

Article

Exposure Assessment of Infants to Aflatoxin M₁ through Consumption of Breast Milk and Infant Powdered Milk in Brazil

Angélica T. Ishikawa ^{1,*}, Cássia R. Takabayashi-Yamashita ², Elisabete Y. S. Ono ³, Artur K. Bagatin ⁴, Fabiana F. Rigobello ¹, Osamu Kawamura ⁴, Elisa Y. Hirooka ² and Eiko N. Itano ¹

¹ Department of Pathological Sciences, State University of Londrina, P.O. Box 10.011, 86057-970 Londrina, Paraná, Brazil; fabianarigobello@gmail.com (F.F.R.); itano@uel.br (E.N.I.)

² Department of Food Science and Technology, State University of Londrina, P.O. Box 10.011, 86057-970 Londrina, Paraná, Brazil; kcia_rt@yahoo.com.br (C.R.T.-Y.); hirooka@uel.br (E.Y.H.)

³ Department of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, State University of Londrina, P.O. Box 10.011, 86057-970 Londrina, Paraná, Brazil; eysono@hotmail.com

⁴ Food Hygiene Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Kagawa University, 761-0795 Miki-cho, Kagawa, Japan; arturbagatin@yahoo.com (A.K.B.); kawamura@ag.kagawa-u.ac.jp (O.K.)

* Correspondence: angelicaishikawa@hotmail.com; Tel.: +55-43-3371-4469

Academic Editor: Carlo Brera

Received: 7 July 2016; Accepted: 15 August 2016; Published: 31 August 2016

Abstract: Aflatoxin M₁ (AFM₁) is an important biomarker that can be used to evaluate aflatoxin exposure in both humans and animals. The aim of this study was to evaluate the exposure degree of infants to AFM₁ through consumption of breast milk and infant powdered milk in Brazil. For this purpose, the estimated daily intake (EDI) for infants was calculated based on the AFM₁ levels analyzed in 94 breast milk (BM) samples collected in Southern Brazil, and 16 infant powdered milk (IPM) samples commonly commercialized in Brazil. AFM₁ was detected in 5.3% ($n = 5$) and 43.8% ($n = 7$) of BM and IPM samples, with mean levels of 0.003 ng/g and 0.011 ng/g, respectively. All the IPM samples showed AFM₁ levels lower than those established by the Brazilian guidelines (5 ng/g), and in most of the samples (81.25%) levels were below the maximum limit tolerated by the European Commission (0.025 ng/g). The EDI of AFM₁ for infants aged zero to 12 months old showed values from 0.018 to 0.069 ng/kg body weight/day for BM, and 0.078 to 0.306 ng/kg body weight/day for IPM. Hazard index (HI) values for BM and IPM were less than one, except for IPM intended for infants up to one month. In conclusion, the exposure of infants to AFM₁ was low, but continuous monitoring of mycotoxin levels is essential to minimize infant health risk.

Keywords: exposure; mycotoxin; milk; infant; safety; carry-over

1. Introduction

Human breast milk (BM) is recommended for the first six months of life for infants along with continued breastfeeding for up to two years. Breastfeeding promotes the mother-child relationship and ensures better growth and development of the newborn, providing nutrients, antibodies and leukocytes [1]. However, many infants and children do not receive optimal food, wherein only 38% of infants aged zero to six months worldwide and 41% of Brazilian infants of the same age are exclusively breastfed [1–3].

There is a special concern for infants about some trace toxins, such as aflatoxin M₁ (AFM₁) which is a monohydroxylated derivative of aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁), present in milk. Aflatoxins (AFs) are fungal secondary metabolites that are primarily produced by *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. parasiticus*. Although AFM₁ carcinogenicity is about 10-fold less than that of AFB₁, AFM₁ carcinogenicity has been shown

in experimental animals. AFM₁ is classified as Group 2B, possibly carcinogenic to humans [4]. It has been suggested that children are more susceptible than adults to acute hepatotoxicity resulting from ingestion of aflatoxin and effects of toxicants due to their higher metabolic rate, lower body weight, immature metabolic pathways, and incomplete development of tissues and organs [4–6]. Children exposed to AFM₁ may be underweight and stunted during infancy and thus become more prone to infectious diseases [4,7].

An AFM₁ biomarker can be used to evaluate aflatoxin exposure through diet for both humans and animals. Human exposure to AFs occurs through the intake of contaminated agricultural products or the consumption of products from animals that were fed with contaminated feed. This contamination may occur by fungal growth during harvest or improper storage [8]. Because the Brazilian milk market is characterized by big companies purchasing raw milk from different suppliers [9], companies should use high quality feedstock. Food contaminated with AFB₁ is metabolized in animals and the human liver into AFM₁ by Cytochrome P450-associated enzymes, and then distributed in serum and excreted into milk and urine. In mammals, AFM₁ can be detected in milk within 12 h after the ingestion of AFB₁ [10,11]. The carry-over of AFB₁ in feed metabolized into AFM₁ in milk for dairy cows was usually 1%–2% for low-yielding cows (<30 kg milk yield/day) and up to 6% for high-yielding cows (>30 kg milk yield/day) [12].

Several authors have reported the presence of the AFM₁ biomarker in breast milk around the world such as in Nigeria [13], Turkey [14], Egypt [15], Iran [16], and Italy [17], with a wide variation in AFM₁ contamination (0.13 to 1.730 ng/L). In Brazil, low AFM₁ levels in breast milk have been reported [18,19]. Toxicological evaluation of aflatoxin over food intake is essential to any risk evaluation and important for determining the relationship observed in humans and exposure to aflatoxin [20,21]. There are few data available on AFM₁ intake by infants (zero to 12 months old) in the Brazilian literature [21,22], and around the world most of the Estimated Daily Intake (EDI) data were for children (one to eight years old) [23–25].

