Electronic Circuit with Controllable Negative Differential Resistance and its Applications
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Abstract: Electronic devices and circuits with negative differential resistance (NDR) are widely used in oscillators, memory devices, frequency multipliers, mixers, etc. Such devices and circuits usually have an \(N\), \(S\), or \(\Lambda\)-type current-voltage characteristics. In the known NDR devices and circuits, it is practically impossible to increase the negative resistance without changing the type or the dimensions of transistors. Moreover, some of them have three terminals assuming two power supplies. In this paper, a new NDR circuit that comprises a combination of a field effect transistor (FET) and a simple bipolar junction transistor (BJT) current mirror (CM) with multiple outputs is proposed. A distinctive feature of the proposed circuit is the ability to change the magnitude of the NDR by increasing the number of outputs in the CM. Mathematical expressions are derived to calculate the threshold currents and voltages of the N-type current-voltage characteristics for various types of FET. The calculated current and voltage thresholds are compared with the simulation results. The possible applications of the proposed NDR circuit for designing single-frequency oscillators and voltage-controlled oscillators (VCO) are considered. The designed NDR VCO has a very low level of phase noise and has one of the best values of a standard figure of merit (FOM) among recently published VCOs. The effectiveness of the proposed oscillators is confirmed by the simulation results and the implemented prototype.

Keywords: negative differential resistance; current-voltage characteristics; multiple simple current mirror; threshold voltage; oscillator; voltage-controlled oscillator

1. Introduction

Nowadays, negative differential resistance devices and circuits are widely used in oscillators, memory, frequency dividers, and multiplier circuits \cite{1–5}. The presence of negative resistance in an electrical circuit makes it possible not to dissipate electrical energy in the form of heat, but to generate electrical power, even if it has only two terminals and not three as in transistors. There are two types of negative resistance, namely, differential and static. Sometimes NDR is also called negative dynamic resistance. The NDR is the first derivative of the voltage relative to the current at the operating point. The current-voltage characteristics with NDR region can be created in two ways. The first way involves the use of special electronic devices, such as a Gunn diode, a tunnel diode, three-terminal graphene NDR devices \cite{6}, and others. In the second way, the current-voltage characteristics with NDR region are created artificially with the help of special electronic circuits. However, the known NDR electronic circuits have some disadvantages that limit their use. Let us consider the well-known electronic circuits with NDR. The studies \cite{7,8}...
considered the NDR circuit based on complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) NDR inverters requiring two power supplies. Thus, to create the current-voltage characteristics with NDR region three terminals should be used. The study [9] considered an electronic oscillator based on a bipolar junction transistor (BJT)-metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistor (MOSFET) structure with $\Lambda$-type current-voltage characteristics and two power supplies. The study [10] considered an NDR circuit composing three resistors and two BJT. The N-type current-voltage characteristics are achieved by selecting the appropriate resistor values. The study [11] considered a special connection of a BJT with a junction gate field-effect transistor (JFET) that has N-type current-voltage characteristics. However, this circuit is subject to thermal runaway, which makes it difficult to use the circuit in practice. The study [12] considered a novel sinusoidal NDR VCO for very high frequency band. The VCO circuit comprises a JFET in combination with a P-channel metal-oxide-semiconductor (MOS) improved Wilson current mirror (CM). The study [13] considered a new NDR circuit, which uses a FET and BJT transistors to create the S-type current-voltage characteristics. The study [14] considered a systematic method to design NDR circuits comprising two transistors and resistors only. The study [15] considered a comparison of five proposed NDR VCOs for microwave applications. The NDR circuits include a gallium-arsenide transistor and different BJT CMs. The study [16] considered a novel voltage-controlled NDR device, using complementary silicon-on-insulator four-gate transistors. The work experimentally demonstrated new circuits for the inductor-capacitor (LC) oscillator and Schmitt trigger based on the proposed NDR device. The study [17] considered a novel multiple NDR device with an ultra-high peak-to-valley current ratio by combining tunnel diode with a conventional MOSFET. The study [18] proposed complement double-peak NDR devices by combining tunnel diode with conventional CMOS and its compact five-state latch circuit by introducing standard ternary inverter. The study [19] considered four novel NDR circuits based on the combination of the standard n-channel MOS transistors and silicon-germanium heterojunction bipolar transistor (HBT). Depending on the design parameters, the proposed circuits can exhibit $\Lambda$- or N-type current-voltage characteristics. The study [20] considered a tri-valued memory circuit based on two cascaded MOS-BJT-NDR devices that can show the NDR current-voltage characteristic by adjusting the MOS transistor parameters. The study [21] considered a three-terminal voltage controlled $\Lambda$-type negative resistance MOSFET structure using the merged integrated circuit of a NELS (n-channel enhancement mode with load operated at saturation) inverter and an n-channel enhancement MOS driver.

It should be noted that in the reviewed NDR devices and circuits there is practically no possibility of increasing the negative resistance without changing the type of transistors or the dimensions of transistors. Moreover, some devices and circuits have three terminals assuming two power supplies. These circumstances significantly reduce the range of possible applications of NDR devices and circuits. For example, negative resistance may not be sufficient to start-up the oscillator circuit [22]. This paper proposes a new NDR circuit based on a FET in conjunction with multiple simple CMs, in which the magnitude of the negative resistance is easily controlled by changing the number of CM outputs. Description, mathematical and numerical modeling of the NDR circuit is given. The proposed NDR electronic circuit can be used in designing an oscillator, a VCO, an amplifier, etc. The most promising applications are related to generating ultrahigh-frequency signals with low phase noise.

