The Al Effects of Co-Free and V-Containing High-Entropy Alloys
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Abstract: In this study, five-component high-entropy alloys (HEAs) AlxCrFeNiV (where x denotes the molar ratio, x = 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.75, 1, and 1.5) were prepared using an arc-melting furnace. The effects of the addition of the Al on the crystal structures were investigated using X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Also, two non-equiatomic ratio HEAs, Al0.3CrFeNiV (x = 0.3, and 0.5), were systematically studied through the use of various characterization methods in the as-cast state. The Al0.5CrFeNiV alloy displayed typical duplex body-centered cubic (BCC) structures, including disordered BCC (A2), and NiAl-type ordered BCC (B2) phases. Meanwhile, in regard to the Al0.3CrFeNiV alloy, this alloy was found to contain an unknown phase which was enriched in Cr and V, as well as the coherent A2/B2 phases. Both of these alloys displayed very high yield and fracture strengths. However, their compression fracture strains were approximately 10%. Also, the fracture surfaces showed mainly cleavage fracture modes.
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1. Introduction

High-entropy alloys (HEAs) are a new class of materials which are defined as alloys consisting of four or more base elements with equal or non-equal fractions. The high configurational entropy which is induced by the number of base elements is able to prevent the formation of intermetallic phases, and thereby avoids the disadvantages of conventional multicomponent alloys. HEAs mainly consist of simple solid solution structures, such as body-centered cubic (BCC), face-centered cubic (FCC), or hexagonal close-packed (HCP) structures [1–3]. However, in many cases, more complicated structures may exist in some HEAs [4–7], including ordered-solid solutions and/or intermetallic phases, which indicates that the high configurational entropy may not be sufficient to prevent the formation of ordered solid solutions and intermetallic phases in some HEAs [8].

Many HEA systems reported are based on transition metals—namely Co, Cr, Fe, and Ni—with the additions of such elements as Al and Mn. The widely studied Al5CoCrFeNi HEAs are demonstrated from FCC to BCC structures through a mixture of both phases with increased Al content [9]. In recent studies, the HEAs have been reported to exhibit promising irradiation resistance properties, which make them potential cladding material candidates for components of the next-generation nuclear reactors, as well as other high-radiation resistant materials [10–12]. However, considering the
radioactivity of Cobalt in most HEAs under neutron irradiation, exploring these novel HEAs without Co-element is necessary.

In this study, the V-element was added to the widely studied Al$_x$CoCrFeNi HEAs to replace Co. The two main objectives which were focused on were as follows: (i) To gain new understanding of the Al effects on phase formations in both Co-free and V-containing HEAs; and (ii) To explore the microstructures and mechanical properties of the Al$_x$CrFeNiV alloys.

