Passage Performance of Technical Pool-Type Fishways for Potamodromous Cyprinids: Novel Experiences in Semiarid Environments
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Abstract: Endemic freshwater fish from semiarid environments are among the most threatened species in the world due to water overexploitation and habitat fragmentation problems. Stepped or pool-type fishways are used worldwide to reestablish longitudinal connectivity and mitigate fish migration problems. Many of them are being installed or planned in rivers of semiarid environments, however, very few studies about fish passage performance through pool-type fishways has been carried out to date on these regions. The present work focuses on the passage performance of two potamodromous cyprinids endemic of these regions, with different ecological and swimming behavior: southern Iberian barbel (Luciobarbus sclateri) and Iberian straight-mouth nase (Pseudochondrostoma polylepis). These are assessed in two of the most common types of stepped fishways: vertical slot and submerged notch with bottom orifice fishways. Experiments were carried out during the spawning season in the Segura River (southeastern Spain), using a passive integrated transponder (PIT) tag and antenna system. Ascent success was greater than 80%, with a median transit time lower than 17 minutes per meter of height in all trials, and for both species and fishway types. Results show that both types of fishways, if correctly designed and constructed, provide interesting alternatives for the restoration of fish migration pathways in these regions.
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1. Introduction

Rivers in semiarid environments are subjected to strong seasonal variability—long drought periods alternated with large but brief floods [1,2]. Thus, water resources are strongly exploited, and rivers are highly affected by barriers and flow regulation [3,4]. Transverse barriers, such as dams, weirs, and gauging stations, and the involved habitat fragmentation are considered the main threats to ichthyofauna worldwide [5,6], including in semiarid regions [7–9]. Moreover, near-future scenarios suggest more water demand and the exacerbation of human stressors [10,11]. In these areas (i.e., from the circum-Mediterranean region to Central Asia), freshwater fish fauna present a high degree of endemism and are characterized by a low number of families, with most of the species belonging to the Cyprinidae family [12–15]. The most abundant species are barbels (genus Barbus and
Luciobarbus) and nases (genus Chondrostoma, Pseudochondrostoma, and Parachondrostoma). Both rheophilic potamodromous cyprinids are under different levels of threat according to the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species [4,16]. This ichthyofaunistic group is an important link for the trophic interactions within the ecosystem and inhabits the entire length of the river, migrating during the spring in order to reproduce in shallow waters with gravel and moderate current velocity [13,17]. Two representative potamodromous fish species of the Iberian semiarid region are the Southern Iberian barbel (Luciobarbus sclateri) and the Iberian straight-mouth nase (Pseudochondrostoma polypleys) [18]. Both species are common in the southern and eastern Iberian Peninsula and they show different ecological traits and swimming behavior [19]. The Southern Iberian barbel is defined as a sentinel species [20] in this region, and it is a large-bodied benthic fish that lives in slow water velocity habitats. Iberian straight-mouth nase is a medium-sized water column fish that inhabits running waters [16].

River connectivity is an essential requirement for the effective functioning of freshwater ecosystems, and in particular for allowing fish to complete their life cycles [21,22]. The longitudinal connectivity for fish is usually restored by different types of fishways. Technical pool-type or stepped fishways are the most used designs around the world [23,24], including in semiarid regions [25–28]. Nevertheless, in northern Africa, the Eastern Mediterranean area, and Central Asia, fishways in cyprinid rivers are still very scarce [29–31]. These types of fishways consist of pools connected by cross-walls with slots, notches, and/or orifices, which divide the total height of an obstacle into smaller drops to ensure that the hydraulic conditions inside are in the range of the physical capacities of fish fauna, and thus, enable their passage [32,33]. The most common designs in the Iberian Peninsula are vertical slot (VS) and submerged notch with bottom orifice (SNBO) fishways [26,34].

On one hand, VS fishways allow fish movements at any desired depth through the slot and they tolerate variations in the upstream water levels better than SNBO, although they need more discharge than SNBO to get the same depth. In the other hand, SNBO can work with greater slopes and a wider range of design discharge, and they always ensure a minimum depth in the pool. In SNBO, the bottom orifice allows benthic fish passage, although it can be easily clogged by debris [24,32].

