  $\eta$  have the dimensions of  $L^{-1}$ .

Applying the prescriptions (1) and (2) to (4)–(7) yields the required HCS gravitational (HCSG) models. In order to express these compactly, we adopt the abbreviated notation

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} - \kappa^2 e_{[\mu}^a e_{\nu]}^b, \tag{11}$$

together with

$$\phi_\mu^a = D_\mu \phi^a - \kappa e_\mu^a \psi, \tag{12}$$

$$\psi_\mu = \partial_\mu \psi + \kappa e_\mu^a \phi^a, \tag{13}$$

where  $R_{\mu\nu}^{ab}$  is the Riemann curvature and  $D_\mu \phi^a$  is the covariant derivative (3), of the frame-vector field  $\phi^a$ .

In terms of which the HCSG Lagrangians in  $d = 3, 5$ , and 7 dimensions, which result from the HCS densities (4)–(6), are

$$\mathcal{L}_{HCSG}^{(3)} = \eta^2 \kappa \mathcal{L}_{CSG}^{(3)} + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \varepsilon_{abc} \bar{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} (\psi \phi_\lambda^c - \phi^c \psi_\lambda), \tag{14}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{HCSG}^{(5)} = \eta^2 \kappa \mathcal{L}_{CSG}^{(5)} - \frac{3}{4} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} \varepsilon_{abcde} \bar{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \bar{R}_{\rho\sigma}^{cd} (\psi \phi_\lambda^e - \phi^e \psi_\lambda), \tag{15}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{HCSG}^{(7)} = \eta^2 \kappa \mathcal{L}_{CSG}^{(7)} + 2 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau\lambda\kappa} \varepsilon_{abcdefg} \bar{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \bar{R}_{\rho\sigma}^{cd} \bar{R}_{\tau\lambda}^{ef} (\psi \phi_\lambda^g - \phi^g \psi_\lambda), \tag{16}$$

while, the gravitational model that arises from (7) is

$$\mathcal{L}_{HCSG}^{(3,8)} = 2\eta^4 \kappa \mathcal{L}_{CSG}^{(3)} + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \varepsilon_{abc} (2\eta^2 - |\phi^d|^2 - \psi^2) \bar{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} (\psi \phi_\lambda^c - \phi^c \psi_\lambda). \tag{17}$$

In (14)–(16),  $\mathcal{L}_{CSG}^{(3)}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{CSG}^{(5)}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{CSG}^{(7)}$  are the usual Chern–Simons gravities (CSG)

$$\mathcal{L}_{CSG}^{(3)} = -\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \varepsilon_{abc} \left( R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \kappa^2 e_\mu^a e_\nu^b \right) e_\lambda^c, \tag{18}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{CSG}^{(5)} = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} \varepsilon_{abcde} \left( \frac{3}{4} R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} R_{\rho\sigma}^{cd} - \kappa^2 R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} e_\rho^c e_\sigma^d + \frac{3}{5} \kappa^4 e_\mu^a e_\nu^b e_\rho^c e_\sigma^d \right) e_\lambda^e, \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{CSG}^{(7)} = -\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau\lambda\kappa} \varepsilon_{abcdefg} \left( \frac{1}{8} R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} R_{\rho\sigma}^{cd} R_{\tau\lambda}^{ef} - \frac{1}{4} \kappa^2 R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} R_{\rho\sigma}^{cd} e_\tau^e e_\lambda^f \right. \\ \left. + \frac{3}{10} \kappa^4 R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} e_\rho^c e_\sigma^d e_\tau^e e_\lambda^f - \frac{1}{7} \kappa^6 e_\mu^a e_\nu^b e_\rho^c e_\sigma^d e_\tau^e e_\lambda^f \right) e_\lambda^g. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

It is easy to express the HCSG Lagrangian for all  $n$  by the extrapolation of (14)–(16).

Given the models (14)–(16), the corresponding equations of motion are found by taking the variation of the action *w.r.t.*, the vielbein  $e_\lambda^a$ , together with  $(\phi^a, \psi)$ . However, these equations have a simple enough expression for  $d = 3$  only, see Ref. [6].

