Hot Accretion Flow in Two-Dimensional Spherical Coordinates: Considering Pressure Anisotropy and Magnetic Field
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Abstract: For systems with extremely low accretion rate, such as Galactic Center Sgr A* and M87 galaxy, the ion collisional mean free path can be considerably larger than its Larmor radius. In this case, the gas pressure is anisotropic to magnetic field lines. In this paper, we pay attention to how the properties of outflow change with the strength of anisotropic pressure and the magnetic field. We use an anisotropic viscosity to model the anisotropic pressure. We solve the two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) equations in spherical coordinates and assume that the accretion flow is radially self-similar. We find that the work done by anisotropic pressure can heat the accretion flow. The gas temperature is heightened when anisotropic stress is included. The outflow velocity increases with the enhancement of strength of the anisotropic force. The Bernoulli parameter does not change much when anisotropic pressure is involved. However, we find that the energy flux of outflow can be increased by a factor of 20 in the presence of anisotropic stress. We find strong wind (the mass outflow is about 70% of the mass inflow rate) is formed when a relatively strong magnetic field is present. Outflows from an active galactic nucleus can interact with gas in its host galaxies. Our result predicts that outflow feedback effects can be enhanced significantly when anisotropic pressure and a relatively powerful magnetic field is considered.
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1. Introduction

Black hole accretion models can be divided into hot and cold types based on its temperature. Both models are in a prevalent trend. For example, outflow/jet generated from a cold thin disk has been studied by both analytical (e.g., [1–3]) and simulation works (e.g., [4–6]). Hot accretion flows also are promising topics since the pioneering works of [7–9]. More recently, numerous numerical simulations have been performed to study hot accretion flows (e.g., [10–28]). Hot accretion flow can be applied to both the low-luminosity active galactic nuclei (LLAGNs) (e.g., [29–31]) and hard and quiescent states of black hole X-ray binaries (e.g., [32–40]).

The observations of LLAGNs (e.g., [41–46]) and the hard state of black hole X-ray binaries ([47]) show that outflow can be launched from hot accretion flow. The launching mechanisms and properties of outflow have been studied by numerical simulations(e.g., [24,26,27,48–51]) and analytical works ([52–58]). Outflow can push away gas which surrounds the black hole at sub-parsec and parsec scales, and can finally affect the black hole accretion rate and the star formation rate of galaxies (e.g., [59–66]).
In hot accretion flow of extremely low-luminosity AGNs, such as Sgr A* and M 87, the Coulomb mean free paths of ions and electrons are more significant than the length-scale of the system \((GM/c^2)\), where \(G\), \(M\), and \(c\) are gravitational constant, black hole mass, and speed of light, respectively \((67–70)\). In extremely low-accretion-rate system (like the accretion flow in our galactic center), at first glance, the pressure is wholly anisotropic. However, particle-in-cell simulations show that wave-particle interactions can increase the effective collisional rate of particles \((e.g., 71–74)\). Therefore, the accretion flow is just weakly collisional. The pressure is not wholly anisotropic. In plasmas physics, plasmas are fundamentally nonlinear. One of the most straightforward nonlinear effects is trapping of particles in large-amplitude waves, in which the wave potential exceeds the particle kinetic energy. Trapping is most significant for resonant particles, which are moving at the wave phase speed. The trapped particles bounce back and forth between the potential walls and oscillate periodically. Nonlinear interaction can lead to stable states consisting of large-amplitude waves and related particle distributions of trapped and free populations. It is difficult to find these states. No general mathematic algorithms exist in nonlinear theory, and often perturbation expansions are used, leading to what is called weak plasma turbulence theory or perturbation theory. The starting point is the coupled system of Maxwell’s and Vlasov’s equations. Likewise, in our cases, we better treat non-ideal effects anisotropic as perturbation relative to the ideal fluid. It is, however, an open question exactly how well this approach compares to full kinetic theory calculations. There are some other works studying the extremely low-accretion-rate accretion flow by adding an anisotropic pressure to the ideal gas \((e.g., 69,70)\).

The electron collisional mean free path can be much larger than its Larmor radius when the accretion flows are weakly collisional. In this case, thermal conduction is anisotropic and along magnetic field lines \((75–77)\). The conduction can influence the dynamics of the accretion flow significantly \((68,78)\). For example, conduction can transport energy from the inner to the outer regions. The gas in the outer region can have higher specific energy, which helps produce outflow in the outer region \((79)\).