Many countries have set or proposed guidelines for the maximum tolerated AFM₁ levels in milk and milk products because of their risk for human health. The Brazilian Health Surveillance Agency [26] has not established maximum AFM₁ levels for infant products, although the maximum limits for fluid milk and powdered milk should be 0.5 and 5 ng/g, respectively. A technical regulation on the Maximum Tolerated Limit for Mycotoxins in Food has not been defined regarding whether the fluid milk is raw or pasteurized. The European guidelines established the maximum AFM₁ levels in both raw milk (0.05 ng/g) and infant formulae (0.025 ng/g) [27]. Based on the potential hazard to infant (zero to 12 months old) health due to carry-over of the aflatoxin biomarker (AFM₁) into milk, the aim of this study was to evaluate the exposure of infants to AFM₁ through consumption of breast milk and infant powdered milk. The data of this study are relevant for the Brazilian population, considering that breast milk donors in Southern Brazil have similar food consumption as other regions of Brazil, and powdered milk samples for infants were from brands commonly commercialized in Brazil.

2. Results

2.1. Description of Food Consumption by Lactating Mothers

Among the foods ingested by the 92 lactating mothers during 24 h before the BM was collected, 94.5% of the mothers consumed rice, 81.5% consumed beans, and 76.1% consumed bread. Only a small percentage of the food ingested by participants was derived from peanut products (3.3%), nuts (2.2%), dried prunes, and ginger (1.1%).

2.2. Method of Evaluation of AFM₁ Analysis in Milk

The Limit of detection (LOD) was 0.003 ng/g and 0.004 ng/g, and the Limit of quantification (LOQ) was 0.016 ng/g and 0.021 for infant powdered milk (IPM) and breast milk (BM), respectively. The mean recovery rates of spiked BM ($n = 3$) at levels of 0.025, 0.05 and 0.5 ng of AFM₁/g were $110.25\% \pm 5.57\%$ (Relative Standard Deviation (RSD) = 5.05), $97.21\% \pm 1.96\%$ (RSD = 2.02) and

88.35% \pm 1.01% (RSD = 0.01), respectively. The recovery test values for IPM at the same levels were 98.21% \pm 6.43% (RSD = 6.54), 77.92% \pm 1.34% (RSD = 1.72) and 71.67% \pm 1.15% (RSD = 1.60). The equation was $y = 1090832.98x - 10692.71$, with a coefficient of determination (R^2) greater than 0.99 (Table 1).

Table 1. Recovery of AFM₁ in breast milk and infant powdered milk.

AFM ₁ Added (ng/g)	Breast Milk		Infant Powdered Milk	
	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)
0.025	110.25 \pm 5.57	5.05	98.21 \pm 6.43	6.54
0.05	97.21 \pm 1.96	2.02	77.92 \pm 1.34	1.72
0.5	88.35 \pm 1.01	0.01	71.67 \pm 1.15	1.60

BM, LOD: 0.004 ng/g; LOQ: 0.021 ng/g; IPM, LOD: 0.003 ng/g; LOQ: 0.016 ng/g; BM: Breast Milk; IPM: Powdered Milk; LOD: Limit of detection; LOQ: Limit of quantification; SD: Standard Deviation; RSD: Relative Standard Deviation.

2.3. Breast Milk and Infant Powdered Milk Analysis

Table 2 shows the AFM₁ levels in 94 BM samples. AFM₁ was detected in 5.3% ($n = 5$) of the BM samples, with levels ranging from 0.013 to 0.025 ng AFM₁/g (mean of 0.018 \pm 0.005 ng/g). Seven of the 16 IPM samples (43.8%) were contaminated with AFM₁ (LOD: 0.03 ng/g), and the levels ranged from not detectable (n.d.) to 0.046 ng/g, with a mean of 0.024 \pm 0.001 ng/g (Table 3). Nine samples of IPM produced in Brazil showed contamination levels ranging from n.d. to 0.046 ng/g (0.024 \pm 0.01 ng/g). Furthermore, seven samples of imported IPM showed contamination levels from n.d. to 0.034 ng/g (0.024 \pm 0.01 ng/g). There was no significant difference in the mean AFM₁ levels for BM and IPM ($p > 0.05$).

Table 2. Distribution of aflatoxin M₁ levels in naturally contaminated breast milk samples from lactating mothers of Paraná State, Brazil.

Breast Milk		Aflatoxin M ₁	
<i>n</i>	Positive Samples (%)	Range (ng/g)	Mean ^a (ng/g)
89	-	n.d.	-
3	100	0.013–0.015	0.014 \pm 0.001
2	100	0.022–0.025	0.024 \pm 0.002
Total: 94	5.3	n.d.–0.025	0.018 \pm 0.005

The distribution of aflatoxin M₁ levels was divided in: (a) <LOD; (b) between LOD and LOQ; and (c) >LOQ; LOD: 0.004 ng/g; LOQ: 0.021 ng/g; ^a Mean of positive samples; LOD: Limit of detection; LOQ: Limit of quantification; *n*: number of samples; n.d.: not detectable.

Table 3. Aflatoxin M₁ levels in infant powdered milk retailed in Brazil.

Infant Powdered Milk			Aflatoxin M ₁	
Product Origin	<i>n</i>	Positive Samples (%)	Range (ng/g)	Mean ^a (ng/g)
National	9	55.6	n.d.–0.046	0.024 \pm 0.01
Imported	7	28.6	n.d.–0.034	
Total:	16	43.8	n.d.–0.046	0.024 \pm 0.01

LOD: 0.003 ng/g; LOQ: 0.016 ng/g; ^a Mean of positive samples; LOD: Limit of detection; LOQ: Limit of quantification; *n*: number of samples; n.d.: not detectable.

