Contribution and Interaction of Shiga Toxin Genes to Escherichia coli O157:H7 Virulence
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Abstract: Escherichia coli O157:H7 is the predominant cause of diarrhea-associated hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) worldwide. Its cardinal virulence traits are Shiga toxins, which are encoded by stx genes, the most common of which are stx1a, stx2a, and stx2c. The toxins these genes encode differ in their in vitro and experimental phenotypes, but the human population-level impact of these differences is poorly understood. Using Shiga toxin-encoding bacteriophage insertion typing and real-time polymerase chain reaction, we genotyped isolates from 936 E. coli O157:H7 cases and verified HUS status via chart review. We compared the HUS risk between isolates with stx2a and those with stx2a and another gene and estimated additive interaction of the stx genes. Adjusted for age and symptoms, the HUS risk between isolates with stx2a and those with stx2a and another gene and estimated additive interaction of the stx genes. Adjusted for age and symptoms, the HUS incidence of E. coli O157:H7 containing stx2a alone was 4.4% greater (95% confidence interval (CI) −0.3%, 9.1%) than when it occurred with stx1a. When stx1a and stx2a occur together, the risk of HUS was 27.1% lower (95% CI −87.8%, −2.3%) than would be expected if interaction were not present. At the population level, temporal or geographic shifts toward these genotypes should be monitored, and stx genotype may be an important consideration in clinically predicting HUS among E. coli O157:H7 cases.
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Key Contribution: Among individuals infected with Escherichia coli O157:H7, the stx2a-only genotype confers an absolute increase in hemolytic uremic syndrome risk of 4.4% compared to the stx1a2a genotype. There is a large negative interaction on the additive scale between stx1a and stx2a at the population level.

1. Introduction

Escherichia coli O157:H7 is a leading cause of hospitalization for foodborne illness and the predominant cause of post-diarrheal hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) [1]. Characterized by hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia, and renal injury, HUS often necessitates renal replacement
therapy, has a 1–5% case fatality [2–4], and is believed to be the consequence of vascular injury from circulating Shiga toxins (Stx) produced by this pathogen [5]. Children <5 years old suffer the highest incidence of reported E. coli O157:H7 infections, HUS, and death.

Pathogen characteristics are important factors in determining progression to HUS in humans infected with E. coli O157:H7 and other Stx-producing E. coli (STEC). STEC can express two families of Stx, its cardinal virulence factor, namely Stx1 and Stx2. These toxins are encoded by several allelic variants, of which stx1a, stx2a, and stx2c are most common among E. coli O157:H7 strains isolated from humans. The genotype of a single bacterial isolate may contain any or all of these subtypes. While Stx2, particularly when encoded by stx2a subtype, has been observed more frequently among cases with severe disease [6–11], previous studies have failed to estimate the risk of HUS attributable to particular genotypes or stx subtypes. Knowledge of HUS attributable risk by genotype is necessary to better understand patient prognosis. Moreover, STEC possessing stx2a as the sole Stx-encoding gene are isolated disproportionately from HUS cases, relative to STEC containing stx1a and stx2a [10–14], suggesting negative interaction between subtypes. This is a paradox, as one would expect that an E. coli O157:H7 that produces two Stx (i.e., Stx1 and Stx2a) would be more virulent than an E. coli O157:H7 producing Stx2a as the sole toxin.

To elucidate the role of E. coli O157:H7 stx genotypes in the development of HUS at the population level, we estimated (1) the risk of HUS associated with observed stx genotypes, (2) overall and age-specific changes in risk when stx2a is found alone vs. in combination with other stx alleles, and (3) the additive interaction between different stx alleles.

2. Results

We stx-genotyped 966 (83%) of the 1160 E. coli O157:H7 isolates from cases reported during the study period. We could not verify HUS status for 28 hospitalized cases, because they had no chart available for abstraction. Additionally, two of the isolates we attempted to genotype yielded no stx genes, leaving 936 cases for analysis of HUS risk (Figure S1; Supplemental Results). In total, HUS occurred in 69 cases of all ages (7.4%) (Table 1), including 52 of 372 (14.0%) children <10 years old (Table S1).

