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Abstract: In general, this study was developed to assess the radon contamination in groundwater intended for human consumption, to raise awareness among policy-makers to implement a legal framework for drinking water management and the radiological protection of groundwater resources. Thus, we analyzed with parallel coordinate visualization (PCV) plots what features may influence the water–rock interaction and promote high-radon concentrations in water intended for human consumption. The results show that in granitic areas composed by biotite granites (Group V), although there is a higher radon production in the rocks, the radon transfer to groundwater was not effective, mainly due to the physical and chemical properties of the water. The main conclusions show that in all springs sampled (n = 69) for the entire study area, there are only four springs that must have an immediate intervention, and 22 of them are above the limit imposed by the Portuguese legislation. These results are intended to promote a Portuguese Action Plan for Radon that can be framed in the guidelines on the management and protection of groundwater resources.
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1. Introduction

To ensure the sustainable use and protection of groundwater resources and aquifers in the frame of climate change, the drinking water contamination risks related to geogenic sources should be properly assessed. The groundwater pollution from geogenic sources should be duly studied and appreciated by policy-makers for the development of effective water management, through monitoring tools to evaluate the qualitative aquifer status and trends. Particular attention should be dedicated to the understanding of groundwater quality in its association with natural processes and anthropogenic inputs, as well as to aquifer vulnerability [1–3], in parallel with the strong need to improve advanced
monitoring and early warning systems associated with the transport of pollutants from rocks, soils, and the vadose zone to groundwater. This research will also provide a real-time assessment of radon contamination in water supply and advanced monitoring that will give the evaluation of radiological impacts and trends in the integrated planning of land-uses and the management of groundwater quality. Innovative tools and solutions for decision support on groundwater remediation strategies will be produced, considering the regional water governance constraints. The fundamental principles of the National Water Plan (NWP) related to water planning aim at making the sustainable use of these natural resources in an integrated way through their protection and valorization, as well as the protection of people against extreme phenomena associated with water ingestion (e.g., radiological contamination).

Until 2020, Portugal has a National Strategy for Adaptation to Climate Change (NSACC), where it highlights some specific risks related to the reduction of the flow and recharge of aquifers, especially where these are scarcer. These risks from climate change also modify the water quality, mainly due to the reduction of dilution flow rates causing a deterioration of water bodies [4]. Following this NSACC report, several strategic objectives were defined from 2021 to 2027: (a) Promote sustainable use based on long-term protection of available water resources, (b) ensure the gradual reduction of groundwater pollution to prevent further contamination, and (c) ensure appropriate supply of good quality groundwater. Thus, these legal impositions also provide some measures to promote efficient management and governance of water, among which a reinforcement and operationalization of a new monitoring system that evaluates the water bodies is implied. Concerns about the overexploitation of water resources are also highlighted in the first inter-municipal planning of the wine-growing Douro region (IPADVT, in Portuguese), and more attention for some regions subject to the disorderly exploitation of water resources for public supply purposes is also reported [5]. The National Water Resources Information System (SNIRH) provides for the Portuguese territory a total of 22,641 groundwater monitoring points, of which only 779 of them have general information about the quality network of the water bodies related to contamination essentially by nitrates, chlorides, fluorides, and ammoniacal nitrogen [6]. The economic dimensions of sustainable management of groundwater resources are mainly focused on water pricing and water access for irrigation. Currently, there is not a willingness of policy-makers to ensure financial conditions for the implementation of a Portuguese Action Plan for Radon (PAPR) and active mitigation of water bodies contaminated by geogenic sources. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that the scarcity of water from climate changes could worsen the quality of water resources due to the adverse collateral effects of reduced aquifer recharge and consequently lower dilution of geogenic contaminants.