## 2.2. The General CSG Lagrangians and the Connection with the Einstein–Lovelock Hierarchy

Following the previous study [6], we consider a spacetime with Minkowskian signature, and replace

$$\kappa \rightarrow i\kappa, \quad h \rightarrow -ih. \quad (21)$$

in the Lagrangians (14)–(16). With this choice, setting  $\phi = \phi^a = 0$  results in (pure gravity) CS Lagrangian in  $d = 2n + 1$  dimensions, with a negative cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ . Additionally, note that one can  $\eta = 1$  without any loss of generality, a choice that we employ for the rest of this work.

The CS Lagrangian in  $d = 2n + 1$  dimensions can be viewed as a particular case of a generic model that consists in a superposition of all allowed Einstein–Lovelock terms in that dimension, with

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CSG}}^{(2n+1)} = \sum_{p=0}^n \alpha_{(p)} L_{(p)}, \quad (22)$$

with the following definition for the  $p$ -th term in the Lovelock hierarchy.

$$L_{(p)} = \frac{p!}{2^p} \delta_{[\rho_1}^{\mu_1} \dots \delta_{\rho_p]}^{\mu_p} R_{\mu_1 \nu_1}^{\rho_1 \sigma_1} \dots R_{\mu_p \nu_p}^{\rho_p \sigma_p}. \quad (23)$$

The normalization of each term in (23) has been chosen in order to make contact with the usual conventions in the literature on Lovelock gravities solutions. As such,  $L_{(p)} = R^p + \dots$ , the first terms (up to  $d = 7$ ) being

$$L_{(0)} = 1, \quad L_{(1)} = R, \quad L_{(2)} = R^2 - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \quad (24)$$

$$L_{(3)} = R^3 - 12RR_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + 16R_{\mu\nu}R^\mu_\rho R^{\nu\rho} + 24R_{\mu\nu}R_{\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} + 3RR_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \\ - 24R_{\mu\nu}R^\mu_{\rho\sigma\kappa}R^{\nu\rho\sigma\kappa} + 4R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\eta\zeta}R^{\rho\sigma}_{\eta\zeta} - 8R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma}R^\mu_{\eta\zeta}R^{\rho\eta\sigma\zeta}. \quad (25)$$

Additionally, to make contact with the usual GR conventions, we take

$$\alpha_{(0)} = -2\Lambda, \quad \alpha_{(1)} = 1. \quad (26)$$

In general, the coefficients  $\alpha_{(p)}$  are arbitrary. However, they are fixed in a CGS model, with the general expression

$$\alpha_{(p)} = (-1)^{p+1} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{p-1}} \frac{(d-2)^p}{2^{p-1} p! (d-2p)} \frac{(d-2p)!!}{(d-2)!!}, \quad (27)$$

where  $\Lambda = -2(d-2)\kappa^2$ .

## 3. The Solutions

Given the above models (14)–(16), it is interesting to inquire which are the simplest solutions with nonvanishing fields  $(\phi^a, \psi)$ . In what follows, we study this question for the first two dimensions  $d = 3, 5$ , and then contrast the results.

### 3.1. The $d = 3$ Case

We consider a static line element with

$$ds^2 = \frac{dr^2}{N(r)} + r^2 d\varphi^2 - N(r) e^{-2\delta(r)} dt^2 \quad (28)$$

where  $r, t$  are the radial and time coordinates, respectively, while  $\varphi$  is the azimuthal coordinate. Working in a coordinate basis, a consistent Ansatz for the fields  $(\phi^a, \psi)$  reads

$$\phi = f(r)dr + g(r)dt, \quad \psi \equiv h(r). \quad (29)$$

Subsequently, a straightforward computation leads to the following exact solution of the full set of equations of motion:

$$N(r) = \kappa^2 r^2 - n_0, \quad \delta(r) = 0, \quad (30)$$

$$f(r) = \frac{c_0}{\kappa} + \frac{c_1}{N}, \quad g(r) = \sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2 N(r)}, \quad \psi \equiv h(r) = c_0 r, \quad (31)$$

with  $n_0, c_0, c_1, c_2$  arbitrary constants. This provides a generalization of the solution that was reported in [6], which is recovered (note that the solution presented in [6] is expressed in a *dreibein* basis with  $e_r = 1/\sqrt{N}, e_\varphi = r d\varphi, e_t = \sqrt{N} dt$ ), for  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ .

