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1. Introduction

Plastic particles are produced and released to the environment from industrial use, human activities and inadequate waste management [1,2]. Despite the definition of nanoplastics (NPs) to be controversial, the most accepted one defines NPs as particles unintentionally produced (i.e., from the degradation and the manufacturing of the plastic objects) and presenting a colloidal behavior, within a size range from 1 to 1000 nm [3–5]. Due to limitations in analytical methods, the quantification of NPs in the environment remains a challenge [6–8]. However, it is foreseeable that the levels of NPs in the environment (in specific aquatic systems) are increasing consistently over time due to the continuous release and consequent degradation of macro-/microplastics [4,9–11]. Once in the environment, NPs exhibit chemical and physical characteristics different from those of bulk plastics. Therefore, their environmental fate, bioavailability, intake and potential impact to the organisms should be investigated [11]. Some authors reported that NPs are able to cross the biological barriers and accumulate in tissues of aquatic organisms like adult and embryos of fish medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) and embryos of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) [5,12]. This NPs bioaccumulation will depend, among other factors, on the NPs’ size (chorion pore size of zebrafish is 600–700 nm) [4]. Despite the increasing number of studies regarding the toxicity of NPs in aquatic organisms [4,5,9,10,13], the knowledge about their environmental risk, bioaccumulation and the mechanisms involved in their toxicity is yet
limited [3–5]. On zebrafish embryos, polystyrene (PS) NPls showed to be able to penetrate the chorion, accumulate on the embryos and induce bradycardia and hypoactivity [10,13] Table 1. However, no significant effect on survival, hatching and embryos morphology was found [3,4,11,14,15].

Table 1. Studies assessing the biological effects of polystyrene nanoplastics (PS NPls) to zebrafish (*Danio rerio*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of PS NPls</th>
<th>Development Stage</th>
<th>Exposure Characteristics</th>
<th>Assessed Endpoints</th>
<th>Main Findings</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47 nm</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>Waterborne exposure 1 mg·L(^{-1}) 3 days Co-exposure: Bisphenol A (BPA)</td>
<td>Dopamine content Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity NPls quantification Gene/protein expression</td>
<td>NPls accumulated in various tissues. Inhibited AChE activity but not at the co-exposure. NPls caused myelin basic protein/gene up-regulation. Co-exposure increased the BPA uptake.</td>
<td>[3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 nm Microplastics (MPls; 41 µm)</td>
<td>Embryo</td>
<td>Waterborne exposure 1 mg·L(^{-1}) 120 hours (h) Co-exposure: 17 α-ethylnylestradiol</td>
<td>Locomotor activity Body length Gene expression Antioxidant system AChE activity NPls quantification</td>
<td>NPls alone and co-exposure induced hypoactivity. Reduced the body larvae length. NPls caused gene upregulation. Decreased AChE activity and reduced glutathione content.</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50, 200 and 500 nm</td>
<td>Embryo</td>
<td>Waterborne exposure 0.1 mg·mL(^{-1}) 6, 24 and 96 h Co-exposure: Chloroaauric acid (HAuCl(_4))</td>
<td>Mortality Edemas Hatching Cell death Reactive oxygen species (ROS) Gene expression NPls accumulation</td>
<td>NPls readily penetrated the chorion and accumulated throughout the whole body. NPls induced only marginal effects, but the HAuCl(_4) synergistically exacerbated these effects in a dose and size dependent manner.</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 and 35 nm</td>
<td>Embryo and adult</td>
<td>Exposure via food 1 mg/fish gram 1 week</td>
<td>Reproduction Antioxidant system Mitochondrial function General physiology NPls distribution Locomotor activity</td>
<td>NPls modified the antioxidant system. Accumulated in the yolk sac. NPls transferred from mothers to offspring.</td>
<td>[4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 nm</td>
<td>Embryo</td>
<td>Waterborne exposure 1 mg·L(^{-1}) 48 h</td>
<td>NPls ingestion and tissue infiltration Protein carbonylation Antioxidant/detoxifying enzymes activities Swimming behavior</td>
<td>NPls infiltrated tissues. Decreased enzymatic activities. Altered the locomotor behaviour.</td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 nm</td>
<td>Embryo</td>
<td>Waterborne exposure 0.1, 1 and 10 ppm 120 h</td>
<td>General physiology NPls uptake and distribution Locomotor activity Oxygen consumption</td>
<td>NPls accumulated in the yolk sac and migrated to other organs. Decreased the heartbeat rate and altered behavior.</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27, 50, 217 and 727 nm</td>
<td>Embryo</td>
<td>Waterborne exposure 5, 25 and 50 mg·L(^{-1}) 48 h</td>
<td>Visualization of adsorbed, ingested or biodistributed NPls Eye width and length</td>
<td>The absorption was dependent on NPls size and time of exposure.</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 nm</td>
<td>Embryo</td>
<td>Waterborne exposure 0.1, 1 and 10 ppm 24, 48 and 96 h Co-exposure: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)</td>
<td>Heartbeat rate Enzymatic activity Blood vessel formation Mitochondrial bioenergetics</td>
<td>NPls decreased the developmental deformities and impaired vascular development caused by PAHs. NPls decreased the mitochondrial coupling efficiency. NPls suggested sorbing PAHs and decreasing their uptake.</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 nm</td>
<td>Embryo</td>
<td>Waterborne exposure 0.2, 2 and 20 mg·L(^{-1}) 48 h</td>
<td>NPls distribution General physiology Cortisol and glucose levels Gene expression Larval behavior</td>
<td>NPls accumulated in various tissues. Affected swim bladder development. Increased cortisol and decreased glucose levels. NPls induced hyperactivity.</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another important aspect is that, due to their hydrophobicity and large surface area, NPs are capable, physically or chemically, to adsorb onto other contaminants present in the environment [5,8,15]. As plastic particles have been observed to be ingested by aquatic organisms, there is an increasing concern about their “vector” role for other contaminants [1]. Considering that, aquatic organisms, e.g., fish, might be exposed to a mixture of contaminants, the comprehension of the potential role of the NPs in the bioavailability and in the biological effects of other contaminants is highly needed. Combined exposures of NPs and other contaminants have shown differential toxicity to zebrafish [1,3,15]. Zebrafish is widely used as a model species in toxicity testing [16,17] and it was already used to investigate NPs ability as a vector to Au ions, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), bisphenol A and 17 α-ethynylestradiol [1,3,5,15].