2. Experimental Procedures

In this research study, HEAs samples with nominal compositions of Al$_x$CrFeNiV (where $x$ denotes the molar ratio, $x = 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.75, 1, \text{ and } 1.5$) were prepared by the arc-melting of the pure elements in a Ti-gettered high-purity argon atmosphere inside a water-cooled copper mold (SKY Technology Development Co., Ltd. Chinese Academy of Science, Shenyang, China). The purities of the alloying elements were determined to be above 99.95 atomic percent (at %). In order to ensure chemical homogeneity, the ingots were flipped over and re-melted at least five times. The produced ingots of the Al$_x$CrFeNiV alloys had dimensions of approximately $\Phi 30 \text{ mm} \times 10 \text{ mm}$. Table 1 lists the composition of the alloys of this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy No.</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Atomic Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>CrFeNiV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>Al$_{0.1}$CrFeNiV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>Al$_{0.3}$CrFeNiV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>Al$_{0.5}$CrFeNiV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>Al$_{0.75}$CrFeNiV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Al$_1$CrFeNiV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>Al$_{1.5}$CrFeNiV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The microstructures of the as-cast samples were characterized by XRD (TTRIII, Tokyo, Japan) at 40 kV and 40 mA, with a scanning rate of 2° per minute from 20° to 90°. Then, the prepared samples of $\Phi 3 \text{ mm} \times 6 \text{ mm}$ for the compressive tests were prepared and investigated at room temperature, with a strain rate of $2 \times 10^{-4}$ per second. Meanwhile, Vickers microhardness, HV, was measured on polished cross-section surfaces of the Al$_x$CrFeNiV alloys ($x = 0.3, 0.5, 0.75, 1, \text{ and } 1.5$) using a 136-degree Vickers diamond pyramid (HV-1000, Shanghai, China) under a 500 g load applied for 15 s. The fracture surface and morphology of the alloys were examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Zeiss Supra 55, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrometer. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Technai F30, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA)-EDX characterizations were performed under Scanning TEM (STEM) nano-probe conditions, with a beam size of approximately 1 nm. The TEM samples were prepared by mechanical polishing to approximately 40 µm in thickness, followed by the utilization of ion milling. Also, the samples for the SEM/Back Scattered Electron (BSE) study were prepared by electro-polishing at room temperature, in a solution of HClO$_4$ (15%), CH$_3$OH (60%), and CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_2$OH (25%).

3. Results

3.1. Results of the XRD and SEM

Figure 1a shows the XRD patterns of the Al$_x$CrFeNiV HEAs, and Figure 1b shows the macroscopic samples of the three representative alloys, including CrFeNiV, Al$_{0.3}$CrFeNiV, and AlCrFeNiV, respectively. The crystal structures of those alloys with lower Al content (for example, $x = 0$ and 0.1) were quite different from that for the alloys with higher Al content (for example, $x \geq 0.3$). For CrFeNiV alloy, the diffraction patterns might be identified with FCC or L1$_2$ structure. Meanwhile, with regard
to the Al$_{0.1}$CrFeNiV alloy, an FCC solid solution and a BCC solid solution coexisted in the alloy, but there were still some unidentified peaks for the two lower Al content alloys. For the $x = 0.3$, all of the diffraction patterns could be identified with typical duplex BCC structures, including the A2 and B2 phases. For $x \geq 0.5$, along with the similar duplex BCC structures, there were minor unidentified peaks at small 2θ angles, which corresponded to an ‘unknown phase’ in the present study. These results confirmed that the increase of Al content could affect the structures of the Al$_{0.5}$CrFeNiV alloys. The representative macroscopic features of the as-cast ingots are shown in Figure 1b. Both the Al$_{0.1}$CrFeNiV and CrFeNiV alloys broke into many pieces immediately following the arc-melting. The alloys of the Al$_{0.75}$CrFeNiV, AlCrFeNiV, and Al$_{1.5}$CrFeNiV broke into two pieces. In contrast, the as-cast ingots of the Al$_{0.5}$CrFeNiV and Al$_{0.3}$CrFeNiV samples did not break (as shown in Figure 1b), which might have indicated a better ductility. Their microstructure and mechanical properties were also further investigated in this present study.

The SEM/BSE images of the Al$_{0.3}$CrFeNiV and Al$_{0.5}$CrFeNiV HEAs are shown in Figure 2. A large number of nano-precipitations were commonly observed in the Al$_{0.3}$CrFeNiV alloy. The XRD and SEM results verified that the Al$_{0.5}$CrFeNiV alloy was one of the typical duplex BCC structures with A2 and B2 phases. The Al$_{0.3}$CrFeNiV (Figure 2b) presented a more complicated microstructure, with a periodic fine-scale (approximately 100 nm in width) structure consisting of obvious alternating bright and dark interconnected phases. These were found to be similar to the inter-dendritic and inter-plate region structures in the selected Al$_{1.5}$CoCrFeNi alloys [13], which were believed to have been formed by a spinodal decomposition mechanism [14]. Similar structures were also reported in the Al$_{1.5}$CoCrCuFeNi [15] and AlFeMnNi HEAs [16]. In order to further confirm the microstructures of both the HEAs, TEM and STEM analyses were performed in this research study.