Radon (222Rn) is a noble radioactive gas resulting from geogenic sources, with high mobility in natural systems due to its short half-life (3.8 days). Exposure to this colorless, odorless, and tasteless substance can be a serious public health problem, since it is responsible for the radiation dose received by the human population [7–11]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO; [12]), radon is a carcinogenic agent reported as the second leading risk factor of lung cancer after tobacco, which is in accordance to recent epidemiological studies about radon exposure that causes about 20,000 deaths per year [13]. Regarding exposure to radon, a review of lung cancer mortality in northern Portugal developed by Veloso et al. [14] related 8514 lung cancer deaths with radon exposure. The primary source of radon in groundwater comes from the successive decays in uranium-bearing minerals of rocks and soils. In the subsoil, when the U-bearing mineral is subject to weathering, radon can easily migrate from the host mineral and precipitate into fractures and microfractures, onto the surfaces of the crystals, or even be mobilized through groundwater circulation under certain pH conditions [15–23]. The rate of weathering and hence of radon migration is conditioned by the rock hydraulic diffusivity [24–30]. The disintegration of 226Ra atoms provides the radon product which, being a gas, can easily migrate from the generation site to the intergranular rock spaces (emanation coefficient). In these circumstances and depending on the high amount of water in the rock pores attached to the minerals, radon diffusion can be increased [31].
Currently, some scientific limitations are also recognized by the scientific community in the knowledge of the control processes of radon production in rocks, as well as the mechanisms of transport that influence the water-rock interaction dynamics. The innovative goal of this study consists essentially in the evaluation of the ability of radon transfer from the geological substrate to groundwater. The porosity and the potential of radon production in rocks, and the physical and chemical conditions of drinkable water are considered the main contributing features to the radon contamination in water intended for human consumption. The main purpose of this study is to develop a PAPR in groundwater used for public consumption so that in the future, it can be applied by policy-makers in land-use planning and hydrographic region management plans. It is also intended to assess the risk of radon exposure, which includes the annual effective dose from ingestion and inhalation.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The Douro River Basin is considered the third largest among the rivers of the Iberian Peninsula. It is an international river basin with a total area in the national territory of 19,218 km², representing 13% of the entire area (Figure 1a). The spring of the Douro river is located in the Urbion mountain (Spain), at about 1700 m altitude, while the main water course extends along 927 km. The groundwater availability for this hydrographic region is around 1.084 hm⁻³ y⁻¹, representing three groundwater bodies that produce water for human consumption [32].
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Figure 1. Several hydrogeological, topography, precipitation, and geology networks represented for the studied region: (a) Topography of Iberian Peninsula; (b) digital elevation/drainage network models of studied region; (c) distribution of mean annual precipitation in millimeters between 1960 and 1991, [6]; (d) simplified geological map of studied area, based on the Geological map of Portugal, scale 1:500,000 and 1:50,000 in the influence areas of the geological maps 10B (Vila Real) and 6D (Vila Pouca de Aguiar) [33]; and (e) aquifers type, [34].
The hydrographic basin of the Corgo River is located near Vila Real (VR) and Vila Pouca de Aguiar (VPA) municipalities (northern Portugal), and the length of its route is 43 km in an area of approximately 468 km$^2$. The Corgo River is a tributary of the Douro River and runs mostly along the main regional geotectonic structure so-called the Penacova-Régua-Verín fault (PRVF) with a NNE–SSW direction, producing tectonic hillslopes ranging from 300 to 1400 m (Figure 1c). This study area is characterized by a cold-wet period from October to May, succeeded by a warm-dry period from July to August [35]. This study area also has from 1960 to 1991 an annual precipitation rate between 721 to 2709 mm (Figure 1d). The geology is characterized by Paleozoic metasediments (Group I) and granitoids with different radiological profiles, being classified by their installation age and their rock’s ability to produce radon (Figure 1e). Several groups of granitoids occur in this study area, namely two-mica granites with low-radon production (Group III), two-mica granites with high-radon production (Group IV), and biotite granites with high-radon production (Group V; [36]). As for water resources, its availability is very much conditioned by the geology of the territory, where the locally fractured aquiferous rocks stand out, consisting mainly of eruptive rocks (granites) and practically non-aquiferous rocks (metamorphic rocks), whose hydraulic productivity is low (Figure 1f).

