Abstract: A photo-switchable single molecule-magnet (SMM) with two Dy ions bridged by a bis-bipyridine-dithienylethene ligand was synthesised. Isomerisation effects on the magnetic properties were investigated. Particular attention was paid to the slow relaxation of the magnetisation in order to determine precisely the role played by the ligand. Photo-isomerization of the ligand induced a geometric rearrangement of the complex and an electronic reconfiguration. The changes were studied by solid and solution state magnetic measurements.
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1. Introduction

Single molecule-magnets (SMMs) are a special class of compounds exhibiting slow magnetic relaxation and magnetic hysteresis at the molecular level. They show great promise for the construction of molecular scale devices with the ability to store or process information. SMMs that can be controlled by applying external stimuli, such as light, electric current, pressure, etc. will be useful for such applications. This can be accomplished by including multifunctional ligands [1–4]. Photo-switchable magnetic materials have become quite important in the field of high-density information storage media [5–7]. Photocyclizazable dithienylethene derivatives (DTE) reversibly isomerizes between open and closed forms when irradiated with UV or visible light, respectively. The closed form is fully conjugated, whereas the open form is not.

Recently, we have reported a complex in which a photoisomerisable dicarboxylato DTE ligand bridges two Mn(salen) units [8]. The reversible photocyclization of the complex by irradiation with UV or visible light induces an on/off switching of the slow relaxation. In addition, complexes of 4f ions or 3d4f cores bridged by the dicarboxylato DTE have been reported [9–12]. Lanthanoid ions are more sensitive to the surrounding crystal field than 3d ions are, and so, isomerization of the ligand should enhance the switching of the SMM behaviour. However, due to the high coordination degree of 4f ions and their oxophilicity, only coordination polymers with weak modification of the magnetic properties after irradiation have been obtained.

To avoid this problem, we synthesised a new DTE ligand functionalised with two bipyridine coordination sites, instead of two carboxylato groups (Figure 1). This ligand was obtained...
in both open (1\text{open}) and closes forms (1\text{close}). Coordination to neutral Dy(hfac)\text{3} moieties (hfac\text{−} = hexafluoroacetylacetonate) afforded a dinuclear complex with open (2\text{open}) and closed forms (2\text{close}). The effects of the isomerisation of the ligand on the magnetic properties of the ion were investigated in both solid and solution states.

![Synthesis diagram](image_url)

**Figure 1.** Synthesis diagram for 1\text{open}, 1\text{close}, 2\text{open}, and 2\text{close}.

2. Results

A DTE-bridged bisbipyridine ligand was synthesized in the open form (1\text{open}) and coordinated to Dy(hfac)\text{3} units to form the dinuclear complex 2\text{open}. By irradiating 1\text{open} with UV light, its closed form (1\text{close}), and the corresponding complex (2\text{close}) were obtained. The DTE core acts as a switch between the two Dy ions, turning on and off the electronic communication between ions of the complex.

2.1. Structural Description

The 2\text{open} crystallized in the monoclinic C2/c space group (Table S1). The complex, generated from the asymmetric unit by applying C2 symmetry, was composed of two Dy(hfac)\text{3} units bridged by one DTE ligand and one heptane solvent molecule (Figure 2). The two Dy ions are equivalent by a C2 rotation with the rotation axis passing through C18 and the C16–C16* double bond. The Dy ions are disordered on two positions (0.692 and 0.308 occupancies). The disorder was attributed to the steric hindrance of the solvent molecule. The Dy ions are coordinated to two nitrogen atoms from the bipyridine moiety of the DTE ligand and six oxygen atoms from three hfac\text{−} units with a distorted D2d tetragonal dodecahedron coordination geometry (Table S2). The intramolecular distance between the two Dy ions was determined to be 17.021 Å. The C14–C14* distance of 3.569 Å is short enough to photoisomerise the complex to its closed form [13]. Nevertheless, the presence of the solvent molecule prevent this isomerization (Figure S1).

The thiobipyridine moiety is almost planar with dihedral angles between the central and the two lateral rings of 11.26° and 8.69°. This planarity allows π–π interactions between neighbouring ligands with an average distance of 3.527 Å and form a one-dimensional structure along the c direction. The shortest intermolecular Dy–Dy distance was 9.047 Å.
was crystallographically independent and was coordinated by two nitrogen atoms from the bipyridine group and six oxygen atoms from three hfac anions. The four carbon atoms C14, C15, C21 and C22 were disordered (0.51 and 0.49 occupancies). The closed form causes the delocalization of \( \pi \)-electrons across the DTE core and the bipyridine rings due to the full conjugation of the ligand in its close form. Thus, the close form is more stable, and photoisomerization is slower.