The suitability of both VS and SNBO has been previously probed for North American and Central European cyprinids [35], and even for some northern Iberian cyprinids, such as Iberian barbel (Luciobarbus bocagei) and northern straight-mouth nase (Psudochondrostoma duriense) [36–38]. Similar ascension and swimming behaviors are usually assumed for other species of the same genus or family, and thus fishway design criteria of one region are usually extrapolated to other river basins [24,39–41]. Nevertheless, species have evolved by adapting to different hydraulic regimens and climate conditions. Semiarid South Iberian rivers are usually more unsteady and warmer than northern ones. Fishways assessments in this region are still scarce. Hence, studying passage performance of southern fish species will provide important information about their ascent abilities, and in general will improve fishway design.

Passage performance depends on the interactions between physical (geometric and hydraulic features of the fishway), biological (fish behavior, swimming ability, age, sex, physiological status), and environmental (water temperature, fishway discharge) parameters [42–45]. Standardized passage metrics based on movement theory usually consider fish ascent success, transit time, and motivation (definitions in Section 2.4) to quantify passage performance [46–48], and also to compare different types of fishways or to understand the swimming behavior of different fish species [36,38,49].

The present study focuses on the passage performance of two potamodromous cyprinids from a semiarid region of the Iberian Peninsula, Southern Iberian barbel and Iberian straight-mouth nase, in the two main typologies of pool-type fishways: VS and SNBO. Specifically, ascent success, passage time, and motivation of target fish are analyzed to determine: (1) if both types of fishways are suitable for them; (2) if there are differences in passage efficiency between fishway types and fish species; and (3) to understand the influence of hydraulic and biometric parameters on ascent metrics. This information will help biologists and engineers in fishway design, implementation, and management decisions in many semiarid watercourses inhabited by species with similar behavior.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area and Experimental Sites

The Segura Basin, in the southeast of Spain, is one of the most arid European regions, with an average annual temperature of 18 °C, scarce rainfall (approximately 300 mm/year), and intense surface and groundwater overexploitation for irrigation [50]. The experiments were carried out in two fishways located in the middle part of the Segura River: VS in the El Jarral weir (Universal Transverse Mercator –UTM- Grid Zone 30 North; European Terrestrial Reference System 1989 -ETRS 89-, X: 640577, Y: 4229308; Abarán, Murcia) and SNBO in the post-trasvase weir (UTM30 ETRS 89, X: 613788, Y: 4235661; Calasparra, Murcia) (Figure 1). Both fishways are placed in small weirs for combined irrigation and hydropower production. The distance between them is 44.7 km and they share similar environmental characteristics (flow discharge, substrate, vegetation, and fish population). In the study reach, the Segura River has a catchment area of 8486 km², with a mean altitude of about 200 m above mean sea level, and a mean annual discharge of 20.35 m³/s. It is placed in the Epipotamon zone [51], and corresponds to E4 category: gravel bed stream of high sinuosity with a slope of 0.001–0.02 m/m [52]. The fish community in the main stem of the Segura River is very altered due to the modification of the hydrological regime for irrigation and the introduction of non-native invasive species [53]. In the middle part of the basin, among the most abundant potamodromous migratory species are the native southern Iberian barbel and the translocated Iberian straight-mouth nase (hereafter referred to as barbel and nase, respectively) [53].

![Figure 1. Study site location: Segura River basin in the semiarid region of the western circum-Mediterranean Area.](image)

Both fishways were designed and constructed as a part of the Segura-Riverlink LIFE12 ENV/ES/001140 project [54], following the standard design guidelines [24,32] and considering the geometrical and hydraulic recommendations for cyprinids (Figure 2 and Table 1). Fishway bottoms were covered by substrates from the riverbed to increase roughness, and discharge can be regulated by a sluice gate located in the flow entrance. At each fishway, a section with a 1.80 m head (difference between the headwater and tailwater levels) was selected for the trials.
Figure 2. Experimental set-up. Black cross-walls: closing mesh (start and finish of the test section); black circles: closing mesh during adaptation period; mesh over the pools: adaptation pools; dotted circles: antennas with their position number (antenna 1 - A1-, antenna 2 - A2-, etc., being 1.80 m, the relative height between A1 and A4 for both fishways); arrows indicate flow and fish ascent directions. Note: SNBO = submerged notch with bottom orifice; VS = vertical slot.

