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A Real-Car Experiment of a Dynamic Wireless Power Transfer System Based on Parallel-Series Resonant Topology
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Abstract: A short mileage and long charging times are problems facing electric vehicles (EVs), and dynamic wireless power transfer (WPT) systems are one of the most effective solutions to overcome these shortcomings. This paper proposes a dynamic WPT system consisting of several stationary primary underground coils and a secondary coil on an EV. The dynamic WPT system employed solenoid coils that were superior to circular coils in terms of misalignment to the traveling direction. A dynamic WPT system rated at 25 kW was designed, constructed, and tested to verify the principles of operation; that is, the capability of supplying electric power continuously.
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1. Introduction

An inductive wireless power transfer (WPT) system was considered for an electric vehicle (EV) or a plug-in hybrid vehicle (PHV) application. International standards for stationary (static) WPT systems are now being discussed by some international standards organizations [1–3]. The stationary system frees drivers from having to plug into EVs or PHVs, thus providing safety, convenience, and easiness to drivers. However, for this technology to be more broadly adopted, the vehicle-side coils installed on EVs are required to be smaller, lighter, more efficient in power transfer, more tolerant of lateral misalignments, and a large air gap. Stationary WPT systems have been developed for ten years [4–10]. However, even if stationary systems were introduced on the market, EVs would still have the following inevitable problems: A shorter mileage than internal-combustion-engine vehicles and a longer charging time than a fuel-filling time. In other words, stationary WPT systems will not decrease the charging time and will not increase the driving range. On the other hand, a dynamic WPT system is a solution to these problems, because the dynamic system is free from electric power charging similar to an electric train that feeds from power cables. Many types of dynamic WPT systems are proposed in a capacitive WPT system [11], an inductive WPT system including coil structure [12–14], connecting method and resonance topology [15,16], and feasibility [17]. Moreover, while comprehensive research on inductive dynamic WPT systems is currently in progress, as seen in [11] and [17–25], these do not show compelling experimental data. To discuss and compare results, an index or performance mark is urgently required.

This paper describes a dynamic WPT system. First, the configuration of the dynamic system is detailed. The system has multiple solenoid coils connected in parallel in input side. The topology is based on the parallel-series (PS). Second, a theoretical analysis of a stationary and dynamic system...
based on PS topology was compared with a measured one on the basis of an equivalent transformer. Third, the 25-kW dynamic WPT system was constructed and tested to verify fundamental characteristics in a bench test. Fourth, a 20-m dynamic WPT charging lane was arranged, and it demonstrated its principles of operation using a real car. Finally, some performance indexes were proposed to compare dynamic WPT systems based on the experiments presented in this paper.

2. Experimental Setup

2.1. Circuit Configurations

Figure 1 shows the circuit configuration of the dynamic WPT system considered in this paper, the topology of which is based on the PS connected WPT system. A voltage-controlled power-factor-correction (PFC) circuit or AC/DC converter was connected to the single-phase 200 V, 50 Hz AC mains. The PFC configuration consists of a filter, a three-phase diode rectifier and a back converter. A full-bridge inverter was used for the ground-side coils. The filter was connected to the output of the inverter, which is designed for low-pass filter. The cutoff frequency of the filter is 100 kHz. The ground-side solenoid coils were connected in parallel with the primary resonant capacitors. Following this, the ground-side coils and resonant capacitors are referred to as ground-side coil sets. The vehicle-side solenoid coil was connected in series with the secondary resonant capacitor. A single-phase double current rectifier was used to achieve AC-to-DC power conversion at the receiving end [26].

![Figure 1. Construction of the experimental dynamic wireless power transfer (WPT) system.](image)

This topology, as shown in Figure 1, has redundancy. Even if a ground side coil set is broken, the total dynamic WPT system can work without shutting down.