### Table 1. Characteristics of E. coli O157 cases by Shiga toxin genotype.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>stx1a2a (n = 439)</th>
<th>stx2a-only (n = 225)</th>
<th>stx2a2c (n = 190)</th>
<th>Other (n = 82)</th>
<th>Overall (n = 936)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>Comorbidity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td>18.0 (5.00, 42.5)</td>
<td>11.0 (4.00, 30.0)</td>
<td>12.0 (4.00, 33.0)</td>
<td>23.0 (8.00, 46.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Absent</strong></td>
<td>350 (79.7%)</td>
<td>184 (81.8%)</td>
<td>158 (83.2%)</td>
<td>69 (84.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Missing</strong></td>
<td>39 (8.9%)</td>
<td>15 (6.7%)</td>
<td>14 (7.4%)</td>
<td>6 (7.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diarrhea</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td>433 (98.6%)</td>
<td>221 (98.2%)</td>
<td>187 (98.4%)</td>
<td>81 (98.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Absent</strong></td>
<td>2 (0.5%)</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Missing</strong></td>
<td>4 (0.9%)</td>
<td>1 (0.4%)</td>
<td>2 (1.1%)</td>
<td>1 (1.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood in stool</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td>398 (90.7%)</td>
<td>189 (84.0%)</td>
<td>161 (84.7%)</td>
<td>61 (74.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Absent</strong></td>
<td>31 (7.1%)</td>
<td>32 (14.2%)</td>
<td>22 (11.6%)</td>
<td>20 (24.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Missing</strong></td>
<td>10 (2.3%)</td>
<td>4 (1.8%)</td>
<td>7 (3.7%)</td>
<td>1 (1.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vomiting</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td>204 (46.5%)</td>
<td>121 (53.8%)</td>
<td>102 (53.7%)</td>
<td>28 (34.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Absent</strong></td>
<td>223 (50.8%)</td>
<td>103 (45.8%)</td>
<td>82 (43.2%)</td>
<td>52 (63.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Missing</strong></td>
<td>12 (2.7%)</td>
<td>1 (0.4%)</td>
<td>6 (3.2%)</td>
<td>2 (2.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abdominal pain</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Present</strong></td>
<td>408 (92.9%)</td>
<td>204 (90.7%)</td>
<td>175 (92.1%)</td>
<td>71 (86.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Absent</strong></td>
<td>16 (3.6%)</td>
<td>13 (5.8%)</td>
<td>8 (4.2%)</td>
<td>8 (9.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Missing</strong></td>
<td>15 (3.4%)</td>
<td>8 (3.6%)</td>
<td>7 (3.7%)</td>
<td>3 (3.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>stx1a2a (n = 439)</th>
<th>stx2a-only (n = 225)</th>
<th>stx2a2c (n = 190)</th>
<th>Other (n = 82)</th>
<th>Overall (n = 936)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>167 (38.0%)</td>
<td>78 (34.7%)</td>
<td>70 (36.8%)</td>
<td>20 (24.4%)</td>
<td>335 (35.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>245 (55.8%)</td>
<td>132 (58.7%)</td>
<td>107 (56.3%)</td>
<td>53 (64.6%)</td>
<td>537 (57.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>27 (6.2%)</td>
<td>15 (6.7%)</td>
<td>13 (6.8%)</td>
<td>9 (11.0%)</td>
<td>64 (6.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>167 (38.0%)</td>
<td>93 (41.3%)</td>
<td>85 (44.7%)</td>
<td>20 (24.4%)</td>
<td>365 (39.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>263 (59.9%)</td>
<td>130 (57.8%)</td>
<td>105 (55.3%)</td>
<td>60 (73.2%)</td>
<td>558 (59.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>9 (2.1%)</td>
<td>2 (0.9%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (2.4%)</td>
<td>13 (1.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>28 (6.4%)</td>
<td>24 (10.7%)</td>
<td>16 (8.4%)</td>
<td>1 (1.2%)</td>
<td>69 (7.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>411 (93.6%)</td>
<td>201 (89.3%)</td>
<td>174 (91.6%)</td>
<td>81 (98.8%)</td>
<td>867 (92.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11 (2.5%)</td>
<td>14 (6.2%)</td>
<td>10 (5.3%)</td>
<td>1 (1.2%)</td>
<td>36 (3.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>428 (97.5%)</td>
<td>211 (93.8%)</td>
<td>180 (94.7%)</td>
<td>81 (98.8%)</td>
<td>900 (96.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Excess risk of HUS due to stx2a vs. other genotypes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>stx2a vs.</th>
<th>RD (95% CI)</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crude</td>
<td>Age-Adjusted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stx1a2a</td>
<td>0.043 (-0.003, 0.089)</td>
<td>0.036 (-0.010, 0.082)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stx2a2c</td>
<td>0.022 (-0.034, 0.079)</td>
<td>0.021 (-0.035, 0.077)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.095 (-0.037, 0.141)</td>
<td>0.082 (-0.037, 0.127)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fully adjusted models are adjusted for age, blood in stool, vomiting, and fever. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HUS, hemolytic uremic syndrome; RD, risk difference; RR, relative risk.
HUS incidence was 8.4% (95% CI 4.9%, 13.3%) among stx2a2c-infected cases (Figure 1). There was no difference between the stx2a-only and stx2a2c genotypes (adjusted risk difference (RD) 3.0%; 95% CI −2.6%, 8.7%). Similarly, the adjusted RR was 1.43 (95% CI 0.83, 2.45) (Table 2).

