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Abstract: This paper analyzes the power distribution and flow of an inductive power transfer (IPT) system with two coupled coils by using the Poynting vector. The system is modeled with a current source flowing through the primary coil, and a uniformly loaded secondary first, then the Poynting vector at an arbitrary point is analyzed by calculating the magnetic and electric fields between and around of the two coils. Both analytical analysis and numerical analysis have been undertaken to show the power distribution, and it has found that power distributes as a donut shape in three-dimensional (3D) space and concentrates along the edges in the proposed two-coil setup, instead of locating coaxially along the center path. Furthermore, power flow across the mid-plane between the two coils is analyzed analytically by the surface integral of the Poynting vector, which is compared with the input power from the primary and the output power to the secondary coil via coupled circuit theory. It has shown that for a lossless IPT system, the power transferred across the mid-plane is equal to the input and output power, which validates the Poynting vector approach. The proposed Poynting vector method provides an effective way to analyze the power distribution in the medium between two coupled coils, which cannot be achieved by traditional lumped circuit theories.
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1. Introduction

Inductive power transfer (IPT) has been developed as an effective method to transfer power wirelessly by non-radiative magnetic field coupling. Generally, power flow analysis of IPT systems can be analyzed by lumped components, such as inductively coupled coils, tuning capacitors, and transformers with the reflected loaded theory (RLT) [1–4]. System performance such as power transfer capability and efficiency can be analyzed by equivalent circuits and reflected impedances in steady state. Alternatively, physicists from Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) considered the energy stored and exchanged in the wireless power transfer system via the coupled-mode theory (CMT) [5,6]. These two theories have been proven equivalent in non-radiative near field region [7,8], however, they cannot be used for determining the field distribution and power transfer path in the medium between the primary and secondary coils of an IPT system.

Poynting vector, a quantity describing the magnitude and direction of the flow of energy, has been widely used for analyzing electromagnetic waves in radio systems. But, whether it is valid for investigating the power flow in the near field for IPT applications has been questioned. Fan [9] et al. pointed out that power transfer in a near field IPT system is determined by magnetic field coupling between the load and power source without involving electromagnetic wave propagation, therefore, Poynting vector cannot be used to explain the power transfer mechanism.

In a complete opposite direction, Faria used Poynting vector to analyze the power transfer in a contactless magnetic system [10]. In his paper, a power transmitter consisting of a C-shaped magnetic
core with a cylindrical coil was modeled as a pair of dipoles, then the power out of the transmitter was analyzed via the short dipole theory in near field. However, the IPT system being studied did not include any power receiver, so the power transfer from the primary to secondary, and power distribution in-between were not studied. Guo et al. presented a theoretical study of the evanescent fields of a two coil system with different terminal loads in [11], and they confirmed the Poynting vector is zero for pure capacitive or inductive loads. But, again, no power distribution or power transfer path were shown even with a resistive load.

Apart from system design for specific applications, there is a need for fundamental research on the power transfer mechanism of two coupled coils in an IPT system. Currently, there is a general lack of understanding on how power transfers between two coupled coils and how it distributes along the transfer path. Clarifying the power transfer mechanism and distribution is useful for researchers to determine the power transfer capability against the coil size, the power transfer distance, etc. for various practical applications, such as wireless charging of mobiles and EV charging with coupled coils. It is also useful for system electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) design after power flow path and power distribution between the two coupled coils are determined.

This paper proposes a Poynting vector based approach to determine the power flow between two coupled coils of an IPT system. Both analytical and numerical analyses will be conducted to show the power transfer distribution in the medium between the two coils. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, a typical two-coil IPT system is set up, and analytical equations for determining the magnetic and electric fields at an arbitrary point between the two coils are given. Then, the total Poynting vector at the arbitrary point is calculated in Section 3. Section 4 presents analytical and numerical analyses in a mid-plane between the two coils. Finally, the power flow across the mid-plane is analyzed quantitatively in Section 5.