2. Circuit Operation

Figure 1a shows the proposed NDR circuit, which consists of two bias resistors $R_a$ and $R_b$, a FET ($Q_0$) and simple CM with $n - 1$ outputs (collectors of transistors $Q_2, Q_n$). We further assume that transistors $Q_1, Q_n$ are matched. Figure 1b shows the current-voltage characteristics of the NDR circuit where the total current $I_0$ is a function of the power supply voltage $V_{xy}$. The steepness of the current-voltage characteristic between points $\beta$ and $\gamma$ depends on the number of outputs of the CM. Curve 1 corresponds to the one output of the CM, i.e., only transistors $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ are used. In this particular case, the differential resistance between points $\beta$ and $\gamma$ is positive, and the circuit does not
have an NDR region. When choosing the appropriate transistors, the circuit can have the NDR region even with one CM output.

\[ I_{\text{total}} = I_{Q_1} + I_{Q_2} + \ldots + I_{Q_n} \]

\[ V_{\alpha} = V_{EB} + I_a R_a, \quad \text{wher} \quad \alpha = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \infty \]

Figure 1. (a) Negative differential resistance circuit with multiple simple current mirror; (b) N-type current-voltage characteristics of the circuit.

As can be seen in Figure 1b, with an increase in the number of the CM outputs to two and further to four, the differential resistance becomes negative and the slope of the characteristic in the NDR region increases.

The current-voltage characteristics in Figure 1b include four regions, respectively, between points 0 and \( V_\alpha \), \( V_\beta \), \( V_\gamma \), and \( V_\infty \). The NDR region is located between points \( V_\beta \) and \( V_\gamma \).

Let’s look at the general principle of the circuit operation. When voltage \( V_{xy} \) varies from 0 to \( V_\alpha \), all transistors in the circuit are OFF. At the supply voltage \( V_\alpha \), all transistors are turning ON, and, up to the voltage \( V_\beta \), the current \( I_1 \) rises, and the total current \( I_0 \) also increases. The current \( I_2 \) is a mirrored copy of \( I_1 \), and it behaves like \( I_1 \). When the supply voltage is \( V_\beta \), the current \( I_1 \) reaches a maximum, which also corresponds to the maximum of the total current \( I_0 \). When the voltage \( V_{xy} \) changes from \( V_\beta \) to \( V_\gamma \), the current \( I_1 \) decreases due to an increase in the negative voltage between the gate and the source of the transistor \( Q_0 \). At the same time, an increase in current \( I_b \) does not cover this decrease in current \( I_1 \). As a result, the total current \( I_0 \) decreases up to the voltage \( V_\gamma \), at which the voltage between the gate and the source of the transistor \( Q_0 \) reaches a pinch-off voltage and \( Q_0 \) is turning OFF, and, consequently, the transistors \( Q_1, Q_n \) also turning OFF. When the supply voltage is higher than \( V_\gamma \), the total current \( I_0 \) is entirely determined by the voltage \( V_{xy} \) and the resistances of \( R_a \) and \( R_b \). Therefore, in the interval \((V_\gamma, \infty)\) differential resistance of the current-voltage characteristics is positive.

Let us determine the coordinates of points \( \alpha, \beta, \) and \( \gamma \). In the first region of the current-voltage characteristics, between points 0 and \( V_\alpha \), all transistors are OFF, and the overall current depends on the resistor values \( R_a \) and \( R_b \), and power supply voltage \( V_{xy} \).

\[ I_0 = \frac{V_{xy}}{R_a + R_b} \]

The threshold voltage \( V_\alpha \) is determined by applying Kirchhoff’s voltage law (KVL) equation to the circuit of Figure 1a when transistor \( Q_0 \) is turning ON and \( V_{DS0} = 0 \), where \( V_{DS0} \) is the drain-source voltage of \( Q_0 \).

\[ V_\alpha = V_{EB} + I_a R_a, \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \infty \]
where \( V_{EB} \) is the emitter-base voltage of transistors \((Q_1, Q_n)\) and \( I_a \) is the current through resistor \( R_a \). Since at voltage \( V_\alpha \) the current \( I_a \) is equal to \( I_0 \), then Equation (2) transforms to the following form:

\[
V_\alpha = \frac{V_{EB}(R_a + R_b)}{R_b}.
\]  

(3)

Combining (1) and (3), we obtain:

\[
I_\alpha = \frac{V_{EB}}{R_b}.
\]  

(4)

When voltage \( V_{xy} \) a little exceeds \( V_\alpha \), transistors \( Q_1, Q_n \) are turning ON, and transistor \( Q_0 \) starts to operate in the triode region where:

\[
V_{DS0} < V_{GS0} + V_{P0},
\]  

(5)

where \( V_{GS0} \) and \( V_{P0} \) are, respectively, the gate-source and pinch-off voltage of \( Q_0 \).