2.4. Estimated Daily Intake (EDI) and Hazard Index (HI) for AFM₁ for Infants through Breast Milk and Infant Powdered Milk Consumption

The mean AFM₁ levels in BM and IPM calculated according to International Programme on Chemical Safety/Global Environment Monitoring System (IPCS/GEMS) criteria [28] were 0.003 and 0.011 ng/g, respectively (Table 4). Considering the mean AFM₁ levels, EDI values for BM ranged

from 0.018 to 0.067 ng/kg b.w./day for males and 0.019 to 0.069 ng/kg b.w./day for females (Table 4). For IPM, the EDI values ranged from 0.078 to 0.296 for males and 0.084 to 0.306 ng/kg b.w./day for females. Concerning HI values for BM, the values ranged from 0.09 to 0.35 for both sexes, and for IPM they ranged from 0.39 to 1.53.

Table 4. Age group, milk consumption, average weight and AFM₁ estimated daily intake by infants through naturally contaminated breast milk and powdered milk *.

Age	Milk Consumption (mL) [16]	Average Weight (kg) [17]		Estimated Daily Intake (ng/kg b.w./Day)			
				BM		IPM	
		M	F	M	F	M	F
1 week	590	3.3	3.2	0.067	0.069	0.296	0.306
1 month	642	4.5	4.2	0.054	0.057	0.236	0.253
6 months	560	7.9	7.3	0.027	0.029	0.117	0.127
12 months	452	9.6	8.9	0.018	0.019	0.078	0.084

* Considering International Programme on Chemical Safety/Global Environment Monitoring System (IPCS/GEMS) criteria [28], the mean of AFM₁ contamination in BM and IPM were 0.003 and 0.011 ng/g, respectively; [29] Brazil, 2005; [30] SBP, 2009; M: male; F: female; b.w.; body weight.

3. Discussion

Human exposure to AFB₁ through diet can be estimated from the aflatoxin concentration in foods and the amounts that are consumed. Alternatively, the determination of the aflatoxin biomarker in body fluids, such as AFM₁ in milk and urine, is more reliable for this type of evaluation [31]. In this study, the BM samples showed low AFM₁ levels (n.d. (not detectable) to 0.025 ng/g). Similarly, in a study conducted by Iha et al. [32], only two of 100 BM samples showed AFM₁ contamination (0.3 and 0.8 ng of AFM₁/mL). Andrade et al. [19] analyzed 224 samples from the BM bank in the Distrito Federal, Brazil, and detected no contamination with AFM₁ (LOQ = 0.01 ng/mL).

In this study, food consumption by lactating mothers was in agreement with the traditional Brazilian diet. According to Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE) [33], the items with the highest average daily consumption for the Brazilian population are beans (177.1 g) and rice (131 g). The prevalence of bread consumption ranges from 53.4% to 73.6% in all the regions of Brazil (northern, northeastern, southeastern, southern and center-western), while for oleaginous foods such as nuts and peanuts, it ranges from 0.5% to 1.4%.

It is well known that humid and warm conditions, genetics of fungi and plants, and food storage conditions are some factors that influence mycotoxin production. Aflatoxins have been detected in a variety of agricultural commodities, but the most pronounced contamination is related to corn, peanuts, cottonseed, and tree nuts [4]. In Brazil, aflatoxin occurrence and levels are low in beans and rice [34,35]. However, aflatoxin occurrence has been reported in corn and peanuts [31,36,37]. AFB₁ frequency and contamination levels are low in corn and derivatives from Paraná State [38,39]. In this study, it was not possible to make a statistical correlation between the presence of AFM₁ in breast milk and peanut consumption due to the low number of contaminated samples. However, the AFM₁ detected at low levels in BM samples was probably due to the AFB₁ and AFM₁ contamination levels in the food consumed of local origin by mothers before the BM was collected.

Polychronaky et al. [40] reported that the consumption of grains, milk and dairy products, meat, fish, vegetables, cotton seed oil, dried fruits and peanuts by lactating mothers did not show any significant associations with AFM₁ in BM. Andrade et al. [19] also reported that it was not possible to correlate nut and peanut consumption by BM donors and AFM₁ in milk, because AFM₁ was not detected. The authors emphasized that aflatoxin intake (0.06–0.08 ng/kg b.w./day) and cancer risk (0.0006–0.0009 cancers/year/10⁵ individuals) values were low for the population of the Federal District, center-western Brazil, showing no risk for the population. In Italy, four out of 86 samples of BM were contaminated with AFM₁, and no relation to food consumption was found. However, the lactating mother whose milk showed high AFM₁ levels (0.14 ng/mL) had consumed a large amount

of corn meal-based foods in substitution of cereal-based food such as rice, pasta, bakery products and breakfast cereals [17]. In other regions of the world, such as Africa, a correlation between the ingested food and AFB₁ metabolites in body fluids has been observed [13,15]. This correlation could be greater in cases of acute aflatoxicosis arising from intakes of high AFB₁ levels by Asian and African populations, whose common diet is based on cereals and grains [41].

BM has many benefits for the infant as it protects against gastrointestinal infections, reduces newborn mortality, promotes healthy growth and development; it also contains a proper balance of fats, carbohydrates, vitamins and proteins. Despite the advantages of BM, in some situations mothers cannot breastfeed; thus, powdered milk is an alternative for providing the required nutrients to newborns and infants [2,3]. Among the 16 IPM samples analyzed in this study, seven samples were contaminated with AFM₁ (0.012 to 0.046 ng of AFM₁/g), and three of them exceeded the maximum level allowed, which is 0.025 ng of AFM₁/g [27]. However, in Brazil, there is no legislation for AFM₁ in IPM. Moreover, the current Brazilian regulation is less stringent than the European Legislation for powdered milk, and therefore, the three aforementioned samples were within the allowed limits, i.e., 5 ng of AFM₁/g [26]. Two samples of IPM contaminated with AFM₁ were produced in Argentina, while five samples of raw milk from Brazilian producers (Southeastern and Southern regions) were produced in the Northwest of São Paulo State (Table 3). AFM₁ levels in raw milk samples from different dairy farms in Brazil ranged from 0.012 to 0.725 µg/kg [9,42].