![Figure 1](image-url)
were not uniformly distributed in the matrix, and the coherent A2/B2 phase mixture was also a characteristic feature of the spinodal structure, which may have been formed by periodic composition modulations during the solidification [18].

Moreover, it was determined that the chemical compositions of the five different grains were almost identical, and a representative TEM-EDX line scanning across the nano-precipitates can be seen in Figure 4a. The result of the TEM-EDX line scanning across the nano-precipitates was a B2 phase, and the matrix was an A2 phase, as illustrated in Figure 3a. Figure 3b shows the STEM image of the Al0.3CrFeNiV, and a clearer duplex phase structure can be clearly observed, which agreed with the results of the XRD and SEM.

In addition, the chemical compositions of the five different regions were measured by TEM-EDX. The average chemical compositions of the A2 and B2 phases in the Al0.3CrFeNiV alloy are presented in Figure 3c. The TEM-EDX line scanning across a grain with a B2 structure was a representative region, which is marked in Figure 3b. The TEM-EDX results showed that the A2 phase was enriched in Fe, Cr, and V, while the B2 phase was enriched in Al and Ni. Therefore, based on the results of the TEM-EDX and the representative binary B2 intermetallic compounds AB (such as FeAl and NiAl) [17], it was expected that, for the B2 phase in the Al0.3CrFeNiV, the Al atoms preferentially occupied the center sites of the unit cell where the A1 could be replaced by V since the V had a closer atomic radius to the Al. Meanwhile, the Ni and Fe randomly occupied the corner sites, and formed a (Ni, Fe) (Al, V) B2 structure similar to the NiAl due to the strongly negative formation enthalpy of the Al-Ni and V-Ni.

In regard to the Al0.5CrFeNiV alloy, a representative TEM image is shown in Figure 4, and the corresponding SAED pattern is presented in the inset of Figure 4a. The red circles in the SAED pattern are the unidentified diffraction spots which suggested that, along with the coherent A2/B2 phases, another phase appeared in the alloy. The crystal structure of the phase was not identified in the current study. The STEM image of the Al0.5CrFeNiV alloy is shown in Figure 4b. Two findings were observed in this study: (1) numerous spherical precipitates (the blue square in the inset of Figure 4b) with unknown structures and average diameters of approximately 80 nm, were found to be distributed throughout the matrix; (2) the typical inter-weaved short rods aligned at approximately 90° angles (the red circle in the inset of Figure 4b). It should be noted that the smaller spherical nano-precipitates were not uniformly distributed in the matrix, and the coherent A2/B2 phase mixture was also a characteristic feature of the spinodal structure, which may have been formed by periodic composition modulations during the solidification [18].

Moreover, it was determined that the chemical compositions of the five different regions were almost identical, and a representative TEM-EDX line scanning across the nano-precipitates can be seen in Figure 4b. The results of the TEM-EDX is shown in Figure 4c, where the spherical Cr- and V-rich nano-precipitates are shown as being dispersely distributed in the alloy. These results indicated that higher contents of Al can cause another phase formation in the Al0.5CrFeNiV HEAs, namely an
unknown phase. This resulted in the crystal structure of the phase not being identified in the current study. Therefore, based on the results of the chemical compositions of the unknown phase, it was assumed that the unknown phase was enriched in Cr and V due to the content of Cr (30 at %) and V (50 at %), as shown in Figure 4c. Furthermore, the unknown phase observed by the STEM may have corresponded to the minor unidentified peaks at small 2θ angles, which appeared in the XRD plot for the Al_{0.5}CrFeNiV alloy, as shown in Figure 1a. For the B2 phase in Al_{0.5}CrFeNiV alloy, it may have been a (Ni, Fe) Al type B2 structure, which was similar to the NiAl.