Optical properties of the ligands and complexes were measured in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) solution (Figure 4). Photo-isomerisation of the compounds was followed using UV–Vis absorption spectroscopy in the solution state as a function of UV or visible light irradiation times. Before UV light irradiation, in the "closed" form, \( \pi \)-\( \pi \) transitions of the "closed" form [11]. Upon irradiation with visible light, the initial spectrum was recovered within 35 min. This longer photo-isomerisation time was explained by the larger electron-withdrawing effects of the Dy(hfac)\(_3\) unit when the ligand is fully conjugated is thought to be the reason for the longer time needed for the opening reaction to return to its open form, as compared to the free ligand. Photoisomerization in the solid state was not observed.

Figure 2. (a) Diagram of 2\(_{\text{open}}\). Thermal ellipsoids for 1\(_{\text{open}}\) are drawn at 30% probability. H atoms and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity; (b) \( \pi \)-\( \pi \) chain structure of 2\(_{\text{open}}\) along c direction.

The 2\(_{\text{close}}\) crystallized in the triclinic \( \overline{P}1 \) space group. The asymmetric unit is composed of two Dy(hfac)\(_3\) bridged by a DTE ligand and one heptane molecule as crystal solvent (Figure 3). Each Dy ion was crystallographically independent and was coordinated by two nitrogen atoms from the bipyridine group and six oxygen atoms from three hfac\(^-\) moieties. The coordination geometry of both Dy ions was a distorted \( D_{4d} \) square antiprism, as determined by SHAPE 2.1 [14,15], and the intramolecular distance between the two Dy ion was 17.705 Å. The four carbon atoms C14, C15, C21 and C22 were disordered (0.51 and 0.49 occupancies). The closed form causes the delocalization of the \( \pi \)-electrons over the entire ligand, making the ligand planar with maximum dihedral angle between two adjacent aromatic rings of 14.29°.

Figure 3. (a) ORTEP diagram of 2\(_{\text{close}}\). Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 30% probability. H atoms and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity; (b) \( \pi \)-\( \pi \) chain structure of 2\(_{\text{close}}\) along the a direction.
A dimer was formed through π–π interactions between bipyridine rings of two neighbouring molecules with an average distance of 3.442 Å without formation of extended 1D structure. The shortest intermolecular Dy–Dy distance was 8.606 Å. The photo-isomerisation from closed to open forms was not possible in the solid state due to the presence of heptane as crystal solvent (Figure S2) and/or π–π* interactions between the neighbouring ligands.

2.2. Optical Properties

Optical properties of the ligands and complexes were measured in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution (Figure 4). Photo-isomerisation of the compounds was followed using UV–Vis absorption spectroscopy in the solution state as a function of UV or visible light irradiation times. Before UV light irradiation, in the spectrum for 1$_{open}$, a strong π–π* transition band, which corresponded to an S0→S1 singlet intraligand (IL) transition, was observed around 340 nm [16]. Upon UV light irradiation, two new absorption bands appeared around 380 and 560 nm within 10 s, and they were assigned to π–π* transitions of the “closed” form [11]. Upon irradiation with visible light, the initial spectrum was recovered within 35 min. This longer photo-isomerisation time was explained by the larger delocalization of the π-electrons across the DTE core and the bipyridine rings due to the full conjugation of the ligand in its close form. Thus, the close form is more stable, and photo-isomerization is slower.

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** UV–Vis spectra for the photo-isomerisation (a) from 1$_{open}$ to 1$_{close}$, (b) from 1$_{close}$ to 1$_{open}$, (c) from 2$_{open}$ to 2$_{close}$ and (d) from 2$_{close}$ to 2$_{open}$ isomerization.

The photo-isomerization from 2$_{open}$ to 2$_{close}$ took place within 10 s, whereas the reverse ring-opening reaction needed more than 4 h to complete. The electron-withdrawing effects of the Dy(hfac)$_3$ units when the ligand is fully conjugated is thought to be the reason for the longer time needed for the complex to return to its open form, as compared to the free ligand.

Photoisomerization in the solid state was not observed.

