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Abstract: This study shows the feasibility of the combined use of multi-satellite data and an energy–water balance model for improving the estimates of water fluxes over time and distributed in space in the Yangtze River basin. In particular, a new methodology is used to constrain an internal model variable of the distributed hydrological model based on the satellite land surface temperature. The hydrological FEST-EWB model (flash flood event-based spatially distributed rainfall–runoff transformation–energy water balance model) with its energy–water balance scheme allows to continuously compute in time and distributed in space soil moisture and evapotranspiration (ET) fluxes thanks to a double link with satellite-derived data as input parameters (e.g., LAI) and as variables for model states’ updates as the land surface temperature (LST). This LST was used to calibrate the model soil parameters instead of using only dedicated ground measurements. The effects of the calibration procedure were evaluated at four available river cross-sections along the Yangtze River, considering also the presence of the Three Gorges Dam. Flow duration curves were also considered to understand the volume storages’ changes. The Poyang and Dongting Lakes dynamics were simulated from FEST-EWB and compared against satellite water extended from MERIS and ASAR data and water levels from LEGOS altimetry data (Topex/Poseidon). The FEST-EWB model was run at 0.009° spatial resolution and three hours of temporal resolutions for the period between 2003 and 2006. Absolute errors on LST estimates of 3 °C were obtained while discharge data were simulated with errors of 10%. Errors on the water area extent of 7% and on the water level of 3% were obtained for the two lakes.
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1. Introduction

A more precise estimate of water resources nowadays has a fundamental role for different scientific (hydrology, agriculture, climate change) and operative issues, from flood forecast to drought management, and it is also fundamental to the understanding of the natural and human water cycle [1–3]. The application of traditional hydrological models to large continental river basins is often
limited by the scarcity of in situ meteorological and discharge data [4] and generally, their calibration and validation rely on the comparison between simulated and observed discharges, which are usually very few [5–7].

Satellite data are then an alternative to ground information guaranteeing in some cases, with even higher spatial and temporal resolutions than those from ground measures [8–12]. Hence, the integrated use of multi-satellite data and distributed hydrological models based on energy–water balances is an important step ahead for a more precise quantification and verification of evapotranspiration (ET) and soil water content (SM) at the watershed and irrigation district scales, as quoted in the literature over the last decades [13–20]. Satellite data have also been used for calibrating the parameters of distributed hydrological modes. For example, Immerzeel and Droogers [21] used evapotranspiration from the MODIS satellite, Campo et al. [22] soil moisture from ERS-2 radar images, Rientjes et al. [23] evapotranspiration from MODIS and streamflow, and Wanders et al. [24] discharge and AMSR-E, SMOS, and ASCAT soil moisture.

In particular, satellite images of land surface temperature (LST), which are usually used as input data for ET calculation [25–28], can also be used to constrain the parameters of hydrological models if the latter are based on energy and water balance equations. The authors of Caparrini et al. [13] calibrated the variable infiltration capacity (VIC) model using satellite LST and ground discharge measures; Gutmann and Small [29] calibrated the soil hydraulic properties of the NOAH land surface model with satellite LST; Corbari and Mancini [30,31] used satellite LST for a pixel-wise calibration of soil and vegetation parameters of the FEST-EWB energy water balance model as a complementary technique to local discharge data.

Satellite information can also be a valuable tool for studying lakes dynamics, and measuring water levels and area [32,33]. In particular, water extent can be measured by visible images thanks to their high spatial resolutions with LANDSAT and SENTINEL2 data, as well as MODIS data thanks to the daily temporal resolution [34–41]. Radar data can also be used to overcome cloud cover issues [42–45]. Nowadays, it is possible for water level to be measured by satellite radar altimetry instruments, and several applications exist [46–51], but these are, however, affected by several limitations especially when used to monitor inland waters. For example, the satellite revisit time from 10 days of Jason-1/2 to 35 days for ERS-1/2, and the low spatial resolution, with measurements only vertical under the satellite which provide the possibility of measuring large width rivers only to avoid measurement of non-water signals. To overcome these problems, some studies have focused on the combined use of hydrological and hydraulic models with remote sensing data to estimate the rating curves [33,52–55] or for calibrating hydrological models [56,57] or hydraulic models [58,59].

In this framework, this work contributes to the improvement of numerical models by increasing the accuracy of hydrological processes’ simulation and their understanding using multi-satellite data covering a large part of the electromagnetic spectrum and a distributed hydrological energy water balance model at a large continental watershed scale. Hence, the main objective of this paper was to test the potentiality of using satellite LST for the calibration of a distributed hydrological model as a proxy of surface soil moisture, as a complementary technique based on ground discharge measures. The altimetry water level and water lake area were also used for the calibration of the lake dynamics.