The only other genotype in which HUS occurred was stx1a-only, in a single case (incidence 8.3%; 95% CI 0.2%, 38.5%) (Table S2). For the “other” genotypes, the aggregate HUS incidence was 1.2% (95% CI 0.03%, 6.6%) (Figure 1). Adjusted for age and symptoms, HUS incidence of the stx2a-only genotype was 5.8% (95% CI 1.3%, 10.3%) greater than the other genotypes combined (Table 2). The adjusted RR was 4.65 (95% CI 0.70, 31.08).

2.2. Risk of HUS in Children

Children <10 years constituted 40% (372/936) of reported E. coli O157:H7 cases but 75% (52/69) of HUS cases (Table S1). In children <5 years old, the cumulative incidence of HUS ranged from 13.8 to 16.9% for the three primary genotypes; in children 5–9 years old, it ranged from 9.2 to 17.5%. When limiting the sample to children <10 years, crude RD point estimates were similar to those obtained in the full sample (e.g., 4.1% for stx2a vs. stx1a2a); however, CIs overlapped 0 by a wide margin (e.g., −4.8%, 13.0% for stx2a vs. stx1a2a), and there were too few events to have confidence in the adjusted models (Table S3).

2.3. Risk of Renal Replacement Therapy

The cumulative incidence of renal replacement therapy (RRT) was 6.2% (95% CI 3.4%, 10.2%) among stx2a-only cases, 2.5% (95% CI 1.3%, 4.4%) among stx1a2a cases, 5.3% (95% CI 2.6%, 9.5%) among stx2a2c cases, and 1.2% (95% CI 0.03%, 6.5%) among cases infected with strains with other stx genotypes. After adjusting for age and symptoms, RRT incidence of the stx2a-only genotype was 3.8% (95% CI 0.2%, 7.4%) greater than the stx1a2a genotype. We did not detect a conclusive difference between the stx2a-only and stx2a2c (RD 1.4%; 95% CI −3.3%, 6.1%) or between the stx2a-only and other genotypes (RD 2.1%; 95% CI −1.6%, 5.9%).

2.4. stx Allelic Interaction

We detected sub-additive interaction of stx1a and stx2a. After accounting for age and symptoms, when stx1a and stx2a occur together in E. coli O157:H7 (i.e., as the stx1a2a genotype), the risk of HUS was 27.1% lower (95% CI −87.8%, −2.3%) than would be expected if interaction were not present, based
on the risk of HUS associated with the stx1a-only and stx2a-only genotypes (Figure S2, Supplemental Results). No interaction was observed for stx2a and stx2c (−3.0%; 95% CI −8.5%, 2.4%).

2.5. Sensitivity Analysis

After restricting the analysis to hospitalized cases and adjusting for age, fever, and antibiotic use, RD and RR point estimates slightly exceeded or were similar to those in the primary analysis (Table S4; Supplemental Results). For stx2a-only vs. stx1a2a, the RD was 7.2% with a wide confidence interval (95% CI −2.2%, 16.5%), and the RR was 1.34 (95% CI 0.87, 2.05).