2.3. Magnetic Properties

The magnetic susceptibilities (χ) of polycrystalline samples of 2$_{open}$ and 2$_{close}$ were measured (Figure S3). The χT values at room temperature of 28.25 and 27.98 cm$^3$·K·mol$^{-1}$ for 2$_{open}$ and
respectively, agree with the expected value for two free Dy ions (28.34 cm⁻³·K·mol⁻¹) [17], and decreased continuously with a decrease in T, becoming 22.45 and 21.5 cm⁻³·K·mol⁻¹ for 2_open and 2_close, respectively, at 2 K. For both complexes, this decrease in the χT values was attributed to the depopulation of the m_f sub-levels of the ground state. The difference in the depopulation rate can be explain by different energy splittings of the m_f sub-levels for the two complexes due to the slightly different crystal fields around the Dy ions (D_{2d} for 2_open and D_{4d} for 2_close). Anti-ferromagnetic interactions between the ions is not a suitable explanation for this decrease in χT due to the long intra- (≈17 Å) and intermolecular (≈9 Å) distances.

M vs. H curves measured for 2_open and 2_close at 1.82 K are slightly different. Both showed pseudo saturation from 1 T with a slope of 0.276 and 0.199 NβT⁻¹ for 2_open and 2_close, respectively. M values of 10.48 and 9.62 Nβ at 5 T for 2_open and 2_close, respectively, was obtained. The difference in magnetization for both complexes confirms the presence of different ground states for the two complexes. Hysteresis loops were not observed for both complexes.

The dynamic χ measurement in the absence of external magnetic field shows an out of range, out-of-phase signal (χ″) in the high frequency region for both complexes (Figure S4a,b). Analyses by using Cole–Cole model [18] (Figure S5) and the complete model equation [19] revealed that 2_close underwent an Orbach/QTM relaxation process (Table S3). 2_open only underwent two Orbach processes. Nevertheless, since the maximum of χ″ was out of range, the extracted relaxation time will not be accurate, and the actual relaxation mechanism may be unclear. In order to confirm the existence of QTM and to suppress it, an optimum static field of 1000 Oe was applied (Figure S6a,b). In this field, both complexes show a single relaxation process with the maxima of χ″ shifted to a lower frequency region compared to that without static field (Figure 5a,b), and the relaxation process was determined to be a combination of a direct/Raman for 2_open and a direct/Orbach for 2_close.

![Figure 5](image-url)  
**Figure 5.** T and ν dependences of χ″ in (a,b) the solid state and (c,d) solution state for 2_open and 2_close, respectively in a 1000 Oe magnetic field.
In order to determine more precisely the role of the DTE ligand in the relaxation mechanism of the complexes, the magnetic properties were measured in a frozen dichloromethane solution (Figures S4c,d, S5, S6c,d and 5c,d). In the frozen solution, each complex molecule was considered to be isolated without any packing effects on the coordination geometry of the Dy ions. Without an external magnetic field, the maximum of $\chi''$ of 2open shifted to a lower frequency as compared to that in the solid state, whereas the $\chi''$ of 2close did not change. The magnetisations of both complexes undergo an Orbach/QTM relaxation mechanism with a small energy barrier (<10 cm$^{-1}$) (Table S3). In a 1000 Oe magnetic field, the maximum of $\chi''$ of both complexes shifted to a lower frequency as compared to that of the solid state, meaning that a direct/Raman relaxation process occurred for both complexes.

The relaxation times in the solution state were determined using the Havriliak–Negami model [20], instead of the classical Cole–Cole model. Due to the asymmetry of the relaxation signal, the Cole–Cole model was not able to fit correctly the data and the Havriliak–Negami have been used to solve this problem. The asymmetry can also be observed on the Cole–Cole plot (Figures S7 and S8). A similar behaviour have been observed in our previous solution measurements [19].

3. Discussion

As we previously reported [21], the crystal structure and constraints on the coordination sphere play significant roles in the photo-isomerisation of the complexes. In this study, we show that crystal packing also play a role in the photo-isomerisation. The presence of a crystal solvent molecule close to the DTE core in 2open prevents the cyclization of the ligand due to steric hindrance. For 2close, the crystal solvent and $\pi$-$\pi$ interaction block ring opening. Furthermore, isomerization of the complexes induces large molecular rearrangements, which are restricted by the crystal packing. Single-crystal to single-crystal transformation is not possible for these complexes. This was confirmed since we were able to follow the isomerization by UV–Visible spectroscopy in solution but not in the solid state.