Table 1. Mean geometric and hydraulic variables for the studied vertical slot (VS) and submerged notch with bottom orifice (SNBO) fishways. Range of values in brackets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>VS</th>
<th>SNBO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pool dimension (length × width)</td>
<td>2.10 m × 1.60 m</td>
<td>2.40 m × 1.60 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>6.52%</td>
<td>7.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pools between A1 and A4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of the slot/notch ¹</td>
<td>0.23 m (0.20–0.23)</td>
<td>0.32 m (0.31–0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of the notch sill ¹</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.49 m (0.45–0.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom orifice size (length × width)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.20 m × 0.25 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drop between pools ¹</td>
<td>0.15 m (0.14–0.19)</td>
<td>0.19 m (0.13–0.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean water depth ¹</td>
<td>0.91 m</td>
<td>0.99 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow discharge ²</td>
<td>0.29 m³/s</td>
<td>0.31 m³/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volumetric Energy Dissipation</td>
<td>118 W/m³ (110–149)</td>
<td>148 W/m³ (102–181)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water velocity at the slot/notch ³</td>
<td>1.38 m/s (1.10–1.47)</td>
<td>1.24 m/s (0.80–1.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water velocity at the orifice ³</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.72 m/s (1.42–1.93)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Measured with a total station (model Leica TC307, Heerbrugg, Switzerland) or measuring tape ± 0.01 m; ² Calculated with in the same manner as Fuentes-Pérez et al. [33]; ³ Direct measurements with a propeller flowmeter ± 0.01 m/s (model 2100, Swoffer Instruments Inc., Summer, WA, USA). Note: NA = not applicable.

The boundary conditions imposed by the river at the entrance of the fishway (water level downstream) during the trials influenced the lower part of the fishway, producing non-uniform conditions with backwater profiles (more evident in the SNBO), increasing the water depth in the most downstream pools and reducing the water drops, and thus obtaining lower values of water velocity and energy dissipation [33,55].

2.2. Fish Capture, Tagging, and Handling

A passive integrated transponder (PIT) tag and antenna system was used to study fish movements. Trials were performed from 3–8 May 2017, within barbel and nase reproductive migration periods. Fish were captured by electrofishing (Erreka model; 2000 W, 200–250 V, and 2–3 A) one day before trials in river reaches upstream of each fishway. There were unpassable barriers between the capture areas and the experimental fishways. Once captured, fish were anesthetized with tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-222, 0.1 g/L), measured (fork length, ±0.1 cm), weighed (±1 g),
and tagged with a PIT tag (Table 2). A PIT tag is an encapsulated microchip used for radiofrequency identification (RFID). PIT tags were introduced into the intraperitoneal cavity of the fish through an incision posterior to the left pectoral fin [56]. As the weight of each tag must be lower than 2% of the fish weight, two sizes of PIT tags were used: 23 mm long and 3.65 mm diameter, and 12 mm long and 2.12 mm diameter (TIRIS model RI181 TRP-WRHP; Texas Instruments). This method shows negligible effects on growth, survival, and behavior of many species [57] and is very common in fish movement studies [58].

Afterwards, fish were transported in aerated water tanks (100 L) and subsequently stabled in two similar groups per fishway (mix of barbel and nase) for acclimation inside cages: groups VS1 and SNBO1 in a pool in the fishway, and groups VS2 and SNBO2 in the river near the fishway (Table 2). Prior to the start of the trials, each group was confined to the initial pool (the most downstream; Figure 2) by two closing mesh areas and a low fishway flow (50 L/s), to ensure adaptation and avoid stress or fatigue. Fish were not fed during experiments, although they could access natural food sources drifting into the cages or on the bottom. No fish died during or after the tagging process and trials.

Fish were treated in accordance with the European Union Directive 2010/63/UE on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes, and following the ethical guidelines of Murcia University and the Government of Murcia, under authorization AU F20150077. All efforts were made to minimize stress, and fish were released after the experiments.

2.3. Trials

A system of antennas was used in both fishways to detect the movements of the PIT-tagged fish. Four antennas were installed in each fishway at a total head of 1.80 m (Figure 2), covering the slot in VS and the notch and the orifice in SNBO, with a detection range of ±20 cm distance from the antenna. Each antenna was connected to a reader (Half Duplex multiplexer reader, ORFID®, Portland, Oregon, USA) programmed to send and receive information at 14 Hz (3.5 Hz or 0.29 s per antenna).