2. Electromagnetic Fields at Arbitrary Point of Two-Coil IPT System

Two single turn loop coils are set up as coupled coils of an IPT system to realize wireless power transfer by magnetic coupling. Figure 1 shows two adjacent, aligned coils of an IPT system, separated by a distance d. The primary coil with a radius $a_1$ is in the plane $z = -d/2$ and the secondary coil with a radius $a_2$ is in the plane $z = d/2$. The primary coil is driven by a high frequency AC current $i_1$, and $i_2$ is the induced current in the secondary coil.

![Figure 1. Primary coil and secondary coil setup of an inductive power transfer (IPT) system.](image-url)
The open circuit voltage and the induced current in the secondary (including the amplitude and the phase $\phi$) are listed below, respectively,

$$
V_{oc} = j\omega M_i_1
$$

$$
i_2 = \frac{V_{oc}}{Z_2} = \frac{j\omega M_i_1}{j\omega L_2 + R_L}
$$

$$
\phi = \arctan \frac{R_L}{\omega L_2}
$$

(1)

where $\omega$ is the system running frequency, $Z_2$ is the total impedance of the secondary coil, $R_L$ is the uniformly distributed load in the secondary, and $M$ is the mutual inductance between two coupled coils. No compensation circuit is used for two coupled coils. The equivalent series resistors (ESR) of the primary and the secondary coils are ignored. The coil currents are expressed in time domain as, respectively,

$$
i_1 = I_1 e^{-j \omega t}
$$

$$
i_2 = I_2 e^{-j (\omega t + \phi)}
$$

(2)

where $I_1$ and $I_2$ are the amplitude values of currents in both the primary and the secondary coil, and $\phi$ is the initial phase difference of the secondary current with respect to the primary current.

The electromagnetic fields at an arbitrary point in the space by two coupled coils are expressed, respectively, as [12],

$$
\mathbf{H}_1 = H_{1r} \mathbf{r}_1 + H_{1\theta} \mathbf{\theta}_1
$$

$$
H_{1r} = \frac{k a_2^2}{2} \left( \frac{j}{r_1^2} + \frac{1}{kr_1^2} \right) I_1 e^{-j(\omega t + kr_1)} \cos \theta_1
$$

$$
H_{1\theta} = -\frac{(ka_1)^2}{4} \left( \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{jk r_1^2} - \frac{1}{k^2 r_1^2} \right) I_1 e^{-j(\omega t + kr_1)} \sin \theta_1
$$

$$
\mathbf{H}_2 = H_{2r} \mathbf{r}_2 + H_{2\theta} \mathbf{\theta}_2
$$

$$
H_{2r} = \frac{k a_2^2}{2} \left( \frac{j}{r_2^2} + \frac{1}{kr_2^2} \right) I_2 e^{-j(\omega t + kr_2 + \phi)} \cos \theta_2
$$

$$
H_{2\theta} = -\frac{(ka_2)^2}{4} \left( \frac{1}{r_2} + \frac{1}{jk r_2^2} - \frac{1}{k^2 r_2^2} \right) I_2 e^{-j(\omega t + kr_2 + \phi)} \sin \theta_2
$$

$$
\mathbf{E}_1 = \mathbf{E}_{1\rho} \mathbf{\rho}
$$

$$
E_{1\rho} = \eta \left( \frac{ka_1}{4} \right) \left( \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{jk r_1^2} \right) I_1 e^{-j(\omega t + kr_1)} \sin \theta_1
$$

$$
\mathbf{E}_2 = \mathbf{E}_{2\rho} \mathbf{\rho}
$$

$$
E_{2\rho} = \eta \left( \frac{ka_2}{4} \right) \left( \frac{1}{r_2} + \frac{1}{jk r_2^2} \right) I_2 e^{-j(\omega t + kr_2 + \phi)} \sin \theta_2
$$

(3)