**Figure 3.** (a) BF TEM image of the Al_{0.3}CrFeNiV alloy and corresponding SAED patterns (zone axis [100]) showing the alloy consisted of a B2 phase, and an A2 phase; (b) STEM-HAADF image, where the red line indicates the EDX scan line; (c) Compositional profiles across the nano-precipitates.
3.3. Mechanical Properties

Figure 5 shows the compression stress-strain curves of the Al\textsubscript{x}CrFeNiV HEAs at the different Al content levels, and the values of the fracture strength ($\sigma_f$), yield strength ($\sigma_{0.2}$), and plastic strain limit ($\varepsilon_p$) are listed in Table 2. The two Al\textsubscript{x}CrFeNiV alloys ($x = 0.3$ and $x = 0.5$) exhibited fairly high fracture strengths (>2700 MPa) and yield strengths (>2000 MPa). When $x = 0.3$, the fracture strength and plastic strain achieved the maximum levels, namely 3073 MPa and 9.2%, respectively. When the Al content was increased to $x = 0.5$, the yield strength was found to be increased, while the fracture strength and plastic strain limit decreased. It was interesting to note that the stress-strain curve of the Al\textsubscript{0.5}CrFeNiV alloy exhibited a serration behavior, which was absent in the Al\textsubscript{0.3}VCrFeNi. The observed serration behavior suggested that additional deformation mechanisms became active in Al\textsubscript{0.3}CrFeNiV alloy, which may have been related to the formation of slip bands on the sample surfaces [10]. The serration behavior at room temperature presented a very interesting topic to be studied in regard to the HEAs, as it was observed at cryogenic temperatures [19], as well as elevated temperatures [20]. These findings may...
potentially provide a new understanding of the mechanical-deformation behavior of HEAs at room temperature. Also, the results of more focused investigations will be reported in future publications. The comparisons which were completed with other typical HEAs and bulk metallic glasses (BMGs) are summarized in Table 2. It appeared that the \( \text{Al}_{0.3}\text{CrFeNiV} \) and \( \text{Al}_{0.5}\text{CrFeNiV} \) alloys had the desirable strength, but limited ductility among the listed materials. Moreover, the Young’s modulus \( (E) \) could be calculated based on the true stress-strain curves (Figure 5b). The Young’s moduli \( (E) \) of the \( \text{Al}_{0.3}\text{CrFeNiV} \) and \( \text{Al}_{0.5}\text{CrFeNiV} \) alloys were determined to be 113.5 GPa, and 111.8 GPa, respectively.

Table 2. Room temperature compression test results for the \( \text{Al}_x\text{CrFeNiV} \) \((x = 0.3, \text{ and } 0.5)\) HEAs compared with the other alloys from the material big-data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>( \sigma_{0.2} , \text{(MPa)} )</th>
<th>( \sigma_p , \text{(MPa)} )</th>
<th>( \epsilon_p , % )</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \text{Al}_{0.3}\text{CrFeNiV} )</td>
<td>2066.8</td>
<td>3072.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \text{Al}_{0.5}\text{CrFeNiV} )</td>
<td>2295.7</td>
<td>2766.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( \text{Al}<em>{0.5}\text{CrFeNiTi}</em>{0.25} )</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>3475</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \text{AlCoCrFeNi} )</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>2830</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( \text{AlCoCrFeNiTi}_{0.5} )</td>
<td>2260</td>
<td>3140</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>( \text{AlCoCrFeNiTi} )</td>
<td>1860</td>
<td>2580</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>( \text{CoCrCuFeNi} )</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>( \text{CoCrCuFeNiTi}_{0.5} )</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>( \text{CoCrCuFeNiTi}_{0.8} )</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>1848</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>( \text{CoCrCuFeNiTi} )</td>
<td>1227</td>
<td>1272</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>( \text{AlCu}<em>{0.4}\text{Zr}</em>{0.6} )</td>
<td>1199</td>
<td>1882</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>( \text{Al}<em>{0.3}\text{CoFeNiSi}</em>{0.3} )</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>2857</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>( \text{MoNbTaW} )</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>1211</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>( \text{MoNbTaVW} )</td>
<td>1246</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>( \text{CoCrFeMnNi}_{0.75} )</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>( \text{CoCrFeMnNiV} )</td>
<td>1660</td>
<td>1845</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>( \text{AlNbTi} )</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>1318</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>( \text{AlCrCoCuFeNi} )</td>
<td>1469</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>( \text{AlCrCoCuFeNi} )</td>
<td>1303</td>
<td>2081</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>( \text{AlCrCoCuFeMnNi} )</td>
<td>1005</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>( \text{AlCrCoCuFeNiTi} )</td>
<td>1234</td>
<td>1356</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. (a) Compressive engineering stress-strain curves; and (b) Compressive true stress-strain curves of the \( \text{Al}_x\text{CrFeNiV} \) HEAs \((x = 0.3, \text{ and } 0.5)\) cylindrical samples with 3 mm diameter \times 6 mm height (with an aspect ratio of 2).