2.2. Radiological Analysis in Water Intended for Water Consumption

This radiological study was carried out in two municipalities of the Corgo river basin (Vila Pouca de Aguiar and Vila Real) during the summer period (July 2014) in 69 sampled springs used for water supply. For radon analysis, the prior preparation for water sampling was developed in water vessels that contain 12 mL of scintillation cocktail (Betaplate). In these springs, some physical and chemical parameters (electrical conductivity, pH, and temperature) were measured in the field using portable equipments WTW LF320 and WTW pH320 (WTW, Trifthof, Upper Bavaria, Germany). The radiological analysis was performed in the Laboratory of Natural Radioactivity (LRN) in the University of Coimbra, using Perkin-Elmer®Quantullus 1220 ultra-sensitive spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) with Liquid Scintillation Counting (LSC). This analytical procedure comprises the collection of 10 mL of water samples into a Teflon-coated polyethylene vial [37], minimizing the turbulence to avoid the formation of air bubbles. After sealing the vial, the water with radon content should be shaken to mix the collected water with the Perkin-Elmer®scintillation cocktail. This necessary procedure implies that the radon is preferably concentrated in the organic phase, remaining confined immediately below the cocktail level (double-phase method; [38]).

After three hours of sample resting, where the equilibrium between the radon and its short half-life progeny is restored, the water samples are introduced into the spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) with corrective action on the measured activities as a function of the elapsed time between measurements and water collection. This latent time efficiency was assessed by measuring several standard solutions of $^{226}$Ra after radon had reached the secular equilibrium of 21 days. In general, the estimated overall error is less than 15% of the measured values [39].

2.3. Determination of K$_2$O on Rocks

To determine the K$_2$O content in the rocks, a gamma spectrometer (ORTEC, Oak Ridge, TN, USA) with a NaI detector and 3 ” diameter was used. The samples are placed inside a lead shield to protect against background radiation. A total of 56 representative rock samples were collected in the outcrops of metasedimentary ($n = 11$) and granitic units ($n = 45$), considering the cartographic representation of these lithologies. Ground rock samples of about 500 g with a particle size less than 2 mm were placed in beakers of Marinelli type with a volume of 0.2 L. These samples remain at rest with the minimum possible air inside the beaker, and the preparation date, its total weight (sample + beaker), and the analysis date were recorded. After this previous preparation, approximately 27 days were allowed to establish the isotopic balance between these radioisotopes and their progenies. The measurement time used was 36,000 s, which corresponds to about 10 h of continuous measurements. To develop an adequate calibration, standard measurements provided by the International Atomic Energy Agency
(IAEA) were carried out under the same experimental conditions. Depending on the radioisotope analyzed and its activity, the uncertainties were variable, estimating between 5% and 25% of the measured value.

2.4. Determination of Porosity on Rocks

For the analysis of porosity in rocks, small rock fragments of the representative lithologies (n = 56) of the region under study were cut. Furthermore, for the determination of the apparent porosity, a Portuguese standard procedure [40] was used, being the entitled test method for natural stone: Determination of total and open porosity. Following this procedure, the samples were dried to a constant mass at 70 ± 5 °C. The constant mass was reached when the difference between two successive weighings within an approximate 24 h period was not more than 0.1% of the sample mass. Each sample was weighed (md) and placed in a vacuum vessel until the pressure was gradually lowered to 2.0 ± 0.7 kPa. This pressure was maintained for 2 h in order to eliminate the air contained in the open pores of the samples. Distilled water was slowly introduced at a temperature of 20 ± 5 °C so that the filling flow promoted full immersion of the samples after 15 min. When all samples were immersed, they were maintained at this pressure for a further 24 h and at the end, the immersed samples (mh) were weighed and rapidly wiped with a damp cloth, becoming the mass of the saturated sample with water (ms). The porosity was obtained by the ratio of the open pores volume to the apparent volume of the sample, following the equation:

\[
P = \frac{(ms - md)}{(ms - mh)} \times 100
\]

where \(P\) is the porosity (%), ms is the saturated sample weight (g), md is the dry sample weight (g), and mh is the immersed sample weight (g).