Because AFM₁ is thermostable (120 °C) and is not readily destroyed or removed by chemical and physical treatments, monitoring AFB₁ levels in animal feeds is essential to minimize AFM₁ contamination in IPM [43]. Thus, a maximum level of 50 ng of AFs (AFB₁ + AFB₂ + AFG₁ + AFG₂)/g in the raw materials has been allowed for animal feed in Brazil [44]; and the maximum content allowed of AFB₁ for complete feeds has been established at 0.005 ng of AFB₁/g for dairy animals such as cattle, sheep and goats by European Commission [45].

Risk assessment (hazard identification, hazard characterization, exposure assessment and risk characterization) is the scientific evaluation of estimating human exposure to xenobiotic compounds through food consumption and it provides a link between possible hazards in the food chain and the risks reflected in human health [46,47]. Although there are differences in the frequency of consumption and in variations in the volume of milk consumed by infants, it is possible to estimate the exposure of infants to AFM₁ using the EDI. It is noteworthy that male and female infants from zero to 12 months of age show differences in body weight and milk consumption; thus, the EDI level is affected by these factors. The EDI values of AFM₁ for infants decrease as the age is increases, showing the susceptibility of younger infants (Table 4).

In this study, EDI values (0.018–0.306 ng AFM₁/kg b.w./day) were lower than those reported by other authors in Brazil. Oliveira et al. [22] reported an EDI of 3.7 ng AFM₁/kg b.w./day for a four-month-old infant weighing 6 kg, representing a daily intake of 22 ng of AFM₁. In other studies, the EDI ranged from 0.106 to 1.04 ng AFM₁/kg b.w./day for children (23 kg) who consumed 400 mL of powdered milk with 0.006 to 0.061 ng AFM₁/g, respectively [9,21]. There are few data available on the AFM₁ intake by infants (zero to 12 months old) in the Brazilian literature, and around the world most EDI data were for children (one to eight years old). EDI values from different countries (Spain, Argentina and Thailand) ranged from 0.16 to 3.70 ng AFM₁/kg b.w./day [23–25]. Recently, Ismail et al. [48] reported EDI values for male and female children from Pakistan ranging from 1.68 to 5.45 AFM₁/kg b.w./day, and the highest EDI value (5.45 ng AFM₁/kg b.w./day) was 17.8-fold higher than that obtained in this study (Table 4). According to The Joint Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization (FAO/WHO) Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), the average dietary intake of AFM₁ for adults is 3.5 ng/person/day based on the Latin American diet [43].

Based on studies in male Fischer rats, Kuiper-Goodman [49] has determined a No Observed Effect Level (NOEL) for AFM₁ of <2.5 µg/kg body weight/day. Furthermore, the author also proposed the dose of 0.2 ng/kg body weight/day as a “safe dose”, i.e., 50% of the animals would have developed

tumors (TD₅₀) dividing by a large safety factor of 50,000. In this study, the Hazard Index (HI) values for BM and IPM were less than one, except for IPM intended for infants up to one month old for which the HI value was more than one, indicating a risk to infant health.

Early childhood exposure to aflatoxins is difficult to detect by clinical signals, but it may be critically determinant for immediate and later health effects. Therefore, continuous monitoring of aflatoxin occurrence in foods, particularly in IPM, is necessary because this food is an important alternative for infants' food nutrition in situations where mothers cannot breastfeed. Nevertheless, breastfeeding is important and must be encouraged for children up to two years of age when possible.

4. Conclusions

In this study, the exposure of infants to AFM₁ through BM was low (HI < 1) indicating that lactating mothers had a low exposure to AFB₁. However, the HI value for newborns to AFM₁ through IPM was greater than one. Therefore, continuous monitoring of AFM₁ and establishing a maximum AFM₁ limit in the Brazilian legislation for infant formulas are required due to the higher susceptibility of infants to mycotoxin contamination compared to adults.

5. Material and Methods

5.1. Experimental Section

5.1.1. Breast Milk and Infant Powdered Milk Samples

5.1.1.1. Breast Milk Samples

Healthy lactating mothers were invited to participate in this study in three hospitals in Londrina City, Brazil (State University of Londrina Teaching Hospital, Evangelical Hospital and Municipal Maternity), on alternate days from June to August 2013. The State University of Londrina Teaching Hospital attends patients from 250 cities in Paraná State and more than 100 cities in other States, mainly São Paulo, Mato Grosso, Mato Grosso do Sul and Rondônia. The exclusion criteria for the lactating mothers were malnutrition, fever, and diseases of the breast. A total of 2.7% of the Brazilian population (20 years or more) is underweight [50], and 25% women who breastfeed are affected by mastitis [51].

A total of 94 lactating mothers agreed to participate in this study and the BM was collected at least 10 days after parturition. After collection, the BM was transported to laboratory in a coldbox, and it was immediately frozen at −14 °C, lyophilized and kept at −14 °C until analysis. The project was approved by Human Ethics Committee of State University of Londrina (CEP/UEL, 159/2012), and all the volunteers were informed about the study protocol, a written informed-consent agreement was signed and a food inquiry/questionnaire was applied.

The lactating mothers were instructed to complete a 24 h recollection reporting all foods ingested on the day (24 h) before BM collection in order to identify potential AFB₁ sources [10,11,52]. The foods commonly contaminated with AFB₁ and AFM₁, and consumed by lactating mothers were grouped as cereals and derivatives (rice, corn, bread, biscuit, cake, spaghetti), milk and derivatives (milk, cheese, yogurt), and others (bean, paçoca-product derived from peanuts, chocolate, nuts, dried prunes, ginger). The results of food consumption from each group were expressed by percentage in relation to 92 lactating mothers because two questionnaires were incompletely filled.