The case study is the Yangtze River basin, which represents one of the largest rivers in the world, between 2003 and 2006. The effect of the two big lakes’, Dongting and Poyang, dynamics and of the Three Gorges Dam regulation on the Yangtze River was evaluated. A continuous distributed hydrologic model based on energy and water balance equations (FEST-EWB, flash flood event-based spatially distributed rainfall–runoff transformation–energy water balance) [60] was used. FEST-EWB was run at a spatial resolution of 0.009° and temporal resolution of 3 h.

2. Study Area and Data

The case study area was the Yangtze River (Changjiang) basin in China, with a total area of about 1.8 million km² (Figure 1). The main river length is equal to 6300 km, being the longest river in China
and the third longest river in the world, with an average discharge of 30,000 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$ and a mean annual variation between $8.1 \times 10^3$ and $50 \times 10^3$ m$^3$ s$^{-1}$. The basin is characterized by a monsoon regime with strong precipitations between April and August, with a maximum between May and June. The mean annual precipitation is about 800 mm. In this period, floods are frequent [39], especially in the last years, leading to increasing attention on human mitigation and control of the Yangtze floods [61–63]. These are particularly affected by the intensification of human activities, such as construction of levees and filling up of the riverbed, as well as climate change. The most recent major flood events are those of 1870, 1931, 1954, 1998, and 2016, and at a lesser level 2004 and 2010.

These are particularly affected by the intensification of human activities, such as construction of levees and filling up of the riverbed, as well as climate change. The most recent major flood events are those of 1870, 1931, 1954, 1998, and 2016, and at a lesser level 2004 and 2010.

Another issue that is affecting the water cycle of the Yangtze River basin is the presence of the two big lakes, the Dongting and Poyang Lakes, which are considered as hydrological key components in flood control in the middle Yangtze basin. In fact, the Poyang Lake is the largest freshwater lake of China and is located in the middle reach of Yangtze River, with a mean volume of $143.6 \times 10^9$ m$^3$, which is about 15.6% of the total flow of the Yangtze River [69,70]. Poyang Lake is a dynamic system with very significant seasonal and inter-annual variations in its water levels and area. In fact, during the dry winter period, lake elevation varies between 9 and 18 m and its area is less than 1000 km$^2$; however, during the summer wet monsoon season, it reaches about 3000 km$^2$. In the last years (2000 to 2016), using remote sensing images, the smallest surface was recorded on 5 January 2012 of about 720 km$^2$ and in February 2004 of about 750 km$^2$; while the largest surface was estimated as about 3400 km$^2$ in June 2002. The average Poyang Lake surface is estimated to be 1850 km$^2$. A considerable inter-annual variation of the minimum lake area was also found to vary between 702.8 and 1259.7 km$^2$ [39,41,71–73].

Changes in water extent have also been detected due to climate change and human pressure, with an annual average of $5160$ km$^2$ in 1954, which decreased to $3860$ km$^2$ in 1992 [69], with a significant decline since 2000 [72,74]. The Dongting Lake is the second largest Chinese water body, which is connected to Yangtze River with four outflows and, similar to Poyang Lake, it acts as a storage basin for the Yangtze floods while during the dry season, it provides water to the river. The lake’s area during the dry season is normally less than 500 km$^2$ while it may increase to 2500 km$^2$ in the flood season due to the inflow of water from the Yangtze River.

Over the years, a change in the Yangtze River’s flow has been experienced due to climate change, but in particular due to the construction of the Three Gorges Dam (TGD) [64–66], which modified the downstream hydrological regime. TGD is one of the world’s largest dams, with a storage volume of $39.3 \times 10^9$ m$^3$ [67,68]. It has operated from 2003 with a multipurpose use, from flood control to navigation and hydropower generation. Due to its operation, downstream Yangtze level variations were reduced by 3.9% to 13.5%, with a decrease in fall and an increase in winter and spring [65,66]. Moreover, a time shift in the maximum monthly discharge and water levels is evident from August to July at Yichang station.
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Figure 1. The Yangtze River basin and available discharge stations.
season due to the inflow of water from the Yangtze River. During the years, due to sediment transport and human activities, the lake area has diminished from 6000 to 2623 km$^2$ from the 1940s [38,39,75–78].

2.1. Hydrological and Meteorological Data

Discharge measurements are available from 2000 to 2006 at Yichang station, which is downstream of the Three Gorges Dam at Hankou, covering the middle Yangtze River basin downstream of Dongting Lake, at Jujiang just upstream of Poyang Lake, and Datong in the lower Yangtze River basin during 2006 (Figure 1). Datong, which is 640 km away from the estuary, is the upper limit of the tides’ influence. The data were collected from the Changjiang Water Resources Commission, China (available in www.cjh.com.cn).