The ion collisional mean free path can also be larger than its Larmor radius in a quite low-accretion-rate hot accretion flow. Therefore, the pressure perpendicular to the magnetic field line is different from that parallel to the magnetic field line \((69,80,81)\). Reference \([82]\) performed numerical simulations and studied the effects of anisotropic pressure on the dynamics of the hot accretion flow. In their work, they assume that magnetic pressure is at least four orders of magnitude smaller than gas pressure. They find that gas can be accreted to the central black hole due to angular momentum transfer by anisotropic pressure. Also, they find that extremely weak outflow can be produced. Observations to some accretion system indicate that the viscous coefficient \(\alpha \sim 0.1\) \((see \ [83] and references in that paper)\). Because \(\alpha \sim B^2/p\), with \(B\) and \(p\) are magnetic field and gas pressure respectively, therefore, magnetic pressure is about 0.1 times gas pressure. We define the ratio of gas to magnetic pressure as \(\beta_{\varphi}\). The effects of anisotropic pressure on accretion flow have not been studied when magnetic pressure is not significantly smaller than gas pressure \((\beta_{\varphi} < 10,000)\) \((82)\). In \([82]\), the authors only studied the accretion flow with an extremely weak magnetic field. In their work, the magnetic pressure is at least four orders of magnitude smaller than the gas pressure. However, in reality, in hot accretion flows, magnetic pressure is just smaller as a factor of 10 \((e.g., 83)\). Thus, it is necessary to study the hot accretion flow with anisotropic pressure in relatively stronger magnetic fields. In the present work, we study the hot accretion flow with anisotropic pressure in a much stronger magnetic field \((\beta_{\varphi} = 1,10,1000)\).

Reference \([84]\) studied hot accretion flow with anisotropic pressure in one-dimensional cylindrical coordinates, which is too simplified. We study the more complicated case: we solve the two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) equations in two-dimensional spherical coordinates considering the different magnitude of anisotropic pressure and magnetic field. Two-dimensional solutions have significant advantages compared to one-dimensional solutions. For example, in a one-dimensional solution, outflows are assumed to be present. However, the detailed structures of outflow are unknown. In two-dimensional solutions, we have the detailed structure of outflows \((e.g.,\),
the spatial distribution of outflow, velocity, temperature, density as the functions of spatial locations). The detailed structures of outflows (e.g., the opening angle) are essential parameters in active galactic nuclei studies ([64]). Therefore, it is quite important to obtain the two-dimensional solutions of hot accretion flows with anisotropic pressure. In the radial direction, we assume that the accretion flow is self-similar. The most fundamental paper on self-similar outflows is [1]. They illustrated hydromagnetic flows from accretion disks and the production of radio jets. We pay attention to how the outflow properties are affected by anisotropic pressure and the magnetic field.

In Section 2, we present the basic MHD equations, assumptions, and boundary conditions. In Section 3, we present our results. Finally, in Section 4, we discuss and conclude our results.

2. Basic MHD Equations

The basic MHD equations describing accretion flows read:

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad (1)
\]

\[
\rho \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} \right] = -\rho \nabla \Psi - \nabla p + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{T} + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}, \quad (2)
\]

\[
\rho \left( \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla e \right) - p \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla p \equiv f (Q^+ - \mathbf{\Pi} : \nabla \mathbf{v}), \quad (3)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \left( \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{4\pi}{c^2} \eta \mathbf{J} \right), \quad (4)
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0. \quad (5)
\]

Here, \( \rho \) denote the density, \( \mathbf{v} = (v_r, v_\theta, v_\phi) \) is the velocity, \( p \) is the gas pressure. We use the non-relativistic Newtonian potential \( (\Psi = -GM/r) \). \( e \) is gas internal energy. We adopt an ideal gas equation of state \( p = (\gamma - 1)p\rho \), with \( \gamma = 5/3 \) being adiabatic index.

Numerical simulations show that the magnetic field can be divided into a large-scale ordered component and a small-scale turbulent component (e.g., [85–87]). In Equations (2), (4) and (5), \( \mathbf{B} \) represents the large-scale component of the magnetic field. In Equation (2), \( \mathbf{J} = (c/4\pi) \nabla \times \mathbf{B} \) is the electric current density.

In Equation (2), \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{T} \) represents angular momentum transfer by the turbulent magnetic field. We assume \( \mathbf{T} \) only has azimuthal component, see [10,58,88].

\[
T_{r\phi} = \rho v_1 \frac{\partial (v_\phi/r)}{\partial r} \quad (6)
\]

Here, \( v_1 = a_1 c_s^2 / \Omega_K \), with \( c_s \) and \( \Omega_K \) are sound speed and Keplerian angular velocity, respectively.

In the energy Equation (3), \( Q^+ \) is the heating due to viscosity and magnetic dissipation. The heating rate can be decomposed into two terms,

\[
Q^+ = Q_{vis} + Q_{res} \quad (7)
\]

with

\[
Q_{vis} = \rho v_1 T_{r\phi} \frac{\partial (v_\phi/r)}{\partial r} \quad (8)
\]

and

\[
Q_{res} = 4\pi / c^2 \eta J^2. \quad (9)
\]

The anisotropic pressure \( \mathbf{\Pi} \) can be modeled by an anisotropic viscosity ([69,89,90]),

\[
\mathbf{\Pi} = -3\rho v_2 \left[ \frac{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{3} - \frac{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}}{3} \right] \left[ \frac{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{l}}{3} \right], \quad (10)
\]
where \( \hat{b} = B / |B| \) is a unit vector in the direction of magnetic field, and \( I \) is the unit tensor. The anisotropic viscosity \( \nu_2 = \alpha_2 c_s^2 / \Omega_K \). In the energy Equation (3), the last term is the heating due to the work done by anisotropic pressure. Here \( \eta = \eta_0 \rho / (\rho \Omega_K) \) is the magnetic diffusivity.