2.5. Assessment of Total Effective Dose from Radon Exposure in Drinking Water

The total annual effective dose for radon ingestion and inhalation were calculated according to the UNSCEAR report [41], as below:

\[
E_{\text{ing}} \text{ (mSv y}^{-1}) = 222\text{Rn (Bq L}^{-1}) \times W_{ec} \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ L}^{-1} \times \text{DCF}_{\text{ing}} \times 10^{-3}
\]

and

\[
E_{\text{inh}} \text{ (mSv y}^{-1}) = 222\text{Rn (Bq L}^{-1}) \times R_{aw} \times F \times O \times \text{DCF}_{\text{inh}} \times 10^{-3}
\]

where \(E_{\text{ing}}\) is the annual effective dose from water ingestion (mSv y\(^{-1}\)), \(W_{ec}\) is the weighted estimate of consumption (60 L y\(^{-1}\)), \(\text{DCF}_{\text{ing}}\) is the ingestion dose conversion factor (3.5 nSv Bq\(^{-1}\)), \(E_{\text{inh}}\) is the annual effective dose from inhalation (mSv y\(^{-1}\)), \(R_{aw}\) is the air–water concentration ratio (10\(^{-4}\)), O is the indoor occupancy (7000 h y\(^{-1}\)), F is the equilibrium factor between radon and its decay products (0.4), and \(\text{DCF}_{\text{inh}}\) is the inhalation dose conversion factor (9 nSv (Bq h\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-3}\))\(^{-1}\)).

2.6. Technical Workflow

The technical workflow was prepared to develop a Portuguese Action Plan for Radon (PAPR) in aquifer systems. This workflow is composed of several interconnected modules (Figure 2): (1) The central module that represents water planning policies transposed through the National Water Plan (NWP) of territorial scope, the Hydrographic Region Management Plans (HRMPs) that cover the hydrographic basins integrated in a hydrographic region, and the Specific Water Management Plans (SWMPs), which are complementary to the HRMPs, covering a specific sub-basin or geographic area [32]; (2) the external module that describes all features that contribute to the radon contamination, namely a geogenic source that promotes the radon production in rocks, a climatic feature (rainfall) to understand the dilution effect, and the physical and chemical properties (aquifer type, and physical
and chemical properties of water) which may contribute to the effectiveness of water–rock interaction; (3) the module of corrective and awareness measures for the radiological protection of human health; and (4) the PAPR that covers all previous interconnected modules.

Figure 2. Technical workflow specifically designed to implement a Portuguese Action Plan for radon (PAPR) in aquifers systems. NWP is the National Water Plan, HRMPs are the Hydrographic Region Management Plans, and SWMPs are the Specific Water Management Plans. The details on the workflow are presented in Section 2.6 described above.

The NWP elaborates the principles of the planning of water resources by integrating the sustainable use of these natural resources with the protection and valorization of water bodies, as well as the protection of people against extreme phenomena associated with water contamination [4]. Furthermore, in the NWP, there is no reference related to the contamination by geogenic sources as the leading cause of negative impacts on the state of groundwater bodies. The Water Law (WL) has transposed into the Portuguese legal order by Directive 2000/60/EC, establishing a European framework for action in the field of water policy, the Water Framework Directive (WFD), where the environmental objectives should be followed through the application of specified measures in the HRMPs. These HRMPs for the Douro River basin are planning tools for water resources and aim at the management, protection, and environmental, social, and economic evaluation of water of the Douro river basin [32]. These plans aim to base and guide the protection and management of water, setting the standards of environmental quality and the criteria related to the water state. Although, the main purpose of the PAPR module is verifying if the radon contamination can be connected to several features which may contribute to the interaction of this problematic geogenic pollutant in the water supply. This new approach can also contribute to the demystification of the extreme contamination of groundwater bodies mentioned in these water resource planning, which aim at the management, protection, and environmental, social, and economic assessment of water at the level of integrated river basins (Corgo river basins) within the Douro river basin.
2.7. Management Guidelines

The European Directive [42] provides the health protection of the general public about radioactive substances exposure in water intended for human consumption. The member states shall set a parametric value of 100 Bq L$^{-1}$, applicable for the monitoring of radon in the water supply. In the event of non-compliance with the parametric value, the member states shall assess whether the failure poses a risk to human health, which requires remedial and awareness measures to ensure that the general public is notified of the threat while safeguarding human health and the implementation of preventive behavior [42]. Taking into account the large geographical variability in the natural occurrence of radon, the European Directive recommended remedial actions where radon concentrations exceed 1000 Bq L$^{-1}$.