By applying KVL from +\( V_{xy} \) to ground, we get:

\[
V_{xy} = V_{EB} + V_{DS0} + I_a R_a.
\]  

(6)

From (6) we find current \( I_a \) as follows:

\[
I_a = \frac{V_{xy} - V_{EB} - V_{DS0}}{R_a}.
\]  

(7)

As it follows from the circuit of Figure 1a:

\[
I_b = I_a - I_1.
\]  

(8)

Substituting (7) to (8) gives:

\[
I_b = \frac{V_{xy} - V_{EB} - V_{DS0}}{R_a} - I_1.
\]  

(9)

Applying KVL around the loop \((R_b, Q_0, Q_1)\), we obtain:

\[- I_b R_b + V_{DS0} + V_{EB} = 0.\]

(10)

Substituting (9) into (10) and performing some mathematical transformations, we get:

\[
V_{DS0} = \frac{R_b V_{xy}}{R_a + R_b} - I_1(R_a \parallel R_b) - V_{EB}.
\]  

(11)

The gate-source voltage of transistor \( Q_0 \) is given by:

\[
V_{GS0} = -I_a R_a.
\]  

(12)

Voltage \( V_{GS0} \) we obtain by combining (7), (11), and (12) and performing necessary transformations.

\[
V_{GS0} = - \frac{R_a V_{xy}}{R_a + R_b} - I_1(R_a \parallel R_b).
\]  

(13)

Substituting \( V_{DS0} \) from (11) to (7), we determine that:

\[
I_a = \frac{V_{xy}}{R_a + R_b} + \frac{I_1 R_b}{R_a + R_b}.
\]  

(14)
We find the current $I_0$ by applying Kirchhoff’s current law (KCL) to the node $y$ in the circuit of Figure 1a.

$$I_0 = I_a + (n - 1)I_2.$$  \hfill (15)

By substitution of (14) into (15), we obtain general equation for the circuit total current.

$$I_0 = (n - 1)I_2 + \frac{I_1R_b}{R_a + R_b} + \frac{V_{xy}}{R_a + R_b}.$$  \hfill (16)

Since the current $I_2$ is close to the reference current $I_1$, we can assume that $I_2 \approx I_1$. In this case Equation (16) transforms into the following form:

$$I_0 \approx I_1\left(n - 1 + \frac{R_b}{R_a + R_b}\right) + \frac{V_{xy}}{R_a + R_b}.$$  \hfill (17)

The slope of the current-voltage characteristics in the region of negative resistance is determined by the first derivative of the current $I_0$ with respect to the voltage $V_{xy}$.

$$\frac{dI_0}{dV_{xy}} \approx \left(n - 1 + \frac{R_b}{R_a + R_b}\right) \frac{dI_1}{dV_{xy}} + \frac{1}{R_a + R_b}.$$  \hfill (18)

As can be seen from (18), the slope is indeed proportional to the number of outputs of the current-mirror $n$. Therefore, by changing $n$, it is possible to reduce or increase the slope of the current-voltage characteristics between the voltage thresholds $V_\beta$ and $V_\gamma$.

Substituting (11) and (13) into (5), we find that when transistor $Q_0$ operates in the triode mode, the following relationship holds between the supply voltage $V_{xy}$ and the voltages $V_{EB}$ and $V_{P0}$:

$$V_{xy} < V_{EB} - V_{P0}.$$  \hfill (19)

When voltage $V_{xy}$ increases more, FET $Q_0$ reaches the saturation region where:

$$V_{DS0} \geq V_{GS0} + V_{P0}.$$  \hfill (20)

The threshold voltage $V_\beta$ is reached at the boundary between the triode and the saturation region of transistor $Q_0$, i.e., when:

$$V_{DS0} = V_{GS0} + V_{P0}.$$  \hfill (21)

Substituting (11) and (13) into (21), we obtain the threshold voltage $V_\beta$.

$$V_\beta = V_{EB} - V_{P0}.$$  \hfill (22)

We determine the threshold current $I_\beta$ by substitution of (22) into (17).

$$I_\beta \approx I_1\left(n - 1 + \frac{R_b}{R_a + R_b}\right) + \frac{V_{EB} - V_{P0}}{R_a + R_b}.$$  \hfill (23)

As can be seen in Figure 1b, the slope of the current-voltage characteristics in the regions $(0, V_\alpha)$ and $(V_\gamma, \infty)$ is the same. It means that at the voltage threshold $V_\gamma$ the FET $Q_0$ is OFF and $I_1 = 0$. Transistor $Q_0$ is turning OFF when $V_{GS0} = V_{P0}$. Substituting $V_{P0}$ instead of $V_{GS0}$ into (13) and solving the obtained equation with respect to $V_{xy}$, we get:

$$V_{xy} = V_\gamma = -\left(1 + \frac{R_b}{R_a}\right)V_{P0}.$$  \hfill (24)
The threshold current \( I_Y \) we find by substituting \( V_{xy} \) from (24) into (1).

\[
I_Y = \frac{|V_{p0}|}{R_a}.
\]  

(25)

As can be seen from (11), (13), (14), (16), (17), and (23), to calculate the currents and voltages related to the thresholds of the circuit current-voltage characteristics, it is necessary to know the current \( I_1 \). Modeling the current \( I_1 \) depends on the type of FET \( Q_0 \). Transistor \( Q_0 \) can be an N-channel JFET, metal-semiconductor field-effect transistor (MESFET), high-electron-mobility transistor (HEMT), or pseudomorphic high-electron-mobility transistor (PHMET). In the voltage region \((V_{\beta}, V_Y)\) transistor \( Q_0 \) operates in the saturation mode. Therefore, we should model the current \( I_1 \) for the case when \( Q_0 \) operates in the saturation mode.

