An Improved Calculation Model for the Prediction of the Wear of Coated Electrical Contacts
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Abstract: To connect terminals in a cyber–physical system, large quantities of electrical contacts are used. In order to guarantee a high reliability of the system, the lifetime of the electrical contacts should be very long. Thus, it is of great importance to understand the failure mechanism and then to predict the lifetime of the electrical contacts. For the applications under high thermal and/or mechanical loads, noble plating is a good choice, considering its inertness to oxidation. For noble plating, one of the most critical failure mechanisms is the fretting wear. Wear debris generated in the contact area, acting as the third bodies, will greatly influence the further wear behavior and electrical performance. In this study, the state of the art regarding third bodies is firstly reviewed, and then the influence of the third bodies on the wear and electrical performance is investigated, from the aspects of lifetime and the element distributions in contact area. Finally, an example of prediction of the wear of noble plating is shown with the consideration of the third bodies. Based on this study, by involving the third bodies, the wear of noble plating can be predicted with a higher accuracy.
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1. Introduction

In recent decades, the development of cyber–physical systems has gained a great boost, which stimulates the demand of high-quality electrical contacts. The electrical contacts are the critical components for the transmission of the current and signals between the devices in the cyber–physical systems. Even if one electrical contact fails, it may lead to the shutdown of a function or even the whole system. Therefore, it is of great importance to prolong the lifetime of the electrical contacts. Generally, in order to improve the performance of the electrical contacts, plating is used on the base materials. The plating can be briefly divided into two groups, namely non-noble and noble plating. For the applications under high thermal and/or mechanical loads, the noble plating is a good choice, because the noble materials are inert to oxygen and they have a very high corrosion resistance. However, the electrical contacts can experience the micro motions, which result from the vibration or the different thermal expansion coefficients of the holding materials attached to the contacts. When the temperature increases, the holding materials will expand differently, making the electrical contacts to move different distance from their original positions. When the temperature varies, cyclic micro motions will be generated. Due to these micro motions the noble plating will suffer fretting wear. The wear debris can be aggregated in the contact area and change the surface condition greatly. As a consequence, the wear behavior and the electrical performance will be changed. Therefore, understanding the formation and the distribution of the wear debris and its influence on the wear properties can help to predict the wear process and the lifetime of the noble plating on electrical contacts.

The designed lifetime of the systems is usually very long, for example over 15 years or for autonomous vehicles over 300,000 km mileages. The electrical contacts are expected to serve for this

duration. However, it is impossible to conduct such a long-term test considering its unaffordable time-consumption as well as the high cost. For this reason, a methodology to predict the wear on the noble plating should be established. Then, with this methodology, the wear of the contacts after long-term operation can be predicted with the data from the short-term test under the operating condition. Since after a long-term application, the surface is worn and the wear debris is generated and aggregated in the contact area, the effect of the third bodies on the wear behavior of the plating should be analyzed and considered, in order to accomplish a precise prediction.

Many mechanisms can produce wear debris, as shown in Figure 1 [1]. The wear debris can be generated within different physical mechanisms, depending on materials and the sliding conditions. In general, the wear debris is detached from the surface by micro stresses due to the force and relative motion. The possible mechanisms may work individually or jointly to produce the wear debris [1]:

- **Micro deformation**: the surface is plastically deformed in the form of grooves and scratches.
- **Micro ploughing**: the surface is plowed by hard particles due to such as the oxides of metal wear debris or the contaminants from the environment.
- **Micro cutting**: the adhesive junctions are broken down between the surfaces thereby resulting in mechanical failure of the contacting asperities.
- **Micro cracking**: the nucleation and propagation of the surface cracks and voids generate the particles between the surfaces.

![Figure 1. Wear micro-mechanisms to produce wear debris: (a) micro deformation; (b) micro ploughing; (c) micro cutting; (d) micro cracking [1].](image)

Although a lot of work has been conducted to investigate the wear debris, it is still difficult to clearly identify and understand the third-body behavior, since it requires direct observations of the state of the particles and the contact area during the wear process. However, most of the wear observations are conducted post factum, which means that the wear process should be disrupted, and then the samples should be disassembled for analysis. During this process, the distribution of wear particles and the state of the contact area can be changed. Thus, the way to characterize and reflect the presence of wear debris should be carefully selected. It is found that besides the traditional way of measuring the weight loss or wear depth after the tests, the coefficient of friction can be a good indicator to interpret the state of contact area as well as the wear debris. The condition of the wear debris is reflected by the coefficient of friction [2]. Stability in the coefficient of friction reveals that the amount of the debris in the contact area is constant [1].