Table 1 summarizes the specifications of the dynamic WPT system in this experiment. The power capacity of these coils was rated at 25 kW. The nominal air-gap length was 170 mm. The inverter was operating at a high frequency of 85 kHz. H-shaped solenoid coils were chosen because of misalignment performance superiority to circular coils [27]. The inverter was made with SiC mosfets. The vehicle-side coil was installed with a water-cooling system to minimize its size and heatsink.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Components</th>
<th>Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Output power</td>
<td>Max 25 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air gap</td>
<td>170 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>85 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC/DC converter</td>
<td>Variable output voltage 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverter</td>
<td>Full SiC FETs 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectifier</td>
<td>Double current rectifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle-side coil cooling</td>
<td>Water cooling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 power-factor-correction (PFC) function is included; 2 FET means field effect transistor.
2.2. WPT Coil Specifications

Table 2 summarizes the specifications of the H-shaped solenoid coils used in this experiment. These coils were designed based on rated values of Table 1 and topology of Figure 1. The ground-side coil dimensions were 620 mm × 600 mm × 40 mm and the vehicle-side coil were 430 mm × 374 mm × 38.5 mm. The core of both the ground-side and vehicle-side coils were made of ferrite. The windings were laced with 1200 bundles of 0.1-ϕ litz wires.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side of Coil</th>
<th>Size (mm)</th>
<th>Winding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground-side</td>
<td>620 × 600 × 40</td>
<td>6 T × 10 p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle-side</td>
<td>430 × 374 × 38.5</td>
<td>45 T × 1 p</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 shows the experimental transformer parameters of the ground-side vehicle-side coils against misalignment in this experiment. The measured frequency was 85 kHz. The self-inductance of the ground-side coil $L_N$ and vehicle-side coil $L_S$ were 23.5 and 655 $\mu$H, respectively, while the subscript “$N$” stands for the number of ground-side coils as shown in Figure 1. Winding resistances $r_N$ and $r_S$ take into account skin and proximity effects and equivalent resistance for a ferrite core loss. The coupling coefficient $k$ between the ground-side coil and the vehicle-side coil at a 170-mm air-gap is 0.235.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$L_N$ (µH)</th>
<th>$L_S$ (µH)</th>
<th>$r_N$ (mΩ)</th>
<th>$r_S$ (mΩ)</th>
<th>$k$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22.4 µH</td>
<td>658 µH</td>
<td>51.6 mΩ</td>
<td>1360 mΩ</td>
<td>0.235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 shows the experimental transformer parameters of the ground-side and vehicle-side coils against misalignment with the traveling direction of the vehicle ($x$ direction), where $N = 1$. Variation of $L_N$ and $L_S$ in this experiment were 3.1% and 4.8%, respectively. Similarly, $r_N$ and $r_S$ were 8.4% and 2.9%. Mutual inductance between $L_N$ and $L_S$ at $x = 300$ mm decreases to half of the original value ($x = 0$ mm). Consequently, the mutual inductance is almost zero at $x = 900$ mm.
The input voltage and angular frequency are represented as $V_{IN}$ and $\omega$, assuming a sinusoidal voltage. The self-inductances of the ground-side coil and the vehicle-side coil are defined as $L_P$ and $L_S$, respectively. The mutual inductance between the ground-side and vehicle-side coils is defined as $M_{PS}$. The current and voltage directions are defined as shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 4. Equivalent circuit to the stationary WPT system.](image)

Here, $V_{IN}$ and $V_O$ are given as

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
V_{IN} \\
I_{IN}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{L_P}{M_{PS}} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{M_{PS}}{L_S}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
V_O \\
I_O
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{k} \sqrt{\frac{L_P}{L_S}} & 0 \\
k \sqrt{\frac{L_P}{L_S}} & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
V_O \\
I_O
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

(1)

To achieve effective power transfer, the input power factor approximates unity. The resonant capacitors defined $C_P$ and $C_S$ are determined as

$$
C_P = \frac{1}{a^2 L_P}, \quad C_P = \frac{1}{\alpha^2 (1 - k^2) L_S}.
$$

(2)

From Equation (1), the output voltage $V_O$ increases linearly with the input voltage $V_{IN}$. This is similar to ideal transformer behavior but different in coefficient. The output voltage also increases the
mutual inductances or coupling factor, as shown in Equation (1). If the input and output voltage is approximately equivalent, the self-inductance value of the secondary (vehicle-side) coil needs to be much higher than that of the primary (ground-side) coil.