Pharmaceuticals are considered contaminants of emerging concern due to, among other reasons: (1) their high consumption and continuous environmental release (as parental compound, metabolites and/or transformation products); (2) inefficient wastewater treatment processes; (3) high environmental persistence; (4) low degradation rates [18,19]. Albeit pharmaceuticals are found in trace amounts in aquatic environment, they were designed to produce a biological effect to target organisms at low concentrations [20,21]. In fact, several pharmaceuticals have been demonstrated to induce adverse effects at environmentally relevant concentrations to non-target organisms [16]. Simvastatin (SIM), among the most prescribed pharmaceuticals in western countries, is a hypolipidemic drug belonging to the statin class and used as the primary treatment of hypercholesterolemia [17,22,23]. With the increasing SIM consumption and subsequently discharge into the aquatic environment, this pharmaceutical has been detected at low concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 1560 ng/L [17,24,25]. It has been reported that SIM presents a high environmental persistence, a high bioaccumulation and induces adverse effects to non-target aquatic organisms at environmentally relevant concentrations [25,25–27]. Accordingly, SIM caused several effects to zebrafish embryos such as morphological damage (e.g., pericardial and yolk sac edemas), delayed hatching, reduced heart beating and impaired larvae swimming capacity [16,24,26,28].

Overall, the present research hypothesizes that PS NPs alter the toxic effects of SIM to the D. rerio embryos, being expected antagonistic and synergistic effects. Thus, the main aim of this study is to assess how functionalized PS NPs interact with SIM and modulate its toxicity to zebrafish embryos. The survival, heartbeat, hatching and morphology of embryos/larvae will be analyzed during 96 h of exposure.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Test Organism

Zebrafish (D. rerio) wild type AB eggs were obtained from a culture maintained at the Department of Biology, University of Aveiro (Aveiro, Portugal). Zebrafish adults were kept in a recirculating system with reverse osmosis and activated carbon filtered tap water, complemented with instant ocean synthetic salt automatically adjusted for pH and conductivity. The organisms were maintained at 26.0 ± 1°C, under a 16:8 h light/dark photoperiod cycle, with conductivity at 750 ± 50 μS/cm, pH at 7.5 ± 0.5, salinity of 0.35 and dissolved oxygen at 95% saturation. Adult fishes were fed daily with commercially artificial diet, Gemma Micro 500 (Skretting®, Burgos, Spain). Reproduction groups of zebrafish adults were placed in aquarium with marbles in the bottom, in the afternoon of the day before the collection of the eggs. Two hours after the beginning of the illumination in the next morning, the eggs were collected and cleaned from residues. Zebrafish eggs with normal development were selected for the toxicity test, using a SMZ 1500 Stereoscopic Zoom Microscope (Nikon, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Unfertilized, irregular or injured eggs were discarded.
2.2. Preparation and Characterization of Nanoplastics