The morphology of the wear debris can be different, for example flakes, chips, thin plates etc. For the contacts experiencing oscillating motions, the wear debris of flake and chip forms can be aggregated and rolled into cylindrical, spherical and needle-like particles, due to the opposite tangential forces at the top and bottom surfaces of the particles. These kinds of forms can act as “solid lubricants” so that the coefficient of friction is reduced [1,3–5] (Figure 2) resulting in the wear reduction [1].
Figure 2. Development of coefficient of friction in a wear process, \( f_{ad} \): the coefficient of adhesive friction; \( f_{ad,max} \): maximum value of \( f_{ad} \); \( f_{steady} \): the steady coefficient of friction.

Hintikka et al. have also found that the loose wear particles in the contact area can protect against the wear of quenched and tempered steel specimens. If the wear particles are periodically removed from the interface, the fretting wear will significantly increase [6]. For the application of wheel–rail contact, the presence of the “natural” third bodies can help to limit the wear and fatigue of the surface [7].

In investigation conducted by the research group of Fouvry, the energy dissipation is used to quantify the wear in oscillating sliding contacts. The frictional force is integrated to calculate the accumulated friction work dissipated through the interface and compared with the wear volume for the metallic surface [8]. When the electrical contacts endure the reciprocating sliding, the wear debris can remain in the interface to form a thick third-body layer. The coefficient of friction can be reduced and the friction energy dissipation causing the wear of the surface also decreases. Thus, the wear rate decreases [9]. When applied in the numerical simulation, with the consideration of the formation of the third bodies, the simulated results agree better with the measured results [10].

Apart from the investigation from Fouvry’s group, the energy based approach is also used by other researchers. In [11], the intensity of the wear can be related to the friction work according to Fleischer [12], and by merging to Archard’s equation [13], the wear coefficient is positively correlated to the coefficient of friction. Foko Foko et al. also use the energy based calculation to simulate the wear of a seal ring [14]. Hence, we have used the coefficient of friction as an indicator for the change of the wear coefficient in this study.

In this study, two arrangements of the electrical contacts are used to generate different third-body distribution. The lifetime of the arrangements is determined. Based on this, the critical sample arrangement is found. The element content in the contact area of the critical arrangement is measured. Then a methodology to calculate the thickness reduction of the critical arrangement is established with the consideration of the influence of the third bodies. Finally, the model is verified with the experimental results.

2. Materials and Methods

The base material of the samples was bronze (CuSn4). It was firstly cut to the flat parts and then half of them are deformed to the spherical parts. The radius at the contact point was 4.5 mm. The base materials were degreased in a degreasing bath. Silver plating was used as an example of the noble plating. The contacts were electroplated with silver to the nominal thickness of 6 μm, which was confirmed by an X-ray fluorescence device (XRF, Fischerscope® X-Ray system XDAL, from Helmut Fischer GmbH + Co.KG, Sindelfingen, Germany).
The wear property of the silver plated electrical contacts was analyzed by the wear and fretting corrosion test. The test device was developed in our laboratory and shown in Figure 3. The test parameters are listed in Table 1. During the test, the normal force, frictional force, coefficient of friction and contact resistance are recorded. The contact resistance was measured by the “four-point-method”. Two sample arrangements were used, namely “sphere on plane” and “plane on sphere”. The “sphere on plane” arrangement means that the sphere part was clamped to the upper sample holder and the plane part was clamped to the lower sample holder; the “plane on sphere” arrangement consisted of the plane part to the upper sample holder and the sphere part to the lower sample holder. Two test strategies were employed. One of the strategies was to terminate the wear and fretting corrosion test at a predefined cycle, and then the thickness reduction at this cycle can be indicated by the difference between the initial coating thickness and the remaining coating thickness after the wear and fretting corrosion test. The coating thickness was measured by XRF. The XRF measurements were conducted on the sphere and the plane separately for every sample. The data from the distance sensor only acted as reference, and they were not used for the quantitative characterization, since the remaining wear debris in the contact area distorted the results of the measurement. The other was to run the test until the contact resistance reached 300 mΩ. The corresponding fretting cycle was recorded as the lifetime of the sample in the wear and fretting corrosion test. Based on these tests, the critical arrangement is then identified. After the test, the element content of the samples with the critical arrangement was measured by the energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS, Bruker XFlash® Detector 4010, Ettlingen, Germany) with a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Zeiss EVO 50 VP, Germany). The distribution of the wear debris was observed by the digital microscope (digital microscope VHX-2000 by Keyence Corporation, Osaka, Japan).