In contrast with the European guidelines, the transposition to the Portuguese law establishes a parametric value of 500 Bq L$^{-1}$, for which optimization of protection should be continued without comprising water supply on a national or regional scale [43]. In addition, the WHO recommendations should be followed when the radon concentration in the water for human consumption exceeds 100 Bq L$^{-1}$.

3. Results and Discussion

Since it was not possible to sample rocks in areas near the springs, it was decided to use measurements of potential of radon production in rocks (PRn) obtained by Martins [36], who carried out analyses of porosity and K$_2$O in these same rocks. A brief description of radon production methodology is portrayed in Pereira et al. [44]. The total dataset of these three features is depicted in Table S1 from the Supplementary Material. For a consistent dataset preparation for parallel coordinate visualization (PCV) plot projection, these three measured variables were previously interpolated using the Topo to Raster tool in concomitance with the Extract Multi Values to Points tool from ArcMap [44]. For these three parameters, there are missing values in Table S1 of the Supplementary Material because some springs (n = 7) are located in the outside of the raster boundary generated through the performed interpolations (Figure 3a–c). The summary of the total dataset used for the PCV plot is depicted in Table S2 in the Supplementary Material. The complete dataset from the Excel worksheet was prepared in the ArcMap [45] computer package, which is increasingly used in many hydrologic and environmental studies (e.g., [46–65]). The PCV plot is a graphical user interface tool (available in Excel using the XLSTAT statistical software) that analyzes the dissimilarities between grouped objects, resulting in a dendrogram, which shows the following data grouping within the suitable number of geological groups. In the PCV procedure, when mean lines are activated, this option lets XLSTAT display for each lithological group a line that corresponds to the mean of the measured variables ($^{222}$Rn, altitude, rainfall, electric conductivity, temperature, pH, porosity, K$_2$O, and PRn) for each nominal variable (geology group). When the rescale option is activated, it allows for comparing how the data are distributed for multivariate measured variables and facilitates the visualization. Thus, the goal of the PCV plot is to comprise what measured features may influence the dissimilarity between each lithological group. According to the data projected in Figure 3a–c, it is verified that the municipalities of Vila Real and Vila Pouca de Aguiar are composed of a broad cartographic representation of higher values of K$_2$O.

On the other hand, when crossing these results with the geology of the Vila Real municipality, an overlap is observed with the two-mica granites (Group III and IV) and high K$_2$O contents, sometimes subjected to the surface weathering due to physical alterations resulting from the adverse temperature and precipitation that occurs in the region. Besides, K$_2$O content is very noticeable in these type of granites mainly due to the high muscovite amount in comparison to the biotite granites [36]. On the other hand, it can be verified that in the NE of the VPA territory, there are outcrops of Parautochthonous metasediments with low K$_2$O content but with higher porosity (Figure 3a,b). In this way, we can predict that specific groups with high porosity (Groups I and V) have low K$_2$O contents. The radon production potential is higher in granites than in the metasediments, and as such in both municipalities
the granitic rocks can release high radon concentrations into the groundwater (Figure 3c). In this way, it will be expected for both districts to find high levels of radon in the water supply.

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** The geogenic interpolated maps from rocks: (a) Rocks porosity, (b) K₂O contents, and (c) radon production potential [36]. These maps were digitally sampled in ArcMap [45] using the Topo to Raster tool and the Extract Multi Values to Points tool, as to obtain the values of all features for each water collection point.

In the first approach, high concentrations of radon in water for human consumption will be expected, and given the substantial amount of analyzed features, the protection planning of drinking water is crucial to ensure public health. For this purpose, the PCV plot was initially used and depicted in Figure 4.

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** The distribution of dissimilarities results through parallel coordinate visualization plots (PCV).
The PCV projection displays two typical patterns, highlighting the pH and PRn values (Med = 5.9 and 254.9 Bq m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$, respectively) for Group V, and remaining high K$_2$O and $^{222}$Rn values (Med = 5.3% and 5.4% and 441.0 and 588.5 Bq L$^{-1}$, respectively) for Groups III and IV (Figure 4; Table 1). These results clearly show that although Group V develops a high ability to produce radon (PRn), their release into groundwater will not always be effective if the physical and chemical conditions of water do not facilitate their transport. Therefore, once again the water conditions in the biotite granites group, namely low temperature and high pH (Med = 14.6 $^\circ$C and 5.9, respectively) did not provide for the release of radon into the water (Figure 4; Table 1).