At the start of a trial, the fishway gate was open, allowing usual operating flow (Table 1), and the closing mesh in the starting pool was removed. Therefore, fish were allowed to ascend volitionally but they could not escape from the fishway due to the presence of the closing meshes in both the lower and upper zones of the experimental area (Figure 2). If a fish reached the uppermost pool, it had two options: remaining there or descending. Two 16-hour trials (from 8:00 to 24:00 h) were attempted in each fishway, one for each fish group; thus, a fish participated in only one trial (Table 2). Prior to trials, other fish that remained in the fishways were removed before releasing experimental individuals from each group.

Water level and temperature were monitored at 30 min intervals (Orpheus Mini, OTT Hydromet GmbH, Kempten, Germany), with small variations observed during the trials (16–19 °C in the VS group and 14–17 °C in the SNBO group). The weather was sunny and cloudless during the experiments.

Table 2. Fish samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fish group</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Length (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS1</td>
<td>Barbel</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20.0 ± 4.2</td>
<td>11.2–28.2</td>
<td>133 ± 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nase</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>13.5–20.0</td>
<td>44 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS2</td>
<td>Barbel</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>19.9 ± 3.3</td>
<td>15.6–27.9</td>
<td>127 ± 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nase</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15.0 ± 1.6</td>
<td>12.8–19.6</td>
<td>40 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNBO1</td>
<td>Barbel</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17.9 ± 8.9</td>
<td>11.5–43.7</td>
<td>166 ± 344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nase</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.8 ± 2.8</td>
<td>11.6–19.6</td>
<td>39 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNBO2</td>
<td>Barbel</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>11.8–20.0</td>
<td>56 ± 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nase</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.0 ± 3.3</td>
<td>11.0–20.5</td>
<td>35 ± 29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Barbels and nases from SNBO group were significantly smaller \((p < 0.05)\) than those from VS group. 

\(N\) = number of fish tagged with PITs; \(K\) = condition factor \((100 \times \text{weight/fork length})\); \(SD\) = standard deviation; \(VS\) = vertical slot fishway; \(SNBO\) = submerged notch with bottom orifice fishway; \(barbel = Luciobarbus\) sclateri; \(nase = Pseudochondrostoma\) polylepis.

2.4. Data Analysis

During each trial, fish were able to make several ascents. To separate the ascent movements from the exploratory ones, we considered a passage attempt as when a fish reached the second antenna (Figure 2, A2). The last detection at antenna 1 (Figure 2, A1) was considered as the starting time of the attempt. The attempts in which the fish reached the most upstream antenna (Figure 2, A4), were deemed successful; otherwise, they were deemed failures.

2.4.1. Ascent Analysis

Of those fish that performed attempts, ascent performance was analyzed using two usual metrics [36–38]: (1) ascent success: percentage of fish that reached A4, in relation to the total number of fish that attempted it. It was analyzed by the chi-square test of independence; and (2) transit time: time taken to move from A1 (last detection) to A4 (first detection) in the fastest successful attempt. Cox proportional hazards regression (PROC, PHREG for SAS) with the Schoenfeld and Martingale residuals test for proportionality [59,60] was used to identify differences in transit time by fishway type and species. In addition, survival analysis based on regression models (PROC, LIFEREG, and “Predict” Macro for SAS; [61]) was used to predict the transit time as a function of the significant covariates and the likelihood of ascent in a given time. The best fitting model was selected using Akaike’s information criterion (AIC) [62]. Additionally, transit time was also expressed in minutes per meter of ascent height \((\text{min/m})\); transit time divided by total water level height ascended), allowing equivalent comparisons to be made between fishways or species. The median was used as the reference value due to the non-normal distribution of the data.

2.4.2. Motivation Analysis

Motivation was studied using three specific metrics: (1) attempt percentage: percentage of fish that attempted to ascend in relation to the total number of fish, analyzed using the chi-square test of independence; (2) number of attempts per fish: number of attempts staged by those fish, analyzed via Mann-Whitney test for median comparisons; and (3) attempt rate: proportion of attempts per unit of time, analyzed by Cox proportional hazards regression with Schoenfeld and Martingale test, which stratified the attempts and assessed the influence of fishway type and species. A differentiation between the first attempt (pre-attempt: first attempt in a trial) and the rest of the attempts was considered [38,60].