After reassigning the genotype of the isolates that might have lost an stx gene after isolation, the excess risk associated with the stx2a-only genotype was similar or marginally in excess of that seen in the primary analysis. The adjusted RD of HUS incidence of stx2a-only vs. stx1a2a was 5.2% (95% CI 0%, 10.4%), and the adjusted RR was 1.7 (95% CI 1.04, 2.79) (Table S5).

3. Discussion

We have demonstrated that the risk of HUS is substantially associated with the E. coli O157:H7 stx genotype. For the major genotypes, cumulative incidence of HUS increased from 6.4% for the stx1a2a genotype to 10.7% for the stx2a-only genotype. Among children <5 years, the incidence of HUS increased from 13.8% for the stx2a2c genotype to 16.9% for the stx2a-only genotype. We estimated that the stx2a-only genotype causes HUS in 4.4% more infected cases (i.e., ~4 more HUS cases per 100 E. coli O157:H7 cases) than the stx1a2a genotype does, adjusting for age and symptoms. Moreover, we found a strong negative interaction on the additive scale between stx1a and stx2a. Similarly, the stx2a-only genotype increased risk of RRT by 3.8%. We did not identify a difference in risk between the stx2a-only and stx2a2c genotypes.

Since early E. coli O157:H7 outbreaks, epidemiologic studies have found an association between Stx2 and HUS. However, with few exceptions [6,9,11,15], studies have not offered genotype-specific incidence or adjusted measures of excess risk. Consequently, the magnitude of how genotypic variations in virulence impact HUS incidence across populations has been unclear. We identified a substantial increase in HUS risk associated with the stx2a genotype as compared to the stx1a2a genotype, two of the most common genotypes in North America and Japan [9,11,16–19]. The virulence of the stx2a2c genotype, predominant in other settings [7,8,20,21], was similar to that of the stx2a-only genotype. This increased risk is not trivial. Over our 10-year study period in Washington State, we would have expected to see 19 additional HUS cases had stx2a strains replaced stx1a2a strains, increasing the HUS rate by a quarter, all else being equal. On a relative scale, that would amount to a 28% increase in the number of HUS cases. The public health impact of shifts in the E. coli O157 population is not merely theoretical. A relative decline in stx1a2a infections and increase in stx2a, with or without stx2c, can be observed between early studies in Washington State [11] and our current data, and we have shown a similar secular bacterial population shift on the absolute scale within the 10 years of our study [22]. These differences may explain variation in HUS incidence between geographic regions where the dominant stx genotypes differ.

Several lines of experimental evidence are harmonious with our epidemiologic findings that E. coli O157:H7 containing one toxin gene (i.e., stx2) are more virulent than E. coli O157:H7 containing two toxin genes (i.e., stx1stx2). Donohue-Rolfe et al. increased the neurovirulence of an stx2+/stx1+ E. coli O157:H7 in a gnotobiotic piglet model by deleting the stx1 gene [13], Russo et al. showed that enterally administered Stx1a reduces enterally-administered Stx2a-mediated toxicity [23], and Petro et al. reported that Stx1a neutralizes the toxicity of Stx2 [14]. This last paper postulated that the effect is probably caused by competitive inhibition of the more potent Stx2a by the less potent Stx1a, and we favor this interpretation. However, they also note that they could not exclude the possibility that the attenuation of Stx2a toxicity is related to a less specific immunomodulatory effect of Stx1a, which diminishes host cell response to Stx2a intoxication. The exact mechanism underlying this paradoxical genotype finding is likely to remain speculative for some time. Nonetheless, our multivariable analysis
of bacterial genotype and disease outcome resembles findings from smaller, older studies in which only univariate associations were tested, lending human relevance to the experimental data.

We have previously reported lack of association between phylogenetic lineages and HUS in this population, both overall and among children <10 years of age [24]. There is some concordance between lineage and stx genotype, with the most common human-biased lineages each having a dominant genotype [24]. However, genotypic variation within lineages does exist. That we observed an association between stx genotype and HUS where we failed to observe one between phylogenetic lineage and HUS suggests that lineage is an imperfect surrogate for stx genotype.