One can see that the choice  $n_0 = -1$  corresponds to a globally AdS<sub>3</sub> geometry, while, for  $n_0 > 0$ , the BTZ black hole (BH) geometry [14] is recovered. In both cases, the fields  $(\phi^a, \psi)$  do not backreact on the spacetime (thus, their contribution to the *r.h.s.* of the Einstein equations with negative cosmological constant vanishes (the analogy of these solutions with self-dual Yang–Mills instantons in a curved space geometry [15–17], was noticed in Ref. [6]. There, we dubbed these closed form solutions as effectively vacuum configurations. Another interesting analogy is provided by the ‘stealth’ BH solutions in various alternative models of gravity (see e.g., [18,19] and references therein). Such configurations feature a nontrivial scalar field, while the geometry is still that of the (vacuum) general relativity solutions)). However,  $(\phi^a, \psi)$  possess a nonstandard behaviour (e.g., both  $\psi$  and  $|\vec{\phi}|^2$  diverge as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ). Moreover, Ref. [6] has given arguments that (at least in the  $c_1 = c_2$  limit), solutions (30) and (31) appear to be unique. Because the discussion here in  $d = 3$  is similar to that in the  $d = 5$  case, we restrict to the discussion of the latter, as below.

Finally, we mention the existence of a generalization of the solution in Ref. [6] for a spinning BTZ background. The line element in this case reads

$$ds^2 = \frac{dr^2}{N(r)} + r^2(d\varphi - W(r)dt)^2 - N(r)dt^2, \quad \text{where } N(r) = \kappa^2 r^2 - n_0 + \frac{J^2}{r^2}, \quad W(r) = \frac{J}{r^2}, \quad (32)$$

with  $J$  representing the angular momentum. While the expression of the scalar field remains the same, the function is more complicated,

$$h(r) = c_0 r, \quad f(r) = \frac{c_0}{\kappa} \left( 1 + \frac{J}{r\sqrt{N}} \right). \quad (33)$$

One can easily see that all of the unusual features noticed in the static case for functions  $f, h$  are present also in this case.

### 3.2. The $d = 5$ Case

#### 3.2.1. An Exact Solution

The metric Ansatz here is more complicated, with the  $S^1$  direction in the  $d = 3$  line-element (28) being replaced with a surface of constant curvature. As such, we consider a general line-element

$$ds^2 = \frac{dr^2}{N(r)} + r^2 d\Sigma_{k,3}^2 - N(r)e^{-2\delta(r)} N(r) dt^2 \quad (34)$$

with  $k = 0, \pm 1$ , while the three-dimensional metric  $d\Sigma_{k,3}^2$  is

$$d\Sigma_{k,3}^2 = \begin{cases} d\Omega_3^2 & \text{for } k = +1 \\ \sum_{i=1}^3 dx_i^2 & \text{for } k = 0 \\ d\Xi_3^2 & \text{for } k = -1. \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

In the above relation,  $d\Omega_3^2$  is the unit metric on  $S^3$  ( $k = 1$ ); for  $k = 0$ , we have a flat three-surface; while, for  $k = -1$ , one considers a three-dimensional hyperbolic space, whose unit metric  $d\Xi_3^2$  can be obtained by analytic continuation of that on  $S^3$ .

The ansatz for the fields  $(\phi^a, \psi)$  is still given by (29). Within this framework, the following closed-form solution of the field equations has been found

$$N(r) = \kappa^2 r^2 + k, \quad \delta(r) = 0, \quad (36)$$

together with

$$h(r) = \frac{c_0}{r}, \quad f(r) = \frac{c_0}{\kappa r^2} \left( -1 + (k^2 + k - 1) \sqrt{1 + \frac{3\kappa^2 r^2}{N(r)}} \right), \quad g(r) = 0, \quad (37)$$

with  $c_0$  being an arbitrary constant. The corresponding line elements, as implied by (36), are well known, which correspond to three different parametrization of AdS<sub>5</sub> spacetime. Although they possess the same (maximal) number of Killing symmetries, they present different global properties, the case  $k = 0$  corresponding to a Poincaré patch and the globally AdS<sub>5</sub> spacetime being found for  $k = 1$  (see e.g., the discussion in Ref. [20]).