(4)

where $r_1$, $\theta_1$, and $\phi$ represents the radial distance, the polar angle, and the azimuthal angle in the spherical coordinates, respectively. $\mathbf{r}_1$, $\mathbf{\theta}_1$, and $\mathbf{\rho}$ are the orthogonal unit vectors in the directions of $r_1$, $\theta_1$, and $\phi$ in the spherical coordinates. The unit vector $\mathbf{r}_1$ represents the direction in which the radial distance from the center of two coils increases, $\mathbf{\theta}_1$ represents the direction in which the angle from the positive $z$-axis is increasing, and $\mathbf{\rho}$ represents the direction in which the angle in the $xy$ plane counterclockwise from the positive $x$-axis is increasing. $\mathbf{E}_1$, $\mathbf{H}_1$, and $\mathbf{E}_2$ and $\mathbf{H}_2$ are electromagnetic fields generated by the primary and the secondary coil, respectively, at an arbitrary point $P$ as shown in Figure 1. $\omega$ is system angular frequency and $k$ is the wavelength number, defined as $k = 2\pi/\lambda = \omega/c$. $r_1$ and $r_2$ are the distances from the center of two coils to the point $P$, and $\eta$ is the wave impedance of the free space.
3. Poynting Vector in an IPT System

At an arbitrary point between two coupled coils, the time-averaged Poynting vector $\mathbf{S}$, by its definition as the half of the cross-product of $\mathbf{E}$ and conjugate $\mathbf{H}$ ($\mathbf{H}^*$), is expressed in (5),

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2 + \mathbf{S}_{12} + \mathbf{S}_{21}$$

The total time-averaged Poynting vector includes the Poynting vector $\mathbf{S}_1$ contributed by the primary coil only, $\mathbf{S}_2$ solely by the secondary coil, and the cross components $\mathbf{S}_{12}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{21}$ by interaction between the two coils.

$$\mathbf{S}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(\mathbf{E}_1 \times \mathbf{H}_1^*) = \frac{\eta (k a_1)^4 |I_1|^2 \sin^2 \theta_1}{32 r_1^2} \mathbf{r}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(\mathbf{E}_2 \times \mathbf{H}_2^*) = \frac{\eta (k a_2)^4 |I_2|^2 \sin^2 \theta_2}{32 r_2^2} \mathbf{r}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(\mathbf{E}_1 \times \mathbf{H}_2^*) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(-\mathbf{E}_{1\psi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{2\psi}^*) \mathbf{r}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(\mathbf{E}_{1\phi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{2\phi}^*) \mathbf{r}_2$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{21} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(\mathbf{E}_2 \times \mathbf{H}_1^*) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(-\mathbf{E}_{2\psi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{1\psi}^*) \mathbf{r}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(\mathbf{E}_{2\phi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{1\phi}^*) \mathbf{r}_1$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\eta k a_1^2 a_2^2 |I_1 I_2| \sin \theta_1}{32 r_1^2 r_2^2}$ in (7).

Equation (6) shows the $\mathbf{S}_1$ and $\mathbf{S}_2$ have components in $r$ direction only. Equation (7) shows that both $\mathbf{S}_{12}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{21}$ have two components in the $r$ direction and in the $\theta$ direction, respectively. As aforementioned, the majority of IPT system running frequencies range from tens of kHz [1,4,13] up to 13.56 MHz [14–16], so the wavelength number $k (k = \omega / c)$ is much less than 1 with the decrease of operating frequency of the system.

In terms of the transferred power from the primary coil to the secondary coil in an IPT system, $\mathbf{S}_1$ and $\mathbf{S}_2$ are contributed by Poynting vectors that are generated by the primary coil and the secondary coil separately, whose magnitudes decrease as the fourth power of wavelength number $k$. $\mathbf{S}_1$ and $\mathbf{S}_2$ are very small at IPT system frequencies, when compared to the interactive components of $\mathbf{S}_{12}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{21}$, which are directly proportional to $k$. It is obvious that $\mathbf{S}_1$ and $\mathbf{S}_2$ do not contribute much to the system power transfer, when compared to two coupling components $\mathbf{S}_{12}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{21}$. This suggests at an arbitrary point near the coupled coils in an IPT system, the coupled parts $\mathbf{S}_{12}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{21}$ dominate the system power transfer, and $\mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2$ in (5) could be neglected. Similarly, high order components ($\geq 2$) of $k$ in the expressions of the coupled parts $\mathbf{S}_{12}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{21}$ in (7) are neglected for simple calculation.