Previous works (e.g., [86,87,91]) find in their simulations that in the main body region of the accretion flow, the magnetic field is mainly toroidal. A poloidal component of the magnetic field can also be present. The anisotropic pressure tensor is related to the magnetic field geometry. If the poloidal magnetic field is included, the anisotropic pressure term can be very complicated. We find it is hard to solve the equations analytically in this case. Therefore, in this paper, we mainly study the dominant toroidal component of the magnetic field as a first step due to numerical simplicity. We may use numerical simulations to study this issue again by including a poloidal magnetic field in the future.

We expect that with the presence of a poloidal magnetic field, the jet can be formed, and the power of wind can be much larger.

We seek for the steady state, axisymmetric \( (\partial / \partial t = \partial / \partial \phi = 0) \) solutions of Equations (1)–(5) in spherical coordinates \((r, \theta, \phi)\). The tensor \( \Pi \) then has the form below,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Pi_{rr} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \Pi_{\theta\theta} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \Pi_{\phi\phi}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(11)

and

\[
\Pi_{rr} = \Pi_{\theta\theta} = -\frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\phi\phi} = \rho v_2 \left[ \frac{v_r}{r} + \frac{v_\theta}{r} \cot \theta - \frac{1}{3r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 v_r) - \frac{1}{3r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta v_\theta) \right].
\]  

(12)

We can get the continuity equation,

\[
\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \rho v_r \right) + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta \rho v_\theta) = 0.
\]  

(13)

The momentum Equation (2) reads,

\[
\rho \left[ v_r \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\theta}{r} \left( \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial \theta} - v_\theta \right) - \frac{v_\phi^2}{r} \right]
\]

\[
= -\rho \frac{GM}{r^2} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{4\pi} I_\phi B_\phi + \frac{\partial \Pi_{rr}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} (2 \Pi_{rr} - \Pi_{\theta\theta} - \Pi_{\phi\phi}),
\]  

(14)

\[
\rho \left[ v_r \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\theta}{r} \left( \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta} + v_r \right) - \frac{v_\phi^2}{r} \cot \theta \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} - \frac{1}{4\pi} I_r B_\phi + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \Pi_{\theta\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r} (\Pi_{\theta\theta} - \Pi_{\phi\phi}) \cot \theta,
\]  

(15)

\[
\rho \left[ v_r \frac{\partial v_\phi}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\theta}{r} \frac{\partial v_\phi}{\partial \theta} + \frac{v_\phi}{r} (v_r + v_\theta \cot \theta) \right] = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 T_{r\phi} \right),
\]  

(16)

where the current \((J)\) and tensor \((\Pi)\) read,

\[
I_r = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (B_\phi \sin \theta),
\]  

(17)

\[
I_\theta = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_\phi),
\]  

(18)
In this paper, the magnetic field only has a toroidal component. The equation of energy is expressed as,

\[ \rho \left( v_r \frac{\partial e}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\theta}{r} \frac{\partial e}{\partial \theta} \right) - \frac{p}{\rho} \left( v_r \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\theta}{r} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \theta} \right) = f \left[ T_{\phi r} \frac{\partial (v_\phi)}{\partial r} + \eta \left( J^2_r + J^2_\theta \right) \right] - f \left[ \Pi_{rr} \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} + \frac{\Pi_{\theta \theta}}{r} \left( \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta} + v_r \right) + \frac{\Pi_{\phi \phi}}{r} (v_r + v_\theta \cot \theta) \right]. \] (19)

The induction equation can be presented as,

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r v_r B_\phi \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (v_\theta B_\phi) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\eta J_r) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r \eta J_\theta) = 0. \] (20)

### 2.1. Self-Similar Solutions

As with previous works (e.g., [58,88,92–94]), we seek the radially self-similar solutions in the following forms:

\[ v(r, \theta) = v_0 \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{-1/2} v(\theta), \] (21)

\[ \rho(r, \theta) = \rho_0 \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{-n} \rho(\theta), \] (22)

\[ p(r, \theta) = p_0 v_0^2 \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{-n-1} p(\theta), \] (23)

\[ B_\phi(r, \theta) = \sqrt{4\pi \rho_0 v_0^2 \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{-(n/2)-(1/2)}} b_\phi(\theta). \] (24)

where \( v_0 = (GM/r_0)^{1/2} \) is the Keplerian velocity at \( r = r_0 \), \( \rho_0 \) is density at \( r_0 \), \( -n \) is the power index. We note that the self-similar approach cannot be applied to the region in the vicinity of the black hole.

Both \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) are proportional to \( c_s^2 / \Omega K \), where \( c_s = \sqrt{p/\rho} \). The viscous coefficients (\( v_1, v_2 \)) and magnetic diffusivity (\( \eta \)) also need to satisfy the self-similar assumption, they have the form,

\[ v_1 = \alpha_1 v_0 (r r_0)^{1/2} p(\theta) \rho(\theta) \] (25)

\[ v_2 = \alpha_2 v_0 (r r_0)^{1/2} p(\theta) \rho(\theta) \] (26)

\[ \eta = \eta_0 v_0 (r r_0)^{1/2} p(\theta) \rho(\theta). \] (27)

The radial profiles of \( v_1, v_2 \) and \( \eta \) satisfy the radial self-similar condition. For example, in the energy Equation (19), the terms in both left- and right- hand sides are proportional to \( r^{-n-5/2} \).