5.1.1.2. Infant Powdered Milk Samples

A total of 16 samples of Brazilian milk-based infant formulae from different industries, belonging to three brands commonly commercialized in Brazil, were purchased in markets of Londrina City from March to April 2013. Then they were vacuum packed and stored until analysis. The samples were recommended for infants aged zero to 12 months, nine samples were produced in Southeastern Brazil and seven samples were imported from the United States of America, Germany and Argentina.

5.2. Immunoaffinity Column (IAC) for Clean up of Milk Samples

The immunoaffinity column based on monoclonal antibody was manufactured to clean up the milk samples. For this purpose, Hybridoma AM.3, secreting monoclonal antibody (mAb) for AFM₁ derived from SP2/0-Ag14 myeloma cell line and BALB/c spleen [53], was cultivated in Hybridoma Serum Free Medium (HSFM, Gibco, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA) at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ (Sanyo, Osaka, Japan). The IC₅₀ value of Hybridoma AM.3 to AFM₁ was 6.1 pg/mL, and its cross-reactivities (%) were 0.2 (AFM₂), 0.002 (AFG₁), <0.0006 (AFG₂), 0.003 (AFB₁), <0.0006 (AFB₂). For Immunoaffinity Column (IAC) manufacture, an amount of 3 mL of Affi-gel 10 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) was washed with ultra-pure water at 4 °C (three-fold volume of gel) and added to 4 mg of anti-AFM₁ mAb/mL of gel, and gently mixed for 16 h at 25 °C. A solution of 1 mol/L monoethanolamine-HCl pH 8.0 was added to block the gel and mixed for 1 h at 25 °C. The gel was washed with 0.01 mol/L Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) pH 7.4, and an amount of 0.3 mL of coupled gel was placed in a polypropylene column (Muromac[®] Mini-column, Omuta, Fukuoka, Japan) for AFM₁ extraction, and further analysis.

5.3. AFM₁ Extraction from Breast Milk and Infant Powdered Milk

For BM, an aliquot of 10 mL of 0.01 mol/L PBS pH 7.4 was added to 2 g of lyophilized BM and shaken at 200 rotation per minute (rpm) for 15 min. Subsequently, the volume was adjusted up to 16 mL with 0.01 mol/L PBS pH 7.4. For IPM, a volume of 20 mL of 0.01 mol/L PBS pH 7.4 was added to 4 g of IPM and 1.8 g of NaCl and shaken at 200 rpm for 15 min, and the volume was adjusted up to 25 mL with 0.01 mol/L PBS pH 7.4. After the BM and IPM samples were centrifuged at 1670 × g for 20 min, the fat layer was removed, and the supernatant was filtered using two glass fiber filters (GA-200 followed by GA-55, Advantec, Tokyo, Japan). The AFM₁ extraction by IAC was carried out with 10 mL of 0.01 mol/L PBS pH 7.4, followed by 10 mL of reconstituted BM or IPM. The IAC was washed with 5 mL of PBS 0.01 mol/L PBS pH 7.4 and 5 mL of distilled water. The water inside the IAC was removed by adding air (30 mL). The elution was performed with 10 mL of CH₃OH:CH₃CN (1:9), and the eluate was dried in a rotary evaporator (Eyela, Tokyo, Japan). In this study, the rapid drying time was an important factor to maintain stability of AFM₁ for analysis.

5.4. Method Evaluation

The AFM₁ detection method was validated considering the following parameters: Limit of Detection (LOD, signal:noise ratio, >3:1), Limit of Quantification (LOQ, signal:noise ratio, >10:1), recovery test, repeatability and linearity. Milk samples with non-detectable AFM₁ levels were used for spiking. The recovery rates of spiked BM and IPM were measured at levels of 0.025, 0.05 and 0.5 ng AFM₁/g on three different days. The repeatability measured by relative standard deviation was checked from replicates of reconstituted BM and IPM samples in the recovery study. Linearity was determined from three calibration curves with four levels from 0.025 to 5 ng AFM₁/mL.

5.5. Aflatoxin M₁ Analysis by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

The dried extract was resuspended in 1 mL of mixture of ultra-pure H₂O: CH₃OH: CH₃CN (60:30:10, v/v/v). An aliquot of 10 µL was injected into HPLC equipped with an oven (CTO-10A), pump (LC20AD), degasser (DGU-20A3), auto sampler (SIL-20AHT), fluorescence detector (RF-20AXS), and reversed-phase column (XR-ODS/C8/Phenyl, 3 mm × 100 mm, 2.2 µm, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The excitation and emission wavelengths were 365 nm and 435 nm, respectively. The mobile phase was H₂O: CH₃OH: CH₃CN (60:30:10, v/v/v) at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min at 50 °C, with a total run time of 10 min [54]. The AFM₁ concentration was expressed in ng of AFM₁/g.

5.6. Estimated Daily Intake (EDI) and Hazard Index (HI) for AFM₁ for Infants

The EDI of AFM₁ for infants was estimated from relationships between the daily milk intake, i.e., the volume of milk consumed by infants, the mean AFM₁ contamination in BM and IPM samples,

and average body weight for males and females. The milk consumption considered was 590, 642, 560, 452 mL for ages one week, one month, six months and 12 months, respectively [29]. For the volume of milk consumed by infants, it was considered that 1 g lyophilized BM corresponded to 8 mL reconstituted BM, and 4.52 g IPM was required to prepare 30 mL milk according to the manufacturers. The male and female average weight were 3.3 and 3.2 kg for infants aged one week, 4.5 and 4.2 kg for one month, 7.9 and 7.3 kg for six months, and 9.6 and 8.9 kg for 12 months [30]. The EDI of AFM₁ was calculated according to the following formula and expressed in ng/kg of body weight/day (ng/kg b.w./day) [55].

$$\text{EDI (ng/kg b.w./day)} = \frac{\text{daily milk intake} \times \text{mean AFM}_1 \text{ concentration}}{\text{average body weight}}$$