![Samples](image)

**Figure 3. Wear and fretting corrosion test device and samples.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude peak-peak</td>
<td>200 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal force</td>
<td>3 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>ambient temperature (20 °C–23 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fretting frequency</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity</td>
<td>35–45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The wear prediction is conducted for the samples with the critical arrangement. The fundament for the wear prediction is based on Archard’s wear equation as Equation (1) [15]:

\[ V_{wear} = kF \cdot s \]  

(1)

where \( V_{wear} \) is the volumetric wear, \( k \) is the specific wear coefficient, \( F \) is the normal force and \( s \) is the sliding distance. The sliding distance is calculated by Equation (2):
where $N$ is the fretting cycles, and $s_0$ is the fretting amplitude from peak to peak.

In order to predict the wear process of the silver plating more precisely, many aspects should be considered. It is found that the wear curve consists of different phases, Figure 4. Phases I and II were investigated in this study, since the wear through often happens during these phases. In each phase, the dominant factor for the thickness reduction is different. The spherical parts were the focus, since they had larger thickness reduction compared to the plane parts [16].

For the prediction of the wear curve, a methodology with following steps is established:

- Calculation of the deformation after the contact of the two contact parts considering the surface roughness with assistance of finite element analysis (FEA), involving the elastic-plastic material behavior and the surface roughness. The geometry is drawn corresponding to the real samples. The roughness is defined on the flat part according to the roughness measured with a confocal microscope μsurf explorer from Nanofocus AG, Germany. According to [16], the roughness structure for the tested samples can be simplified as triangular prisms. The boundary conditions are set based on the condition in the test.

- Calculation of specific wear coefficient using data in phase I. For this purpose, more wear and fretting corrosion tests are conducted with the critical arrangement for different predefined fretting cycles in the running-in phase. The thickness reduction is measured after the tests. According to [16], the specific wear coefficient $k_{cal}$, using the data in Phase I and considering the topography of the surface and its change due to the plastic deformation, can be calculated as following:

$$k_{cal} = \frac{R_{sm}}{6R_k} \cdot s_1 \cdot \frac{1}{F} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \frac{D_{0,i}}{h_{0,i}} \cdot h_1^3 + 3D_{0,i} \cdot h_1^2 + 3D_{0,i} \cdot h_{0,i} \cdot h_1 \right)$$

Figure 4. Wear process and the dominant factor in each phase, schematically: (a) Different phases in a wear process; (b) dominant factor causing thickness reduction in each phase: deformation of the roughness peaks in Sub-phase Ia; wear off of the roughness peaks in sub-phase Ib; contact surface is smoothed when the wear process moves to phase II, and the wear occurs on the spherical segment approximately for the spherical part; after long-term operation, the third bodies are aggregated in the contact area and influence the wear greatly.

In each phase, the thickness reduction is different. The spherical parts were the focus, since they had larger thickness reduction compared to the plane parts [16].
• Modification of the calculated specific wear coefficient according to the topography: simplification of the roughness on the flat part $\alpha_{\text{simplification}}$ and the gaps on the roughness ribs $\alpha_{\text{gap}}$ following Equation (4):

$$k_{\text{modi, phase I}} = \alpha_{\text{simplification}} \cdot \alpha_{\text{gap}} \cdot k_{\text{cal}},$$

where, according to [16], $\alpha_{\text{simplification}}$ and $\alpha_{\text{gap}}$ are 0.5 and 0.9, respectively.