The interactive parts of time-averaged Poynting vector at a point in an IPT system is then be simplified, as shown in (8).

$$\mathbf{S}'_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(\mathbf{E}_1 \times \mathbf{H}_2^*) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(-\mathbf{E}_{1\psi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{2\psi}^*) \mathbf{r}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(\mathbf{E}_{1\phi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{2\phi}^*) \mathbf{r}_2$$

$$\mathbf{S}'_{12\psi} = -\alpha \cdot \sin \theta_2 \cdot \sin (kr_2 - kr_1 + \phi)$$

$$\mathbf{S}'_{12\phi} = \alpha \cdot 2 \cos \theta_2 \cdot \sin (-kr_1 + kr_2 + \phi)$$

$$\mathbf{S}'_{21} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(\mathbf{E}_2 \times \mathbf{H}_1^*) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(-\mathbf{E}_{2\psi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{1\psi}^*) \mathbf{r}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(\mathbf{E}_{2\phi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{1\phi}^*) \mathbf{r}_1$$

$$\mathbf{S}'_{21\psi} = -\alpha \cdot \sin \theta_1 \cdot \sin (\phi - kr_1 + kr_2)$$

$$\mathbf{S}'_{21\phi} = \alpha \cdot 2 \cos \theta_1 \cdot \sin (\phi - kr_1 + kr_2)$$

$$\mathbf{S}'_{21\phi} = \alpha \cdot 2 \cos \theta_1 \cdot \sin (\phi - kr_1 + kr_2)$$
where \( \gamma = \frac{\eta k a_1^2 a_2^2 I_1 I_2 \sin \theta_1}{32 r_1^2 r_2^2} \) in (8).

Then the interactive components from the spherical coordinate are then transformed to the \( \mathbf{S} \) in the Cartesian coordinate in (9) for consistency where two coils are located physically for straightforward understanding.

\[
\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix}
\sin \theta_2 \cos \varphi & \cos \theta_2 \cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi \\
\sin \theta_2 \sin \varphi & \cos \theta_2 \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \\
\cos \theta_2 & -\sin \theta_2 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \mathbf{S}_{12} + \begin{pmatrix}
\sin \theta_1 \cos \varphi & \cos \theta_1 \cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi \\
\sin \theta_1 \sin \varphi & \cos \theta_1 \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \\
\cos \theta_1 & -\sin \theta_1 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \mathbf{S}_{21} \tag{9}
\]

Finally, the simplified Poynting vector \( \mathbf{S} \) is shown in (10) as a vector with components in \( x, y, \) and \( z \) directions, respectively, in the Cartesian coordinate.

\[
\mathbf{S} = \beta \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \varphi (2 \cos^2 \theta_2 \sin \theta_1 r_1 - \sin \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 r_1 - 2 \cos^2 \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 r_2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 r_2), \\
\sin \varphi (2 \cos^2 \theta_2 \sin \theta_1 r_1 - \sin \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 r_1 - 2 \cos^2 \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 r_2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 r_2), \\
3 \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cos \theta_1 r_2 - 3 \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cos \theta_2 r_1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \( \beta = \frac{k \eta \sin(\varphi - kr_1 + kr_2) a_1^2 a_2^2 I_1 I_2}{32 r_1^2 r_2^2} \) in (10).

4. Power Distribution Analysis

4.1. Analytical Analysis

The primary coil is driven by a 10 A (peak value) sinusoidal current source at 1 MHz, and the peak current induced in the secondary coil is 5.6242 A. The phase of the secondary current is 0.2734 rad lagging that of the primary current. The primary coil and the secondary coil have equal radii, \( a_1 = a_2 = 0.48 \) m, and equal wire diameter of 0.01 m. These two coils are separated with a distance \( d = 0.4 \) m. As the Poynting vector is generated three-dimensionally in the space between two coils, the two-dimensional (2D) \( yz \) cross-section plane in the Cartesian coordinate is chosen to visualize the Poynting vector for straightforward presentation.