The input impedance of Figure 4 is calculated by Equation (1) as

\[ Z_{IN} = \left( \frac{L_p}{M_{PS}} \right)^2 R_O = \frac{L_p}{k^2} R_O. \]  

The input impedance is inversely proportional to the square of the coupling factor. When the vehicle-side coil is not above the ground-side coil, the input impedance of the WPT system increases to infinity. In other words, the proposed PS topology of the WPT system is stable and for the inverter not to over shoot at the input current, one does not need to install a high-response over current protection circuit was installed at the dc bus line to prevent unexpected situations.

3.1.2. Dynamic System Analysis

Figure 5 shows an equivalent circuit of the proposed dynamic WPT system at a fundamental frequency. For simplicity, the number of ground-side coils is limited to two. The definition is the same as in the previous subsection but different inductance values are used. The self-inductances of the first ground-side coil (coil 1), the second ground-side coil (coil 2) and the vehicle-side coil are defined as \( L_1, L_2 \) and \( L_S \), respectively. The mutual inductances between coil 1 and coil 2, between coil 1 and the vehicle-side coil, and between coil 2 and the vehicle-side coil are defined as \( M_{12}, M_{1S} \) and \( M_{2S} \), respectively.

![Figure 5. Equivalent circuit for the dynamic WPT system, with two ground-side coils.](image)

Here, \( V_{IN} \) and \( V_O \) are given as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
V_{IN} \\
I_{IN}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{k_{1S}+k_{2S}} \sqrt{\frac{L}{L_S}} & 0 \\
0 & (k_{1S}+k_{2S}) \sqrt{\frac{L_S}{L}}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
V_O \\
I_O
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(4)

In this calculation, the self-inductances \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) have the same values as \( L_S \) because the ground-side coils have identical shapes and windings. To achieve effective power transfer, the input power factor approximates unity. The resonant capacitors defined \( C_{P1}, C_{P2} \) and \( C_S \) are determined as

\[ C_{P1} = C_{P2} = \frac{1}{\omega^2 L}, \quad C_P = \frac{1}{\omega^2 (1 - k_{1S}^2 - k_{2S}^2)L_S}. \]

(5)
From Equation (4), the output voltage \( V_O \) increases linearly with the input voltage \( V_{IN} \) in a similar fashion as for the stationary system but, with a difference of a coupling factor term. The term is the sum of the coupling factors which the vehicle-side coil interlinks with. In this case, the two ground-side coils. From this equation, the design concept of the vehicle-side coil is the same as the stationary WPT system, and the vehicle-side coil is able to use both the stationary and dynamic coils.

The input impedance of Figure 5 is calculated by Equation (4) as

\[
Z_{IN} = \frac{1}{(k_{1S} + k_{2S})^2 L_S R_O}.
\]

The input impedance is also the same as for the stationary system. As discussed above, the dynamic WPT system is stable enough for the inverter not to over shoot at the input current.