When stratifying by age group, we observed a slightly lower point estimate among children <10 years as among all cases when comparing stx2a-only and stx1a2a genotypes, but the estimate was imprecise. Although this may indicate there is no association between stx genotype and HUS in this age group, it is more likely that our sample size was insufficient to detect the difference with precision. Although phylogenetic lineage analysis previously suggested the stx1a2a genotype conferred protection to children <5 years [24], we did not observe this reversal in the current study. However, given the imprecision, we cannot say conclusively that the association holds in children <10 years. We believe further study is warranted to understand the effect of genotype in specific age groups.

The stx2a-only genotype is also more associated with greater HUS incidence than the less common ‘other’ genotypes included in our study. Notably, only 6 of these 82 isolates possessed a stx2a gene, and those were combined with stx1a (as well as stx2c in some), so we would expect their virulence to be attenuated relative to stx2a-only isolates. This is supported by HUS frequencies by genotype in some other studies [6,8,21], but not all [7]. Previous work has shown that many of these specific isolates are in cattle-biased phylogenetic lineages [24] that are rarely isolated from humans. Factors that confer lower infectivity and/or pathogenicity may also be involved in their lower virulence.

Only one case in our study developed HUS among those infected by one of the ‘other’ genotypes. This case was an otherwise healthy young child with no unusual risk factors. The isolate from this case was previously typed into the phylogenetic lineage dominated by the stx1a2a genotype. It is possible that a stx2a allele was lost in the host or on plating, and the Stx-encoding bacteriophage insertion (SBI) type of this specific isolate, and three others included in this analysis, is consistent with a stx1a2a isolate that lost its stx2a bacteriophage. Loss of stx genes from cultured isolates is a recognized phenomenon, with all or only a subset of genes being lost [12,25–28], and in broth culture, a subset of E. coli O157:H7 underwent spontaneous excision of the bacteriophages containing the stx genes [25]. We excluded two isolates that had no stx genes and explored the possibility that other isolates had lost one or more stx genes. When using lineage typing and pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) patterns as a guide to reassign the genotype of isolates that had potentially lost a gene, the RD between the stx2a-only and stx1a2a genotypes increased and HUS risk associated with the stx2a-only and stx2a2c genotypes was similar, supporting our primary findings.

While our study focused on E. coli O157:H7 cases, our findings may be more broadly applicable to other STEC given that Stx toxicity is driven largely by the B subunit of the toxin [29]. Non-O157 STEC are increasingly reported because of the widespread adoption of multiplex PCR platforms that detect stx1 and stx2 sequences. However, caution should be exercised when detecting a stx1a-only E. coli O157:H7 because of the potential that stx2a was lost or because of sampling error, stx1a-only non-O157 STEC are more common and thus more likely to be genuine results. However, not all multiplex panels distinguish stx1 and stx2, and some laboratories choose not to release stx type; in these cases, follow-up enzyme immunoassays for the toxin, PCR, or whole genome sequencing should be considered if knowledge of the stx genotype would contribute to patient care.

Our data have implications for etiologic studies, aside from outbreak analyses, and intervention studies designed to prevent E. coli O157:H7 infections from progressing to HUS. Knowledge of genotype can be used to evaluate effect heterogeneity and could potentially confound apparent associations. In future small clinical trials, stratified randomization by genotype should be considered, if feasible. If not, the infecting strain genotype should be taken into account in the analysis of efficacy of the
intervention. Additionally, stx genotype may be a candidate for clinical decision support tools designed to predict the development of HUS and need for RRT.

The analysis was restricted to those cases who sought care, provided a specimen, and were reported. People infected with E. coli O157 who do not seek care presumably have less severe disease. Factors driving care-seeking and stool testing include the presence of hematochezia, pain, a high number of diarrheal episodes per day, or concomitant vomiting. If certain genotypes are less likely to cause these symptoms, their proportions of HUS will be overestimated relative to genotypes more likely to cause these symptoms. If the stx2a-only genotype is more likely to cause severe symptoms than the referent genotype (e.g., stx1a2a), the referent genotype is likely underreported to a greater degree than stx2a. Assuming non-severe E. coli O157:H7 cases who were not reported did not have HUS, the true RD and RR would be greater than that observed in this case. Conversely, if the referent genotype causes more severe symptoms than the stx2a-only genotype, the true RD and RR would be lower than those we observed.