### 2.2. System of Differential Equations

Using self-similar assumptions (21)–(24), Our system of ordinary differential Equations (13)–(16) and (19)–(20) can be written as (we do not add \( \theta \) in each quantity to simplify the equations),

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \rho \left( \left( \frac{3}{2} - n \right) v_r + v_\theta \cot \theta + \frac{d v_\theta}{d \theta} \right) + v_\theta \frac{d \rho}{d \theta} \right) = 0, \] (28)
\[
\rho \left[ -\frac{1}{2} v_r^2 + v_\theta \frac{dv_r}{d\theta} - (v_\theta^2 + v_\phi^2) \right] = -\rho + (n + 1)p + j_\phi \rho
\]
\[
- \alpha_2 p(n - 2) \left( \frac{1}{2} v_r + \frac{2}{3} v_\theta \cot \theta \frac{dv_\theta}{d\theta} \right), \tag{29}
\]
\[
\rho \left( \frac{1}{2} v_r v_\theta + v_\theta \frac{dv_\theta}{d\theta} - v_\phi^2 \cot \theta \right) = -\frac{dp}{d\theta} - j_\phi
\]
\[
+ \alpha_2 \left( \frac{dp}{d\theta} + 3p \cot \theta \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} v_r + \frac{2}{3} v_\theta \cot \theta - \frac{1}{3} \frac{dv_\theta}{d\theta} \right)
\]
\[
+ \alpha_2 p \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{dv_r}{d\theta} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{dv_\theta}{d\theta} \cot \theta - \frac{2}{3} v_\theta \csc^2 \theta - \frac{1}{3} \frac{d^2 v_\theta}{d\theta^2} \right), \tag{30}
\]
\[
\rho \left( \frac{1}{2} v_r v_\phi + v_\phi \frac{dv_\phi}{d\theta} + v_\theta v_\phi \cot \theta \right) = \frac{3}{2} \alpha_1 (n - 2) p v_\phi, \tag{31}
\]
\[
(n \gamma - n - 1) v_r + \left( \frac{d \ln \rho}{d \theta} - \gamma \frac{d \ln \rho}{d \theta} \right) v_\theta = f(\gamma - 1)
\]
\[
\times \left[ \frac{9}{4} \alpha_1 v_r^2 + \frac{\eta}{p} (\beta^2 + \gamma^2) + 3 \alpha_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} v_r + \frac{2}{3} v_\theta \cot \theta - \frac{1}{3} \frac{dv_\theta}{d\theta} \right) \right]^2, \tag{32}
\]
\[
\frac{n}{2} v_\phi = b_\phi \frac{db_\phi}{d\theta} - v_\theta \frac{dv_\phi}{d\theta} - b_\phi \frac{dv_\theta}{d\theta} + \eta \frac{d j_\phi}{d\theta} + j_\theta \frac{dv_\theta}{d\theta} + \frac{n}{2} \eta v_\phi = 0, \tag{33}
\]
where
\[
j_r = \frac{db_\phi}{d\theta} + b_\phi \cot \theta, \tag{34}
\]
\[
j_\theta = \frac{1}{2} (n - 1) b_\phi, \tag{35}
\]
\[
\eta = \eta_0 \frac{p}{\rho}. \tag{36}
\]

2.3. Boundary Conditions

We solve the six differential Equations (28)–(33) to get the functions for six variables: \(v_r(\theta), v_\theta(\theta), v_\phi(\theta), \rho(\theta), p(\theta),\) and \(b_\phi(\theta).\) We assume the accretion flow is evenly symmetric about the equatorial plane, then \(\rho(\theta) = \rho(\pi - \theta), p(\theta) = p(\pi - \theta), v_r(\theta) = v_r(\pi - \theta), v_\theta(\theta) = v_\theta(\pi - \theta),\) and \(v_\phi(\theta) = -v_\phi(\pi - \theta).\) Thus, we can get the boundary conditions.

At the equatorial plane, we have by symmetry,
\[
v_\theta = \frac{dv_r}{d\theta} = \frac{dv_\phi}{d\theta} = \frac{dp}{d\theta} = \frac{db_\phi}{d\theta} = 0. \tag{37}
\]

We adopt \(\rho(\pi/2) = 1.\) Putting these assumptions into Equations (28)–(33), we can get the boundary conditions, which has the form
\[
\left( \frac{3}{2} - n \right) v_r + \frac{dv_\theta}{d\theta} = 0, \tag{38}
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} v_r^2 - v_\phi^2 = -1 + (n + 1)p + j_\phi + \alpha_2 p (n - 2) \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{dv_\theta}{d\theta} - \frac{1}{2} v_r \right), \tag{39}
\]
\[
v_r = 3 \alpha_1 p (n - 2), \tag{40}
\]
\[(n\gamma - n - 1)v_r = f(\gamma - 1)\left[\frac{9}{4}a_1v_r^2 + \frac{\eta}{3p} + 3a_2\left(\frac{1}{2}v_r - \frac{1}{3}\frac{dv_r}{d\theta}\right)^2\right].\] (41)

We define a coefficient \(\beta_\phi\) which describes the ratio of the gas pressure to the magnetic field.

\[\beta_\phi = \frac{2p}{b_\phi^2}.\] (42)

We denote the coefficient \(\beta_\phi\) at the equatorial plane as \(\beta_{\phi0}\), and we set its value manually.