### Table 1. Descriptive statistics for climatic, topographic, physical and chemical characteristics, and radiological parameters in water for each geological group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geology Groups</th>
<th>Statistics Criteria</th>
<th>$^{222}$Rn (Bq L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>A (m)</th>
<th>R (mm)</th>
<th>EC (µS cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>T ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>P (%)</th>
<th>K$_2$O (%)</th>
<th>PRn (Bq m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>198.2</td>
<td>654.9</td>
<td>1076.2</td>
<td>123.6</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>160.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>240.8</td>
<td>213.7</td>
<td>436.7</td>
<td>105.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>602.0</td>
<td>1003.0</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>152.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>384.0</td>
<td>453.6</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>95.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>1033.0</td>
<td>1053.0</td>
<td>2275.4</td>
<td>330.0</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>234.2</td>
<td>232.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>493.6</td>
<td>760.5</td>
<td>1307.7</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>154.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>311.9</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>295.2</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>36.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>441.0</td>
<td>760.5</td>
<td>1299.7</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>149.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>116.0</td>
<td>628.0</td>
<td>597.1</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>100.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>1223.0</td>
<td>879.0</td>
<td>1911.0</td>
<td>205.0</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>213.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group IV</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>581.3</td>
<td>702.4</td>
<td>1234.5</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>189.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>387.7</td>
<td>191.0</td>
<td>202.2</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>81.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>588.5</td>
<td>729.0</td>
<td>1263.4</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>165.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>434.0</td>
<td>607.1</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>110.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>1385.0</td>
<td>1035.0</td>
<td>1553.2</td>
<td>343.0</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>397.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group V</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>261.1</td>
<td>773.6</td>
<td>1245.1</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>253.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>202.3</td>
<td>148.5</td>
<td>199.1</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>57.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>203.5</td>
<td>724.5</td>
<td>1241.6</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>254.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>478.0</td>
<td>833.3</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>163.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>749.0</td>
<td>1078.0</td>
<td>1573.9</td>
<td>164.0</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>344.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{222}$Rn (Bq L$^{-1}$) is the radon concentration in springs, A is the altitude (m), R is the rainfall (mm), EC is the electrical conductivity (µS cm$^{-1}$), T is temperature ($^\circ$C), RP is porosity (%), and PRn is the potential of radon production in rocks (Bq m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$).

However, it should also be noted that in the groups of two-mica granites (Group III and IV), there are some differences in several parameters, although not very significant. The features that contributed substantially to a lower concentration of radon in springs of group III were the highest altitude and precipitation (Med = 760.5 m and 1299.7 mm, respectively) compared with lower PRn (Figure 4, Table 1). In this particular group (Group III), rainfall rate may have promoted an increase in aquifer recharge resulting from dilution flow in groundwater bodies. Besides, it is verified that Group I present low values in almost all features except for electrical conductivity and porosity (Med = 58.5 µS cm$^{-1}$ and 3.7%, respectively; Figure 4, Table 1). Thus, despite the high porosity displayed by the metasediments, the ability to produce radon is meager, so there is a low amount of radon to be transported to the water used for public consumption.

Therefore, we can anticipate that springs located in metasedimentary areas may represent a low risk of radon exposure. For the efficiency of land-use planning, these considerations should be included in municipality and management planning related to water protection policies (NAP, HRMP, and SWMP) for the promotion of radiation protection in groundwater bodies.

A projection of two maps for the promotion of an effective territory planning and radiological protection of human health is depicted in Figure 5a,b, to carry out the analysis of the radon risk contamination in drinking water in concomitance with the land-use map.
The distribution of dissimilarities results through parallel coordinate visualization plots (PCV).

Figure 4.

Figure 5. Comparison between interpolated distributions of radon and land-use map: (a) Radon risk map for drinking water with the projection of population density; and (b) land-use map with water collection points through graduated values.