• Involving the influence of the third bodies after a long-term sliding:

A steady coefficient of friction indicates a stable amount of wear debris in the contact area [1]. Thus, the influence of wear debris is characterized by the change of the friction during the sliding as shown in Figure 2 following Equation (5):

$$\alpha_{\text{third-body}} = \frac{f_{\text{steady},l}}{f_{\text{ad,max}}},$$

where $f_{\text{steady},l}$ is the friction of coefficient at the $l$th steady period, since we notice that more than one steady coefficient of friction can exist and $f_{\text{ad,max}}$ is the maximum value of the coefficient of adhesive friction. The $\alpha_{\text{third-body}}$ considers the reduced friction energy dissipation which in turn decreases the wear rate.

This coefficient is further multiplied to the $k_{\text{cal}}$ to modify the specific wear coefficient in phase II:

$$k_{\text{modi, phase II}} = \alpha_{\text{simplification}} \cdot \alpha_{\text{gap}} \cdot \alpha_{\text{third-body}} \cdot k_{\text{cal}},$$

• Prediction of the wear curve:

The correlation between the sliding distance and the wear depth in phase I can be determined according to [15]:

$$s_1 = \frac{1}{k_{\text{modi, phase I}}} \left(1674 \cdot h_1^3 + 3.3 \cdot h_1^2 + 0.0026 \cdot h_1 \right),$$

where $s_1$ is the sliding distance in phase I, $h_1$ is the wear in phase I.

The correlation between the sliding distance and the wear depth in phase II during the first steady state of coefficient of friction is according to [15]:

$$s_{2,1} = \frac{\pi}{k_{\text{modi, phase II}} \cdot F} \left[ \frac{1}{3} h_{2,1}^3 + (R - R_k) h_{2,1}^2 + \left(2R R_k - R_k^2\right) h_{2,1} \right],$$

where $s_{2,1}$ and $h_{2,1}$ are the sliding distance and the wear in phase II during the first steady state of coefficient of friction, respectively, and $R$ is the radius of the spherical part.

For the $l$th steady period of coefficient of friction ($l \geq 2$), the correlation between the sliding distance and the wear depth is:

$$s_{2,l} = \frac{\pi}{k_{\text{modi, phase II}} \cdot F} \left[ \frac{1}{3} h_{2,l}^3 + (R - R_{2,l-1}) h_{2,l}^2 + \left(2R R_{2,l-1} - R_{2,l-1}^2\right) h_{2,l} \right],$$

where $s_{2,l}$ and $h_{2,l}$ are the sliding distance and the wear in phase II during the $l$th steady state of coefficient of friction, respectively, $R_{2,l-1}$ is the total thickness reduction at the end of the $(l - 1)$-th steady coefficient of friction in phase II, which can be calculated by:

$$R_{2,l-1} = h_0 + h_{1,\text{end}} + \sum_{n=1}^{l-1} h_{2,n},$$

where $h_{2,n}$ is the wear at the end of the $(l - 1)$-th steady state of coefficient of friction in phase II, $h_0$ is the thickness reduction due to deformation after the contact of two contact parts, $h_{1,\text{end}}$ is the wear at the end of phase I.
Total sliding distance in phases I and II is:

\[ s_{\text{tot}} = s_{1,\text{end}} + \sum_{j=1}^{l} s_{2,j} \]  

(11)

where \( s_{\text{tot}} \) is the total sliding distance in phases I and II, \( s_{1,\text{end}} \) is the sliding distance at the end of phase I.

Total thickness reduction is:

\[ h_{\text{tot}} = h_0 + h_{1,\text{end}} + \sum_{j=1}^{l} h_{2,j} \]  

(12)

where \( h_{\text{tot}} \) is the total thickness reduction in phases I and II.

3. Results

3.1. Lifetime of Electrical Contacts Tested with Different Sample Arrangements

The development of the contact resistance is schematically illustrated in Figure 5. The lifetime of the samples tested with different sample arrangements is listed in Table 2. The “sphere on plane” arrangement was the critical one. Since both of the arrangements were used in the most applications, the critical arrangement “sphere on plane” was used for the further investigation.