Functionalized (with carboxyl group -COOH) PS NPls (catalog code FC02F; mean nominal diameter: 60 nm) were acquired from Bangs Laboratories, Inc. (Immunostep, Salamanca, Spain). Functionalized PS NPls were selected to potentiate/promote the interaction/binding of NPls with SIM. PS NPls are also fluorescent dyed by dragon green with excitation/emission wavelength (nm) of 480/520, respectively. According to the manufacturer, this dye is internal linked and stable within the bead under most aqueous conditions (including 0.01% methanol used for the exposure conditions with SIM in the fish bioassays). In addition, the stock dispersion had 1% of NPls, 0.1% tween 20 and 2 mM sodium azide. The Supplementary Table S1 presents some characteristics of the PS NPls stock dispersion used. Prior to the bioassays, the particles were centrifuged using a Vivaspin® 2 mL ultrafiltration device (Bangs Laboratories, Inc.) to remove the sodium azide and tween 20 present in the NPls stock dispersion. The procedure was made using the manufacturer indication included in the Vivaspin® device.

The NPls dispersion resultant from the centrifugation was characterized by hydrodynamic size, assessed by dynamic light scattering (DLS; Zetasizer Nano ZS, Malvern, USA); by primary size and shape, evaluated by the transmission electron microscopy (TEM; Hitachi, H9000 NAR, Tokyo, Japan) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM; Hitachi, SU70, Tokyo, Japan); and by zeta potential (ZP), assessed by electrophoretic light scattering (Zetasizer Nano ZS, Malvern). Characterization of the NPls—hydrodynamic size and ZP—was also performed in the medium used in the bioassays, at 0 and 96 h (corresponding to the beginning and to the end of the tests). To investigate the interaction between NPls and SIM (acquired from Acros Organics™, Fisher Scientific, Darmstadt, Germany), hydrodynamic size and ZP were also assessed at the tested exposure combinations.

2.3. Fish Assays

The test solutions were prepared 24 h before the beginning of the experiments, adding the required volumes of the NPls and SIM in the medium used in the bioassays, followed by a brief stirring. The solutions were pre-incubated overnight prior to the experiment due to the presence of the contaminant mixture (NPls + SIM) as previously performed for the combination of NPls with other contaminants [5].

The assays were based on the OECD guideline on Fish Embryo Toxicity (FET) test [29]. Five embryos per glass petri dish (Diameter = 3.2 cm) were used (n = 4, 20 embryos per condition). Per embryo, 0.5 mL of medium was considered. Embryos were exposed to the single exposures: 0; 0.015; 1.5 and 150 mg/L of NPls or 0; 0.15; 1.5; 12.5; 15 and 150 µg/L of SIM and to the combinations: 0.015 mg/L of NPls + 12.5 µg/L of SIM; 0.015 mg/L of NPls + 15 µg/L of SIM; 1.5 mg/L of NPls + 12.5 µg/L of SIM and 1.5 mg/L of NPls + 15 µg/L of SIM. The lowest NPls tested concentration (15 µg/L) has been predicted to be environmentally relevant concentration for the aquatic environment [30]. The other tested concentrations were 100-fold increases. The lowest SIM tested concentrations (0.15 and 1.5 µg/L) are environmentally relevant concentrations [23]. The other tested concentrations were 10-fold increases (15 and 150 µg/L). In addition, an intermediate value (12.5 µg/L) was tested to ensure at least two concentrations of SIM inducing sub-lethal effects to zebrafish embryos (to be tested at combined exposures). The chosen concentrations for the combined exposures were based on the concentrations causing sub-lethal effects in the individual exposures. If no significant effect was detected, the lowest tested concentrations were selected due to their environmental relevance. Due to the low solubility of SIM in water, we also used a solvent control—methanol—at 0.01%, the concentration of methanol present in the treatments with SIM not exceeding the value recommended by the OECD guideline [31]. The test ran for 96 h, at 26 ± 1 °C, and embryos/larvae were observed daily with a stereomicroscope. Egg coagulation and larvae mortality, the presence of malformations (such as pericardial/yolk sac edemas and tail deformation), hatching and heartbeat were evaluated during embryogenesis.
2.4. Statistical Analysis