As with the  $d = 3$  case presented above, the fields  $(\phi^a, \psi)$  do not backreact on the spacetime geometry, i.e., their effective energy-momentum tensor vanishes again. However, while, this time, both  $\psi$  and  $|\vec{\phi}|^2$  are finite as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , they diverge at the minimal value of  $r$  (which is  $r = 0$  for  $k = 0, 1$  and  $r = 1/\kappa$  for  $k = -1$ ).

Finally, let us remark that the expressions (37) for  $(\phi^a, \psi)$  are also compatible with a different background, as described by the line-element (where  $k = 0, \pm 1$ )

$$ds^2 = \frac{dr^2}{N(r)} + r^2 d\Sigma_{k,2}^2 + r^2 dz^2 - N(r) dt^2, \quad \text{with } N(r) = \kappa^2 r^2 + \frac{k}{3}. \quad (38)$$

where  $-\infty < z < \infty$ , while  $d\Sigma_{k,2}^2$  the metric on a two-dimensional surface of constant  $2k$ -curvature. For  $k = 0$  the Poincaré patch of AdS<sub>5</sub> spacetime is recovered; the case  $k = 1$  corresponds to a vortex-type geometry, while a black string is recovered for  $k = -1$ . As with the line-element (34), this is also a solution of the equations of motion for  $f = g = h = 0$ , whose existence is noted in Ref. [21].

### 3.2.2. No Backreacting Solutions on a Fixed Black Hole Background

For  $\phi^a = \psi = 0$ , the CSG equations of motion (with the line-element (34)) possess the exact solution

$$N(r) = \kappa^2 r^2 - n_0, \quad \delta(r) = 0, \quad (39)$$

with  $n_0$  being an arbitrary constant. The AdS<sub>5</sub> line-element that is discussed above is a particular case here, corresponding to the choice  $n_0 = -k$ . However,  $n_0 > 0$  leads to a BTZ-like BH geometry [11–13], with an horizon being located at  $\sqrt{n_0}/\kappa$ . Moreover, one can show that the same expression of the metric functions  $N(r), \delta(r)$  solves the CS gravity equation in all  $d = 2n + 1$  dimensions (for a choice of the line element that is similar to (34),  $d\Sigma_{k,3}^2$  being replaced with its higher dimensional generalization); see the discussion in the recent work [22] and the references there.



A major difference between the  $d = 3$  and  $d = 5$  cases appear to be that, for  $d = 5$ , we could not extend (36) to include the case of a BH solution,  $n_0 \neq k$ . However, this result follows directly from the structure of the field equations, which is different for  $d = 3$  and  $d = 5$ . Let us assume that the geometry (34) with  $(N, \delta)$ , as given by (39), is a solution of the  $d = 5$  model. Subsequently, the equations for the functions  $f, g, h$  take the simple form (note that the relations below are for  $k = 1$ , only; however, a similar result is also found for  $k = 0, -1$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} h' - \kappa f - \frac{\kappa}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{N^2}\right)g &= 0, \\ h' - \frac{1}{2}\kappa(1 - N^2)f - \frac{n_0 + \kappa^2 r^2 N^2}{2rN}h - \kappa g &= 0, \\ g' - N^2 f' + \frac{\kappa^2 r^2 N^2 - 2N - 3n_0}{2rN}g - \frac{2n_0 + 3N}{r}f + 3\kappa N h &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

It directly follows that both  $f$  and  $g$  can be expressed in terms of  $h$ , with

$$f = \frac{1}{2}\left(-1 + \frac{1}{N^2}\right)g + \frac{h'}{\kappa}, \quad (41)$$

and

$$g = \frac{2N^2}{\kappa(1 + N^2)}h' - \frac{2N(n_0 + \kappa^2 r^2 N^2)}{\kappa r(1 + N^2)^2}h = 0. \quad (42)$$

The scalar  $h$  is a solution of an equation of the form

$$(1 + n_0)hU(r) = 0, \quad \text{with } U(r) = \sum_{k=0}^5 c_k(\kappa, n_0)r^{2k}, \quad (43)$$

with the explicit form of  $c_k$  being irrelevant. As such, for  $h \neq 0$ , the only choice is  $n_0 = -1$ , i.e., a globally AdS<sub>5</sub> spacetime. Subsequently, the matter fields equations are satisfied, the functions  $f$  and  $g$  being fixed by  $h$ . The expression of the scalar  $h$  is found by imposing the gravity equations that are to be satisfied for the above choice of the geometry, which result in the solution (37).