As is well known, the operation of a JFET in the saturation mode is quite good described by the Shockley equation [23].

Substituting \( V_{GS0} \) from (13) to the Shockley equation gives:

\[
I_1 = I_{DSS} \left( 1 + \frac{AV_{xy} + B}{V_{p0}} \right)^2,
\]

(26)

where \( I_{DSS} \) is the saturation drain-source current at zero gate–source voltage, \( A \) and \( B \) are determined as follows:

\[
A = R_a/(R_a + R_b), \quad B = R_a R_b.
\]

Solving (26) with respect to current \( I_1 \), we obtain the following quadratic equation:

\[
\frac{I_{DSS}B^2}{V_{p0}^2}I_1^2 + \left[ 2I_{DSS} \left( 1 + \frac{AV_{xy}}{V_{p0}} \right) \frac{B}{V_{p0}} - 1 \right] I_1 + I_{DSS} \left( 1 + \frac{AV_{xy}}{V_{p0}} \right)^2 = 0.
\]

(27)

Equation (27) has two positive roots. The acceptable root is the value of the current \( I_1 \) that is less than \( I_{DSS} \).

Figure 2a shows the dependence of the drain current \( I_1 \) versus power supply voltage \( V_{xy} \) in the interval \((V_{\beta}, V_Y)\) when BF245B is used as a JFET \( Q_0 \) and Positive-Negative-Positive (PNP) BJT transistors BFT92W are used in the CM. Assume that \( R_a = 0.5 \text{k} \Omega, R_b = 2 \text{k} \Omega, \) and \( n = 5 \), i.e., the CM has four outputs. From the simulation program with integrated circuit emphasis (SPICE) model of the selected JFET transistor follows that \( V_{p0} = -2.31 \text{V} \) and \( I_{DSS} = 6 \text{mA} \).

**Figure 2.** (a) Dependence of the drain current \( I_1 \) versus voltage \( V_{xy} \); (b) Dependence of the total current \( I_0 \) versus voltage \( V_{xy} \) in the negative differential resistance region when \( n = 4 \) (curve 1), \( n = 5 \) (curve 2), and \( n = 6 \) (curve 3).

As can be seen in Figure 2a, in the NDR region the current \( I_1 \) changes from 1.47 mA to 0. Figure 2b shows the dependence of the total current \( I_0 \) versus voltage \( V_{xy} \) in the NDR region when \( n = 4 \) (curve 1), \( n = 5 \) (curve 2), and \( n = 6 \) (curve 3).

The curves in Figure 2b were calculated using (17) and (27). As can be seen in Figure 2b, the total current \( I_0 \) increases by the same value with each increase in the number of the CM outputs. At the same time, the threshold voltage \( V_\gamma \) shifts slightly to the right with increasing \( n \).

Let us compare the calculated and simulated voltage and current thresholds for the circuit of Figure 1a for the same data as in Figure 2. From the interactive SPICE simulation of transistor BFT92W operation with the help of Multisim (ed. 14.1) follows that \( V_{EB} = 0.52 \text{ V} \) (at threshold \( \alpha \)) and \( V_{EB} = 0.62 \text{ V} \) (at threshold \( \beta \)). The results of calculations and SPICE simulations are shown in Table 1.

### Table 1. Calculated and simulated threshold voltages and currents with junction gate field-effect transistor (JFET).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>Calculated Value</th>
<th>Simulated Value</th>
<th>Error %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( V_\alpha ) (V)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>−4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_\alpha ) (mA)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_\beta ) (V)</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>−1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_\beta ) (mA)</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_\gamma ) (V)</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>11.05</td>
<td>−5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_\gamma ) (mA)</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>−2.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As can be seen in Table 1, the absolute relative error for the calculated voltage thresholds of the NDR region does not exceed 5% and for current thresholds slightly exceed 2.5%. Such a high accuracy of calculating voltage and current thresholds testifies on the adequacy of the derived mathematical equations.

Let us now consider the case when \( Q_0 \) is a GaAs transistor, i.e., MESFET, HEMT, or PHEMT. We model the current of \( Q_0 \) by the Statz nonlinear model, which has a high accuracy in the approximation of the drain current \([24]\).

Substituting \( V_{DS0} \) and \( V_{GS0} \) from (11) and (13) to the Statz model \([24,25]\), we get the following nonlinear equations in respect to the drain current \( I_1 \):

\[
\delta \left( \frac{AV_{xy} + BI_1 + V_{P0}}{1 - \Delta(AV_{xy} + BI_1 + V_{P0})} \right)^2 \left[ 1 + \lambda(CV_{xy} - BI_1 - V_{EB}) \right] \left[ 1 - \left[ 1 - a(CV_{xy} - BI_1 - V_{EB}) / 3 \right]^3 \right] - I_1 = 0, \tag{28}
\]

for \( 0 < CV_{xy} - BI_1 - V_{EB} < 3/\alpha, \)

\[
\delta \left( \frac{AV_{xy} + BI_1 + V_{P0}}{1 - \Delta(AV_{xy} + BI_1 + V_{P0})} \right)^2 \left[ 1 + \lambda(CV_{xy} - BI_1 - V_{EB}) \right] - I_1 = 0, \text{ for } CV_{xy} - BI_1 - V_{EB} \geq 3/\alpha, \tag{29}
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the current saturation parameter, \( \delta \) is the transistor transconductance, \( \Delta \) is the doping profile parameter, \( \lambda \) is the channel length modulation coefficient, and \( C \) is determined as follows:

\[
C = R_b / (R_a + R_b).
\]