Water temperature, depth, and fishway discharge were considered invariant during the time of the trials. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS® (Cary, NC, USA) (version 9.4) and Statgraphics Centurion (Statgraphics Technologies, Inc., The Plains, Virginia, USA) (version XVI.II) software.

3. Results

Fish groups in each fishway (VS1 vs. VS2, and SNBO1 vs. SNBO2) showed no significant differences in all ascent and motivation metrics \((p > 0.05\) in all cases). Therefore, data for both groups of fish in the same fishway were merged and processed together as a single group. In addition, the possibility of fatigue or learning during the ascent was analyzed by comparing the different attempts, but no pattern was observed to support those hypotheses.

3.1. Ascent Analysis

The ascent success in all cases exceeded 80%, with significant differences between the type of fishways for barbel \((\chi^2 = 4.735, \ p = 0.032)\) but not for nase \((\chi^2 = 1.609, \ p = 0.289)\) (Table 3). The ascent
success had no relation with the fish length for both species ($p > 0.500$ in all cases). Regarding transit time, significant differences were found between types of fishway and species. Barbel needed more time than nase in both fishways ($p = 0.052$ in VS and $p = 0.020$ in SNBO), and spent less time in VS than in SNBO ($p < 0.001$) (Table 3). However, nase spent similar median time in VS and SNBO ($p = 0.687$; Table 3).

Table 3. Results of the used metrics: percentage of attempts, number of attempts, ascent success, and transit time (1.80 m head).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metrics</th>
<th>VS (N = 110)</th>
<th>SNBO (N = 56)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barbel</td>
<td>Nase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempt percentage</td>
<td>(58/66) 87.9%</td>
<td>(39/44) 88.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median number of attempts</td>
<td>3 (1–16)</td>
<td>5 (1–12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascent success</td>
<td>(55/58) 94.9%</td>
<td>(38/39) 94.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median transit time (min)</td>
<td>(54) 12.5 min</td>
<td>(37) 8.0 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median transit time per meter of height</td>
<td>(1.3–274.5)</td>
<td>(1.2–342.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1: Fish number for the calculation of transit time differs from the total number of successful ascents due to some missing time data in antenna 1. Note: VS = vertical slot fishway; SNBO = submerged notch with bottom orifice fishway; barbel = *Luciobarbus sclateri*; nase = *Pseudochondrostoma polylepis*. The number of fish is indicated in brackets, except for the median number of attempts and median transit time per meter of height, where the range is in brackets.

Overall, fish length showed a significant relationship with transit time ($p = 0.024$). The best fitting predictive model between fish length and transit time was log-logistic survival regression. For barbel, fish length showed an inverse relation with the transit time (the longer fish, the less transit time) in both fishway types ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 3 and Table 4). For nase, while there were no significant differences in VS by fish length ($p = 0.981$), for SNBO the analysis results were significant ($p = 0.016$) but not conclusive, due to the low number of successful ascents (Table 3).

Figure 3. Predictive log-logistic survival model for the proportion of fish ascending (1 m of height exceeded) at a given transit time as a function of fish species and fork length (10, 15, and 20 cm) (a), and fishway type and length for barbel (which showed significant differences) (b). Note: VS = vertical Slot fishway; SNBO = submerged notch with bottom orifice fishway (SNBO); barbel = *Luciobarbus sclateri*; nase = *Pseudochondrostoma polylepis*. Nase in SNBO are not included due to the small sample size, which would not achieve a reliable predictive model.

Based on this model (Figure 3 and Table 4), the effect could be expressed approximately as reduction in transit time of 7.5% for barbel in VS ($\exp(\beta) - 1 = \exp(-0.078) - 1 = -0.075$) and 3.4% in SNBO per cm increase in fork length (considering the mean value of all fish). As an example of
prediction, it could be said that 50% of barbel with a fork length of 15 cm would ascend 1 m height in 10 min for VS and in 17 min for SNBO. However, if the fork length was 10 cm, the transit time would be 14 min/m and 21 min/m, respectively.

Condition factor did not show significant relationships in any case for ascent analysis parameters.

