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1. Introduction

Human development has made remarkable social and economic progress possible for most of us [1,2], but has also entailed a range of negative consequences on natural resources, local communities, and the economy at multiple scales. Soil sealing [3–5], erosion, land degradation and erosion [6]; air and water pollution [7,8]; forest fires [9], biodiversity homogenisation and loss [10], isolation and fragmentation of habitats [11,12], poverty [13], human migration [14] and health issues [15,16] are among the most common human-made impacts with a clear sustainability and spatial component. They occur almost everywhere in the territory, be it terrestrial [17], aerial [18] or marine [19], where there is human activity.; Thus, achieving sustainable territorial management that combines healthy and prosperous societies with the long-term maintenance of biodiversity and productive ecosystem services [20] remains the biggest challenge of our modern world [21]. Simulation models of future land uses, under different scenarios of change, can help territorial managers in the decision-making process [22].

This Special Issue seeks to collect a coherent set of studies on techniques and experiences (case studies) aimed at increasing the environmental, social, economic &/or institutional sustainability of landscapes and seascapes from a range of geographic and socioeconomic contexts.

2. Highlights

Land use-land cover (LULC) changes towards intensive uses, especially towards artificial uses, are one of the main global threats to biodiversity conservation. Thus, LULC changes are considered a cornerstone in sustainable territorial management (Figure 1), so a number of articles in this Special Issue deal with this topic directly or indirectly. Geographically, ten case studies representing urban areas, rural areas (chiefly protected areas; PAs) and coastal areas from four countries in Europe and Asia are shown.
Izakovičová et al., 2018 [23] use an integrated approach to attain sustainable LULC management in an agricultural area of western Slovakia. They analyse drivers of LULC change and their impacts on the environment. They propose optimal land uses accounting for interactions among available natural capital, environmental conditions and human needs in the long term to achieve socioeconomic development. They use multi-criteria analysis to guide managers’ decision-making.

Forest fires also hamper sustainable territorial management and cause substantial environmental and socioeconomic losses. Viana-Soto et al., 2017 [24] assess regeneration of vegetation in burned areas of the Mediterranean region (Iberian Peninsula). They seek to understand species’ recovery dynamics in order to implement suitable restoration actions. Regeneration modelling has been performed through multiple regressions, using ordinary least squares and geographic weight regression. They measure the severity of fire through the composite burn index and a set of environmental variables. They estimate the dynamics of regeneration through the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index obtained from Landsat images.

Furthermore, flooding is a persistent problem in coastal areas. Under scenarios of climate change, it is expected that flooding events will become more frequent and potentially more intense. This risk represents a potential threat to coastal communities that depend on coastal resources to a large extent. Toubes et al., 2017 [25] develop a methodology for coastal flooding risk assessment based on an index that compares 16 hydro-geo-morphological, biophysical, human exposure and resilience indicators, with a specific focus on tourism. They assess the vulnerability to floods of 724 beaches in Galicia (northern Spain). Their results are useful for coastal adaptation and management.
Knowing the value of the services provided by different ecosystems is essential for sustainable territorial management. Nevertheless, the Standard Economic Accounts for Agriculture and Forestry do not measure the ecosystem services and intermediate products embedded in the final products and ignore the private non-commercial intermediate products and self-consumption of private amenities. Campos et al., 2017 [26] apply the Agroforestry Accounting System to simulate sustainable forestry of holm oak and cork oak in Dehesa de la Luz, a Mediterranean tree-grass ecosystem. The net value added is more than 2.3 times greater than the estimated net value using the standard accounts.

Hewitt & Macleod, 2017 [27] use an Environmental Decision Support System (EDSS) to support the management of land and freshwater resources in Scotland, UK, with multiple applications to environmental management. They design a structured participatory process to determine stakeholder requirements, establish principles to meet these requirements and test the prototypes. The resulting specification of this bottom-up process is a free EDSS that is spatially explicit and compatible with portable devices. This application, still under development, does not resemble most existing EDSSs. Its focus on adaptive, stakeholder-centred environmental management strategies based on outcomes offers an opportunity to make better use of these new technologies to aid decision-making processes.

The rapid growth of urban areas close to large metropolises causes negative impacts on natural resources. This Special Issue includes two case studies focused on LULC changes in urban areas. In the first one, Ishtiaque et al., 2017 [28] analyse the increasing urbanization of the Kathmandu Valley (Nepal), in the foothills of the Himalayas. They use four Landsat images of the years 1989, 1999, 2009 and 2016 to compare changes. They relate LULC changes with a set of immediate causes and driving-factors of those changes. They employ a pixel-based hybrid classification approach and analyse the LULC trajectories. The results show that the urban area expanded to 412% in the last three decades.

Cantergiani & Gómez Delgado, 2018 [29] develop AMEBA, a prototype of an exploratory, spatial, agent-based model that considers the main stakeholders involved in the urban development process (urban planners, developers and the population). It consists of three sub-models, one for each agent. The first two are based on a land use allocation technique and the last one, as well as their integration, on an agent-based model approach. The authors describe the conceptualisation and performance of the sub-models that represent urban planners and developers, who are the agents responsible for officially expanding urban land and defining its spatial allocation. The prototype is tested in Corredor del Henares (an urban–industrial area in the Region of Madrid, central Spain), but it is flexible to be adapted to other study areas under different urban growth contexts.

As global biodiversity trends worsen, PA environmental effectiveness evaluation becomes an urgent need to identify strengths and areas to improve. Through a participatory process including PA managers and scientists, Rodríguez-Rodríguez et al., 2017 [32] refine the System for the Integrated Assessment of Protected Areas (SIAPA) in order to increase its legitimacy, credibility and salience to
en users in Spain. Then, they test the optimised version of the SIAPA on two emblematic Spanish NPs: Ordesa y Monte Perdido NP, and Sierra de Guadarrama NP. Results show that potential environmental effectiveness is moderate for Ordesa NP and low for Guadarrama NP, according to the indicators that could be evaluated. PA managers and scientists largely coincided in the ratings of SIAPA’s indicators and indices.

We hope that the methods developed, the results obtained, and the discussions included in the above-mentioned papers are useful to understand the potential of data modelling techniques, support future research, raise awareness about the complex problems of the territory and provide robust knowledge upon which to base sustainable territorial management.
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