Let us again compare the calculated and simulated voltage and current thresholds for the circuit of Figure 1a when a low noise PHEMT ATF-33143 is used as transistor \( Q_0 \) and the same PNP transistors BFT92W are used in the CM. From the SPICE model of ATF-33143 \([26]\) follows that \( \alpha = 4 \) \([1/V]\), \( \delta = 0.48 \) \([A/V^2]\), \( \Delta = 0.8, \lambda = 0.09 \) \([1/V]\), and \( V_{P0} = -0.95 \) \([V]\). The other circuit parameters have the same values as in the previous example.
To obtain the calculated values of the voltage and current thresholds, we solve Equations (28) and (29) and use Equations (3), (4), and (22)–(25). The results of calculations and SPICE simulations with the help of Multisim (ed. 14.1) are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Calculated and simulated threshold voltages and currents with pseudomorphic high-electron-mobility transistor (PHEMT).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>Calculated Value</th>
<th>Simulated Value</th>
<th>Error %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_\alpha$ (V)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_\alpha$ (mA)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_\beta$ (V)</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_\beta$ (mA)</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>-3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_\gamma$ (V)</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_\gamma$ (mA)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As can be seen in Table 2, a good agreement exists between the theoretical and simulated values of the current and voltage thresholds, which proves the validity of the derived equations.

3. Circuit Applications

3.1. LC Oscillator

Oscillators are one of the main elements in modern communication, control, and navigation systems. Modern oscillators can be divided into two classes, namely oscillators with negative input impedance and oscillators with NDR. A distinctive feature of the first-class oscillators is the presence of a negative real part in the input impedance. Examples of such oscillators are numerous Colpitts, Clapp, Hartley oscillator circuits, and their modifications [27–30], as well as cross-coupled CMOS oscillators [31–34]. The second class of microwave oscillators supposes to use a tunnel diode or a Gunn diode [35,36], which have an NDR region in the N-type current-voltage characteristics. The location of the operating point in the NDR region leads to the creation of a negative resistance induced into the contour of the LC tank to compensate for its losses. The circuit of Figure 1a can also be used for designing an LC oscillator because it has an NDR region.

Figure 3 shows an LC oscillator on the base of the proposed NDR circuit. The oscillator tank circuit consists of an RF coil $L$ and two series-connected capacitors $C_1$ and $C_2$. Small capacitor $C_F$ is a feedback capacitor allowing to speed-up the oscillator start-up. Large capacitor $C_0$ reduces the noise level significantly at the nodes $y$, $z$, $d$, and $s$ of the oscillator. This allows increasing the slope of the noise skirt around the fundamental harmonic, which in-turn reduces the oscillator phase noise.

![Figure 3. LC oscillator with NDR.](image-url)
3.1.1. Simulation Results

Let us perform a SPICE simulation of the proposed oscillator circuit with the help of Multisim (ed. 14.1). Assume that \( n = 3, R_a = 0.15 \text{k}\Omega, R_b = 1 \text{k}\Omega, \) transistor \( Q_0 \) is a PHEMT ATF-33143, and all transistors in the CM are BFT92W. Figure 4 shows the simulated current-voltage characteristics. As can be seen in Figure 4, the NDR region has the following voltage and current thresholds: \( V_{\beta} = 1.58 \text{ V}, \) \( I_{\beta} = 15 \text{ mA}, \) \( V_f = 7.26 \text{ V}, \) and \( I_f = 6.33 \text{ mA}. \) We set the operating point at \( V_{xy} = 3.75 \text{ V} \) and \( I_0 = 12.5 \text{ mA}. \)

![Oscillator current-voltage characteristics.](image)

The selected circuit components have the following values: \( L = 5 \text{ nH}, C_0 = 10 \text{ \mu F}, \) and \( C_1 = C_2 = 5 \text{ pF}. \) Figure 5 shows the oscillator starting voltage waveforms at the output node \( y \) for different values of the feedback capacitor \( C_F. \) The frequency of oscillations is 1.096 GHz. We can observe from Figure 5, that the oscillations reach the steady-state amplitude of 2.2 V at \( t = 130 \text{ ns} \) and 2.4 V at \( t = 55 \text{ ns} \) when \( C_F = 5 \text{ pF} \) and \( C_F = 10 \text{ pF}, \) respectively. Thus, an increase in the capacitance \( C_F \) leads to a significant reduction in the self-excitation time of the oscillator and an increase in the amplitude of the steady-state oscillations.