The calculated time-averaged Poynting vectors components \( S_y \) and \( S_z \) are expressed in (11), where the magnitudes and directions of these two components are closely related to the coordinate of the selected point in the \( yz \) plane. As the azimuthal angle \( \varphi \) in the \( yz \) plane is \( \pi/2 \), \( S_y \), and \( S_z \) in the \( yz \) plane from (10) are further simplified as (11),

\[
S_y = \gamma \frac{\sin(\varphi - kr_1 + kr_2)}{r_1^2 r_2^2} (2 \cos^2 \theta_2 \sin \theta_1 r_1 - \sin \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 r_1 - 2 \cos^2 \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 r_2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 r_2) \\
S_z = \gamma \frac{\sin(\varphi - kr_1 + kr_2)}{r_1^2 r_2^2} (3 \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cos \theta_1 r_2 - 3 \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cos \theta_2 r_1) \tag{11}
\]

where \( \gamma = \frac{k \eta a_1^2 a_2^2 I_1 I_2}{32} \).

Figure 2 shows the 2D analytical result of the power distribution results between the two coupled coils. The horizontal axis is the \( y \) axis, and the vertical axis is the \( z \) axis. The center \((y = 0)\) of the primary coil and the secondary coil is located co-axially along the \( z \) axis, where the radius of each coil is 0.48 m. The primary coil \((z = -0.2)\) is below the secondary \((z = 0.2)\) with a distance \( d = 0.4 \) m. The \( y \) and \( z \) components of the time-averaged Poynting vector field, shown in (11), were visualized by using Mathematica. The directions of the time-averaged Poynting vector fields are shown with arrows and the strength of the vectors are shown with colors. The two points \((y = -0.48 \) and \( y = 0.48)\) on the \( y \)-axis (horizontal axis) are the edges of the coils and the Poynting vector distributes in a round shape between two coils in the \( yz \) plane. The strength of the Poynting vector fields, and thus the power density, decreases as the selected point approaches to the symmetrical axis between the two coils, or
moves away from the edges of the two coils. The directions of the Poynting vector fields, and thus the power transfer path, are found to be concentrated at the edges of the coils, as opposed to the center path. The maximum magnitude of the Poynting vector in the $yz$ plane is around $0.4 \text{ VA/m}^2$, according to the result.

![Figure 2. Two-dimensional (2D) analytical result of the power distribution between the primary and secondary coil using Mathematica.](image)

4.2. Numerical Analysis

This section shows the power density distribution simulation results of the proposed IPT system by using the electromagnetic studio (EMS) of computer simulation technology (CST) software package. CST EMS was used to setup the parameters of the coupled coils for power distribution analysis. It is a dedicated software package which can be used for studying the current flow, magnetic and electric field distribution, dynamic power flow, and other static to medium frequency variables of electromagnetic systems. The coil parameters of the system setup are kept the same as before for consistency with the analytical analysis. The same 2D cross-section plane $yz$ is also used to show the Poynting vector distribution in the Cartesian coordinate.

Figure 3 shows 2D simulation result of the power distribution in the $yz$ plane between the primary and the secondary coils from CST. The primary coil is located axially below the secondary coil. The directions of arrows show the directions of Poynting vector fields pointing to and the colors of them present the amplitudes of Poynting vector. The distribution results show that power is transferred from the primary to the secondary coil concentrates along the edges of two coils. Away from the coil edges or along the center path, the strength of Poynting vector fields decrease. The power distributes in a round shape at the edges of two coils in the $yz$ plane.