Graphics and statistical treatment were performed using the Sigma Plot 12.5 software package (Systat Software Inc., Munich, Germany). Shapiro-Wilk and Levene’s tests were done to assess the normality and homoscedasticity of data, respectively. Differences between control and solvent control were carried out using a Student t-test. If data passed the normality and homoscedasticity tests, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison post hoc test was used to assess differences between control and treatments. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test was also used to compare differences between single and combined exposures. When data failed the normality and/or homoscedasticity tests, a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis’ test was performed. Significant differences were assumed for a significance level ($p < 0.05$). Median effect concentrations ($EC_{50}$) were estimated using the Toxicity Relationship Analysis Program (TRAP v1.22) (Washington, DC, USA) or the Sigma Plot 12.5 software.

3. Results

3.1. Characterization of Nanoplastics Alone and with Simvastatin

At 0 and 96 h, in the test media without organisms, NPls hydrodynamic size and ZP were similar than the ones measured in the stock dispersion (Table 2). After 96 h, both in the test media with organisms and with SIM, the NPls hydrodynamic size increased for all the tested concentrations (Table 2). In terms of NPls ZP, this value increased in the test media containing SIM (Table 2). The analysis by electron microscopy (TEM and SEM) showed that NPls are mostly spherical (Supplementary Figure S1) with an average diameter of 55 nm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanoplastics</th>
<th>Hydrodynamic Size (nm)</th>
<th>Zeta Potential (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 h</td>
<td>96 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock dispersion</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In test media (without organisms)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In test media (with organisms)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In test media (with SIM)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Effects of Single Exposures

3.2.1. Effects of Nanoplastics

NPls single exposures caused no significant effects on the survival and hatching rates of the organisms during the 96 h of the exposure ($p > 0.05$, Figure 1C,D). Additionally, no significant malformations were induced by NPls during the time of the exposure ($p > 0.05$, Figure 1A). The assessment of the heartbeat of the organisms, at 48 h, showed that NPls did not cause a significant effect on this endpoint ($p > 0.05$, Figure 1B).
0.05, Figure 1A). The assessment of the heartbeat of the organisms, at 48 h, showed that NPls did not cause a significant effect on this endpoint (p > 0.05, Figure 1B).

Figure 1. Effects of functionalized polystyrene nanoplastics on malformations appearance (A), heartbeat (B), hatching (C) and mortality (D) on zebrafish (Danio rerio) embryos/larvae exposed for 96 h. Results are expressed in average value (AV) ± standard error (SE).

3.2.2. Effects of Simvastatin

In the bioassays testing the effects of SIM, there were no significant differences between the control and the solvent control (p > 0.05). Therefore, the differences were assessed between treatments and the control group. SIM, at 150 µg/L, caused 100% of mortality in less than 24 h of exposure (p < 0.05). Although not significant, 15 µg/L SIM produced approximately 40% of mortality (p > 0.05) for 96 h of exposure (Figure 2D). Additionally, 12.5 and 15 µg/L SIM significantly induced edemas and other malformations (pericardial edemas, yolk sac edemas and tail malformations) on the organisms (Figure 2A). The assessment of the heartbeat of the organisms at 48 h, showed that 12.5 and 15 µg/L SIM significantly induced a reduction of the number of heartbeats per minute (p < 0.05, Figure 2B). A significant delay in the hatching of the organisms was also detected at 15 µg/L SIM (p < 0.05, Figure 2C).
Figure 2. Effects of simvastatin on malformations appearance (A), heartbeat (B), hatching (C) and mortality (D) on zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) embryos/larvae exposed for 96 h. Results are expressed in average value (AV) ± standard error (SE).

* Significant differences to control (*p* < 0.05).

From 48 up to 96 h exposure, the pericardial edemas, yolk sac edemas and tail malformations observed in the organisms after the exposure to 15 µg/L of SIM are presented in Figure 3.