3. Results

We use the second-order Runge–Kutta method to solve Equations (28)–(33) numerically. The resolution is \(3 \times 10^{-4}\) for all the cases. We integrate these equations from the equatorial plane (\(\theta = 90^\circ\)) towards the rotational axis (\(\theta = 0^\circ\)). We find that at a certain \(\theta = \theta_0\) angle, there is a numerical error: a singularity exists near the axis. Therefore, we stopped our integration at \(\theta_0\). We have done tests and find that the value of \(\theta_0\) does not change with resolution. Previous two-dimensional self-similar solutions (e.g., \([88,94]\)) also found an error when integrating. In these two works, the authors have also not obtained the solution from \(\pi/2\) to 0. We think the reason for the numerical error should be that the self-similar assumption cannot be applied to the region close to the rotational axis. Indeed, in the black hole accretion field, some other works are using self-similar approach, the solution over the whole space is obtained (e.g., \([92]\)). Whether we can achieve a whole space solution may depend on the physics studied and the assumptions made. We think the solution in the region of \(\theta_0 < \theta < 90^\circ\) are still physical. There are two reasons. First, the solutions satisfy the equation and boundary conditions at \(\theta = \pi/2\). Second, the quantities derived at \(\theta_0\) are physical. For the low-accretion-rate hot accretion flow, radiation can be neglected. In this case, from the hydrodynamical equations, we can see that the normalization unit of density (\(\rho_0\)) in the left and right-hand sides of the equations can be deleted. In other words, for the non-radiative accretion flows, the gas density normalization unit can be any value. The other properties of the accretion flow (e.g., temperature, velocity) cannot be changed with the change of normalization. The magnetic energy is scaled by the gas pressure. The gas pressure is proportional to density multiply temperature. Therefore, the normalization unit of magnetic energy can be any value. However, the ratio of magnetic pressure to gas pressure is fixed.

For the extremely low-accretion-rate accretion flow, the radiative cooling is very weak, almost all the viscous heating energy is stored in gas and advected to the central black hole ([40]). Therefore, in this paper, we assume that the advection parameter \(f = 1\). As introduced above, observations ([83]) show that the viscosity coefficient is \(\approx 0.1\). In this paper, we set the viscous coefficient \(a_1 = 0.1\). In [69], the authors did stability analysis to the accretion flow with anisotropic pressure. They found that for linear perturbations, the flow is stable if \(a_2 < 9/8\). Therefore, in our paper, the maximum value of \(a_2\) is set to be 0.4, which is slightly smaller than 9/8. The radial infall velocity is \(v_r \propto r^{-1/2}\) for hot accretion flow. If the outflow is absent, the mass accretion rate will be a constant with radius, correspondingly, the density profile is \(\rho \propto r^{-3/2}\). Numerical simulations of hot accretion flow show that the radial profile of density can be described as a power-law function of \(r\) as \(\rho \propto r^{-n}\), with \(0.5 < n < 1.5\) ([10,24]). In this paper, we set the radial power-law index of density to be \(n = 1.1\). We have done several tests and find that the results do not change much if the value of \(n\) slightly changes. We set the resistivity coefficient \(\eta_0 = 0.1\).

3.1. Model with Weak Magnetic Field

We first study the models with a weak magnetic field. We set the ratio of gas pressure to magnetic pressure \(\beta_{\phi0} = 1000\), which means the gas pressure is 1000 times larger than the magnetic pressure in the midplane. Figure 1 plots angular profiles of velocities (\(v_r, v_\theta, v_\phi/v_\theta\)), density (\(\rho\)), pressure (\(p\)), and temperature (\(T/T_{\text{vir}}\)). The blue, red, and yellow lines correspond to \(\alpha_2 = 0.0, 0.1\) and 0.4, respectively. \(T_{\text{vir}}\) is the virial temperature, which is defined by.
\[ T_{\text{vir}} = \frac{GM(m_p + m_e)}{3kr} \]  

where \( m_p, m_e \) are the masses of proton and electron, \( k \) is Boltzmann constant. In this figure, we also show how the properties of accretion flow change with the strength of anisotropic pressure (denoted by \( \alpha_2 \), see Equation (10)). The mass density \( \rho \) and pressure \( p \) decrease as \( \theta \) decreases. Around the equator, it is the inflow region. \( v_r \) changes its sign at a certain angle, denoted as \( \theta_b \). When \( \theta < \theta_b \), it is outflow region. \( \theta_b \) is between 40°–50°. From the last panel in Figure 1, we see that with the increase of the strength of anisotropic pressure (\( \alpha_2 \)), the gas temperature increases. Correspondingly, the gas pressure gradient force is mainly responsible for driving outflow ([24]). When the anisotropic pressure increases, the gas pressure gradient increases. Therefore, the wind/outflow turns stronger. With the increase of gas temperature, outflows are more easily to be driven. The gas rotational velocity decreases with an increase of \( \alpha_2 \) (see top-right panel). The reason is as follows. In the radial direction, the gravity is balanced by the gas pressure gradient and centrifugal forces. The gas pressure gradient force (or rotational velocity) decreases with the increase of \( \alpha_2 \) (see top-right panel). The reason is as follows. In the radial direction, the gravity is balanced by the gas pressure gradient and centrifugal forces. The gas pressure gradient force (or rotational velocity) decreases with the increase of \( \alpha_2 \).