A similar computation for  $d = 3$  leads again to a set of three equations for  $(\phi^a, \psi)$ , which, again, reduce to a single equation for  $h(r)$ . However, this time, this equation is multiplied with a factor  $N' - 2\kappa^2 r$ . Therefore, the choice  $N = \kappa^2 r^2 - n_0$ , with  $n_0$  arbitrary, is now allowed. The solution (31) is recovered when imposing the Einstein equation also to be satisfied.

Returning to the  $d = 5$  case, one may ask whether a more general solution exists, with the functions  $(\phi^a, \psi)$  backreacting on the spacetime metric and being finite everywhere. The answer seems to be negative, although we do not have a definite proof. An indication comes from our attempt to construct a numerical solution. Here, one starts by noticing that, starting with the general framework (29) and (34), the function  $f$  can be eliminated (as found from the field equations), with

$$f(r) = \frac{h'(r)}{\kappa}, \quad (44)$$

where we assume that globally AdS spacetime is *not* a solution. Additionally, one can prove that  $g = 0$  is a consistent truncation of the model. As such, we are left with three ordinary differential equations for the functions  $h, N$ , and  $\delta$ . Restricting to the most interesting  $k = 1$  case (i.e., a globally AdS<sub>5</sub> background), we have attempted to construct deformations of the line element (36), with a regular origin and usual AdS<sub>5</sub> asymptotics, which would represent particle-like solitonic configurations. In our approach, we assume that the small- $r$  solution possesses a power series expansion, with

$$N(r) = \sum_{k \geq 0} n_{(k)} r^k, \quad \delta(r) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \delta_{(k)} r^k \quad \text{and} \quad h(r) = \sum_{k \geq 0} h_{(k)} r^k, \quad (45)$$



where  $n_{(k)}$ ,  $\delta_{(k)}$ , and  $h_{(k)}$  are real numbers (and  $n_0 = 1$ ) subject to a tower of algebraic conditions, as implied by the field equations. Starting with the above small- $r$  expansion, we have integrated the HCS equations of motion, searching for solutions with  $N(r) \rightarrow \kappa^2 r^2 + \text{const.}$ ,  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  and  $h(r) \rightarrow h_0$  (with  $h_0$  a constant), as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . However, we have failed to find any numerical indication for the existence of such configurations, the solutions that possess a pathological behaviour for any considered set of initial conditions at  $r = 0$ , typically with the occurrence of a divergence at some finite  $r$ .

A similar result also holds for BH configurations, in which case we assume the existence of an horizon at some  $r = r_H > 0$ , with  $N(r_H) = 0$ , while  $\delta(r_H)$  and  $h(r_H)$  are nonzero and finite.

Finally, let us remark that, although a definite proof is missing, the above (numerical) results follow the spirit of the ‘no hair’ theorems [23–25], as expressed in the conjecture that there are no BH solutions with matter fields that do not possess (asymptotically) measured quantities that are subject to a Gauss Law.

#### 4. Conclusions

Chern–Simons gravity (CSG) models in  $d = 2n + 1$  dimensions were extensively studied in the literature, starting with Witten’s work for  $d = 3$  [1], where the gravitational model is described by the Einstein–Hilbert Lagrangian with a cosmological constant. In the  $d > 3$  case, such systems consist of specific superpositions of gravitational Lagrangians featuring all possible powers of the Riemann curvature of the given dimension, each appearing with a precise numerical coefficient. The main purpose of this paper was to propose a generalization of the CSG model, with a Lagrangian, which, in addition to the (standard) CSG Lagrangian, features new terms that are described by a frame-vector field  $\phi^a$  and a scalar field  $\psi$ . Like the CSG, which result from the non-Abelian (nA) Chern–Simons (CS) densities, these new Lagrangians result from a new class of CS densities, which, in addition to the nA gauge field, feature an algebra-valued Higgs scalar. Like the usual nA CS densities, which result from the usual Chern–Pontryagin (CP) densities, these new CS densities are constructed in the same way, but now from the dimensional descendents of the CP densities that feature the Higgs scalar. The latter are referred to as Higgs–Chern–Pontryagin (HCS) [7–9] densities, and they are the building blocks for the generalised CSG’s, namely the HCSG’s [4,5] studied here.