Three Gorges Dam’s levels, and incoming and outflowing discharge are provided daily by the China Three Gorges Corporation. Poyang Lake’s water levels are available at Duchang ground station (in the middle of the lake) for five days in 2016.

Meteorological data of the air temperature, rainfall, air relative humidity, incoming shortwave radiation, and wind speed are available every 3 h. The forcing dataset used in this study was developed by the Data Assimilation and Modeling Center for Tibetan Multi-spheres, Institute of Tibetan Plateau Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences [79] by merging ground and satellite data and reanalysis data.

2.2. Soil Type, Land Use, and Hydraulic Properties

The digital elevation model is available from the U.S. Geological Survey’s (USGS) EROS Data Center at 30 arc-second, allowing the resampled resolution of 1 km to be computed in the flow directions, slope, and aspect.

The pedologic characteristics of soils were available to the authors from the Harmonized World Soil Database (HWSD) [80]. However, for the Yangtze River basin, only three main classes were identified: The soil texture is predominantly 94.5% in the sandy clay loam class while only 2% is sand and 3.5% is clay. From this available basic thematic layer, hydraulic soil parameters required for the application of the hydrological model were derived using the well-known database of [81]. These included the saturated hydraulic conductivity, residual and saturated soil moisture, pore size distribution index, wilting point, field capacity, and Brooks–Corey index.

The ESA Globcover Land Cover [82] was used for the land cover map with 22 land cover types. The information coverage period was between 2005 and June 2006 at the spatial resolution of 300 m from the MERIS satellite. The minimum stomatal resistance (rsmin), a FEST-EWB parameter that regulates evapotranspiration, was defined for each type of vegetation from this map.

2.3. Satellite Data

2.3.1. Vegetation Parameters

The 8-day composite period MODIS LAI product was used to retrieve the leaf area index (LAI) maps while the MCD43B3 product was used to derive albedo starting from the directional hemispherical reflectance (black-sky albedo) at the local solar noon and bihemispherical reflectance (white-sky albedo). Both data were obtained at a spatial resolution of 1 km, and images were taken every 16 days (http://ladsweb.nascom.nasa.gov/index.html).

2.3.2. Land Surface Temperature

MODIS LST daily L3 global 1-km sin grid v004 data from TERRA satellite were used to retrieve land surface temperature data. The data are available at a spatial resolution of 1 km. The whole basin is covered by three swaths and the west mountainous area has more than three-fold and six-fold more images of the central area and downstream valley. This is due to the higher presence of clouds in the eastern part of the basin, especially during the summer periods during the monsoon.
A total of 183 images were considered after discarding cloudy images with more than 50% of the area during the year 2003 for the calibration phase and 301 during 2006 for the validation phase.

2.3.3. Lake Water Extent

Over Poyang Lake, and at a lesser level Dongting Lake, a comprehensive remote sensing database was created during the ESA-DRAGON projects [39,71,83], which contains more than 750 medium- and high-resolution satellite images, both optical and SAR. Medium-resolution products correspond to the period analyzed in this paper to the optical MERIS, 3000 m of spatial resolution, 15 bands covering visible and near infra reed spectral domain, and SAR Wide Swath Mode, C band, HH polarization, spatial resolution 75 m, and both on-board the Envisat satellite. ENVISAT data orthorectification is based on optical high-resolution (HR) data from SPOT, Landsat, Chris-Proba, ALOS, and AVNIR, which were used as a ground reference [42].

The low backscattering characteristic of water in ENVISAT ASAR data, usually appearing in dark tones on SAR images, was exploited to enable an initial water extraction through pixel-based thresholding. Therefore, in the case of windy conditions or partially submerged vegetation, the backscattered signal can be affected, thus presenting higher backscattering values. In these cases, the water layer was manually corrected by the operator. In addition, the multitude of points with strong backscatter associated with the high number of large ships entering and leaving the lake as well as the few thousand vessels working in sand dredging and transporting were corrected too [84–86].