![Oscillator start-up behavior at \( C_F = 5 \text{ pF} \) (a) and \( C_F = 10 \text{ pF} \) (b).](image)

Figure 6 shows the amplitude spectrum of the oscillated voltage for \( C_0 = 1 \text{ nF} \) and \( C_F = 5 \text{ pF} \) (blue line) and \( C_0 = 10 \text{ \mu F} \) and \( C_F = 10 \text{ pF} \) (red line). As can be seen from comparison of two spectrums in Figure 6, the noise skirt of the fundamental harmonic at the level of -80 dBm is significantly narrower for larger values of capacitances \( C_0 \) and \( C_F. \) Moreover, the total harmonic distortion (THD) is 3.3% for
\( C_0 = 10 \mu \text{F} \) and \( C_F = 10 \text{ pF} \) and 3.7\% for \( C_0 = 1 \text{ nF} \) and \( C_F = 5 \text{ pF} \), i.e., larger capacitances \( C_0 \) and \( C_F \) provide a smaller level of THD.

Figure 6. Oscillator output spectrum when \( C_0 = 1 \text{ nF} \) and \( C_F = 5 \text{ pF} \) (blue line) and \( C_0 = 10 \mu \text{F} \) and \( C_F = 10 \text{ pF} \) (red line).

The decrease in the noise level of the oscillator output voltage in Figure 6 is explained by the fact that with an increase in the capacitances \( C_0 \) and \( C_F \), the simulated spectral density of noise decreases significantly at the nodes \( y, z, d, \) and \( s \) of the oscillator circuit as shown in Figure 7. As can be seen in Figure 7c, the most substantial decrease in noise spectral density occurs at the drain of transistor \( Q_0 \), i.e., just where there is a large capacitance \( C_0 \). This observation confirms a similar conclusion concerning the effect of capacitance on noise in CMOS LC oscillators [37].

Figure 7. Noise spectral density at nodes \( y \) (a), \( z \) (b), \( d \) (c), and \( s \) (d) when \( C_0 = 1 \text{ nF} \) and \( C_F = 5 \text{ pF} \) (blue line) and \( C_0 = 10 \mu \text{F} \) and \( C_F = 10 \text{ pF} \) (red line).
3.1.2. Oscillator Prototype Implementation

The oscillator circuit of Figure 3 was implemented using a JFET BF245B (NXP Semiconductors, Eindhoven, Netherlands) as transistor \( Q_0 \) and five transistors BFT92W (NXP Semiconductors, Eindhoven, Netherlands) in the CM, i.e., \( n = 5 \). We selected the following component values: \( R_a = 0.5 \, \text{k}\Omega \), \( R_b = 2 \, \text{k}\Omega \), \( C_1 = C_2 = 82 \, \text{pF} \), \( C_F = 2.2 \, \text{pF} \), \( C_0 = 0 \), and \( L = 330 \, \text{nH} \).

Figure 8 shows the printed circuit board (PCB) assembly of the implemented NDR oscillator.

Figure 8. Photograph of the NDR oscillator printed circuit board assembly.

Figure 9 shows the measured current-voltage characteristics of the implemented NDR oscillator. The measured values of the threshold voltages in the NDR region are \( V^*_{\beta} = 3 \, \text{V} \) and \( V^*_{\gamma} = 12 \, \text{V} \). The calculation of the theoretical voltage thresholds by Equations (22) and (24) gives \( V^*_{\beta} = 2.93 \, \text{V} \) and \( V^*_{\gamma} = 11.55 \, \text{V} \). As can be seen, there is a perfect agreement between the measured and theoretical results. The dc operating point has the following coordinates: \( V_{xy} = 5.2 \, \text{V} \) and \( I_0 = 7.6 \, \text{mA} \).

![Figure 9](image-url)

Figure 9. Measured current-voltage characteristics of the implemented NDR oscillator.

Figure 10 shows the photographs of the output voltage (a) and output power spectrum (b) of the implemented oscillator. We used the HMO1002 oscilloscope (Rohde & Swartz, Munich, Germany) and the HMS3000 spectrum analyzer (Rohde & Swartz, Munich, Germany) to measure the oscillator’s output voltage in the time and frequency domain. To connect the oscillator output to oscilloscope and spectrum analyzer, we used, respectively, RF probes HZ154 (Rohde & Swartz, Munich, Germany) and P-20A (Auburn Technology Corporation, Wichita, Kansas, USA) with 20 dB attenuation. We can see from Figure 10 that the frequency and the peak-to-peak amplitude of oscillations are 16.1 MHz and
4.12 V, respectively. We can also observe in Figure 10b that the noise-floor power level is more than 75 dB below the fundamental harmonic power.

![Figure 10](image)

**Figure 10.** (a) The oscillogram of the oscillator output voltage; (b) The measured spectrum of the oscillator output power with span of 20 MHz.

### 3.2. LC Voltage Controlled Oscillator

Voltage-controlled oscillators are fundamental building units in modern phase-locked loop synthesizers used in communication and navigation transceivers [38–40]. The NDR circuit of Figure 1a can also be used to design an LC VCO. Figure 11 shows the proposed LC VCO with a controllable slope of the NDR region. The varactor diodes $VC_1$ and $VC_2$ replace the capacitors $C_1$ and $C_2$ in the circuit of Figure 3. The control voltage $V_c$ is applied to cathodes of varactor diodes $VC_1$ and $VC_2$ providing a frequency tuning of the VCO. Resistor $R_c$ isolates the variable power supply from the VCO tank circuit.