Figure 6 shows the morphologies of the fractographs of the \( \text{Al}_x\text{CrFeNiV} \) \((x = 0.3, \text{ and } 0.5)\) HEAs samples. Both of the fractographic morphologies of the two alloys exhibited typical river-like patterns and cleavage steps, which indicated that a cleavage fracture mechanism dominated the fracture process of both alloys. Furthermore, there were many microcracks observed (indicated by the
yellow arrows in the Figure 6) which prevailed on the cleavage fracture surface. These findings were consistent with the poor plasticity shown in Figure 5.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** SEM images of fracture surfaces of: (a) Al$_{0.3}$CrFeNiV; and (b) Al$_{0.5}$CrFeNiV alloys following the compression deformation at room temperature; the yellow arrows indicate the microcracks.

In addition, the microhardness values of the as-cast Al$_x$CrFeNiV alloys (x = 0.3, 0.5, 0.75, 1, and 1.5) are given in Figure 7. The as-cast Al$_{0.5}$CrFeNiV alloy has a microhardness of 708 HV. The Al$_{0.5}$CrFeNiV alloy has a slightly lower microhardness of 680 HV. A further increase in the Al content results in a significant decrease first, then an increase in microhardness. For example, Al$_{0.75}$CrFeNiV has microhardness of 582 HV and Al$_{1.5}$CrFeNiV has microhardness of 694 HV. Combined with the result of the XRD (Figure 1a), it can be deduced that the volume fraction of unknown phase might affect the microhardness of Al$_x$CrFeNiV alloys due to the similar ‘unknown phase’ for Al$_{0.5}$CrFeNiV, Al$_{0.75}$CrFeNiV, and AlCrFeNiV alloys, respectively.

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** Dependence of microhardness of the as-cast Al$_x$CrFeNiV alloys on the Al molar ratio.

4. **Discussion**

In regard to the Co-Cr-Fe-Ni system HEAs, it has been proven that the addition of Al or V could promote the formation of an ordered phase. In particular, the effects of Al addition on the microstructure of the Al$_x$CoCrFeNi HEA system have been widely investigated [29–31]. It has been revealed that the microstructure of the Al$_x$CoCrFeNi HEAs evolved from a single A1, to A1 + B2, and B2 + A2 structures with increases in Al content [12]. Moreover, the alloying element V can also cause intermetallic phase formation in the CoCrFeMnNi and CoCrFeNi HEA systems [26].
In the present study, the alloy composition contained both V and Al elements, and thus the ordered phase was unavoidable. For the Al_{0.3}CrFeNiV alloy, it was observed that the alloy had typical duplex BCC structures, including A2 and B2 phases. Also, the B2 phase was enriched in Ni and Al, and the A2 matrix phase was enriched in V and Cr. When the Al content was increased to \(x = 0.5\), the typical coherent A2/B2 phases and nano-precipitates could be observed. Otto et al. [8] investigated various factors which affect the phase stability of HEAs, and concluded that multiple phased microstructures, as well as intermetallic phases, would be formed when the enthalpy had greater influences than configurational entropy. Therefore, based on the viewpoints and results of the present study, it was expected that as the Al content increased, the Al and Ni elements would more easily be combined to form a B2 phase, due to the strongly negative formation enthalpy of B2 structure for Al-Ni [32]. When \(x = 0.5\), along with the coherent A2/B2 phases, the unknown phases which contained large amounts of V and Cr were distributed in the alloy in the form of nano-precipitates.