It should be noted at this stage that the construction of HCSG’s is not only confined to odd dimensions, since the HCS from which they are constructed are defined in both odd and even dimensions. The main reason that we have restricted our attention to odd dimensions in these preliminary investigations is that only in odd dimensions there exist CSG’s, which can provide a background for the new gravitational field configurations. In even dimensional spacetimes, the HCSG models, as typified by the  $3 + 1$  dimensional examples in Refs. [4,5], also consist of frame-vector and scalar fields  $(\phi^a, \psi)$  that interact with the gravitational Vielbein  $e_\mu^a$  (or the metric). These Lagrangians are invariant under gravitational gauge transformations; however, different from the odd dimensional case in this work, they do not feature (gauge-variant, pure gravity) CSG terms, with their action mixing the contribution of  $(\phi^a, \psi)$ ,  $e_\mu^a$  fields.

The new fields  $(\phi^a, \psi)$  display non-standard dynamics, in that they feature linear ‘velocity coordinates’, rather than the standard ‘velocity squared’ kinetic terms. It may be relevant to stress that  $(\phi^a, \psi)$  can be seen as ‘gravitational coordinates’, rather than usual matter fields, since, on the level of the HCS densities from which the HCSG result, the Higgs scalar is on the same footing as the non-Abelian gauge connection.

The present work, which is a continuation of that done in Ref. [6] for the lowest dimension  $d = 3$ , provides the explicit expression of the HCS Lagrangians up to  $d = 7$ , together with an investigation of the simplest solutions for  $d = 3, 5$ . These solutions have the property that they do not backreact on the spacetime geometry in common, i.e., their effective energy-momentum tensor vanishes. However, while, for  $d = 3$ , this includes the case of BTZ BH, for  $d = 5$  only a maximally symmetric AdS background is allowed. We attribute this feature to the fact that the BTZ BH possesses the same amount of symmetries as pure AdS<sub>3</sub>, being a global identification of it [14,26]. On the other

hand, the case of  $d > 3$  BHs in CSG are different; although their line-element is still BTZ-like [22], they are less symmetric than the  $AdS_d$  background.

Finally, for  $d = 3$ , the Ref. [6] has provided (numerical) evidence for the existence of BTZ-like BH also with standard asymptotics for the fields  $(\phi^a, \psi)$ , provided that the action is supplemented with a Maxwell field. We conjecture that a similar property holds in the higher dimensional case. In this respect, it may be interesting to consider the HCSG systems in the presence of non-Abelian matter (in  $d > 3$ ), or Skyrme scalars, in order to search for regular solutions.

**Author Contributions:** The two authors contributed equally to the conceptualization, methodology, software, validation, formal analysis, investigation, resources, data curation, writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing, visualization, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The work of E.R. is supported by the Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA) through the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT-Fundacao para a Ciência e a Tecnologia), references UIDB/04106/2020 and UIDP/04106/2020, and by national funds (OE), through FCT, I.P., in the scope of the framework contract foreseen in the numbers 4, 5 and 6 of the article 23, of the Decree-Law 57/2016, of August 29, changed by Law 57/2017, of July 19. We acknowledge support from the projects PTDC/FIS-OUT/28407/2017 and CERN/FIS-PAR/0027/2019. This work has further been supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation (RISE) programme H2020-MSCA-RISE-2017 Grant No. FunFiCO-777740. The authors would like to acknowledge networking support by the COST Action CA16104.