Figure 3. Malformations detected in the organisms after the exposure to 15 µg/L of simvastatin, from 48 up to 96 h exposure: (A) Pericardial edema; (B) Yolk sac edema; (C) Tail malformation; (D) Delayed hatching at 96 h of exposure; (E) Normal larvae.
3.3. Effects of Combined Exposures Nanoplastics and Simvastatin

The combinations 1.5 mg/L NPls + 12.5 µg/L SIM and 1.5 mg/L NPls + 15 µg/L SIM caused mortality of all the organisms at less than 48 h ($p < 0.05$, Figure 4D). The other two combinations tested (0.015 mg/L NPls + 12.5 µg/L SIM and 0.015 mg/L NPls + 15 µg/L SIM) have no significant effects in terms of mortality and hatching percentages during the 96 h of exposure ($p > 0.05$, Figures 4C,D). Also, heartbeat of the organisms, assessed at 48 h, was not altered by 0.015 mg/L NPls + 12.5 µg/L SIM and 0.015 mg/L NPls + 15 µg/L SIM ($p > 0.05$, Figure 4B). However, these two combinations induced significant malformations appearance on the organisms, from 48 up to 96 h of exposure ($p < 0.05$, Figure 4A).

Figure 4. Effects of combined exposure of functionalized nanoplastics (NPls) with simvastatin (SIM) on malformations appearance (A), heartbeat (B), hatching (C) and mortality (D) on zebrafish (Danio rerio) embryos/larvae exposed for 96 h. Results are expressed in average value (AV) ± standard error (SE). * Significant differences to control ($p < 0.05$).

From 48 up to 96 h exposure, the malformations—pericardial edemas, yolk sac edemas and tail malformations—observed in the organisms caused by the exposure to the combinations: 0.015 mg/L NPls + 12.5 µg/L SIM and 0.015 mg/L NPls + 15 µg/L SIM are presented in Figure 5.
posed organisms (0.015 mg/L NPls or SIM in single exposures induced significant malformations on the exposure detected on the combination 0.015 mg/L NPls + 15 µg/L SIM; (p < 0.05)). Pericardial edema; (B) Yolk sac edema; (C) Tail malformation; (D) Delayed hatching at 72 h of exposure detected on the combination 0.015 mg/L NPls + 15 µg/L SIM; (E) Normal larvae.

The EC_{50} for survival was around 15 µg/L in SIM single exposure and in combination with 0.015 mg/L NPls, whereas when SIM was in combination with 1.5 mg/L NPls the EC_{50} decreased to around 7 µg/L (Table 3). The EC_{50} for the appearance of malformations was similar when SIM was alone or combined with NPls. However, for hatching and heartbeat, the EC_{50} increased when SIM was in the presence of NPls (Table 3).

Table 3. Median effect concentration (EC_{50}) for zebrafish embryos/larvae exposed during 96 h to simvastatin (SIM) single versus combined with nanoparticles (NPls).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endpoints</th>
<th>SIM</th>
<th>EC_{50} (µg/L)</th>
<th>SIM + 0.015 NPls</th>
<th>SIM + 1.5 NPls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survival</td>
<td>15.39 ± 0.36</td>
<td>15.33 ± 25.07</td>
<td>6.93 ± 5.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malformations</td>
<td>9.71 ± 1.44</td>
<td>9.79 ± 2.09</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatching</td>
<td>13.96 ± 0.33</td>
<td>15.05 ± 8.86</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heartbeat</td>
<td>19.71 ± 2.15</td>
<td>27.29 ± 7.13</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are presented as estimated value ± standard error. SIM + 0.015 NPls: 12.5 or 15 µg/L SIM + 0.015 mg/L NPls; SIM + 1.5 NPls: 12.5 or 15 µg/L SIM + 1.5 mg/L NPls; n.d.: Not determined. (The exposure condition induced 100% mortality, which did not allow to evaluate the other endpoints: malformations, hatching and heartbeat).