In order to assist in analyzing the phase stability of the Al_{x}CrFeNiV alloys, certain derived thermodynamic parameters such as: \(\delta\) (atomic size difference); \(\Delta H_{\text{mix}}\) (enthalpy of mixing); \(\Omega\) (ratio of entropy to enthalpy values); \(\Delta \chi\) (Pauling electronegativity difference); and VEC (valence electron concentration) were calculated based on the following expressions [33]:

\[
\delta = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(1 - r_i/r)^2} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\Delta H_{\text{mix}} = \sum_{i=1, j \neq i}^{n} \Omega_{ij} c_i c_j \tag{2}
\]

\[
\Omega = \frac{T_m \Delta S_{\text{mix}}}{|\Delta H_{\text{mix}}|} \tag{3}
\]

\[
\text{VEC} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i (\text{VEC}_i) \tag{4}
\]

\[
\Delta \chi = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i (\chi_i - \overline{\chi})^2} \tag{5}
\]

where VEC is the average valence electron concentration; VEC\(_i\) is the valence electron concentration of element \(i\); \(\Delta \chi\) is the Pauling electronegativity difference; \(\chi_i\) is the Pauling electronegativity of element \(i\) [34]; \(c_i, r_i, \) and \(\chi_i\) are the atom fraction, radius, and electronegativity of the \(i\)-th element, respectively; and \(r_g\) and \(\overline{\chi}\) are the average radius and electronegativity of the elements in the alloys, respectively.

Table 3 presents the corresponding values of \(\delta, \Delta H_{\text{mix}}, \Delta S_{\text{mix}}, T_m, \Omega, \text{VEC},\) and \(\Delta \chi\) for the Al\(_x\)CrFeNiV alloys (\(x = 0.3, 0.5\)). It has been determined in previous studies that the small \(\delta\) (\(<6.6\%\)), near-zero values of the absolute \(\Delta H_{\text{mix}}\) (\(-22\) KJ/mol\(-5\) KJ/mol), large values of \(\Omega\) (\(\geq1.1\)), and small \(\Delta \chi\) (\(<17.5\%\)) effectively favor the formation of solid solutions, rather than that of intermetallic compounds. Also, the \(\delta - \Delta H_{\text{mix}}, \delta - \Omega,\) and \(\delta - \Delta \chi\) schemes could be adopted to predict the solid solution formations in HEAs [33,35,36]. Moreover, Guo et al. [37] concluded that the VEC could effectively predict the stability of BCC and FCC solid solutions in HEAs, and found that the BCC phase was stable at a lower VEC (<8.7). In this study, the values of the \(\delta, \Delta H_{\text{mix}}, \Delta S_{\text{mix}}, \Omega,\) and \(\Delta \chi\) for the Al\(_x\)CrFeNiV alloys (\(x = 0.3, 0.5\)) met the aforementioned criteria of the solid solution formations, which was found to be consistent with the experimental results. For example, the main phases of these HEAs were the disordered and ordered BCC solid solutions. On the other hand, the VEC values of the Al\(_x\)CrFeNiV alloys (\(x = 0.3, 0.5\)) were determined to be very close to the critical values of the BCC solid solution formations, and thus the BCC phase was concluded to be in a stable state in this alloy system. In addition, comparing the properties of Co and V, it was found that the atomic radius of V element was larger than Co. Also, the heat of mixing (\(\Delta H_{\text{mix}}\)) for V with other alloying elements was more negative than that of the Co with the same atomic pair. From these results, one could identify...
that the Al<sub>x</sub>CrFeNiV alloys with V alloying element effectively favored the formation of intermetallic phases, coinciding with the experimental results.