Our analysis was limited by missing genotype and HUS status. The largest factor influencing isolate missingness was year of isolation. The most probable associated mechanisms, such as genomic degradation and being misplaced, are likely to be random and not related to genotype or HUS status. Thus, we believe that our analysis is unbiased by these gaps in data. Moreover, among genotyped isolates, those missing HUS status were proportionally distributed across genotypes, suggesting there would be little change in the estimates. The association between fever and missing HUS status likely reflects that patients are more likely to seek care if they have a fever but does not impact the association between genotype and HUS.

4. Conclusions

We have estimated the excess risk of HUS and RRT attributable to stx2a-only E. coli O157:H7, relative to the stx1a2a genotype, demonstrating the population-level implications of the purported attenuation of stx2a virulence by stx1a. If stx2a-only strains had replaced stx1a2a strains in our population, we would have observed >25% more HUS cases. Public health officials should be aware of temporal and geographic variation in dominant stx genotypes, because they may imply an escalation of the risk of HUS among infected individuals. While the risk of HUS among stx1a2a-infected cases is less than if they were infected with a stx2a-only isolate, these patients are still at risk of HUS and should be managed with that possibility in mind. Future work is needed to understand genotypic HUS risk in different age groups and acuity levels.

5. Materials and Methods

5.1. Study Population

We conducted a population-based retrospective cohort study of all culture-confirmed E. coli O157:H7 cases reported to the Washington State department of health (DOH) from 2005 through 2014. Demographic information, potential exposures, and details of the course of illness were obtained from case report forms. We confirmed HUS status, the primary outcome, and RRT, the secondary outcome, during a review of hospitalized E. coli O157:H7 cases from the study sample. Data collection has been detailed previously [24]. HUS was defined as hematocrit <30%, platelet count <150,000/mm³, and serum creatinine concentration above the normal for age [30], with all criteria met on the same day. We assumed all non-hospitalized cases did not have HUS because of the severity of this disease outcome.

The Washington State institutional review board designated this study as exempt. The University of Calgary conjoint health research ethics board approved this study.

5.2. Genotyping

stx genotype was the exposure of interest, classified as combinations of stx1a, stx2a, and stx2c. The three most common genotypes are stx1a/-/stx2a+/stx2c-, stx1a+/stx2a+/stx2c-, and stx1a-/stx2a+/stx2c-.
We refer to them as stx2a-only, stx1a2a, and stx2a2c, respectively, for the purpose of this paper. Isolates were genotyped in two batches.

SBI typing, a multiplex PCR method, was used to characterize the genotypes of 690 isolates at Washington State University. The SBI typing detects 12 targets identifying the insertion of three Stx-encoding bacteriophages and three specific stx subtypes (stx1a, stx2a, and stx2c) and has been described previously [31,32].

An additional 276 isolates were genotyped at the University of Alberta using a real-time PCR assay, described previously [33,34]. Isolates were grown on sheep blood agar plates (Dalynn Biologicals, Calgary, AB, Canada) for 24 h. For DNA extraction, a colony from each plate was mixed with rapid lysis buffer (100 mmol/L NaCl, 10 mmol/L Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, 1 mmol/L EDTA, pH 9.0; 1% Triton X-100) and then heated to 95 °C for 15 min, followed by centrifugation at 13,000g for 15 min. Real-time PCR was performed on the supernatant as DNA template using either a hydrolysis probe or SYBR green based approach. For the probe based assays the total reaction volume (20 µL) consisted of: 10 µL PrimeTime® Gene Expression Master Mix (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA, USA), 0.9 µM of each primer, 0.25 µM of each probe, 5 µL of DNA template, and nuclease-free water. For the SYBR green based assays, the total reaction volume (20 µL) consisted of: 10 µL Fast SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), 0.3 µM of each primer, 5 µL of DNA template, and nuclease-free water. All reactions were performed using primers and probes ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA, USA), and were run on Applied Biosystems® 7500 fast Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

5.3. Statistical Analysis

Cases were excluded from analysis if the associated E. coli O157:H7 isolate was not genotyped, no stx genes were identified during typing, or if the case was hospitalized but HUS status could not be verified. We summarized demographic and illness characteristics with descriptive statistics and investigated potential reasons for missing specimens.