In this study, AFM₁ contamination in BM and IPM samples was evaluated, and values below the LOD were assumed to be $0.5 \times \text{LOD}$ or 0 according to the IPCS/GEMS [28] criteria adopted to estimate mycotoxin contamination when values lower than the LOD are observed. These criteria consider a proportion of results lower than the LOD, first when all the results are over the LOD, the true means are calculated; second, when the proportion of results below the LOD is lower than 60%, the mean is calculated by replacing those observations with $0.5 \times \text{LOD}$; third, when the proportion of results lower than the LOD is equal to or over 60%, the mean is calculated replacing first those observations by 0 and second replacing them with the LOD. In this study, the proportion of results lower than the LOD was over 60% for BM, and the proportion of results lower than the LOD was below 60% for IPM. The Hazard index (HI) for AFM₁ was determined by dividing the EDI by TD₅₀ (the dose at which 50% of the animals would have developed tumors) divided by a safety factor of 50,000, and the proposed value is 0.2 ng/kg b.w. [47,49]. HI higher than 1.0 indicates risk to consumers [56].

5.7. Statistical Analysis

Data was analyzed by the Statistica software (version 7.0, 2004, StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA) and the AFM₁ levels were expressed in ng/g. Before the analysis, homogeneity of variances was carried out using the Levene' test and data distribution was analyzed by the Shapiro-Wilk test, and the positive BM and IPM samples were compared by the Test T.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the Coordination for Formation of High Level Professionals (CAPES)-Nanobiotech Network, No. 04/2008, Araucária Foundation (Paraná State grant), CAPES/AUXPE Araucária, No. 3289/2014, and the State University of Londrina. The authors would like to acknowledge the Teaching Hospital of the State University of Londrina, the Evangelical Hospital and Municipal Maternity of Londrina, Brazil. Fernando S. de Lima, Cíntia L. Handa, Ismael R. Amador, Felipe P. Fracalossi, Thais D. Alexandrino, Cátia L. Yokoyama and Pamela L. R. Chagas for support in collecting and performing this work. The CNPq (Brazilian Government organization for grant aid and fellowship to Brazilian researchers) research productivity fellowship is greatly appreciated by ENI, EYH and EYSO, as well the CAPES scholarship by ATI, CRYT and FFR, and Ajinomoto Scholarship Foundation by AKB.

Author Contributions: A.T.I., E.N.I., E.Y.H. and O.K. conceived and designed the experiments; A.T.I., O.K., A.K.B., C.R.T.-Y. and F.F.R. performed the experiments; A.T.I. and C.R.T.-Y. analyzed the data; O.K., E.Y.H. and E.N.I. contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools; A.T.I., E.Y.S.O. and C.R.T.-Y. wrote the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Brasil. *Amamentação e uso de Medicamentos e Outras Substâncias*, 2nd ed.; Editora do Ministério da Saúde: Brasília, Brasil, 2010.
2. Brasil. *Saúde da Criança: Nutrição Infantil, Aleitamento Materno e Alimentação Complementar*; Editora do Ministério da Saúde: Brasília, Brasil, 2009.
3. World Health Organization (WHO). *Infant and Young Child Feeding 2014*, No. 342. Available online: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs342/en/> (accessed on 2 October 2014).