For MERIS Level 1 and Level 2 products, two methods were used jointly to extract water bodies from MERIS Level 1 products, according to the hydrological period. During low water-level periods, a single threshold using band 14 (near infrared) was performed. During high water-level periods, an NDVI mean was used to extract water bodies through thresholding. A single threshold, using either band 10 or band 13 (near infrared), was used to extract water bodies from all MERIS Full Resolution (FR) Level 2 products. These methods have been proven to have the best performances. In fact, to assess and validate water extent extraction, particular attention was paid to the data quality: The assessment of water extent synergy derived from the multi-resolution dataset and the impact of meteorological conditions. Statistical analysis of the ENVISAT water extent comparison showed that ENVISAT ASAR WSM and MERIS data are very concordant for water recognition. However, MERIS optical data tend to see generally more water than ASAR WSM data [85]. This could depend on the fuzzy limits between water and very wet areas. However, visual comparison of water bodies’ boundaries extracted from ENVISAT GMM, WSM, and MERIS showed that the external limits are very concordant. Major differences are observed within large water bodies and correspond to alluvial terraces lining the delta’s braided streams. Thus, these differences are essentially due to the resolution. Based on the Beijing-1 time series, it was possible to validate the ENVISAT database’s general coherency and moreover, synergy between water bodies extracted from ENVISAT ASAR and MERIS data were considered as effective [43,82].

2.3.4. Altimeter Water Level

Water level data of both lakes were sourced from Topex/Poseidon along track altimeter data from the Géodésie Océanographique et Hydrologie Spatiales (GOHS) team at Laboratoire d’Études en Géophysique et Océanographie Spatiales (LEGOS) in France. It is freely available from LEGOS [50] (http://www.legos.obs-mip.fr/en/soa/hydrologie/hydrweb/). The measurements were performed at 10 Hz, and a virtual station along the river was identified within a selected window where at least two measurements are taken for each repeat cycle. The observations were then averaged to calculate the mean water level and the associated uncertainty was provided as the standard deviation.

3. Methodology: FEST-EWB Distributed Hydrological Model

FEST-EWB is a distributed hydrological energy water balance model [60] and it is developed starting from the FEST-WB [17,86]. In particular, FEST-EWB has been proven to provide accurate
predictions of actual evapotranspiration against energy and mass exchange measurements acquired by an eddy covariance station [60] and also at the agricultural district scale against ground and remote sensing information [87], but also at the basin scale against satellite LST and ground discharge measurements for the Po River basin and for the Upper Yangtze River basin [30,80,88].

FEST-EWB computes the main processes of the hydrological cycle and the hydraulic routing through the river network also considering the effects of hydraulic structures as diversion channel reservoirs and retention basins. In particular, the FEST-EWB model with its energy–water balance scheme allows continuously computation in time and distributed in space of soil moisture and evapotranspiration fluxes, solving the system of energy and mass balance equations in terms of a representative equilibrium temperature (RET). This is the land surface temperature, which closes the energy balance equation and rules the fluxes of energy (latent and sensible heat) and of water mass for any pixel of coordinates, \( i \) and \( j \), of the river basin surface. This modeled RET is then comparable with the satellite LST, allowing the model to be controlled from remote sensing using the same variable detected from remote sensors. This LST may also be used to calibrate the model’s soil parameters instead of using only dedicated ground measurements.

The core of the model is the system between the water and energy balance equations, which are linked through evapotranspiration:

\[
\frac{\partial SM_{i,j}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\Delta z_{i,j}} \left( P_{i,j} - Q_{i,j} - Dr_{i,j} - ET_{i,j} \right)
\]

\[
\frac{R_{n_{i,j}} - G_{i,j} - H_{i,j} - LE_{i,j}}{\Delta t} = \frac{\Delta W}{\Delta t}_{i,j},
\]

where \( i \) and \( j \) are the pixel coordinates, \( P \) is the precipitation (mm h\(^{-1}\)), \( Q \) is the runoff (mm h\(^{-1}\)), \( Dr \) is the percolation (mm h\(^{-1}\)), \( ET \) is the evapotranspiration (mm h\(^{-1}\)), \( z \) is the soil depth (m), \( R_n \) (W m\(^{-2}\)) is the net radiation, \( G \) (W m\(^{-2}\)) is the soil heat flux, \( H \) (W m\(^{-2}\)) and \( LE \) (W m\(^{-2}\)) are respectively the sensible heat and latent heat fluxes, and \( \Delta W/\Delta t \) (W m\(^{-2}\)) encloses the energy storage terms. These fluxes were computed following the equations described in [60] as functions of the soil and vegetation parameters, which were calibrated against observed LST.

A diffusion wave scheme based on a non-linear and time variable celerity Muskingum–Cunge method was used for the runoff routing computation throughout the hillslope and river network. The runoff formation was defined based on a modified SCS-CN method adapted to work in continuous simulations [89] by updating the potential maximum retention cell by cell at the beginning of rainfall as a linear function of the degree of saturation.