### 3.2. Experimental Results

#### 3.2.1. Stationary WPT System Results

Figure 6 shows the experimental results with variation of input voltage \( V_{IN} \). The circuit topology used was consistent with the topology detailed in Figure 1, and the number of ground-side coils, \( N_s \), was one. Table 4 lists the experimental circuit parameters. The position of the vehicle-side coil was kept at a nominal air-gap of 170 mm without misalignment. The operating frequency in the inverter was set to 85 kHz and was constant throughout the stationary experiment. The input voltage \( V_{DC} \) was proportional to the load voltage \( V_L \), as shown in Equation (4). Load power \( P_L \) was 25 kW and efficiency was measured as 85.5% at \( V_{IN} = 485.6 V_{DC} \) in Figure 1. The theoretical output voltage \( V'_L \) calculated by Equation (4) using Table 3 is 413.4 V. However, the experimental output voltage \( V_L \) was 398.4 V. The difference between the theoretical and experimental voltage may be explained by the decision not to take into account the winding resistances within the WPT coils and the misalignment of resonant capacitors in theoretical value.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Experimental results of the stationary WPT system at the aligned condition as a function of input voltage \( V_{DC} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( C_N )</th>
<th>( C_S )</th>
<th>( L_{1S} )</th>
<th>( L_{12} )</th>
<th>( R_L )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17.3 nF</td>
<td>13.7 nF</td>
<td>300 ( \mu )H</td>
<td>300 ( \mu )H</td>
<td>7.6 ( \Omega )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3.2.2. Dynamic WPT System Test Bench Results

Figure 7 shows the photograph of the dynamic WPT system used in the following bench test experiments. The bench test system consisted of five ground-side coils with the same topology described in Figure 1. The distance between each ground-side coil was 1200 mm. The velocity of the
vehicle-side coil was 28 mm/s. The input voltage $V_{DC}$ and frequency were controlled to be constant values of 240 V and 85 kHz, respectively. No other feedback loop was installed in this system.

![Photograph of the experimental dynamic WPT system in bench test.](image)

Figure 7. Photograph of the experimental dynamic WPT system in bench test.

Figure 8 shows the input and output power characteristics over time for the moving vehicle–side coil. The parameters are listed in Table 4. The peak values in Figure 8 occurred when the vehicle-side coil and the ground-side coils where aligned. This phenomenon resulted in PS characteristics and connecting a resistive load. The energy transmitted to the vehicle (receiving energy) from one ground-side coil to the next ground-side coil was around 65 Wh under the conditions of this experiment. In addition, when the velocity of the vehicle-side coil was halved, the energy transmitted doubled. The power transfer from the experimental road to the vehicle was continuous for the duration of the test. The total energy conversion efficiency rate from the center of the first ground-side coil to that of the fifth one was 72.45%.

![Input Power vs. Time](image)

Figure 8. Experimental results of the dynamic WPT system in the bench test at $R_L = 7.6 \, \Omega$.

Figure 9 shows the input and output power characteristics over time for the moving vehicle–side coil. The parameters were the same as for Figure 8 and Table 4 except for the load condition. The load was a battery with a voltage $V_L$ of 265 V. As can be seen from Figure 9, there are times when the output power is equal to zero. This phenomenon results from PS characteristics and connecting a battery load. The transferred output voltage is also lower than the load voltage with a low coupling factor. The loss from the road power (i.e., ground-side coils), the filter and the inverter, was 1600 W in this system. The receiving energy of the vehicle from one ground-side coil to the next coil was around 28 Wh for this experiment’s conditions. The total energy conversion efficiency from the center of the first ground-side coil to the center of the fifth coil was 56.92%.
3.2.3. Dynamic WPT System Real-Car Test Results

Figure 10 shows a photograph of the dynamic WPT system used in the following real-car test experiments. The circuit topology used was the same as in Figure 1 with \( N = 14 \). The circuit parameters are listed in Table 5. The distance between each ground-side coil was 1200 mm. The total length of the WPT system was 16.8 m. The yellow and black lines placed on each side of the ground-side coils are guiding markers to help the driver to keep its trajectory straight. Al plates were also installed under the ground-side coils to reduce radiation. Note that the distance between the line from the filter to the ground-side coils was 23.5 m and the length of the air-gap between the WPT coils was measured at 170 mm when the vehicle-side coil was installed on the car. The output inverter voltage and current were controlled to not exceed 700 and 70 Arms for safety reasons.