Table 4. Estimation of the parameters of log-logistic survival model ($\mu =$ regression intercept; $\beta =$ fork length, in cm; $\sigma =$ curve shape of the model) for the proportion of fish ascending at a given transit time as a function of fish length by fishway type (predictive model in Figure 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>$p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barbel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept ($\mu$)</td>
<td>3.4601</td>
<td>0.5425</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.3816</td>
<td>0.3209</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length ($\beta$)</td>
<td>$-0.0784$</td>
<td>0.0268</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>$-0.0350$</td>
<td>0.0155</td>
<td>0.0239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape ($\sigma$)</td>
<td>0.4236</td>
<td>0.0487</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3657</td>
<td>0.0725</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept ($\mu$)</td>
<td>1.6243</td>
<td>1.7664</td>
<td>0.3578</td>
<td>5.4887</td>
<td>1.6238</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length ($\beta$)</td>
<td>$-0.0028$</td>
<td>0.1161</td>
<td>0.9809</td>
<td>$-0.2365$</td>
<td>0.0979</td>
<td>0.0157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape ($\sigma$)</td>
<td>0.6001</td>
<td>0.0882</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3033</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SE = coefficient standard error; VS: vertical slot fishway; SNBO = submerged notch with bottom orifice fishway. barbel = Luciobarbus sclateri; nase = Pseudochondrostoma polylepis.

3.2. Motivation Analysis

The attempt percentage exceeded 75% in all cases, except for the nase in SNBO (Table 3). Nase performed a significantly lower number of attempts in SNBO ($\chi^2 = 11.987; p = 0.001$), while barbel showed no differences between fishways ($\chi^2 = 2.168; p = 0.074$) (Table 3). The median number of attempts per fish was higher for barbel in VS than in SNBO (3 vs. 2 attempts respectively; $p = 0.0165$), whereas there were no significant differences for nase (5 vs. 3 attempts; $p = 0.168$).

Regarding the attempt rate, there were significant differences in relation to the type of fishway ($p < 0.001$) but not between species ($p > 0.05$) for both pre-attempt rate and rate of the rest of attempts (Table 5 and Figure 4). The model fits indicated a significantly lower rate in SNBO than in VS ($\exp(\beta) - 1)\times100 = 61.4\%$ and 45.1% for pre-attempt rate and rate of the rest of attempts, respectively). This means that the likelihood of staging an attempt (hazards ratio = $\exp(\beta)$) in SNBO is 38.6% lower for pre-attempt and 54.9% lower for other attempts.

Table 5. Estimation of the parameters of the Cox proportional hazards models ($\beta$: regression coefficient; HR: hazards ratio = $\exp(\beta)$; SE: standard error) for the attempt rate (pre-attempt and rest of attempts) in relation to the type of fishway and species for the reference factors submerged notch with bottom orifice fishway (SNBO) and nase (Iberian straight-mouth nase).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Pre-attempt rate</th>
<th>Rest of attempts rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta \pm SE$</td>
<td>$p$-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishway</td>
<td>$-0.951 \pm 0.189$</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $\exp(\beta)$ is the hazards ratio. SNBO = submerged notch with bottom orifice fishway.
4. Discussion

Most river basins in the Iberian Peninsula have a Mediterranean character, and therefore their native fish communities have evolved and are structured according to these semiarid environments [20,63]. These fish species have developed unique life-history strategies, which together with their high degree of rarity and endemicity, determine the high conservation interest presented by these faunal communities [8], as in other regions in the circum-Mediterranean area [12,14]. Longitudinal connectivity disruption is one of the main alterations threatening fish populations [4,7,18], which is probably magnified in environmental scenarios, such as in the Iberian rivers in the Mediterranean area [9].

The present work shows that mitigation measures, such as fishways designed according to criteria of their native fish species, could reduce river fragmentation impacts on these areas. Thus, the passage efficiency is presented for two potamodromous cyprinids, which are sentinel species from semiarid environments with different swimming behavior (Southern Iberian barbel and Iberian straight-mouth nase), through two of the most common pool-type fishways (vertical slot and submerged notch with bottom orifice fishways).