![Figure 11](image)

**Figure 11.** LC voltage-controlled oscillator with NDR.

The SPICE simulation of the VCO circuit with the help of Multisim (ed. 14.1) was conducted using varactor diodes ZC820 (Zetex) and the same transistors, inductor $L$, and resistors $R_a$ and $R_b$ as in Section 3.1.1. We set the VCO circuit elements $C_0$, $C_F$, and $R_c$ to 10 $\mu$F, 10 pF and 10 k$\Omega$, respectively. The control voltage $V_c$ was varied from 1 to 25 V. Figures 12 and 13 show the VCO starting voltage waveform (a) and steady-state voltage waveform (b) when $V_c = 1$ V and $V_c = 25$ V, respectively. The oscillation frequency varied from 775 MHz (at $V_c = 1$ V) to 1.375 GHz (at $V_c = 25$ V). The THD is 4.6% at $V_c = 1$ V and 3.8% at $V_c = 25$ V.

From a comparison of voltage starting waveforms in Figures 12a and 13a, we can observe that voltage oscillations reach the steady-state mode at 160 ns and 80 ns, respectively. In the steady state operation mode, the voltage amplitude is around 2 V over the entire control voltage range.
Figure 12. (a) Voltage-controlled oscillators (VCO) starting voltage waveform when $V_c = 1$ V; (b) VCO steady-state voltage when $V_c = 1$ V.

Figure 13. (a) VCO starting voltage waveform when $V_c = 25$ V; (b) VCO steady-state voltage when $V_c = 25$ V.

Figure 14 shows the tuning characteristic of the VCO. As can be seen in Figure 14, the simulated VCO covers a wide frequency range. The ratio of the maximum VCO frequency to minimum exceeds 1.75.
LC Voltage-Controlled Oscillator Performance

Let us compare the overall performance of the proposed NDR VCO with state of the art VCOs. The conventional FOM is used to evaluate the overall performance of the designed VCO, which includes phase noise at a particular frequency offset from the carrier $f_0$ and the ratio of the carrier frequency $f_c$ to the frequency offset $\Delta f$ for comparing VCOs operating at different frequencies [41,42].

$$FOM = PN(\Delta f) - 20 \log \left( \frac{f_c}{\Delta f} \right) + 10 \log \left( \frac{P_d}{1 \text{ mW}} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

Table 3 presents the part numbers of the VCO elements. In the simulation, we used the VCO circuit of Figure 11 when $n = 2$. The simulated values of the NDR threshold voltages are as follows: $V_{\beta} = 2.84$ V and $V_{\gamma} = 20.7$ V. The selected DC operating point has the following coordinates: $V_{sx} = 6.5$ V and $I_0 = 8.5$ mA. Thus, the VCO power dissipation is 55.25 mW. The control voltage $V_c$ applied to the cathodes of the SMV1104-34 varactors varied from 2 V to 6 V. The frequency tuning range is from 1.225 GHz to 1.620 GHz. Figure 15 shows the dependence of the VCO phase noise versus $\Delta f$ when $V_c = 2$ V. As can be seen in Figure 15, the use of large $C_0$ reduces phase noise for more than 20 dB in all range of offset frequencies. The low level of the phase noise is also due to the use of a high-Q coil $L$ [43]. In the tuning VCO range, the inductor quality factor is varied from 50 to 60.

Table 3. Part numbers used in the designed voltage-controlled oscillator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circuit Elements</th>
<th>Part Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transistor $Q_0$</td>
<td>NE722S01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transistors $Q_1, Q_2$</td>
<td>MRF5211LT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductor $L$</td>
<td>0201DS-3N3XJEU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitor $C_0$</td>
<td>C1608X5R1E105K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitor $C_F$</td>
<td>C0603C0G1E030C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varactors</td>
<td>SMV1104-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistor $R_a$</td>
<td>ERJ1GEJ471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistor $R_b$</td>
<td>ERJ2GEJ392</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 15. Phase noise versus offset frequency when $C_0 = 1 \mu$F (curve 1) and $C_0 = 1$ nF (curve 2).

Table 4 shows a comparison of the designed VCO with the VCOs in recently published studies in terms of the FOM (30). As can be seen in Table 4, the designed NDR VCO has one of the best FOM.
It should be noted that all previously published VCOs in Table 4, except [58, 59], fabricated in CMOS or BiCMOS technologies.

### Table 4. Performance of designed VCO and some recently published VCOs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VCO</th>
<th>Frequency GHz</th>
<th>Frequency Offset MHz</th>
<th>Phase Noise dBc/Hz</th>
<th>Power Dissipation mW</th>
<th>FOM dBc/Hz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[44]</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>−121</td>
<td>−190.3</td>
<td>−202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[45]</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−119.7</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>−190.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[46]</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−112.62</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−198.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[47]</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−134.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>−204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[48]</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>−121.3</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>−204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[49]</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−124</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>−192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[50]</td>
<td>15.57</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−116.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−192.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[51]</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−120</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>−193.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[52]</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−135.6</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>−195.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[53]</td>
<td>12.67</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−120.6</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>−190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[54]</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−153</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>−205.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[55]</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−132.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−190.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[56]</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−124</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>−187.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[57]</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−132</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>−185.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[58]</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−135</td>
<td>1456</td>
<td>−181.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>1.225</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>−141.1</td>
<td>55.25</td>
<td>−205.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oscillators manufactured using MESFET, HEMT, and PHEMT have significantly lower FOM values due to substantially higher power consumption [58, 59]. However, as follows from Table 4, the proposed NDR VCO can even compete with the best CMOS oscillators due to the low level of phase noise and despite the significantly higher power consumption.