The data projection about radon contamination in water intended for human consumption shows that the high-risk areas (801–1400 Bq L$^{-1}$) overlap the granitic substrates, however with greater cartographic representation in the two-mica granites of the Vila Real municipality and the lower-risk spots (0–200 Bq L$^{-1}$) on metasedimentary areas (Figure 5a). On the other hand, it is also observed that the areas with high population density are located precisely in high-risk regions of radon exposure, and as such, preventive and awareness-raising measures will need to be taken to warn citizens about the hazards of radiological exposure.

A more detailed analysis of radon concentration in the different land-use systems shows that the artificial areas present a higher average radon concentration (506.9 Bq L$^{-1}$; Figures 5b and 6) because they are mostly implanted in granitic areas (Figures 1e and 5). The forest area is quite broad in both municipalities and therefore has a lower average radon concentration (334.6 Bq L$^{-1}$) because several lithologies represent it with noticeable differences in radon production from geogenic sources (Figure 5b).

In the last years, several radiological studies about water intended for human consumption were carried out in some European countries like Portugal (64–9784, 3–2295, and 0.3–938 Bq L$^{-1}$), Spain (2–31000 Bq L$^{-1}$), Greece (3–26 Bq L$^{-1}$), Germany (1–1800 Bq L$^{-1}$), and Austria (2–644 Bq L$^{-1}$) [18,20,66–70]. The radiological results for this study area show that in several springs, radon concentrations in water (14–1385 Bq L$^{-1}$; Table 1) were higher than the results obtained in other countries.
In general, after a thorough analysis of drinking water (n=69), it was confirmed that 52 springs have radon concentrations above the limit imposed by international guidelines of 100 Bq L\(^{-1}\), and 22 of them have radon concentrations above the national guidelines of 500 Bq L\(^{-1}\). According to European Union requirements, whenever radon concentrations in water exceed the value of 1000 Bq L\(^{-1}\) (n=4), corrective measures should be considered to implement effective health protection (Table S2). Since drinking water conditions affect the intake of radionuclides, it is necessary to ascertain that the dose of ingestion and inhalation from this source was properly evaluated for this region. Therefore, we projected in box plots the annual effective dose from ingestion and inhalation of water to ensure the protection from radiological hazards (Figure 7).

The global average of total annual effective dose from inhalation of radon is thus 1.1 mSv y\(^{-1}\) (where the decay products present in the air are included), and for ingestion the global average is an annual effective dose of 1.2 mSv y\(^{-1}\) [41].

The groups of two-mica granites (Group III and IV) present a higher average in the annual effective dose from ingestion and inhalation of radon compared to the remaining groups. Since these groups have higher concentrations of radon in drinking water (Figure 4), it is again demonstrated that the annual effective dose from ingestion and inhalation of radon confirms the increased risk if exposure is constant (Figure 7a,b).

Regarding the annual effective dose for ingestion, there is only one spring with higher values than the average worldwide. On the contrary, the effective dose by inhalation has a more significant impact in 27 springs (Figure 7c; Table S2). Radon inhalation plays the main role in the assessment of radionuclides exposure in water intended for human consumption. As previously mentioned, the highest number of springs with higher effective doses by inhalation (Figure 7c) is also located in Groups III and IV (Figure 7d). Several corrective measures can be applied in these areas (e.g., use of aeration diffusers, granular activated carbon filters, ionic and reversed osmosis, and nanofiltration) to minimize the effects of high dose exposure and distinguish the actual risk to human health.

The removal of radon through activated carbon filters is the easiest and low-cost solution for the treatment of consumer water as an individual solution [71]. Long-term analytical monitoring of at least one hydrological year in areas considered to be at high risk and the protection against the action of stochastic radon effects are also advisable.
According to the Municipality Planning of Vila Pouca de Aguiar, in this territory there are groundwater collection points used for human consumption which have defined some priority guidelines for the preservation of groundwater, including management of water resources to monitor and improve water quality [72]. Of the total number of sampled springs of the VPA municipality (n = 36), 14% of them present radon concentrations above the limit imposed by Portuguese legislation. On the other hand, the municipality of VR reveals more concern, because of the 33 springs sampled, 48% of them present radon values above 500 Bq L\(^{-1}\). According to the HRMPs, all groundwater bodies have “Good Condition” resulting from contamination by anthropogenic origin, yet once again, the water contamination from geogenic sources has never been addressed in these plans but should be.