Table 3. Parameters of $\delta$, $\Delta H_{\text{mix}}$, $\Delta S_{\text{mix}}$, $T_m$, $\Omega$, VEC, and $\Delta \chi$ of the Al<sub>x</sub>CrFeNiV ($x = 0.3$, and $0.5$) alloys.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloys</th>
<th>$\delta$ (%)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_{\text{mix}}$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta S_{\text{mix}}$ (J/mol·K)</th>
<th>$T_m$ (K)</th>
<th>$\Omega$</th>
<th>VEC</th>
<th>$\Delta \chi$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al&lt;sub&gt;0.3&lt;/sub&gt;CrFeNiV</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>−11.83</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>1896.29</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>11.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al&lt;sub&gt;0.5&lt;/sub&gt;CrFeNiV</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>−13.14</td>
<td>13.15</td>
<td>1853.50</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>11.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Generally, there is still additional work needed to study the five-component Al<sub>x</sub>CrFeNiV HEAs, for example, annealing the samples to get equilibrium microstructure before the tests or comparing the difference in as-cast and annealed states may better reveal the microstructural evolutions in Al<sub>x</sub>CrFeNiV alloys. However, in the present study, we mainly focused on the effects of the addition of Al on the crystal structures and mechanical properties of as-cast Al<sub>x</sub>CrFeNiV HEAs. It should be sufficient to draw a conclusion that the increasing of Al in the B2 phase might cause the precipitate of the unknown phase in as-cast Al<sub>0.5</sub>CrFeNiV alloy.

5. Conclusions

In the current research study, the five-component Al<sub>x</sub>CrFeNiV (where $x$ denotes the molar ratio, $x = 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.75, 1, \text{and} 1.5$) high-entropy alloys (HEAs) were prepared using an arc-melting furnace. The effects of the addition of the Al to the crystal structure were investigated using X-ray diffraction (XRD). Also, this study focused on the microstructures and mechanical properties of the two near-equiatomic HEAs, Al<sub>x</sub>CrFeNiV ($x = 0.3$, and $0.5$), and the following conclusions were made:

1. The crystal structures of the alloys with lower Al content (for example, $x = 0$ and $x = 0.1$) were found to be quite different from the other Al contents. In contrast, distinctly similar crystal structures with BCC could be observed with a higher Al content (for example, $x \geq 0.3$);
2. The Al<sub>0.3</sub>CrFeNiV alloy was comprised of B2 and A2 phases. Meanwhile, the Al<sub>0.5</sub>CrFeNiV alloy contained a third unknown phase, as well as the coherent A2/B2 phases. Furthermore, the unknown phase in the Al<sub>0.5</sub>CrFeNiV alloy was found to be significantly enriched in Cr and V;
3. Based on the mechanical properties tests, it was determined that both of the Al<sub>x</sub>CrFeNiV ($x = 0.3$, and $0.5$) alloys displayed very high yield and fracture strengths. However, they were very brittle, with compression fracture strains of less than 10%. Also, the as-cast Al<sub>0.3</sub>CrFeNiV alloy has the highest microhardness of 708 HV among the as-cast Al<sub>x</sub>CrFeNiV ($x = 0.3, 0.5, 0.75, 1, \text{and} 1.5$) HEAs. Therefore, efforts should be made to improve the ductility of these alloys. In addition, the fracture mechanisms of the Al<sub>x</sub>CrFeNiV ($x = 0.3$, and $0.5$) HEAs were determined to be cleavage fractures.
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