Comparing the effects of single versus combined exposures (Table 4), in terms of survival, the combinations 1.5 mg/L NPls with 12.5 or 15 µg/L SIM induced 100% mortality (p < 0.05), whereas the single exposures did not cause any significant effect (comparing with the control group (p > 0.05)). In relation to hatching and heartbeat assessment, the combinations 0.015 mg/L NPls with 12.5 or 15 µg/L SIM caused no significant effects on these endpoints (p > 0.05). However, the single exposures of SIM (both 12.5 and 15 µg/L) caused a significant decrease on the heartbeat and 15 µg/L caused a significant decrease on the hatching of the organisms (p < 0.05). The occurrence of malformations after the exposure to SIM was independent of the presence or absence of NPls: SIM combined with 0.015 mg/L NPls or SIM in single exposures induced significant malformations on the exposed organisms (p < 0.05).
Table 4. Effects of single versus combined exposures of nanoplastics (NPls) and simvastatin (SIM) on mortality, hatching, heartbeat and malformations appearance on zebrafish embryos/larvae exposed for 96 h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Exposure</th>
<th>0.015 NPls</th>
<th>1.5 NPls</th>
<th>12.5 SIM</th>
<th>0.015 NPls + 12.5 SIM</th>
<th>0.015 NPls + 15 SIM</th>
<th>1.5 NPls + 12.5 SIM</th>
<th>1.5 NPls + 15 SIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>15.0 ± 5.0</td>
<td>15.0 ± 9.6</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>2.5 ± 2.5 *</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>10.0 ± 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 h</td>
<td>15.0 ± 5.0</td>
<td>20.0 ± 8.2</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>25.0 ± 8.2 *</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>20.0 ± 14.1</td>
<td>95.0 ± 5.0 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 h</td>
<td>15.0 ± 5.0</td>
<td>20.0 ± 8.2</td>
<td>5.0 ± 5.0</td>
<td>32.5 ± 10.6 *</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>20.0 ± 14.1</td>
<td>95.0 ± 5.0 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96 h</td>
<td>20.0 ± 8.2</td>
<td>20.0 ± 8.2</td>
<td>5.0 ± 5.0</td>
<td>40.0 ± 11.3 *</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>25.0 ± 12.6</td>
<td>100.0 ± 0.0 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed in average value ± standard error. 0.015 NPls: 0.015 mg/L of nanoplastics; 1.5 NPls: 1.5 mg/L of nanoplastics; 12.5 SIM: 12.5 µg/L of simvastatin; 15 SIM: 15 µg/L of simvastatin; 0.015 NPls + 12.5 SIM: 0.015 mg/L of nanoplastics + 12.5 µg/L of simvastatin; 0.015 NPls + 15 SIM: 0.015 mg/L of nanoplastics + 15 µg/L of simvastatin; 1.5 NPls + 12.5 SIM: 1.5 mg/L of nanoplastics + 12.5 µg/L of simvastatin; 1.5 NPls + 15 SIM: 1.5 mg/L of nanoplastics + 15 µg/L of simvastatin; n.d.: Not determined (the exposure condition induced 100% mortality, which did not allow to evaluate the other endpoints, malformations, hatching and heartbeat). * Significant differences to the correspondent single exposure of simvastatin (p < 0.05). ** Significant differences to the correspondent single exposure of nanoplastics (p < 0.05).

4. Discussion

NPls maintained their hydrodynamic size during the time of the exposure test (96 h) in the medium used for the bioassays. Moreover, no alterations in terms of ZP were found between 0 and 96 h, showing the stability of the tested NPls even when in the test medium, as previously reported [5]. However, the NPls’ hydrodynamic size and ZP increased in the presence of SIM in the medium used for the fish bioassays. Moreover, the characterization of NPls on the test medium with the organisms, showed an increase of their hydrodynamic size during the 96 h of exposure, which can be related with the interaction of NPls with embryos/larvae metabolites present in the test media [32,33]. Despite the hydrodynamic size enlargement of NPls, to around 300 nm, it does not seem to limit their entrance (through the chorion of zebrafish embryos), since the pore size of this structure is between 600 to 700 nm [4].

To our knowledge, in most of the studies assessing the effects of NPls with other contaminants on zebrafish, the NPls’ characterization was only performed when they were present alone and it is not referred if the characterization is performed in the presence or absence of organisms [1,3,5]. Only one study reported a fast aggregation of NPls (increased sizes) when they were in the presence of PAHs [16]. The authors reported that the sorption of PAHs to the surface of NPls is expected to decrease the interaction with cations available in the exposure medium and to increase the lipophilicity of NPls, promoting further aggregation and sorption of PAHs [15]. An identical interaction is likely to have occurred on our study in the presence of the pharmaceutical SIM. Indeed, PS NPls were functionalized with COOH, which can promote the interaction/binding of NPls with SIM. Additionally, the characterization of NPls with SIM showed that, behind the increase in the NPls hydrodynamic size, the surface charge of the NPls also increased (ZP from −25 to −12 mV), which may be due to the interaction/binding of NPls with SIM.