It can be seen from Figures 2 and 3 that most Poynting vectors between the coupled coils point from the primary coil to the secondary coil. It also shows most power distributes between the two edges of coils instead of focusing along the center axis. As the system is symmetrical, in a three-dimensional (3D) domain, the power density distribution would be in a donut shape between and along the perimeter of the two coils. The magnitudes, directions, and distribution of the Poynting vectors of shown in Figures 2 and 3 are in good agreement. Figure 2 shows the maximum values of the Poynting vector fields are around $0.4 \text{ VA/m}^2$, according to the results and Figure 3 shows almost the same amplitudes of the time-averaged Poynting vector, which validates the correctness of the proposed analytical Poynting vector model.
5. Power Flow Analysis

5.1. Power Transfer across Mid-Plane from Primary Coil to Secondary Coil

The power distribution and transfer path have been determined by analytical analysis and numerical analysis in the last section, and this section presents a further quantitative analysis of power flow. Figure 4 shows the Poynting vector distribution sketch in the $xy$ mid-plane. The Poynting vector at any point has components in $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions. Power is transferred from the primary coil to the secondary coil along the $z$ direction, the Poynting vector components in $x$ and $y$ directions do not contribute to system power transfer as the power transfers along the $z$ axis.

Figure 3. 2D simulation result of the power distribution between the primary and secondary coil using computer simulation technology (CST).

Figure 4. Poynting vector distribution sketch in the $xy$ mid-plane.
From Figure 4, the selected point P is in the xy plane along the y axis, and r is the distance between P and the origin, which indicates the radius of the mid-plane. Then, the coordinate transformation from the spherical coordinate to the Cartesian coordinate is given by (12).

\[
\sin \theta_i = r/r_i, \\
\cos \theta_i = (-1)^{i-1} d/2r_i, \\
r_i = \sqrt{r^2 + (d/2)^2}, \ i \in \{1, 2\}, \\
\varphi \in [0, 2\pi);
\]

(12)

The Poynting vector in the xy plane could be simplified as (13) by substituting all of the known parameters in (12) to (10),

\[
S = (0, 0, k\eta a_1^2 a_2^2 I_1 I_2 \sin \varphi \frac{24dr^2}{(d^2 + 4r^2)^4})
\]

(13)

The total Poynting vector includes the z component in the central cross-section plane (xy plane) only, and the x and y component of Poynting vectors are calculated as 0. Then, integrate the z component on the infinite cross-section plane to derive the average power transfer across the mid-plane between two coupled coils,

\[
P = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S \cdot d\sigma = k\eta a_1^2 a_2^2 I_1 I_2 \sin \varphi \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{24dr^2}{(d^2 + 4r^2)^4} \cdot 2\pi r \, dr
\]

(14)

where \( k\eta = \omega \mu_0, \mu_0 \) and \( \epsilon_0 \) are the magnetic permeability and electric permeability in vacuum. (14) is further simplified as,

\[
P = \omega M I_1 I_2 \sin \varphi
\]

(15)

where \( \omega \) is system working frequency.

\( M \) is the mutual inductance [5,6,17] between the two-coupled single turn circular coils,

\[
M = \frac{\mu_0 \pi a_1^2 a_2^2}{2d^3},
\]

\( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) are RMS values of the currents in both the primary and secondary coil, \( \varphi \) is the phase of the secondary current lagging that of the primary current.

Equation (15) shows the surface integral of the perpendicular Poynting vector components is equal to the power transferred from the primary to the secondary. The power transferred across the mid-plane is determined by the system frequency, the radii of the primary and the secondary, the RMS values of two coil currents, the phase between two currents, and the coupling distance. The result is consistent with that from the circuit theory of [18]. Note the xy plane is chosen here as the mid-plane to simplify the calculation, and it is possible to verify that the Poynting vector method in relation to the average power flow applies to any infinite surface perpendicular to the axis between the two coupled coils.