Results reveal that both species easily overcame both types of fishways. Overall, ascent success exceeded 80% in all trials, with a median transit time lower than 20 min/m for barbel and 10 min/m for nase, which would not imply an important migratory delay in any case. These values are similar to those for congeners *Luciobarbus bocagei* and *Pseudochondrostoma duriense* in the Duero River basin (northern Iberian Peninsula) [36–38]. Other studies for the close relative *Barbus barbus* in the Swiss Rhone River showed analogous transit time to ours in pool-type fishways [64]. In general, fish size influenced the time needed to overcome the fishway, with longer times for the smaller fish, which is consistent with other fishway evaluations [36–38,65]. Longer fish had more body mass, as well large fins, which are very important as sources of propulsive forces to cross velocity barriers faster [65,66]. Nevertheless, the study reveals significant differences in the variables that define the ascent and the motivation between fishways and species. Nase ascended both types with similar speeds and faster than barbel, meanwhile the latter ascended faster in VS and with higher percentage of success. Both species presented a higher motivation in VS.

Despite the fact that both barbel and nase are rheophilic potamodromous cyprinids [16] and usually coexist in the same river reaches, their swimming behavior is quite different. Barbel is a benthic fish, with a robust body and greater swimming ability than nase for the same fork length [67,68]. on the other hand, nase is a water column fish, with a slender body and good swimming and leaping aptitudes, which allow them to easily overcome obstacles [36].
Differences between our target species could be related to the date of the trials in relation to the reproductive periods of both [19]. Nase fish usually start spawning migration earlier than barbel, with the migratory peak in May, whereas barbel fish start at the beginning of June for the Segura River Basin [69]. Therefore, nase fish were expected to be more active than barbel during trials. However, ascent motivation was similar in both species, except for nase fish in SNBO, which showed lower attempt percentage. The upper water origin for the nase sample in SNBO compared to VS could partly explain the lower motivation for nase group. Colder water temperatures in upper parts of the basin could delay the maturation, and therefore the motivation, compared to the middle part in the main stream, and also the change of water quality from the capture area to the experimental site could influence fish behavior [37]. Moreover, although the difference between SNBO and VS in the experimental fish size was very small, individuals from the first type were significantly smaller, which could also have had an effect on fish motivation. All of our experimental individuals were mature fish [19], but in the case of nase fish, the first size of maturity was very close to the average size of the experimental specimens, which was more obvious in SNBO individuals.

Alexandre et al. [70] observed differences in swimming ability for *Luciobarbus bocagei* population depending on the river stretch of origin, which could be related to their genetic origin, but also to the habitat drivers from each population. Results from our study also showed slight differences in metrics, which could be explained by the origin of the populations and of each target species. Although nase has been completely adapted to the Segura River Basin since its colonization [19], it originally inhabits stretches of high–moderate velocity in their native source from the Tagus River, which are very different from the slow waters of the Segura River in the studied fluvial sector. Compared to barbel, it is best adapted to running waters, which could explain the faster transit times observed in the present study.

Although the hydraulic design parameters of both fishways were within the usual recommendations for cyprinids [24,32], some slight differences were found between them regarding the volumetric energy dissipation and water velocity. Changes in headwater or tailwater levels modify the hydraulic conditions in the entrance from the ones defined during the design process, causing non-uniform (backwater or drawdown) profiles [33]. During trials, the SNBO presented a more evident backwater profile, where the downstream pools, including the starting pools and the pools between A1 and A2, were more submerged (water drops <0.15 m) than the other pools. This produced lower water velocity in the notches and orifices, and lower volumetric energy dissipation in pools (less than 1 m/s and 100 W/m³), which could have reduced the motivation due to the relationship with velocity in the notches [60,71,72], which would have increased the transit time. Larinier et al. [73] recommends a speed higher than 1 m/s in the notches and slots for most species, and in the order of 2 m/s to 2.4 m/s for large rheophilic fish.

Therefore, fishway design projects for upstream migrating fish, specifically for potamodromous cyprinids in semiarid environments such as the Segura River, may provide an opportunity to develop safe, timely, and effective fish passage structures. Results obtained in this field study reveal that both fishway types, if correctly designed and constructed, offer interesting alternatives to mitigate the longitudinal connectivity problems for two sentinel cyprinids from those rivers in semiarid environments. However, further research is necessary to improve the knowledge of the relationships between the behavior and swimming ability of different fish species in the different fishway types and hydraulic scenarios. In fact, a complete and exhaustive fish-based monitoring assessment of the effectiveness of fishways should be an essential part of any project, in order to restore the longitudinal connectivity.
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