**Acknowledgments:** We are grateful to Ruben Manvelyan for useful discussions.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Witten, E. (2+1)-dimensional gravity as an exactly soluble system. *Nucl. Phys. B* **1988**, *311*, 46–78. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Chamseddine, A.H. Topological gauge theory of gravity in five-dimensions and all odd dimensions. *Phys. Lett. B* **1989**, *233*, 291. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Chamseddine, A.H. Topological gravity and supergravity in various dimensions. *Nucl. Phys. B* **1990**, *346*, 213. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Tchrakian, D.H. Chern-Simons gravities (CSG) and gravitational Chern-Simons (GCS) densities in all dimensions. *Phys. At. Nucl.* **2018**, *81*, 930–938. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Radu, E.; Tchrakian, D.H. Gravitational Chern–Simons, and Chern–Simons Gravity in All Dimensions. *Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* **2020**, *17*, 753–759. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Radu, E.; Tchrakian, D. A Higgs–Chern–Simons gravity model in 2+ 1 dimensions. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2018**, *35*, 175012. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Tchrakian, D.H. Notes on Yang–Mills–Higgs monopoles and dyons on, and Chern–Simons–Higgs solitons on: Dimensional reduction of Chern–Pontryagin densities. *J. Phys. A* **2011**, *44*, 343001. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Radu, E.; Tchrakian, D.H. New Chern-Simons densities in both odd and even dimensions. In *Low Dimensional Physics and Gauge Principles: Matinyan's Festschrift*; World Scientific: Singapore, 2013; pp. 227–240.
9. Tchrakian, D.H. Higgs-and Skyrme–Chern–Simons densities in all dimensions. *J. Phys. A* **2015**, *48*, 375401. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Szabo, R.J.; Valdivia, O. Covariant quiver gauge theories. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2014**, *2014*, 144. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Cai, R.G.; Soh, K.S. Topological black holes in the dimensionally continued gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **1999**, *59*, 044013. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Crisostomo, J.; Troncoso, R.; Zanelli, J. Black hole scan. *Phys. Rev. D* **2000**, *62*, 084013. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Aiello, M.; Ferraro, R.; Giribet, G. Exact solutions of Lovelock–Born–Infeld black holes. *Phys. Rev. D* **2004**, *70*, 104014. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Banados, M.; Teitelboim, C.; Zanelli, J. Black hole in three-dimensional spacetime. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1992**, *69*, 1849. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Charap, J.M.; Duff, M.J. Gravitational effects on Yang-Mills topology. *Phys. Lett. B* **1977B**, *69*, 445–447. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Brihaye, Y.; Radu, E. On  $d = 4$  Yang-Mills instantons in a spherically symmetric background. *Europhys. Lett.* **2006**, *75*, 730. [[CrossRef](#)]

17. Radu, E.; Tchrakian, D.H.; Yang, Y. Spherically symmetric self-dual Yang-Mills instantons on curved backgrounds in all even dimensions *Phys. Rev. D* **2008**, *77*, 044017. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Bernardo, R.C.; Celestial, J.; Vega, I. Stealth black holes in shift symmetric kinetic gravity braiding. *Phys. Rev. D* **2020**, *101*, 024036. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Takahashi, K.; Motohashi, H. General Relativity solutions with stealth scalar hair in quadratic higher-order scalar-tensor theories. *arXiv* **2020**, arXiv:2004.03883.
20. Emparan, R.; Johnson, C.V.; Myers, R.C. Surface terms as counterterms in the AdS-CFT correspondence. *Phys. Rev. D* **1999**, *60*, 104001. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Brihaye, Y.; Radu, E. Black hole solutions in  $d = 5$  Chern-Simons gravity. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2013**, *2013*, 49. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Tchrakian, D.H. A remark on black holes of Chern-Simons gravities in  $2n + 1$  dimensions:  $n = 1, 2, 3$ . *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **2020**, *35*, 2050022. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Bekenstein, J.D. Black hole hair: 25-years after. In Proceedings of the Moscow 1996, 2nd International A.D. Sakharov Conference on Physics, Moscow, Russia, 20–24 May 1996; pp. 216–219.
24. Volkov, M.S.; Gal'tsov, D.V. Gravitating non-Abelian solitons and black holes with Yang-Mills fields. *Phys. Rep.* **1999**, *319*, 1–83. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Herdeiro, C.A.R.; Radu, E. Asymptotically flat black holes with scalar hair: A review. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **2015**, *24*, 1542014. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Banados, M.; Henneaux, M.; Teitelboim, C.; Zanelli, J. Geometry of the  $2+1$  black hole. *Phys. Rev. D* **1993**, *48*, 1506. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).