Two extreme conditions, including an open secondary and a shorted secondary, are considered to prove the validity of the Poynting vector in an IPT system. An IPT system is running with the primary driven by a sinusoidal current and the secondary coil is open circuited, so there is an induced voltage across the secondary coil with no current. The Poynting vectors contributed by the secondary coils are zero and the total Poynting vector is simplified as \( S_1 \). The time-averaged Poynting vector that is generated by the primary coil is,

\[
S_1 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(E_1 \times H_1^*) = \frac{\eta (ka_1)^4 |I_1|^2 \sin^2 \theta_1}{32r_1^4} \hat{r}
\]

(16)
The total time-averaged Poynting vector $S$ is equal to 0 as the $z$ component of the Poynting vector is 0 in (17), when the secondary is open. No real power is transferred to the secondary coil, which matches the result from the circuit theory.

\[
S = \begin{bmatrix}
\sin \theta_1 \cos \varphi & \cos \theta_1 \cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi \\
\sin \theta_1 \sin \varphi & \cos \theta_1 \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \\
\cos \theta_1 & -\sin \theta_1 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \cdot S_1 = [0, 0, 0] \tag{17}
\]

Then, the IPT system is running when the primary is driven by a sinusoidal current and the secondary coil shorted. There is an induced voltage across the secondary coil and an induced short current in the secondary coil. The total Poytning vector from (5) is expressed as,

\[
S = S_{12} + S_{21} \tag{18}
\]

By the coordinate transformation in (12), the Poynting vector is derived as the following form, including only the $z$ component,

\[
S = (0, 0, k \eta a_1^2 a_2^2 I_1 I_{sc} \sin \varphi \cdot \frac{24d^2}{(d^2 + 4r^2)^4}) \tag{19}
\]

$I_{sc}$ is the amplitude value of short current in the secondary coil, and the transferred power is determined by the $z$ component of the total Poynting vector $S$ in (19). The time-averaged Poynting vector is direct proportional to $\sin \varphi$, and $\varphi$ is the phase difference between the secondary current and the primary current. According to Lenz’s law, the induced current in the secondary is in phase with the primary current if the secondary coil is shorted. Consequently, $S = [0, 0, 0]$ as the term $\sin \varphi$ is 0 when the secondary coil is shorted, and this means that no real power flows to a shorted secondary IPT system.

5.2. Example Power Flow Analysis

Table 1 shows the parameters of an example IPT setup for analyzing the power flow between the two coupled coils. These parameters are kept the same for both analytical and numerical analyses. The IPT system operating frequency $f$ is set at 1 MHz so the system angular frequency $\omega$ is $6.2832 \times 10^6$ rad/s, accordingly. The primary coil is driven by a 10 A (peak value) sinusoidal current source and the secondary coil is assumed to have a uniformly distributed load $R_L$ of 5 Ω. The primary and the secondary coils have identical geometries, with equal radius $a_1$ and $a_2$ of 0.48 m, and equal wire radius $b_1$ and $b_2$ of 0.005 m. The separate distance $d$ between the primary and the secondary coil is 0.4 m, with the coils aligning along the z-axis at $z = +0.2$ m and $-0.2$ m, respectively.

**Table 1. Parameters of an example IPT setup.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>1 MHz</td>
<td>$a_1 = a_2$</td>
<td>0.48 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$</td>
<td>$6.2832 \times 10^6$ rad/s</td>
<td>$b_1 = b_2$</td>
<td>0.005 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_1$</td>
<td>10 A</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.4 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_1 = N_2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$R_L$</td>
<td>5 Ω</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the parameters given in Table 1, the self-inductance of each circular loop coil can be calculated [19,20] as,

\[
L = 0.01595 \cdot 2a \cdot [2.303 \cdot \log_{10} \left( \frac{8 \cdot 2a}{2b} \right) - 2] = 2.8 \mu H \tag{20}
\]

where $a$ is the radius of the coils, and $b$ is the wire radius. Note both $a$ and $b$ should be calculated in inches in (20), which is different from the units shown in Table 1.
The mutual inductance \([5,6,17]\) can also be calculated by (21), which is determined by the radius of two coils and separation distance,

\[
M = \frac{\mu_0 \pi a^2 a_2^2}{2d^3} = 1.6372 \, \mu\text{H} \tag{21}
\]

Then, the induced peak current \(\hat{I}_2\) in the secondary coil can be obtained based on the coupled circuit theory,

\[
\hat{I}_2 = \frac{j\omega M \hat{I}_1}{j\omega L_2 + R_L} = 5.6245 \angle 15.87^\circ \, \text{A} \tag{22}
\]

Now, the input and output power can be calculated. Because the IPT system is assumed to be lossless without considering the ESRs of the primary and the secondary coils, and the power loss in the air is negligible, it is reasonable to predict that the input power to the primary coil \(P_{in}\) is equal to the output power to the secondary coil \(P_{out}\), which is verified by the following analysis.