![Photograph of the dynamic WPT system in the real-car test.](image)

Table 5. Experimental circuit parameters of real-car test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( C_N )</th>
<th>( C_S )</th>
<th>( L_1 )</th>
<th>( L_2 )</th>
<th>( V_L )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17.3 nF</td>
<td>13.7 nF</td>
<td>300 H</td>
<td>300 H</td>
<td>260 V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11 shows the output voltage and current characteristics over time for the running vehicle. The velocity of vehicle was 19 km/h. The peak current for each ground-side coil was not consistent. This resulted from the vehicle not being controlled to drive strictly straight, and the lines connecting each ground-side coil being longer than those used during the bench tests. Note that the applied voltage from the filter output point to the ground-side coils was dropped from 626 to 361 Vrms because of the length of the line was increased to 23.5 m between the filter and the coils. The total amount of energy received by the vehicle in this experiment was 2.14 Wh.
The velocity of the vehicle was 52 km/h. The waveform of load current was the same as with the 19-km/h experiment except for the last 4 peaks and the time scale (i.e. division of x-axis on Figure 11 is 0.5 s and 0.2 s on Figure 12). This was due to the control delay in the inverter. The total energy received in that experiment was 0.5 Wh.

Figure 12 shows the output voltage and current characteristics over time for the running vehicle. The velocity of the vehicle was 52 km/h. The waveform of load current was the same as with the 19-km/h experiment except for the last 4 peaks and the time scale (i.e. division of x-axis on Figure 11 is 0.5 s and 0.2 s on Figure 12). This was due to the control delay in the inverter. The total energy received in that experiment was 0.5 Wh.

Figure 11. Experimental results of the load voltages and currents in the real-car test at vehicle velocity \( v_x = 19 \) km/h.

Figure 12. Experimental results of the load voltages and currents in the real-car test at vehicle velocity \( v_x = 52 \) km/h.

4. Discussion

Based on our experiments, we have come to the conclusion that in order to improve the effectiveness of the dynamic WPT system analyzed in this paper, one needs to solve the following problems. (a) Compensate the line impedance from source to the ground-side coils. The applied voltage at the ground-side coil is almost half of the output voltage at the filter. To solve this, the inverter should be put near the coils or compensated across capacitors. (b) Reduce the road-side loss. If more ground-side coils were added to the system, the loss increased. To reduce this, a switching method might be developed. (c) Compare the PS system and series-series (SS) system. This paper focused on a parallel-series based dynamic WPT system. However, we also tested a series-series based dynamic WPT system in previous research [15]. One should compare these two systems. (d) Increase receiving power between ground-side coils. The system examined in this paper had power drops between coils. A control method should be developed to increase the receiving power; i.e., an AC/DC converter with a feedback loop for power constant.

Table 6 shows a table that summarizes the experimental results contained in this paper. Total received energy and total energy conversion efficiency indexes are proposed to compare dynamic WPT systems. However, efficiency is not a time-independent variable and is not sufficient to demonstrate the performance of a dynamic WPT system. Total energy conversion efficiencies for real-car tests were
difficult to establish, because of inconsistent start time when measuring passing’s of the vehicle over ground-side coils. We considered that the total received energy is a robust index, which depends on vehicle velocity and input power or energy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Result in this Paper</th>
<th>Receiving Power (kW)</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>Total Energy Conversion Efficiency</th>
<th>Total Received Energy (Wh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stationary WPT system</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>85.5%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bench test for Resistance</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
<td>72.45%</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bench test for Battery</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>79.2%</td>
<td>56.92%</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real-car test (v = 19 km/h)</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real-car test (v = 52 km/h)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusions

This paper has examined a 25-kW, PS topology based dynamic WPT system, based on a stationary WPT system and proposed reference indexes for a dynamic WPT system. First of all, the theoretical analysis developed in this paper concluded that the considered system could use an equivalent circuit to the one used for stationary WPTs. After that, the WPT system was empirically tested to validate the theoretical analysis under several conditions. Finally, to compare the performance of dynamic WPT systems under various conditions, we have advanced two variables: The total energy conversion efficiency and total receiving energy.
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