Figure 1. Angular profiles of velocities (\( v_r, v_\theta, v_\phi/v_k \)), density (\( \rho \)), pressure (\( p \)), and temperature (\( T/T_{\text{vir}} \)). The blue, red, yellow lines correspond to parameters \( \alpha_2 = 0.0, 0.1, 0.4 \), respectively. In this model, we set the gas pressure is 1000 times larger than the magnetic pressure at the midplane.}

Figure 2 plots the magnetic pressure (\( \rho_m = 1/2B_\phi^2 \)), the ratio of gas pressure to magnetic pressure (\( \beta_\phi \)), Mach number (\( |v_r/c_s| \)), and \( v_r/v_z \) change with \( \theta \). \( v_z \) is the fast magnetosonic velocity, which is defined by:

\[ v_z^2 = \frac{1}{2}(c_s^2 + v_A^2) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(c_s^2 + v_A^2)^2 - 4c_s^2v_A^2\theta^2}, \]  

(44)
where $v_A$ is the Alfvén velocity. In our case, $v_{Az} = 0$. $v_r/v_z$ can be used to judge whether the flow passes the fast magnetosonic point or not. The blue, red, and yellow lines correspond to $\alpha_2 = 0, 0.1$ and $0.4$. The first panel in Figure 2 shows the magnetic pressure changes with $\theta$. The strength of the magnetic pressure increases when $\theta$ decreases. However, it seems that the anisotropic pressure has no effect on the magnetic pressure. Please note that the values of $\beta_\phi$ are significantly larger compared to other variables. The magnetic pressure $p_m = p/\beta_\phi$. Therefore, the change of the magnetic pressure is not apparent. The top-right panel in Figure 2 shows $\beta_\phi$ change with $\theta$. The ratio of the gas pressure to the magnetic field decreases when $\theta$ decreases since the magnetic field turn stronger. The Mach number (see the bottom-left panel) increases when $\alpha_2$ increases ($\theta < 40$). It has a minimum point when $\theta$ between 40–50 because $v_r$ changes its sign here. The Mach number is below 1. Therefore, the flow is subsonic. Because we assume that the accretion flow is radially self-similar, then the Mach number at any $\theta$ angle is a constant of the radius. The last panel of this figure shows that the flow does not pass the fast magnetosonic point in the weak magnetic model.

![Figure 2. The magnetic pressure ($p_m$), the ratio of gas pressure to magnetic pressure ($\beta_\phi$), Mach number ($|v_r/c_s|$), and $v_r/v_z$ change with $\theta$. The blue, red, and yellow lines correspond to $\alpha_2 = 0.0, 0.1$ and $0.4$, respectively. Here, the gas pressure is 10 times larger than the magnetic pressure at the midplane.](image)

The work done by anisotropic pressure (the second term on the right-hand side of Equation (3)) heats the accretion flow.

Bernoulli parameter is usually used to judge whether outflow can escape from the black hole gravitational potential to infinity. In the magnetized accretion flow with only one toroidal magnetic field, the Bernoulli parameter is defined as,

$$Be = \frac{1}{2}v^2 + h + \Psi + \frac{B_\phi B_\phi}{4\pi \rho}$$

Here, $h = \gamma e/\rho$ is enthalpy. Figure 3 plots the Bernoulli parameter in a unit of square Keplerian velocity. The Bernoulli parameter is positive as found by previous works (e.g., [9]). Because the magnetic field is quite weak, the magnetic term is quite small. The enthalpy term dominates other terms. The enthalpy is proportional to temperature. From Figure 1, we can see, with the increase of $\alpha_2$,
the temperature increases. Therefore, the enthalpy increases with \( \alpha_2 \). Bernoulli parameter increases with \( \alpha_2 \).

The central black hole in a galaxy is believed to evolve with its host galaxy together. AGNs feedback plays a vital role in the evolution of galaxies. Outflow from black hole accretion flow can interact with the interstellar medium surrounding the AGN significantly. Outflow power is a crucial parameter in the AGN feedback study. Now, we study how the outflow power changes with \( \alpha_2 \).

The power of outflow includes three components. They are kinetic, thermal powers, and Poynting flux. They are calculated as follows,

\[
P_k(r) = 2\pi r^2 \int_{\theta_a}^{\pi/2} \rho \max(v_r^3, 0) \sin \theta d\theta
\]

\[
P_{th}(r) = 4\pi r^2 \int_{\theta_a}^{\pi/2} \rho \max(v_r, 0) \sin \theta d\theta
\]

\[
P_B(r) = 4\pi r^2 \int_{\theta_a}^{\pi/2} S_r \max(v_r/|v_r|, 0) \sin \theta d\theta
\]

\( S_r \) is the radial component of Poynting flux. If only \( B_\phi \) is present, it is defined as,

\[
S_r = v_r B_\phi^2 / 4\pi
\]

Figure 4 shows how the outflow power changes with \( \alpha_2 \). Because the magnetic field is weak, the Poynting flux is quite small. The thermal energy carried by outflow dominates over other terms. With the increase of \( \alpha_2 \), gas temperature increases (see Figure 1). Correspondingly, the thermal energy flux carried by outflow increases. When \( \alpha_2 = 0.4 \), the total outflow power is 3–4 times larger than that when \( \alpha_2 = 0 \).