The input power \(P_{in}\) provided by the primary coil can be calculated by the reflected impedance from the secondary coil, which is determined by the RMS value of the current in the primary and the real part of the reflected impedance from the secondary. It is calculated as,

\[
P_{in} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{I}_2^2 \text{Re}[j\omega L_1 + \frac{\omega^2 M^2}{(j\omega L_2 + R_L)}] = 79.09 \, \text{W} \tag{23}
\]

Similarly, the output power \(P_{out}\) received by the load is calculated by the coupled circuit theory, which is related to the RMS value of the induced current and load value in the secondary coil,

\[
P_{out} = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{j\omega M \hat{I}_1}{j\omega L_2 + R_L} \right|^2 \cdot R_L = 79.09 \, \text{W} \tag{24}
\]

As expected, these two results are in good agreement from (23) and (24). The previous analyses are based on lumped circuit models.

The power flow across a mid-plane can be calculated by the power distribution described by the Poynting vector analysis in Section 5.1. In the example system setup with parameters shown in Table 1, the power flow across the mid-plane (which equals to the integral of the \(z\) component of the Poynting vector over the infinite surface \(xy\) plane) can be calculated as,

\[
P_m = \frac{\omega \mu_0 \pi a^2 a_2^2 \hat{I}_1 \hat{I}_2 \sin \phi}{4d^3} = 79.09 \, \text{W} \tag{25}
\]

where \(\mu_0\) is the magnetic permeability in vacuum \((\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \, \text{H} \cdot \text{m}^{-1})\), and \(\phi\) is the phase of the secondary current lagging that of the primary current \((\sin \phi = 0.2734)\).

These results show the power transfer across the mid plane \(P_m\) is equal to the input and output power calculated in (23)–(25). Figure 5 illustrates that the power flow from the primary coil to the secondary via the mid plane (power transfer direction is shown with red arrows) in the example two-coil IPT system, which verifies that the Poynting vector method is valid to analyze the power flow and power distribution between the coils. The general power results at the input and output ends are consistent with the lumped circuit theories, but the proposed Poynting vector approach can be used for power flow and distribution in the medium between two coupled coils in an IPT system.
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Figure 5. Input power, power across the mid-plane and output power of the example two-coil IPT system.

6. Conclusions

This paper has analyzed the power distribution and power flow between two coupled coils of an IPT system by using the Poynting vector. A two-coil setup of an IPT system has been modelled with the primary coil driven by a current source, and a uniformly loaded secondary. Then, the Poynting vector at an arbitrary point is analyzed by calculating the magnetic and electric fields between the two coils. Analytical results and numerical results of the power distribution from Mathematica and CST has been obtained and compared, and the result shows that the power distributes as a donut shape in 3D space and concentrates along the edges of two coils in the proposed setup, as opposite to the central path. Additionally, quantitative power flow analysis across a mid-plane between the two coils has been conducted with an infinite mid-plane surface integral of the Poynting vector, which has been compared with the input and the output power from the primary and the secondary coil. The results show that power across the mid-plane, the input power of the primary, and the output power to the secondary are in good agreement, which verifies the Poynting vector method, and demonstrates the power flow from the primary to the secondary coil. The proposed Poynting vector method provides an effective approach to analyze the power flow and distribution between two coupled coils in a near field IPT system, which cannot be achieved by traditional lumped circuit theories.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering of the University of Auckland, as well as PowerbyProxy (Apple) for their financial and technical supports.

Author Contributions: Both authors have contributed to this work. Yuan Liu is the corresponding author of this manuscript and contributed to the theoretical analysis, modeling, simulation, and draft preparation. Aiguo Patrick Hu provided general guidance for this research work and supervised the whole project.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References


© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).