We calculated the cumulative incidence and exact binomial 95% CI of HUS by stx genotype as the number of cases infected with E. coli O157:H7 of a given genotype who developed HUS over the total number of cases infected with E. coli O157:H7 of that genotype. We assumed the incidence of HUS among E. coli O157:H7-infected patients unexposed to stx1a, stx2a, and stx2c was the incidence of atypical HUS, an entirely unrelated disorder, in the general population, 2 × 10−6 [35], or essentially 0. As such, we interpreted cumulative incidence estimates as attributable risks.

To estimate the excess risk of HUS associated with stx2a-only E. coli O157:H7 isolates, we calculated the RD and RR of that genotype vs. stx1a2a, stx2a2c, and all other genotypes combined. We adjusted RD and RR estimates for age, to control for confounding, and symptoms most likely to influence clinical management, specifically blood in the stool, vomiting, and fever, to block an indirect causal pathway between stx genotype and HUS (Figure 2; Supplemental Methods). We used linear regression with robust standard errors to estimate RDs and modified Poisson regression with robust standard errors to estimate RRs. We also calculated the RD for our secondary outcome RRT, fully adjusted for age and symptoms. In secondary analysis, we estimated the RD and RR for HUS, restricting the sample to children <10 years old.

We calculated the degree of additive interaction between stx1a and stx2a, and stx2a and stx2c, separately, using the equation $p_{11} - p_{10} - p_{01} + p_{00}$, where the subscripts indicate the presence or absence of a specific gene. Values $>0$ and $<0$ can be interpreted as super-additive and sub-additive interaction, respectively. Three-way interaction was not considered because of the rarity of stx1a+stx2a+/stx2c+ isolates. We adjusted for age and symptoms using stabilized inverse probability weights. We used a bootstrap with 10,000 replicates to calculate 95% bias-corrected and accelerated CIs for interaction estimates. Multiplicative interaction was not directly assessed (Supplemental Methods).
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We calculated the degree of additive interaction between stx1a and stx2a, stx1a+stx2a+ and stx1a+/stx2a+/stx2c+, respectively. Three-way interaction was not considered because of the rarity of E. coli O157:H7 cases and associated isolates included in analysis, Figure S4: Excess risk of HUS due to stx2a without other stx genes among hospitalized patients, Table S5: Excess risk of HUS due to stx1a+stx2a+/stx2c+ vs. other genotypes, among children <10 years old. Table S4: Excess risk of HUS due to stx2a without other stx genes after correcting for potential gene loss.
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Sensitivity Analysis

To more fully account for the indirect causal pathway between stx genotype and HUS involving symptoms and clinical management, we conducted a sensitivity analysis of RD and RR estimates including adjustment for antibiotic use. Antibiotic use was only validated among hospitalized patients, thus limiting this analysis to that sub-population.

Acknowledging the possibility that E. coli O157:H7 may lose their stx bacteriophages after they are plated on agar, we conducted a sensitivity analysis reclassifying isolates with atypical stx genotype for their PFGE pattern, confirmed using phylogenetic lineage typing [24] (Supplemental Methods).

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2072-6651/11/10/607/s1, Supplemental Methods, Supplemental Results, Figure S1: Flow diagram of E. coli O157:H7 cases by genotype, Table S1: HUS status by age and stx genotype, Table S2: Risk of HUS among E. coli O157 cases by genotype, Table S3: Excess risk of HUS due to stx2a vs. other genotypes, among children <10 years old, Table S4: Excess risk of HUS due to stx2a without other stx genes among hospitalized patients, Table S5: Excess risk of HUS due to stx1a+stx2a+/stx2c+ without other stx genes after correcting for potential gene loss.

Figure 2. Directed acyclic graph of hypothesized relationships among stx genotype (exposure, green oval with triangle), HUS (outcome, blue oval with bar), and covariates. Age confounds the genotype-HUS relationship. There is a potential indirect pathway from genotype to HUS through symptoms (e.g., blood in stool, vomiting, fever) and clinical management (e.g., antibiotic use, intravenous fluid administration). Abbreviation: HUS, hemolytic uremic syndrome.
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