Now we calculate the ratio of mass inflow to outflow rates, \( \dot{M}_{in} \) and \( \dot{M}_{out} \) are defined as,

\[
\dot{M}_{in}(r) = 2\pi r^2 \int_{\theta_a}^{\pi/2} \rho \min(v_r, 0) \sin \theta d\theta,
\]

\[
\dot{M}_{out}(r) = 2\pi r^2 \int_{\theta_a}^{\pi/2} \rho \max(v_r, 0) \sin \theta d\theta.
\]

We find out that when the gas pressure is 1000 times larger than the magnetic pressure and the anisotropic pressure exists, the mass outflow rate is about 26%. In [82], their mass outflow rate is 10 to 15% of the mass inflow rate. Their wind is extremely weak due to their weak magnetic field (\( \beta_\phi < 10,000 \)).

### 3.2. Model with Stronger Magnetic Fields

In black hole accretion flow, in the main body of the flow, it is always found that magnetic pressure is smaller than gas pressure by a factor of 10 (e.g., [14]). Therefore, in this section, we study relatively stronger magnetic field models with \( \beta = 10 \). Figure 5 plots angular profiles of velocities \((v_r, v_\theta, v_\phi/v_z)\), density \( \rho \), pressure \( p \), and temperature \( T/T_{vir} \). The blue, red, yellow lines correspond to parameters \( \alpha_2 = 0.0, 0.1, 0.4 \), respectively. In this model, we set the gas pressure is ten times larger than the magnetic pressure at the midplane. Same as in the weak magnetic field models, the work done by anisotropic pressure makes the temperature of accretion flow higher. Correspondingly, the gas pressure increases. The rotational velocity decreases with the increase of the strength of anisotropic pressure \( \alpha_2 \). In the presence of anisotropic pressure, the outflow region is widened, and the outflow radial velocity is increased. Figure 6 plots the magnetic pressure, the ratio of gas pressure to magnetic pressure \( \beta_\phi \), Mach number, and \( v_r/v_z \) change with \( \theta \). The top-left panel in Figure 6 shows the magnetic pressure changes with \( \theta \). The top-right panel in Figure 6 shows \( \beta_\phi \)
change with $\theta$. Compared to the weak magnetic field case, they turn out to have the same trends. The flow is subsonic in the strong magnetic case, and it passes the fast magnetosonic point when $\theta \sim 50$.

Figure 7 shows the Bernoulli parameter. Same as in the model with a weak magnetic field, the enthalpy dominates the kinetic and magnetic terms. The Bernoulli parameter increases in the presence of anisotropic pressure.

Figure 8 shows the energy fluxes carried by outflow. The thermal energy flux is much higher than the kinetic and Poynting energy fluxes. The work done by anisotropic pressure increases gas temperature. Correspondingly, the thermal energy flux carried by outflow increases significantly in the presence of anisotropic pressure. When $\alpha_2 = 0.4$, the total outflow power is 20 times higher than that when $\alpha_2 = 0$.

![Figure 3. Bernoulli parameter in unit of $v^2_k$. Here, the gas pressure is 1000 times larger than the magnetic pressure at the midplane.](image1)

![Figure 4. Energy fluxes carried by outflow. Here, the gas pressure is 1000 times larger than the magnetic pressure at the midplane.](image2)
Figure 5. Angular profiles of velocities ($v_r$, $v_\theta$, $v_\phi/v_k$), density ($\rho$), pressure ($p$), and temperature ($T/T_{vir}$). The blue, red, yellow lines correspond to parameters $a_2 = 0.0, 0.1, 0.4$, respectively. In this model, we set the gas pressure is 10 times larger than the magnetic pressure at the midplane.

Figure 6. The magnetic pressure ($p_m$), the ratio of gas pressure to magnetic pressure ($\beta_\phi$), Mach number ($|v_r/c_s|$), and $v_r/v_z$ change with $\theta$. Here, the gas pressure is 10 times larger than the magnetic pressure at the midplane.
Figure 7. Bernoulli parameter in unit of $v_A^2$. Here the gas pressure is 10 times larger than the magnetic pressure at the midplane.

Figure 8. Energy fluxes carried by outflow. Here the gas pressure is 10 times larger than the magnetic pressure at the midplane.

The mass outflow rate is about 70% of the mass inflow rate in the relatively strong magnetic case. The wind is powerful. Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulation found that wind is driven by the combination of gas pressure gradient, magnetic pressure gradient, and centrifugal forces ([48]). When performing a stronger magnetic field, a stronger wind is driven.