Reservoir flow routing was based on the continuity of mass equation:

\[
Q_u(t) = Q_u(t, V(t))
\]

\[
Q_e(t) - Q_u(t) = \frac{dV(t)}{dt},
\]

where \( Q_u \) is the outflow discharge (m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\)), \( t \) is time, \( V \) is the volume (m\(^3\)), and \( Q_e \) is the inflow discharge (m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\)). The second equation was solved with the third-order Runge–Kutta method [90].

The lake area dynamics were computed by comparing the computed water level with the DEM of the pixels surrounding the lakes (starting from the minimum lake area).

The subsurface flow routing calculation was performed with a cascade of linear reservoirs and the time constant (kprof) was computed as the ratio between the volume of each cell divided by the hydraulic transmissivity of the deep soil multiplied by the local slope.

**Calibration Methodology with Satellite and Ground Data**

The calibration procedure herein applied follows the idea developed by [30,31]. The procedure is a physically based sequential process starting from soil surface parameters ending in subsurface flow parameters. The first were calibrated by minimizing the differences, pixel by pixel independently from the other, between the modelled representative equilibrium temperature and the satellite land surface.
temperature while the latter were calibrated by minimizing the differences between the observed and simulated volumes at different river cross-sections. This combined calibration procedure was needed to correctly reproduce the different hydrological fluxes. In fact, the superficial processes, especially during dry conditions, are driven by the land surface temperature; which, on the contrary, is not able to solely control the formation of discharges, which is regulated simultaneously by superficial and sub-superficial processes. Moreover, this new procedure allows modification of the hydraulic soil and vegetation parameters in each single pixel independently from one another according to the temperature difference of each pixel, thus guaranteeing the correctness of the spatial definition of the mass and energy fluxes. This is in contrast to the traditional calibration based only on the agreement of the hydrological model discharge with the ground measurements at specific locations (which are usually very few), where the parameters are modified by a single factor for the entire area lumping all the hydrological processes.

Corbari and Mancini [31] performed a sensitivity analysis on the FEST-EWB surface parameters, which have to be calibrated and are sensitive to LST changes, including the soil hydraulic conductivity, Brooks–Corey index, soil depth, minimum stomatal resistance, soil evaporation resistance, and aerodynamic resistance, while for subsurface flow routing, kprof was considered.

The soil and vegetation parameters were modified in each single pixel independently from one another according to the matrix of the mean differences between the satellite land surface temperature and modeled representative equilibrium temperatures. Hence, the differences in each pixel computed for all the available dates of the satellite data were considered and the parameters were modified according to the mean in time. The parameters were modified following a “trial and error” procedure, meaning that the parameters were firstly modified, then the FEST-EWB was run, the LST differences were computed, and the parameters were modified a second time. The calibration procedure was stopped when the errors were not changing anymore. The parameters were always kept with their physical ranges [81]. Propagation parameters were calibrated by comparing the observed and simulated volumes, which are strongly dependent on the infiltration process, and not linked with the timing of propagation. These parameters were modified following the “trial and error” procedure, but contrary to LST calibration, a single modification factor of the parameter was used for the entire basin closed at each river cross-section.

Lakes dynamics were then calibrated in the FEST-EWB hydrological model by comparing water heights from the satellite altimeter data and the water area from satellite data by modifying the parameters regulating the continuity equation of the reservoirs (Equation (2)). The parameters were modified with the “trial and error” procedure. In this case, each lake was considered to behave independently from one another.

The reliability of the different estimates was evaluated using different statistical indexes, including the mean bias error (MBE), absolute mean bias error (AMBE), and root mean square error (RMSE), which were computed as follows:

\[
MBE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{\text{sim}}^i - X_{\text{obs}}^i)}{n},
\]

\[
AMBE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |X_{\text{sim}}^i - X_{\text{obs}}^i|}{n},
\]

\[
RMSE = \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{\text{sim}}^i - X_{\text{obs}}^i)^2}{n} \right)^{0.5}.
\]
where $X_{sim}^{i}$th is the $i$th simulated variable by FEST-EWB, $X_{obs}^{i}$th is the $i$th measured variable, $n$ is the sample size, and $\overline{X_{obs}}$ is the average observed variable.

To quantify the model efficiency, the Nash and Sutcliffe index, $\eta$, was also calculated:

$$\eta = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{sim}^{i} - X_{obs}^{i})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{obs}^{i} - \overline{X_{obs}})^2},$$

where the closer $\eta$ is to 1, the more accurate the model is.

4. Results

The results are reported comparing MODIS LST and FEST-EWB RET at the basin scale, ground and modeled discharge and volume at four river cross-sections, and the lakes’ water level and extent from the satellite and FEST-EWB model.