In the groundwater quality disclosure platform (SNIRH), there is not any monitoring water point for the municipality of VPA. On the contrary, for the VR municipality, there are only three monitoring points, with only one single analysis in 2006, concerned with conductivity, nitrates, pH, ammoniacal nitrogen, and chlorides. In Portugal, there seems to be remarkable disinvestment in the dissemination and promotion of groundwater quality. However, there is still a great deal of concern about climate changes, with the long-term practice of using groundwater in periods of increased water scarcity for public consumption from deep sources. Due to the water scarcity from climate changes, the Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro region is particularly affected in regard to groundwater availability and quality used for human consumption [32].

Future research will focus on the study of the weights attributed to each of these factors influencing radon contamination in the water intended for human consumption, using Partial Least Squares-Path Modelling.
4. Conclusions

Measurements of radon concentrations were performed to assess the radiological effect in springs of Corgo River basins and two municipalities of Vila Pouca de Aguiar e Vila Real, which cover almost the entire catchment area. These springs are located in northern Portugal in a rural environment, where radon production in rocks in some areas represents the main threat to groundwater contamination through the weathering of uranium-bearing minerals from geogenic sources. The threat is confirmed by high radon concentrations between 500 and 1400 Bq L$^{-1}$, assessed in summer seasons. However, measured radon concentrations fulfill the imposed national limit in 22 springs which are thus considered to be unsuitable for drinking water. It is worth mentioning that four springs reached the maximum levels of radon contamination above 1000 Bq L$^{-1}$ and should be immediately subject to mitigation measures in accordance with the European Directive [42].

The PAPR in groundwater resources is therefore intended to be a comprehensive but pragmatic plan, which can be framed in the policies for the management of water, and it is endowed with a strategic vision of water quality promotion, namely: (a) Achieve a good status in the quality of groundwater bodies, (b) promote an efficient management of the risks associated with the contamination of geogenic origin, and (c) raise the awareness of the Portuguese society using publicity campaigns for more active citizen participation in the mitigation measures. It is also intended to promote further research on the long-term control of groundwater recharge, through possible scenarios arising from climate changes, and adjust the monitoring of water intended for human consumption regarding the risk of contamination for the promotion of effective control by public entities of management and protection of groundwater resources. The organization of a new management system of information that enables an up-to-date radiological knowledge of water resources should be developed, and be made available in a timely and expeditious way, promoting public awareness about the hazards of radon ingestion. Thus, the efficient management of groundwater subject to geogenic contamination should be based on long-term protection of available water resources, protecting citizens of these two municipalities from damage caused by radon exposure and at the same time ensuring the water supply for the population. This study contributes to the early warning systems of aquifer pollution from geogenic contamination that provide an improved resilience and security for groundwater resources quality. Overall, this study is extremely valuable for water planners and policy decision makers because this water–rock interaction performance sheds light over the headwaters that require special attention for adequate protection of groundwater quality. The results of this study may also contribute to the operational priorities of NWP, HRMPs, SWMPs, and municipalities land-use planning for water sustainability achievement extended to areas that require secure water resources availability and quality within aquifer systems. This study will also contribute to the implementation of priorities for the sustainable management of water resources set in the 2030 Agenda (Goal 6—clean water and sanitation) with a clear strategy based on research and innovation to solve immediate problems in contamination headwaters, while at the same time anticipating future needs. Understanding the relationship between groundwater contamination risk and rock–water interaction is therefore crucial for the correct planning of groundwater quality protection. In line with the Agenda 2030, water resource planners may end up restricting land-uses where radon concentrations in groundwater are high, paying less attention to some areas where the sources of radon are located. These warnings are supported by the conclusions of this study since the spatial distribution of radon activity in drinking water does not always overlap with areas of higher radon production in the rocks or vice versa. Therefore, there is a need for new aquifer management models that address the broad impacts of geogenic contamination in the whole groundwater cycle, promoting long-term sustainability and public health.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/11/4/760/s1, Table S1: Radon production potential removed from Martins [36], porosity and K$_2$O measurements from rocks, Table S2: Dataset of radiological measurements and physical-chemical properties in water intended for human
consumption, geology groups, topographic and climatic features, interpolation of radioactive and porosity measurements in rocks and total effective dose from ingestion and inhalation of water.
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