The interaction of NPls with SIM and consequent alteration of the NPls’ chemical characteristics may induce different biological effects comparing with the effects when NPls are alone. Moreover, SIM chemical properties may also be altered in the presence of NPls promoting differential effects compared to individual SIM exposure. The results showed no effects induced by PS NPls, indicating that NPls, at the tested concentrations, did not cause a significant acute toxic effect on the embryonic development of zebrafish.
However, the pharmaceutical SIM presented a dose dependent toxicity, causing mortality, malformations, hatching and heartbeat impairment in the organisms. Lee et al. [5] also showed no significant effects of PS NPls (50, 200 and 500 nm) on zebrafish embryogenesis. For SIM, Ribeiro et al. [16] also reported toxic effects on zebrafish embryos development, at the endpoints assessed in our study.

The adsorption (physical or chemical) of diverse compounds [5,15] to NPls is widely known, and hence the high relevance of investigating the combined toxic effect of NPls and SIM. To the best of our knowledge, until now, few studies have focused on the effects of NPls on the toxicity of other contaminants on zebrafish [1,3,5,15]. Further, the combined exposures of NPls with other contaminants have shown diverse outcomes regarding toxicity [1,3,5,15]. It is thus important to comprehend in further detail how plastics can interact with other contaminants and modulate their uptake and further toxicity. Such effects can be difficult to predict, as they are dependent on the polymer type, size and charge of the plastic particles, type of co-contaminant and their concentrations [15]. In our study, the highest NPls concentration tested in the combined exposures (1.5 mg/L) appears to work as an effective and a greater carrier of SIM (as opposed to 0.015 mg/L NPls) leading to fast (<24 h) lethal effects on the zebrafish embryos. Decreasing the NPls concentrations, the lethal effect disappeared although sub-lethal effects persisted. Concerning the mortality, the combination of NPls and SIM had relevant effects on the survival of the organisms whereas the single exposures did not have significant effects on this endpoint. A previous study already reported that NPls themselves may not be severely detrimental, but when they are combined with environmental contaminants, such as metal ions, the toxic effects may escalate [12]. The median lethal concentration (LC$_{50}$) of Au ion without NPls was 1.88 µg/mL, whereas it was 1.25 µg/mL in the presence of NPls, indicating that the presence of NPls exacerbated the mortality effect of the Au ion on zebrafish embryos [5]. In the present study, a similar result was also found for survival, and the LC$_{50}$ for the combination SIM + NPls was lower than for SIM alone, hence there is a synergy beyond simple additivity.

Concerning the malformations appearance, SIM single exposures had similar effects than when in co-exposures (EC$_{50}$ were similar between SIM single and combined exposures), the SIM mode action seems independent on the presence of NPls (at 0.015 mg/L). These results are not similar to the combination of NPls with Au ion since the Au ion induction malformations was synergistic when combined with NPls [5]. Contrary to Lee et al. [5] and to our work, PAHs single exposures caused higher rates of developmental deformities, but the co-exposure of PAHs with NPls showed a decreased effect [15], hence antagonistic combination. In terms of hatching and heartbeat, a protective effect was found in our study after the co-exposures SIM and NPls comparing with SIM single exposures. It seems that the presence of NPls, at 0.015 mg/L, alleviated the effects of SIM on these endpoints, i.e., EC$_{50}$ for the combination of SIM and NPls was lower than for SIM alone. However, other authors have reported that NPls synergistically accelerated the inhibition of hatching caused by the Au ion [5]. The presence of the lower concentration of NPls in the combined exposures seems to offer a protective shield to embryos. The possible absorption of SIM to NPls may result in less bioavailability of the pharmaceutical to the organisms and consequent less interaction, resulting in sub-lethal effects (hatching and heartbeat) less pronounced compared with the effects resulting from the SIM single exposures. A previous study also showed that NPls co-exposure with bisphenol A alleviated the effects of bisphenol A on the AChE activity: combined exposure did not inhibit the AChE activity of zebrafish, whereas bisphenol A single exposure caused an inhibition [1].