4. International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). *Some Traditional Herbal Medicines, Some Mycotoxins, Naphthalene and Styrene, IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans*; IARC Press: Lyon, France, 2002; Volume 82.
5. World Health Organization (WHO). *Principles for Evaluating Health Risks in Children Associated with Exposure to Chemicals*; Environmental Health Criteria No. 237; WHO Press: Geneva, Switzerland, 2006.
6. Magoha, H.; Kimanya, M.; Meulenaer, B.; Roberfroid, D.; Lachat, C.; Kolsteren, P. Risk of dietary exposure to aflatoxins and fumonisins in infants less than 6 months of age in Rombo, Northern Tanzania. *Matern. Child Nutr.* **2016**, *12*, 516–527. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Gong, Y.Y.; Egal, S.; Hounsa, A.; Turner, P.C.; Hall, A.J.; Cardwell, K.F.; Wild, C.P. Determinants of aflatoxin exposure in young children from Benin and Togo, West Africa: The critical role of weaning. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **2003**, *32*, 556–562. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Flores-Flores, M.E.; Lizarraga, E.; Cerain, A.L.; Gongález-Penas, E. Presence of mycotoxins in animal milk: A review. *Food Control* **2015**, *53*, 163–176. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Santili, A.B.N.; Camargo, A.C.; Nunes, R.S.R.; Glória, E.M.; Machado, P.F.; Cassoli, L.D.; Dias, C.T.S.; Calori-Domingues, M.A. Aflatoxin M₁ in raw milk from different regions of São Paulo State–Brazil. *Food Addit. Contam. Part B* **2015**, *25*, 1–8.
10. Battaccone, G.; Nudda, A.; Cannas, A.; Borlino, A.C.; Bomboi, G.; Pulina, G. Excretion of aflatoxin M₁ in milk of dairy ewes treated with different doses of aflatoxin B₁. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2003**, *86*, 2667–2675. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Battaccone, G.; Nudda, A.; Rattu, S.P.G.; Decandia, M.; Pulina, G. Excretion pattern of aflatoxin M₁ in milk of goats fed a single dose of aflatoxin B₁. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2012**, *95*, 2656–2661. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Veldman, A.; Meijs, J.A.C.; Borggreve, J.; Heeres-van der Tol, J.J. Carry-over of aflatoxin from cows' food to milk. *Anim. Prod.* **1992**, *55*, 163–168. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Adejumo, O.; Atanda, O.; Raiola, A.; Somorin, Y.; Somorin, Y.; Bandyopadhyay, R.; Ritieni, A. Correlation between aflatoxin M₁ content of breast milk, dietary exposure to aflatoxin B₁ and socioeconomic status of lactating mothers in Ogun State, Nigeria. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2013**, *56*, 171–177. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Atasever, M.; Yildirim, Y.; Atasever, M.; Tastekin, A. Assessment of aflatoxin M₁ in maternal breast milk in Eastern Turkey. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2014**, *66*, 147–149. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. El-Tras, W.F.; El-Kady, N.N.; Tayel, A.A. Infants exposure to aflatoxin M₁ as a novel foodborne zoonosis. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2011**, *49*, 2816–2819. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Rafieri, H.; Dehghan, P.; Pakshir, K.; Pour, M.C.; Akbari, M. The concentration of aflatoxin M₁ in the mothers' milk in Khorrambid City, Fars, Iran. *Adv. Biomed. Res.* **2014**, *3*, 152.
17. Galvano, F.; Pietri, A.; Bertuzzi, T.; Gagliardi, L.; Ciotti, S.; Luisi, S.; Bognanno, M.; Fauci, L.L.; Iacopino, A.M.; Nigro, F.; et al. Maternal dietary habits and mycotoxin occurrence in human mature milk. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* **2008**, *52*, 496–501. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
18. Navas, S.A.; Sabino, M.; Rodriguez-Amaya, D.B. Aflatoxin M₁ and ochratoxin A in a human milk bank in the city of São Paulo, Brazil. *Food Addit. Contam.* **2005**, *22*, 457–462. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
19. Andrade, P.D.; Gomes da Silva, J.L.; Caldas, E.D. Simultaneous analysis of aflatoxins B₁, B₂, G₁, G₂, M₁ and ochratoxin A in breast milk by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography/Fluorescence after liquid-liquid extraction with low temperature purification (LLE-LTP). *J. Chromatogr. A* **2013**, *1304*, 61–68. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
20. Leblanc, J.C.; Tard, A.; Volatier, J.L.; Verger, P. Estimated dietary exposure to principal food mycotoxins from the first French Total diet study. *Food Addit. Contam.* **2005**, *22*, 652–672. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
21. Shundo, L.; Navas, S.A.; Lamardo, L.C.A.; Ruvieri, V.; Sabino, M. Estimate of aflatoxin M₁ exposure in milk and occurrence in Brazil. *Food Control* **2009**, *20*, 655–657. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Oliveira, C.A.; Germano, P.M.; Bird, C.; Pinto, C.A. Immunochemical assessment of aflatoxin M₁ in milk powder consumed by infants in São Paulo, Brazil. *Food Addit. Contam.* **1997**, *14*, 7–10. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Cano-Sancho, G.; Marin, S.; Ramos, A.J.; Peris-Vicente, J.; Sanchis, V. Occurrence of aflatoxin M₁ and exposure assessment in Catalonia (Spain). *Rev. Iberoam. Micol.* **2010**, *27*, 130–135. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Alonso, V.A.; Monge, M.P.; Larriestra, A.; Dalcerro, A.M.; Cavaglieri, L.R.; Chiacchiera, S.M. Naturally occurring aflatoxin M₁ in raw milk from farm cooling tanks in Argentina. *Food Addit. Contam.* **2010**, *27*, 373–379. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Ruangwises, N.; Saipan, P.; Ruangwises, S. Estimated daily intake of AFM₁ in Thailand. In *Aflatoxins—Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*; Guevara-Gonzalez, R., Ed.; InTech: Rijeka, Croatia, 2011; pp. 439–446.

26. Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária (ANVISA). *Resolution of Board of Directors- RDC 07/2011, Technical Regulation on Maximum Tolerated for Mycotoxins in Food*; Editora do Ministério da Saúde: Brasília, Brazil, 2011.
27. European Commission (EC). Commission Regulation (EC) No. 165/2010 of 26 February of 2010 Amending Regulation (EC) No. 1881/2006 Setting Maximum Levels for Certain Contaminants in Foodstuffs as Regards Aflatoxins. EUR-Lex. Available online: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legalcontent/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32010R0165&from=EN> (accessed on 17 August 2016).
28. International Programme on Chemical Safety/Global Environment Monitoring System (IPCS/GEMS). *Reliable Evaluation of Low-Level Contamination of Food*; Workshop in the Frame of GEMS/Food-Euro, Appendix 5; IPCS/GEMS: Kulmbach, Germany, 1995.
29. Brasil. *Guia Alimentar Para Crianças Menores de 2 Anos*, 1st ed.; Editora do Ministério da Saúde: Brasília, Brasil, 2005.
30. Sociedade Brasileira de Pediatria (SBP). *Avaliação Nutricional da Criança e Adolescente—Manual de Orientação*; SBP: São Paulo, Brasil, 2009.
31. Romero, A.C.; Ferreira, T.R.B.; Dias, C.T.S.; Calori-Domingues, M.A.; Gloria, E.M. Occurrence of AFM₁ in urine samples of a Brazilian population and association with food consumption. *Food Control* **2010**, *21*, 554–558. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Iha, M.H.; Barbosa, C.B.; Heck, A.R.; Trucksess, M.W. Aflatoxin M₁ and ochratoxin A in human milk in Ribeirão Preto-SP, Brazil. *Food Control* **2014**, *40*, 310–313. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE). *Pesquisa de Orçamentos Familiares 2008–2009, Análise do Consumo Alimentar Pessoal No Brasil*; IBGE: Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2011.
34. Nunes, I.L.; Magagnin, G.; Bertolin, T.E.; Furlong, E.B. Arroz comercializado na região do Sul do Brasil: Aspectos micotocológicos e microscópicos. *Ciênc. Tecnol. Aliment.* **2003**, *23*, 190–194. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Silva, J.L.S.; Mesquita, A.J.; Oliveira, J.P.; Costa, J.L.S.; Ribeiro, K.O.; Nicolau, E.S.; Oliveira, A.N. Ocorrência de aflatoxinas em feijões comercializados no Mercado varejista de Goiânia- GO. *Pesq. Agropec. Trop.* **2002**, *32*, 109–114.
36. Jager, A.V.; Tedesco, M.P.; Souto, P.C.M.C.; Oliveira, C.A.F. Assessment of aflatoxin intake in São Paulo, Brazil. *Food Control* **2013**, *33*, 87–92. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Jager, A.V.; Tonin, F.G.; Souto, P.C.M.C.; Privatti, R.T.; Oliveira, C.A.F. Determination of urinary biomarkers for assessment of short-term human exposure to aflatoxins in São Paulo, Brazil. *Toxins* **2014**, *6*, 1996–2007. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
38. Souza, M.L.M.; Sulyok, M.; Freitas-Silva, O.; Costa, S.S.; Brabet, C.; Machinski Junior, M.; Sekiyama, B.L.; Vargas, E.A.; Krska, R.; Schuhmacher, R. Cooccurrence of mycotoxins in maize and poultry feeds from Brazil by Liquid Chromatography/Tandem Mass Spectrometry. *Sci. World J.* **2013**, *2013*, 1–9. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
39. Schmidt, P.; Novinski, C.O.; Junges, D.; Almeida, R.; Souza, C.M. Concentration of mycotoxins and chemical composition of corn silage: A farm survey using infrared thermography. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2015**, *98*, 6609–6619. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
40. Polychronaki, N.; Turner, P.; Mykkanen, H.; Gong, Y.; Amra, H.; Abdel-Wahhab, M.; El-Nezami, H. Determinants of aflatoxin M₁ in breast milk in a selected group of Egyptian mothers. *Food Addit. Contam.* **2006**, *23*, 700–708. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
41. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Aflatoxin Research on Grain in Asia-Its Problems and Possible Solutions. 2014. Available online: <http://www.fao.org/docrep/x5036e/x5036E1e.htm#Aflatoxin> (accessed on 22 September 2014).
42. Picinin, L.C.A.; Cerqueira, M.M.O.P.; Vargas, E.A.; Lana, A.M.Q.; Toaldo, I.M.; Bordignon-Luiz, M.T. Influence of climate conditions on aflatoxin M₁ contamination in raw milk from Minas Gerais State, Brazil. *Food Control* **2013**, *31*, 419–424. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA). Safety Evaluation of Certain Mycotoxins in Food. Prepared by the 56th Meeting of Food Additives Series. No. 47, 2001. Available online: <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v47je02.htm> (accessed on 3 October 2014).
44. Brasil. *Padrões Mínimos das Diversas Matérias Primas Empregadas na Alimentação Animal*; Diário Oficial da União: Brasília, Brasil, 1988.
45. European Commission (EC). Commission Directive 2003/100/EC of 31 October of 2003 Amending Annex I to Directive 2002/32/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on Undesirable Substances in Animal Feed. EUR-Lex. Available online: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32003L0100&from=EN> (accessed on 17 August 2016).

46. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). *Worldwide Regulations for Mycotoxins in Food and Feed in 2003*; FAO Food and Nutrition Paper 81; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2004.
47. Tsakiris, I.N.; Tzatzarakis, M.N.; Alegakis, A.K.; Vlachou, M.I.; Renieri, E.A.; Tsatsakis, A.M. Risk assessment scenarios of children's exposure to aflatoxin M₁ residues in different milk types from the Greek market. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2013**, *56*, 261–265. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
48. Ismail, A.; Riaz, M.; Levin, R.E.; Akhtar, S.; Gong, Y.Y.; Hameed, A. Seasonal prevalence level of aflatoxin M₁ and its estimated daily intake in Pakistan. *Food Control* **2016**, *60*, 461–465. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Kuiper-Goodman, T. Uncertainties in the risk assessment of three mycotoxins: Aflatoxin, ochratoxin, and zearalenone. *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* **1990**, *68*, 1017–1024. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
50. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE). Antropometria-Estado Nutricional de Crianças, Adolescentes e Adultos no Brasil-da Pesquisa de Orçamentos Familiares (POF) 2008–2009, 2010. Available online: <http://www.ibge.gov.br/home/presidencia/noticias/imprensa/ppts/0000000108.pdf> (accessed on 3 August 2016).
51. Viduedo, A.F.S.; Leite, J.R.C.; Monteiro, J.C.S.; Reis, M.C.G.; Sponholz, F.A.G. Mastite lactacional grave: Particularidades da internação à alta. *Rev. Bras. Enferm.* **2015**, *68*, 1116–1121. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Frobish, R.A.; Bradley, B.D.; Wagner, D.D.; Long-Bradley, P.E.; Hairston, H. Aflatoxin residues in milk of dairy cows after ingestion of naturally contaminated grain. *J. Food Protect.* **1986**, *5*, 768–830.
53. Okumura, H.; Okimoto, J.; Kishimoto, S.; Hasegawa, A.; Kawamura, O.; Nakajima, M.; Miyabe, M.; Ueno, Y. An improved indirect competitive ELISA for aflatoxin M₁ in Milk powders using novel monoclonal antibodies. *Food Agric. Immunol.* **1993**, *5*, 75–84. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Shimadzu. High Speed, High Resolution Analysis (Part 39)-Analysis of Aflatoxins in Food by Nexera, 2015, No. L422. Available online: <http://www.ssi.shimadzu.com/products/literature/lc/L422.pdf> (accessed on 19 November 2015).
55. Santos, J.S.; Souza, T.M.; Ono, E.Y.S.; Hashimoto, E.H.; Bassoi, M.C.; Miranda, M.Z.; Itano, E.N.; Kawamura, O.; Hirooka, E.Y. Natural occurrence of deoxynivalenol in wheat from Paraná State, Brazil and estimated daily intake by wheat products. *Food Chem.* **2013**, *138*, 90–95. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
56. Skrbic, B.; Zivancev, J.; Antic, I.; Godula, M. Levels of aflatoxin M₁ in different milk collected in Serbia: Assessment of human and animal exposure. *Food Control* **2014**, *40*, 113–119. [[CrossRef](#)]



© 2016 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).