In solution, previously mentioned restraints are removed, and photo-isomerisation can be observed. Although isomerisation does not occur in the solid state, the form of the DTE ligand seems to play a role in the magnetic relaxation process of the Dy ions. Both in the solid and solution states, the complex with closed form of the DTE ligand underwent faster magnetic relaxation than the complex with the open form of the DTE ligand. Similar behaviour has been reported in our previous work involving an Mn(salen) SMM bridged by a DTE ligand [8]. The closed form of the ligand is fully conjugated from one coordination site to the other one, whereas the open form does not have such conjugation. Therefore, conjugation increases the electronic interactions between the Dy ions in the closed form. Although the form of the ligand plays an important role in the magnetic properties, how the change in conjugation of the ligand and the change in the electronic interactions affect the magnetic relaxation mechanism pathway (i.e., direct, Raman, Orbach) remain unclear.

4. Materials and Methods

All chemicals and solvents used in this study were obtained from Sigma Aldrich, Tokyo Chemical Industry, Strem or Wako Chemicals GmbH. Synthesis of 1,2-bis(5-chloro-2-methylthiophen-3-yl)cyclopent-1-ene and Dy(hfac)$_3$·2H$_2$O was performed following a previously reported procedure [22,23].

All synthesis and characterizations of the closed form of the ligand and complex was performed under dark conditions to avoid isomerisation to the open form.

4.1. Synthesis of 1open

To a solution of 1,2-bis(5-chloro-2-methylthiophen-3-yl)cyclopent-1-ene (988 mg, 3 mmol) and dry tetrahydrofuran (15 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere cooled in an ice bath, 2.6 M $n$-BuLi (2.96 mL, 6.6 mmol) was slowly added over 1 h to give a dark-pink mixture. Then tris-$n$-butylborate (2.07 g, 2.42 mL, 9 mmol) was added, and the resulting orange solution was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred for 1 h. Next, Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$ (200 mg, 5 mol %) was suspended in tetrahydrofuran
(15 mL) and heated to 70 °C. After 30 min, aqueous 2.5 M K$_2$CO$_3$ (30 mL), ethylene glycol (10 drops), and 5-bromo-2,2′-bipyridine (1.55 g, 6.6 mmol) were added. This reaction mixture was slowly added to the first solution. The combined solution was heated at 70 °C for 24 h and then cooled to room temperature, followed by addition of water (20 mL). The crude product was extracted with diethyl ether, the combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$, and the solvent was removed. Pure violet solid 1$_{\text{open}}$ was obtained by using column chromatography on SiO$_2$ (4:1 v/v hexane:ethyl-acetate), followed by a recrystallization from the same solvents, in 35% yield. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 2.07 (6H, s, ArC$_3$H$_3$), 2.12 (2H, m, ArCH$_2$CH$_2$), 2.88 (4H, t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, ArC$_2$H$_2$ CH$_2$), 7.15 (2H, s, ArH), 7.29 (2H, ddd, $J$ = 7.4, 4.8, 1.0 Hz, ArH), 7.80 (2H, td, $J$ = 7.8, 1.7 Hz, ArH), 7.88 (2H, dd, $J$ = 8.3, 2.4 Hz, ArH), 8.38 (4H, dd, $J$ = 7.8, 6.6 Hz, ArH), 8.67 (2H, d, $J$ = 4.8 Hz, ArH), 8.82 (2H, d, $J$ = 1.9 Hz, ArH).

4.2. Synthesis of 2$_{\text{open}}$

Dy(hfac)$_3$·2H$_2$O (24.5 mg, 0.03 mmol) was dissolved in boiling heptane (10 mL). After cooling down to 60 °C, a dichloromethane solution (5 mL) of 1$_{\text{open}}$ (8.5 mg, 0.015 mmol) was added, and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 10 min. Slow evaporation of the solvent at room temperature for 24 h afforded green sheet-like crystals of 2$_{\text{open}}$, suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction. Yield: 60% (20 mg).

4.3. Synthesis of 2$_{\text{close}}$

1$_{\text{open}}$ (8.5 mg, 0.015 mmol) was first dissolved in dichloromethane (7 mL) and irradiated with 365 nm light for an hour to form 1$_{\text{close}}$. Dy(hfac)$_3$·2H$_2$O (24.5 mg, 0.03 mmol) was dissolved in boiling heptane (10 mL). After cooling down to 60 °C, the solution of 1$_{\text{close}}$ was added and stirred at room temperature for 10 min. Slow evaporation of the solvent at room temperature for a few days gave black block like crystals of 2$_{\text{close}}$, suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction. Yield: 35% (10 mg).