### 4. Discussion and Conclusions

There is a large number of electronic circuits [1–22], in which the current-voltage characteristics have an NDR region. The basis of these circuits is formed by various combinations of BJT and FET. Conventionally, the circuits with NDR can be classified into the following groups: circuits with MOS transistors [7,8,16–18,21], circuits with BJT transistors [10,14], circuits with BJT and JFET [11–15], circuits with JFET and MOS transistors [12,22], circuits with BJT and MOS transistors [9,20], and circuits with BJT and HBT [19]. It should be noted that in the known NDR devices and circuits it is practically impossible to change the angle of inclination of the current-voltage characteristics in the area of negative resistance. Therefore, it is not possible to increase the NDR of the device or circuit without changing the type or the size of the transistors.

This paper proposes a new NDR circuit based on the connection of a FET and a BJT simple current mirror with multiple outputs. A JFET, MESFET, HEMT, or PHEMT can be used as a FET. A distinctive feature of this circuit is the ability to control the NDR without changing the types or the size of transistors. This feature is based on the property of a simple current mirror to increase the current gain due to the parallel connection of transistors at the output of the mirror [59]. In the proposed circuit, the current-voltage characteristics are of the N-type with three threshold voltages. General mathematical equations for calculating the threshold voltages and currents have been derived. Since the threshold current related to the beginning of the NDR region depends on the FET drain current, a mathematical modeling of this current has been performed for a JFET and a gallium-arsenide FET, such as MESFET,
HEMT, or PHEMT. A comparison of the calculated voltage and current thresholds with the SPICE simulations showed perfect convergence as for the case of using a JFET as well as for PHEMT, which was modeled by the Statz nonlinear model. The latter indicates the adequacy of mathematical expressions derived for the calculation of current and voltage thresholds.

The proposed NDR circuit can be used to design various oscillators. By connecting a parallel LC tank to the output of the proposed NDR circuit, one can get a sinusoidal oscillator, which can operate in different frequency bands. When using an ultra-high frequency JFET, the maximum frequency is limited to several hundred MHz. When using a gallium-arsenide transistor such as MESFET, HEMT, or PHEMT, the maximum oscillation frequency lies in the region of several GHz. Self-excitation of the oscillator by the proposed NDR circuit depends on the magnitude of the negative resistance introduced into the parallel LC tank circuit. If the value of the introduced negative resistance is sufficient to compensate for losses in the tank circuit, then the amplitude of oscillations increases and reaches a steady-state value. However, if the magnitude of the introduced negative resistance is insufficient, the oscillator does not self-excite. In any other NDR oscillator, in this case, it is necessary to change the transistors or their sizes for increasing negative resistance. However, in the proposed NDR circuit, it is enough to add one or more transistors in the current mirror as shown in Figure 1a and in this case, according to formula (18), the NDR will increase, and hence the absolute value of the negative resistance introduced into the tank circuit will also increase. Then the oscillator will oscillate.

A SPICE simulation of the LC oscillator with a PHEMT and a BJT current-mirror at the frequency of 1.096 GHz showed that the generated signal has a low level of distortion, as well as a low noise level when using additional capacitances in the positive feedback circuit and between the drain of the PHEMT and ground. The implemented LC oscillator prototype operating in the high-frequency band has confirmed theoretical results. The proposed NDR circuit can also be used to design a VCO. Depending on the transistors used, such VCO can operate in different frequency bands, ranging from high-frequencies and up to microwaves. Thus, the simulated VCO circuit with PHEMT covers the frequency range from 775 MHz to 1.375 GHz, i.e., the frequency overlap ratio is higher than 1.75. Moreover, the amplitude of oscillation is about 2 V and practically does not change in the whole range of tunable frequencies. A comparison of the performance characteristics of the designed VCO with VCOs in previously published studies has shown that it is about 20 dB more efficient than the HEMT VCOs and is not inferior to the best CMOS VCOs.

The proposed NDR circuit can also be used in laboratory works in the electronics departments of universities to study the properties of negative resistance, to model various oscillators and to analyze the conditions for self-excitation of oscillators.

Our future work will include an analysis of the use of various bipolar and MOS current mirrors instead of the simple current mirror in the proposed NDR circuit.
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Abbreviations
The following abbreviations exist in the manuscript:
BJT Bipolar junction transistor
CM Current mirror
CMOS Complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor
FET Field-effect transistor
FOM Figure of merit
HBT Heterojunction bipolar transistor
HEMT High-electron-mobility transistor
JFET Junction gate field-effect transistor
KCL Kirchhoff’s current law
KVL Kirchhoff’s voltage law
MESFET Metal-semiconductor field-effect transistor
MOS Metal-oxide-semiconductor
MOSFET Metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistor
NDR Negative differential resistance
NENS N-channel enhancement mode with load operated at saturation
PCB Printed circuit board
PHEMT Pseudomorphic high-electron-mobility transistor
PNP Positive-negative-positive
RF Radio frequency
SPICE Simulation program with integrated circuit emphasis
THD Total harmonic distortion
VCO Voltage controlled oscillator
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