4.1. Calibration and Validation of Soil Surface Parameters from Satellite Data of LST

Several simulations were performed with different parameters, minimizing the difference between the observed satellite LST and RET for each pixel and for each data for which satellite data were available. An array of pixel temperatures was provided for any pixel, where each temperature was the one that minimizes the difference with the satellite observation, obtained by tuning the soil parameters. The temporal average temperature for each pixel was assumed as the target temperature that the set of soil parameters reproduced.

In Figure 2, as an example, maps of LST from MODIS and the simulated RET after the calibration procedure are reported for 10 March 2003, 14 July 2003, and 12 November 2003 at 12:30 local time. The mean and standard deviation values were computed for the same number of pixels (e.g., if satellite LST is covered with clouds, FEST-EWB pixels are also masked out). The 10 March 2003 LST from MODIS has a mean value of 9.3 °C with a standard deviation of 6.7 °C while the RET values are 10.6 and 7.5 °C, respectively; for 14 July 2003, LST from MODIS has a mean value of 28.4 °C with a standard deviation of 5.2 °C while RET values are 29.7 and 6.3 °C, respectively, and for 12 November 2003 LST from MODIS has a mean value of 11.2 °C with a standard deviation of 3.9 °C while RET values are 9.8 and 2.5 °C, respectively.
Figure 2. FEST-EWB RET and MODIS LST for 10 March 2003, 14 July 2003, and 12 November at 12:30.

Figure 3 shows the mean difference pixel by pixel between FEST-EWB and MODIS before and after the calibration. Then, considering the entire database, the AMBE is equal to 3.3 °C after the calibration procedure starting from 9 °C before the calibration (Figure 3) while the RMSE reaches 3.1 °C from 9.4 °C. More specifically, a sensible difference is present between winter and summer time. In fact, higher discrepancies were obtained during the winter period, with mean errors after the calibration of about −6 °C while during summer, the mean error is 2.5 °C. This is probably due to the difficulties in simulating the snow coverage and radiation diffusion at high elevation. In fact, if only the area below 2000 m a.s.l. is considered, a mean difference of −2 °C is obtained while the area above 2000 m a.s.l. shows a mean difference of −7 °C. This discrepancy is in any case reduced to −3 °C during summer. In Figure 3, the mean values of the MODIS and FEST-EWB land surface temperature are also shown for the year 2003 after the calibration procedure, confirming the goodness of the simulation.
Figure 3. Mean difference pixel by pixel between FEST-EWB and MODIS before and after the calibration (up); basin mean of the MODIS and FEST-EWB land surface temperature after parameter calibration (bottom).

The Yangtze River basin is characterized by very high heterogeneity due to its large areal extension. So, to better explain the thermodynamics behavior of the different land covers, the catchment was divided into homogeneous areas considering the ESA Globcover Land Cover. MBE and AMBE were then computed for four groups: Woods, crops, pasture and brushes, and water. Urban areas were not considered. From this analysis, good agreement was obtained for the wood areas, with lower errors around 1 °C due to better identification of the vegetation parameters of these areas. Similar results were obtained for the water areas. Instead, crop areas have higher errors, with an MBE of 3.4 °C, mainly due to the lack of irrigation information. High errors were also found for the pasture and brushwood area, which are mainly located at high altitudes, with a mean value of −5 °C.

These differences in terms of spatial distribution due to elevation and land cover are immediately visible if the maps of the mean absolute difference between RET and MODIS LST over 183 images are analyzed. In Figure 4, the highest differences are in fact found in the West Yangtze basin, where high elevations are reached (7000 m a.s.l.). In general, 76% of the pixels have a mean difference below 3 °C, confirming the overall agreement between the observed and modelled temperatures; 1.9% have values over 7 °C.
In Figure 5, an example of three parameters of the FEST-EWB model are shown before and after the calibration process. The maps, in addition to obviously showing a variation in the single values (remaining within the valid ranges as reported in [81]), also denote increased spatial variability due to the applied pixel-wise calibration methodology.

This procedure was then validated during the year 2006 against 301 LST images (Figure 6). Using the original parameter values before the calibration, a spatial mean error computed pixel by pixel of 5.04 °C was obtained while a value of 2.3 °C was reached using the calibrated parameters. As for the calibration phase, higher discrepancies were obtained during the winter period, with mean errors of about 4 °C after the calibration, while during summer, the mean error was around 1 °C.
4.2. Calibration and Validation of Lake Dynamics from Satellite Altimeter and Water Surface Extension

The Poyang and Dongting Lakes’ areas, as previously described, change considerably during the year, especially due to the monsoon season. The dynamics of these two lakes was modelled by calibrating the outflow discharges equation, minimizing the differences between the LEGOS altimeter data and simulated water level, and controlling the water surface extension as detected from MERIS and ASAR images.