Our hypothesis was confirmed by the obtained results, for some endpoints (hatching and heartbeat) antagonistic effects were found, i.e., the combined effect of NPls and SIM was less toxic than the individual effects. Whereas for other endpoints (survival), synergistic effects were detected, i.e., the combined effect of NPls and SIM was much greater than the sum of the effects of each contaminant alone. However, for malformations appearance, additive effects occurred, i.e., the combined effect of NPls and SIM was similar to the sum of the effect of each contaminant alone. It seems that the biological processes are
timely expressed, i.e., at the combined exposures, the adverse effects were earlier revealed (i.e., mortality at <24 h for 1.5 mg/L NPs + 12.5 or 15 µg/L SIM and malformations development in <48 h for 0.015 mg/L NPs + 12.5 µg/L SIM), when compared with the correspondent SIM single exposures. However, the survived embryos showed deal better with the “hostile environment” (combined exposures), occurring a normal hatching process (at 72 h) and heartbeat (48 h), on contrary to the embryos in the SIM single exposures.

Overall, the concentration of NPs and the chemical properties of the co-contaminant seem to be relevant for further toxicological effects on organisms. The mechanisms behind the altered toxicity of SIM by the presence of NPs should be more explored, but may be associated with incorporation rates, sorbing ability, cellular defense mechanisms and different modes of action. NPs are capable of physically or chemically adsorb to biomolecules in living organisms, such as proteins, lipids, and metabolites in serum and cytoplasm [32,33]. This adsorption ability results from the hydrophobic surface and large surface area of NPs and may alter the dynamics of the surface ionic charges in the environmental context [34,35] and, eventually, resulting in altered toxicity of the complex containing NPs and adsorbed elements [5]. Moreover, the effects of NPs on the toxicity of other contaminants can also be dependent on the NPs concentration (it seems our case) and/or of the type of the compounds as previously reported by other authors [3,5]. Although we were not able to perform an absolute/relative quantification of the NPs uptake, it may be possible to observe in the photos (provided as Supplementary Information, Supplementary Figure S2) an increase on the intensity of green fluorescence with the increase of NPs concentration, which may reflect a higher uptake of NPs by the embryos/larvae at the highest tested concentrations. In addition, the increase of green fluorescence intensity appears to be directly related to the increase of NPs concentration, which was not altered on the co-exposures with SIM. A gradual green color intensity observed in some regions of the exposed embryos/larvae seems to be associated with the gradual NPs exposures. However, the autofluorescence of some structures of zebrafish embryos/larvae (e.g., yolk sac and eyes) in the same emission wavelength of the dragon green fluorophores (emission peak at 509 nm, we used the EGFP filter) complicated the image analysis because the autofluorescence may vary within and between embryos/larvae. The resulting interference did not allow an absolute/relative quantitative image analysis and then, to do a significant correlation with the intake of NPs.

Overall, this study shows that the NPs effects on bioavailability and toxicity of other contaminants cannot be ignored when we assess the environmental behavior and risks of plastic particles. In order to avoid the zebrafish autofluorescence “noise”, further studies should select, e.g., red colored NPs, to assess the NPs’ uptake, i.e., the visualization of NPs and autofluorescence cannot be made using the same filter (as it happened in the current study). More research studies should be performed to allow a better understanding of the biological processes involved on the effects of NPs with other contaminants. The assessment of endpoints, such as enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant defenses, DNA and protein damage and expression of genes involved in metabolic pathways may be very useful to increase the knowledge about this issue.

5. Conclusions

The survival of the zebrafish embryos/larvae was affected by the combination of 1.5 mg/L NPs and SIM (12.5 or 15 µg/L) contrarily to single exposures of both contaminants. The highest NPs concentration tested in the combined exposures (1.5 mg/L) appears to be an effective and a greater carrier of SIM comparing to the lowest NPs concentration (0.015 mg/L). In terms of sub-lethal effects (hatching, heartbeat and malformations appearance), the effects of SIM were less pronounced or similar when in co-exposures with NPs, comparing with SIM single exposures. The results obtained from our study demonstrated that NPs may interact with SIM and modulate the SIM toxicity to zebrafish embryos/larvae and the effects of NPs on the toxicity of SIM was dependent on the NPs concentration. The resultant data highlights the importance of the environmental
risk assessment when the contaminants are in mixtures and increase the concern about the possibility of NPls as a “vector” for other contaminants. Further studies assessing molecular and biochemical endpoints are very welcome, which will help to complement the results obtained in this study and to a better understanding about the mechanisms involved on the toxicity of NPls combined with other compounds.
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