![Figure 5. Development of contact resistance during tests.](image)

**Table 2.** Lifetime of the electrical contacts with different arrangements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arrangement</th>
<th>Sphere on Plane</th>
<th>Plane on Sphere</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime (cycles)</td>
<td>5.4 \times 10^4</td>
<td>1.3 \times 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.1 \times 10^4</td>
<td>5.9 \times 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.0 \times 10^4</td>
<td>1.0 \times 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.5 \times 10^4</td>
<td>1.0 \times 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.2 \times 10^4</td>
<td>1.2 \times 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.2 \times 10^4</td>
<td>9.8 \times 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average lifetime (cycles)</td>
<td>5.4 \times 10^4</td>
<td>1.0 \times 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation (cycles)</td>
<td>4 \times 10^3</td>
<td>2 \times 10^4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2. Element Content in Contact Area of Samples with Critical Sample Arrangement

The element distribution in the contact area of the samples after 3000 and 5000 fretting cycles with the “sphere on plane” arrangement is tested with EDS in SEM, shown in Figures 6 and 7. The sphere part endures more severe wear than the plane part, indicated by the larger decrease in the silver content in contact area [17]. After 3000 fretting cycles, only very little local wear-through took place on the sphere part, due to the surface roughness and unevenness of the silver plating. After 5000 fretting cycles, the silver plating is worn, but the silver element is still the dominant element in the contact area with the content mostly over 50 at.%.

![Figure 6](image1.png)

**Figure 6.** Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of the wear scars and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) in X-ray Linescans mode across the contact area after 3000 fretting cycles: (a) on the sphere; (b) on the plane.

![Figure 7](image2.png)

**Figure 7.** SEM images of the wear scars and EDS in X-ray Linescans mode across the contact area after 5000 fretting cycles: (a) on the sphere; (b) on the plane.
3.3. Distribution of Wear Debris after the Wear and Fretting Corrosion Test

The distribution of the wear debris after the electrical failure in the wear and fretting corrosion test is shown in Figure 8. With regard to the “plane on sphere” sample arrangement, the third bodies are more easily removed from the contact area, compared to the “sphere on plane” sample arrangement. Thus, wear debris distributes in a large range outside the contact area. Third bodies influence the wear coefficient in different ways. From the point of view of the friction energy, the wear coefficient decreases with the decreasing coefficient of friction. On the other hand, since the partial wear through took place after several thousand fretting cycles, the oxides of the wear debris from the base material can occur in the contact area. Then the third bodies consist of different composition, the silver, the base material and the oxides. The oxides were harder than the silver plating, and thus they can increase the wear. Moreover, after the wear-through of the silver plating, third bodies consisted of more oxides of the wear debris from base material, and this intensified the wear on the base material, producing more wear debris that can be further oxidized in turn. If the oxides cannot be removed from the contact area, they will be aggregated, blocking the current path, and thus causing the electrical failure. Since the removal of the third bodies was more difficult in the sample arrangement “sphere on plane”, it took less time to aggregate the oxides causing the electrical failure for this arrangement. Consequently, the lifetime of the “sphere on plane” is shorter, and this arrangement is the critical one. Therefore, the investigation is focused on the critical sample arrangement “sphere on plane”.

![Figure 8](image-url)

**Figure 8.** Distribution of the wear debris of different sample arrangement: (a) on the plane part after $6.2 \times 10^4$ fretting cycles, arrangement “sphere on plane”; (b) on the sphere part after $1.3 \times 10^5$ fretting cycles, arrangement “plane on sphere”.

3.4. Thickness Reduction of the Samples with Critical Arrangement

Since the degradation of silver plating on the sphere is more severe according to the EDS results in Section 3.2, the investigation is focused on the wear on the sphere parts. The thickness reduction on the spherical parts along with the sliding distance is shown in Figure 9. The phase I is identified with the rapid increase in the thickness reduction, and then in phase II, the thickness reduction increases slowly.
Figure 9. Thickness reduction of the spherical parts with “sphere on plane” arrangement.