MERIS and ASAR images were classified to infer water surface along the years (Section 2.3.3) and then compared to FEST-EWB estimates. In Figure 7, the water extents are reported for Poyang Lake, showing good agreement between the mean values. A mean absolute difference of 380 km$^2$ was obtained with the model, which generally underestimates the observed images. FEST-EWB simulations were able to correctly reproduce observed data with a relative error of 6%. During the analyzed period, a maximum area of about 3000 km$^2$ and a minimum of about 500 km$^2$ were found, which is in accordance with literature values [39,71,91,92].
To confirm the ability of FEST-EWB simulations to correctly reproduce observed data, in Figure 8, as an example, a comparison between the observed ASAR, MERIS, and simulated lakes area is reported for different dates along the year: 12 March 2006, 21 May, 25 June, 23 August, and 19 October 2006. Lake shrinkage is clearly visible, with a much larger area during summer than winter. A good shape agreement was obtained among the different images, especially between FEST-EWB and ASAR.
Similar results were obtained for Dongting Lake, as shown in Figure 7, with a mean absolute difference of 150 km$^2$ with FEST-EWB overestimation, leading to a relative error of 9%. A maximum area of about 2500 km$^2$ and a minimum of 500 km$^2$ were obtained. In Figure 9, the MERIS and FEST-EWB model areas are compared for 21 July and 21 December 2006, showing similar shapes.

These analyses should be discussed considering that 2006 was a dry year due to a deficit of rainfall, especially in the upper Yangtze basin, which lead to the beginning of Poyang Lake shrinking in mid-August when normally it would begin in mid-September.
Simulated water levels for both lakes were then compared with the observed data from the satellite altimeter. The comparison is reported in Figure 10, showing good agreement between the two series, confirming the ability of the FEST-EWB model to reproduce the dynamics of filling and emptying of the lakes. A relative error equal to −3.6% was obtained for Poyang Lake, with water height dynamics ranging between a maximum of about 15 m and a minimum of 9 m. An error equal to 3% was obtained for Dongting Lake, which oscillates between 31 m during the rainy season to 25 m in the dry season. The comparisons were performed from June 2003, after a spin-up period of the hydrological model. The obtained errors should also be considered in relation to the altimeter errors, where a standard deviation is provided with the data and reported in Figure 10. For Poyang Lake, the altimeter a mean standard deviation on the measured level of 0.43 m, with a maximum value of 1.25 m; while on Dongting Lake, the mean standard deviation is 0.14 m with a maximum value of 0.7 m.

The FEST-EWB model was then validated against the few available ground data in Poyang Lake. The results shown in Figure 10 confirm the good model performances, with a mean difference of 0.06 m and an absolute difference of 1.1 m.

4.3. Calibration of Base Flow Parameters from Ground Discharge Data

Yichang station was calibrated from 2003 to 2004 and 2006 (Figure 11). The Three Gorges Dam was implemented into the FEST-EWB model by solving the continuity equation to compute the dam outflow. Starting from the available observed water level, inflow and outflow data for some days between 2003 and 2009, a regulation policy, based on keeping a fix water level into the lake, was implemented into the hydrological mode. Before calibration, the FEST-EWB model sensibly underestimated the observed volume while after the calibration, a generalized improvement was observable in terms of errors ranging from −27.9% to −7.3%, and the Nash and Sutcliffe index, which improved from 0.34 to 0.6 after calibration.
Figure 11. Measured and simulated discharges at Yichang and Hankou, Datong, Jujiang (time is reported in Julian days from 2003 to 2006).

In Figure 11, results are also reported for the other three stations. At Hankou River cross-section, the observed ground discharge values were only available for 2006, and the Nash and Sutcliff index is equal to 0.72 while the error on the observed and simulated cumulated volume is equal to 16%. Similar results were obtained downstream at the other two available cross-sections of Datong and Jujiang. In particular, at Jujiang station, just upstream of the confluence of Poyang Lake, there is good agreement between the observed and simulated discharges and volumes, with a Nash and Sutcliff index of 0.71 and an error of 10% on the cumulated volume. Instead, at Datong station, for 2006, the Nash and Sutcliff index is equal to 0.42 while the error on the observed and simulated cumulated volume is equal to 26%. This lower agreement in Datong station, which is located downstream of the confluence of Yangtze River with Poyang Lake’s outlet, is probably due to errors on the Poyang Lake simulation. This is also evident in Figure 9, where the FEST-EWB model also overestimates the lake water level. This difficulty can also be explained by the behavior of Poyang Lake on Yangtze River, the outflow of which is blocked during the flood season, thus modifying the lake and river interaction [69,92].

