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Abstract: One new genus and four new species of the Orthorhinini from Australia and Papua New Guinea are described, with bibliographic reference to complete descriptions and illustrations in the recent paper by Anderson et al. (2018) published in the journal Diversity 10 (3), 54, in which the names were not made available under the rules of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature dealing with electronic publication, as follows: Ilacuris papuana Anderson & Setliff, sp. n., Ilacuris suttoni Anderson & Setliff, sp. n., Notopissodes variegatus Oberprieler, sp. n., Kuschelorhinus Anderson & Setliff, gen. n. and Kuschelorhinus hirsutus Anderson & Setliff, sp. n.
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1. Introduction

The recent paper by Anderson et al. published in Diversity 10 (3) [1] was not in full compliance with the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature [2], regarding the publication of online taxonomic papers. Article 8.5 states that, to be considered published [within the meaning of the Code], “a work issued and distributed electronically must be registered in the Official Register of Zoological Nomenclature (ZooBank) (see Article 78.2.4) and contain evidence in the work itself that such registration has occurred” (Article 8.5.3). Because the paper by Anderson et al. (2018) was not registered in ZooBank prior to publication and therefore evidence of registration was not included in it, the new taxonomic names proposed in the paper are not available under the Code [3]. The purpose of this paper is to make those names available.

To fulfill the requirements of Article 8.5, this paper has been registered in ZooBank, under the LSID above, and the names of the species described below have also been registered, following recommendation 10B of the Code. Their LSIDs are given under each name.
To meet the requirements of Article 13.1.2 of the Code, the names listed below are accompanied by a bibliographic reference to their full descriptions and are thereby made available from the publication of this paper. The wording of Article 13.1.2 is somewhat ambiguous as to the status of descriptions based on bibliographic reference, so to avoid any further problems we have added a brief description differentiating each taxon and a holotype designation with the repository identified; these are repeated from the original paper [1].

All label data are recorded *verbatim*, with a slash (/) indicating separate lines on a label and a double slash (//) indicating different labels on a pin.

2. New Nomenclatural Acts

*Ilacuris papuana* Anderson & Setliff, **sp. n.**

*Ilacuris papuana* Anderson & Setliff, 2018: 11 [1] (not available)


**Description.** This species is most easily distinguished from the two Australian species of *Ilacuris* by its V-shaped dark brown elytral fascia and evenly convex pronotum (without lateral impressions adjacent to the median carina). See Anderson, Oberprieler & Setliff, 2018: 12, Figures 8 and 9 [1] for full description.


**Distribution.** Papua New Guinea.

*Ilacuris suttoni* Anderson & Setliff, **sp. n.**

*Ilacuris suttoni* Anderson & Setliff, 2018: 13 [1] (not available)

http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:2A6EA420-404B-4679-AB75-C6FE268E8E8A

**Description.** This species is distinguished from its congeners by the transverse dark brown fascia on the elytra and the ventrally setose rostrum of the male. See Anderson, Oberprieler & Setliff, 2018: 15, Figures 10 and 11 [1] for full description.


**Distribution.** Australia.

*Kuschelorhinus* Anderson & Setliff, **gen. n.**

*Kuschelorhinus* Anderson & Setliff, 2018: 15 [1] (not available)


**Description.** This genus differs from the closely related genus *Ilacuris* Pascoe, 1865, by the erect, hair-like scales on the dorsum, the first funicle segment being longer than the second and the profemora armed with a distinct subapical tooth. In *Ilacuris* the dorsal vestiture is composed of small, appressed or recumbent scales, the first funicle segment is shorter than the second and the profemora are not dentate but have a small subapical angulation. See Anderson, Oberprieler & Setliff, 2018: 17–18 [1] for full description.

**Type species:** *Kuschelorhinus hirsutus* Anderson & Setliff, **sp. n.**

*Kuschelorhinus hirsutus* Anderson & Setliff, 2018: 16 [1] (not available)

**Description.** This species differs from members of the closely related genus *Ilacuris* Pascoe, 1865, by the erect, hair-like scales on the dorsum, first antennal segment being longer than the second and the profemora armed with a distinct subapical tooth. In *Ilacuris* species, the dorsal vestiture is composed of small, appressed or recumbent scales, the first antennal segment is shorter than the second and the profemora are not dentate but have a small subapical angulation. See Anderson, Oberprieler & Setliff, 2018: 17–18, Figures 12–14 [1] for full description.


**Distribution.** Papua New Guinea.

*Notopissodes variegatus* Oberprieler, **sp. n.**

*Notopissodes variegatus* Oberprieler, 2018: 21 [1] (not available)  

**Description.** This species is readily distinguishable from the only other species of *Notopissodes*, *N. pictus* Zimmerman & Oberprieler, 2014, by its smaller size (4.8 mm body length), variegated colour pattern of scales on the elytra and the shorter rostrum in the female (as long as the pronotum). See Anderson, Oberprieler & Setliff, 2018: 23–24, Figure 17 [1] for full description.


**Distribution.** Australia.

**Author Contributions:** R.G.O. drafted the paper and R.S.A. and G.P.S. added details of the descriptions and specimens.

**Acknowledgments:** We are very grateful to the editorial staff of *Diversity* and especially to Christopher Lyal (The Natural History Museum, London) for their assistance in resolving this matter and for ensuring compliance with the relevant articles of the Code.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**


© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).