For direct comparison, we plot a figure (see Figure 9) with three different magnetic fields ($\beta_{\phi 0} = 1, 10, 1000$) change with a fixed anisotropic pressure. We can see when the magnetic field is stronger, and the outflow velocity turns to be larger than in a weak field case. Therefore, a stronger wind is performed. In both present works and [87], it is found that the value of $\beta_{\phi 0}$ decreases with the decrease of $\theta$. $\beta_{\phi 0}$ has the largest value at the midplane. In [26] (the simulations are about hot accretion flow), it is found that at midplane, $\beta_{\phi 0}$ is slightly larger than 1 (at the midplane gas pressure dominates magnetic pressure). Please note that at small $\theta$ region, [26] also found that $\beta_{\phi 0}$ can be smaller than 1. Both [87,95] are about the cold thin disc. They also found that the value of $\beta_{\phi 0}$ is largest at the midplane. In [87], at the midplane, the lowest value of $\beta_{\phi 0}$ is 0.4 (see Figure 1 in their paper). The value of $\beta_{\phi 0}$ at midplane for hot accretion flow ([26]) is different from that at the midplane for cold thin discs ([87]). The difference may be due to different physical conditions. We have tried to calculate the case $\beta_{\phi 0} = 0.1$ at the midplane (we expect at the region $\theta < \pi/2$, the value of beta can be much smaller than 0.1). We cannot obtain a solution. The reason may be that for hot accretion flow, the value of $\beta_{\phi 0}$ at midplane is required to be larger than 1.
4. Summary and Discussion

In extreme low-accretion-rate hot accretion flow, the collision of the ion means free path can be much larger than its Larmor radius. Pressure parallel to the magnetic field line is different from that perpendicular to the magnetic field line. We study the effects of anisotropic pressure on the properties of the hot accretion flow. In particular, we pay attention to how the outflow properties change with the strength of anisotropic pressure. The anisotropic pressure is modeled by the anisotropic viscosity. In the outflow region, the pressure is also anisotropic. Therefore, we still have a viscosity in an outflow far from the disk. We solve two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic equations of hot accretion flow by assuming the flow is radially self-similar. We assume that the magnetic field only has a toroidal component. We find that the work done by anisotropic pressure can heat the flow and increases gas temperature. The Bernoulli parameter changes slightly when anisotropic pressure is included. However, the specific energy flux carried by outflow can be increased by a factor of 20. We found that with the increase of $\alpha_2$, the specific power of outflow increases. The value of $\alpha_2$ depends on the accretion rate. Lower accretion rate system has lower particle collisional rate and higher value of $\alpha_2$. Therefore for hot accretion flow, the fraction of energy taken away from the accretion system by outflow increase with the decrease of accretion rate. Lower accretion rate system tends to drive stronger outflow/jet. The outflow feedback effects can be enhanced significantly in the presence of anisotropic pressure.

The black hole at the galactic center is believed to co-evolve with its host galaxy. AGN feedback plays a vital role in affecting the properties of its host galaxy. AGN outflow can interact with the interstellar medium significantly. The efficiency of interaction depends on the power of outflow. In this paper, we find that when considering anisotropic pressure, the power of outflow can be increased by a factor of $\sim 10$. Therefore, AGN feedback effects can be significantly enhanced by the presence of anisotropic pressure.

Reference [82] assumed an extremely weak magnetic field. Consequently, their mass flow of outflow is quite weak; the mass inflow flow is ten percent of the out-mass flow. In our paper, when we assume a relatively stronger magnetic field (the gas pressure is 1000 times larger than the magnetic field), the outflow rate is quite large (the mass flux of outflow is 26% of inflow). When the gas pressure
is ten times larger than the magnetic field, strong winds are formed. The mass outflow is about 70% to the mass inflow rate.

In this paper, the magnetic field is assumed only to have a toroidal component. In this case, the force due to the anisotropic pressure only exists in the radial and $\theta$ momentum equations. The presence of anisotropic pressure cannot transfer angular momentum. In reality, the vertical component of the magnetic field should also be present. When the poloidal component of the magnetic field is present, wind/jet can be formed ([48]). The convective motions of accretion flow can be suppressed ([24,26]). Since hydrodynamical simulations of hot accretion flow ([10]) found that if the magnetic field is absent, the accretion flow is kinetically unstable, convective motions are present. Plus, numerical simulations found that if the magnetic field is present, the convective motions disappears ([24,26]), the turbulent motion of accretion flow is induced by MRI. Lacking the presence of poloidal magnetic field may lead us to unrealistic results.

However, we think our results are trustable. For example, in our calculation, the outflow power increases when the anisotropic pressure increases. We find that the thermal energy flux of outflow is significantly higher than the kinetic energy flux of outflow. The anisotropic pressure plays a heating role. Therefore, with the increase of anisotropic pressure $(\alpha_2)$, the temperature of outflow increases (see the bottom right panel of Figures 1 and 5). Thus, the (thermal) power of outflow increases with the increase of $\alpha_2$. If a vertical magnetic field is present, the outflow should be stronger. The anisotropic pressure can still play a heating role in the presence of a vertical magnetic field. Therefore, with the increase of anisotropic pressure, the outflow temperature can still increase in the presence of the vertical magnetic field. We expect the (thermal) power of outflow can increase with the increase of anisotropic pressure even with the presence of the vertical magnetic field.

In the future, it is indispensable to perform numerical simulations, including all three components of the magnetic field to study the effects of anisotropic pressure on the dynamics of the hot accretion flow.
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