Moreover, to quantify in an engineering way the available water along the Yangtze River, flow duration curves were then estimated at the different river cross-sections. In Figure 12, these are reported for the four analyzed river cross-sections, showing good accordance between the observed and simulated data, except for Datong station at low discharge. It is then possible to notice that the year 2006 is a drier year compared to 2003 and 2004, with less available water at Yichang station.
5. Discussion and Conclusions

The paper showed the feasibility of the combined use of multi-satellite data and an energy-water balance model in providing better estimates of water fluxes over time and distributed in space.

The strength of the procedure applied in this paper is its calibration and validation based on several different independent measurements (e.g., remotely sensed LST, lake altimetry and water extent, and ground-measured discharges), which are used to control different interconnected hydrological processes in the FEST-EWB model.

The use of satellite land surface temperature as a proxy of the mass balance accuracy [93] was studied, showing the feasibility of using the modelled equilibrium land surface temperature RET to calibrate the distributed hydrological model, allowing modification of the soil hydraulic and vegetation parameters in each pixel independently from one another. This means there is no reliance on only the discharge/volume data measured at a few available river cross-sections. In fact, the FEST-EWB model was calibrated against MODIS LST, obtaining an AMBE equal to 3.3 °C and an RMSE equal to 3.1 °C. These results have to be analyzed considering the uncertainty of the satellite LST data, especially in heterogeneous areas, considering their spatial resolution, scan angle of view of the sensor, and emissivity [94], as well as the cloud coverage, which is an important issue in the Yangtze River during the monsoon season. The MODIS LST product has an AMBE with respect to ground data of 2 K [95], which is similar to the differences obtained in this paper between satellite LST and FEST-EWB RET. Similar results have also been obtained for the same model over an agricultural field [60,87] and also for the Po River basin [30]. Comparable results were also found for other land surface models. For example, in the Global Atmosphere/Land 6.1 [96], LST biases versus MODIS LST of −3.6 K were obtained while the Jules model [97] errors against satellite LST from AATSR, MODIS, and SEVIRI ranged between 7 and 1 K in different months and homogeneous to heterogeneous areas.

Satellite LST data were preferred instead of satellite soil moisture data. Despite their potential in providing direct soil moisture measurement and overcoming cloud coverage problems, they are limited by the complex geometry of reading, the low sensitivity to soil water content above a significant
threshold [98], and low spatial resolution for large area analysis [99, 100], which is a few centimeters of representativeness.

Another part of the uncertainty comes from the use of the Harmonized World Soil Database for the pedologic characteristics of the soils. In fact, the whole Yangtze River basin (about 2 million km$^2$) is included for 94.5% in one soil type. Thanks to the pixel-wise calibration methodology implemented in this work, the variability of the soil hydraulic parameters was increased, allowing a more reliable definition.

The routing parameters were calibrated by comparing the observed ground volumes with modeled volumes at different river cross-sections. Discharges were simulated with errors of 7.3% to 15% on volumes. These results should be analyzed considering also that ground discharge measures could be affected by considerable uncertainty, ranging from 8% to 20% according to the review of 140 publications by [101] or from 6.2% to 42.8% in the Po River basin in Italy, as analyzed by [102]. These problems in the Yangtze River basin are also accentuated by the presence of the Three Gorges Dam and several dams whose regulations are almost unknown. Similar performances of the FEST-EWB model have been also obtained in the Po River basin [5] and in the Valencia River [103].

The lake dynamics were also calibrated in the FEST-EWB model by comparing water heights from satellite altimeter data and the water area from satellite data. Errors on the water area extent of 6% and 9%, and errors on the water level of −3.6% and 3% were obtained for Poyang and Dongting Lakes, respectively. These results should be analyzed considering two sources of errors: (1) Altimeter data, which were provided with uncertainties ranging between 10 and 1.5 m [50]; and (2) lake extent definition due to the presence of several wetlands area, especially in Poyang Lake.

The accuracy of the model calibration methodology may also be analyzed considering the different optimization techniques, based on different objective functions as RMSE or the Nash–Sutcliffe criterion and on different minimization techniques with a local gradient or a global method [104, 105]. In this paper, a “trial and error” approach was used, which is a simple non-automatic method based on repeated and varied attempts, which, however, allows testing of only congruent parameter combinations within their physical values until the errors do not change anymore. Of course, this may introduce the problem of equifinality, which is embedded in all techniques and hydrological models [106].
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