4.4. Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data of 2$_{\text{open}}$ was collected on a Bruker APEX-II diffractometer with a Bruker Photon 100 CMOS detector and a Cryo system DX-CS190LD (JAPAN thermal Engineering Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Single-crystal crystallographic data of 2$_{\text{close}}$ was collected on a Rigaku Saturn70 CCD diffractometer (Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) with graphite-monochromated Mo Kα radiation ($\lambda$ = 0.71075 Å) produced by a Varimax micro-focus X-Ray rotating anode source at 90 K. Data processing was performed using the CrystalClear crystallographic software package [24]. For both complexes, the structures were solved by using direct methods via SIR [25], and refinement were carried out using SHELXL-2014 [26]. The non-H atoms were refined anisotropically as possible using weighted full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$. H atoms attached to the C atoms were positioned using idealized geometries and refined using a riding model.

CCDC Nos. 1586166–1586167 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for complexes (See Supplementary Materials). These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

4.5. Physical Measurements

UV–Vis absorption spectra were acquired in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution on a Shimadzu UV-3150 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan). Compounds were irradiated for different amounts of time with a UV light at 365 nm to isomerise from open to close forms and with a visible light at 490 nm to isomerise from close to open forms. Photo-isomerization was performed with a 300 W Xenon Light Source Asahi Spectra MAX-303 (Asahi, Tokyo, Japan).

Magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed on solid polycrystalline samples on a Quantum Design MPMS-XL SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design, San Diego, CA, USA).
The experimental data were corrected for the diamagnetism of the sample holder, and the intrinsic diamagnetism of the materials was evaluated using Pascal’s tables [27]. Ac measurements were performed in a 3 Oe oscillating magnetic field with and without a static dc field. For the solution state magnetic measurements, the masses of the sample and the solvent were measured after the measurement and confirmed with the values by the MH curve in solid and solution states [28].

5. Conclusions

A new DTE bridged bipyridine ligand was synthesized in its open and close forms. Dy ions were coordinated to this ligand to form a photosensitive dinuclear complex, which have been structurally, optically and magnetically characterized by using single X-ray diffraction, UV–Visible absorption spectroscopy and static and dynamic magnetometry. Although photo-isomerisation of complexes in the solid state was not possible due to presence of strong π–π interactions and a crystal solvent molecule, in the solution state, photo-isomerisation was observed. The ring-opening process was around 1500 times slower than the closing one was. Furthermore, the closed form of the ligand, which is fully conjugated, induced faster relaxation of the magnetization than the open form did in both solution and solid states. We are currently studying the effects of the ligand form on the relaxation mechanism pathway.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/2304-6740/6/1/9/s1. Cif and cif-checked files, Table S1: Summary of the crystal parameters for 2open and 2close; Table S2: deviation from the idealized coordination geometries obtained by using SHAPE 2.1. Lowest value is better; Figure S1: (a) top, (b) front and (c) side views of the interactions between the DTE ligand and the heptane solvent molecule in 2open; Figure S2: (a) top, (b) front and (c) side views of the interactions between the DTE ligand and the heptane solvent molecule in 2close; Figure S3: T dependence of χ’ for 2open and 2close and the field dependence of the magnetization at 1.82 K in inset; Figure S4: T and ν dependences of χ’’ in (a,b) the solid state and (c,d) solution state, for 2open and 2close, respectively, in a 0 Oe magnetic field; Figure S5: Arrhenius plots with best-fit curves (lines); Figure S6: H and ν dependences of χ’’ in (a,b) the solid state and (c,d) solution state for 2open and 2close, respectively, at 1.85 K; Table S3: Summary of the fitting parameters for the complete model.; Table S4: Fitting parameters for the Cole–Cole model for the frequency dependence of χ of 2open in the solid state in a 0 Oe field. Xadia was fixed at 0; Table S5: Fitting parameters for the two relaxation Cole–Cole model for the frequency dependence of χ of 2open in the solid state in a 1000 Oe field. Xadia was fixed at 0; Table S6: Fitting parameters for the Cole–Cole model for the frequency dependence of χ’’ of 2close in the solid state in a 0 Oe field; Table S7: Fitting parameters for the Havriliak–Negami model for the frequency dependence of χ’’ of 2close in the solid state in a 1000 Oe field; Table S8: Fitting parameters for the Havriliak–Negami model for the frequency dependence of χ’’ of 2open in the solution state in a 1000 Oe field. Xadia was fixed at 0; Table S9: Fitting parameters for the Havriliak–Negami model for the frequency dependence of χ’’ of 2open in the solution state in a 1000 Oe field. Table S10: Fitting parameters for the Cole–Cole model for the frequency dependence of χ’’ of 2close in the solution state in a 0 Oe field; Table S11: Fitting parameters for the Havriliak–Negami model for the frequency dependence of χ’’ of 2close in the solution state in a 1000 Oe field.
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