3.5. Coefficient of Friction of Samples with Critical Arrangement

A typical development of the coefficient of friction of the “sphere on plane” arrangement is shown in Figure 10. The maximum coefficient of friction $f_{ad,max}$, and the steady coefficients of friction $f_{steady,1}$ and $f_{steady,2}$ are pointed out in Figure 10. Therefore, the influence of the third bodies was calculated in Table 3.

Figure 10. Typical development of the coefficient of friction.

Table 3. Coefficient of friction and the influence of the third bodies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$f_{ad,max}$</th>
<th>$f_{steady,1}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{third-body,1}$</th>
<th>Average $\alpha_{third-body,1}$</th>
<th>$f_{steady,2}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{third-body,2}$</th>
<th>Average $\alpha_{third-body,2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Discussion

4.1. Calculation and Modification of Specific Wear Coefficient

According to Equation (3), the specific wear coefficient is calculated as shown in Figure 11. The points with the sliding distance from 200 mm to 800 mm are used for calculation. Below this range, the thickness reduction is very close to the deformation simulated in FEA, so it is dominated by the deformation of roughness peaks. Above this range, the wear can develop to phase II, and Equation (3) is invalid. The $k_{cal}$ calculated at each sliding distance is in a range from $3.3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ mm}^3/(\text{N:mm})$ to $5.1 \times 10^{-8} \text{ mm}^3/(\text{N:mm})$. The mean value of $k_{cal}$ at all sliding distances is $3.9 \times 10^{-8} \text{ mm}^3/(\text{N:mm})$. The standard deviation is $8 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mm}^3/(\text{N:mm})$.

![Figure 11](image)

**Figure 11.** Calculated specific wear coefficient and the standard deviation.

According to Equations (4)–(6), the specific wear coefficient can be modified as listed in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k_{modi, Phase I}$ [mm$^3$/(N-mm)]</th>
<th>$k_{modi, Phase II,1}$ [mm$^3$/(N-mm)]</th>
<th>$k_{modi, Phase II,2}$ [mm$^3$/(N-mm)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>$2.3 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$1.9 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. Wear Curves

According to Equations (7)–(12), the wear curve can be calculated and illustrated as Figure 12. The deviation between the average predicted value and the measured value is $-0.9\%$ after the long-term operation, which is greatly improved compared to the deviation of $16\%$ from the prediction without consideration of third bodies and $-3.6\%$ from the prediction with the consideration of third bodies only by the last steady coefficient of friction in [15].
The wear of the contacts after a long-term operation can be predicted based on the wear data collected from a short period test under the operating condition. For instance, for the automobile, the contacts in the vehicles experiencing different mileages during the test driving are disassembled to measure the wear and then the wear at a high mileage can be extrapolated according to the methodology established in the study, with the assumption of the average thermal and/or mechanical loads on the electrical contacts in the operation in each mileage being the same.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, the influence of third bodies on friction and wear was firstly reviewed. Two sample arrangements, namely “sphere on plane” and “plane on sphere”, are used to generate different distribution of third bodies, and then the “sphere on plane” is found to be the critical arrangement. Third bodies influence the wear coefficient in different ways. From the point of view of the friction energy, the wear coefficient decreases with the decreasing coefficient of friction. On the other hand, with the occurrence of the partial wear through, which will take place after several thousand cycles of micro motion, the base material begins to oxidize. The oxides are harder than the silver plating and they in turn can increase the wear coefficient. In the case of “sphere on plane”, more oxide debris will remain in the contact area, which makes the “sphere on plane” the critical arrangement. A methodology is developed to predict the wear process with regard to this arrangement. The influence of the third bodies is taken into consideration, and different steady coefficient of friction is referred to. The predicted wear curve is validated by the experimental results. A good agreement between the predicted value and the measured value after the long-term operation is obtain. The deviation is 0.9%. The prediction precision is greatly improved, compared to the prediction without consideration of the third bodies or only with the consideration of third bodies by the last steady coefficient of friction. Based on this study, it is possible to predict the wear of the electrical contacts with noble plating after long-term operation with the data from the short-term test under the operating condition.
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Figure 12. Prediction of wear curve with the consideration of the third bodies in different stages.
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