Timber and Branch Volume Prediction: Effects of Stand and Site Characteristics on Dendromass and Timber-To-Branch Volume Ratio of Norway Spruce in Managed Forests
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Abstract: The objective of this study was to develop the models that predict both timber and branch volumes of Norway spruce (Picea abies/L./Karst.), the most abundant tree species in Europe, and determine the relationships among timber and branch volumes and various site and stand characteristics. The data used in this study come from 76 sample plots in the different stands and site conditions across Norway spruce forests in the Czech Republic. Timber volume was determined by Huber’s formula and branch volume (logging residue) was determined by drying and weighing of 10 samples from the 10-chipped trees on each sample plot, meaning that a total of 760 samples were analyzed. The results showed that timber volume was significantly positively correlated with branch volume, mean diameter at breast height (mean DBH) per sample plot, mean height per sample plot, slope of sample plot, and stand age, but negatively correlated with stand stocking. The branch volume was more significantly affected by stand stocking than timber volume. The timber-to-branch volume ratio (TBR) reached the mean value of 3.7 (±0.14 SE) and significantly increased with increasing elevation. The trees on the nutrient-rich sites were characterized by higher branch volume, while TBR reached higher values on the acid sites. Site quality class had a significant effect only on the branch volume production. Compared to the timber volume (root mean square error, RMSE = 3.6176; adjusted coefficient of determination, $R^2_{adj} = 0.7310$), the branch volume was relatively poorly described by the model (RMSE = 1.928; $R^2_{adj} = 0.2517$). The volume prediction models show that timber volumes increase with increasing slope and branch volume increases with decreasing site quality class. For effective forest management practice, the highest branch volume in favor of timber production is characterized for lowland forests with stand stocking $\leq 60\%$ (TBR 1.5), while the highest share of timber volume (TBR 9.5) can be reached in the mountains with a full stand stocking.
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1. Introduction

Plant biomass has been becoming one of the popular energy sources [1,2] and it has a significant contribution to the nonproductive functions as well [3,4]. Its value as a source of energy has increased in recent years because of the trends based on the principles of sustainable development (expressed in Rio de Janeiro in 1992) and realization of the World Summit on Sustainable Development in 2002 [5]. The important objective of the EU and its member countries including the Czech Republic is to increase the share of renewable energy sources that help reach sustainable development and independence [6].
There are other reasons for using a larger amount of plant biomass due to the tax relief, subsidy supports, and availability of the plant biomass sources in each member country. The dendromass is a part of the plant biomass which covers a huge portion of total available biomass sources. The dendromass has successfully replaced the natural gas and steam coal for heating. At the current prices, the heat from burning wood waste is cheaper than fossil fuels, as derived primarily from the local sustainable sources [7,8]. However, the transportation cost is very high in general, and therefore this could hamper for a full utilization of the dendromass [9]. The availability of the dendromass and strategy of increasing its production are described in the literature [10]. In forestry, a specific type of the dendromass, which is also known as logging residues or small wood, is usually considered as an alternative energy source. The logging residues (branches and top wood) are important parts of the logged trees which are not used for primary purposes, such as round wood and pulp wood. Before 2008 in the Czech Republic, logging residues were usually left on the ground or removed immediately after felling the trees, based on the suspicion of the potential spreading of the insects and pests and assumption that regeneration could come up easily. The dendromass mostly contains branch biomass, and this is the reason that a term dendromass is synonymously used as branch biomass.

The measurement of dendromass in the forest ecosystems is important not only for assessing forest production functions but also for nonproductive functions, such as protection of soil, water, nutrients, and enhancement of biodiversity [11–13]. Only a few plant biomass studies [14–17] have aimed mainly at exploring on the physiological, ecological, and management aspects of the dendromass production in various stand conditions of the forests. These studies, in many cases, are related to the global climate changes and the potential of carbon sequestration, especially for tree species preferring shade and requiring enough moisture due to the extended drought periods [3,18–20].

The growth of tree parts is largely affected by various internal and external factors [21,22]. Therefore, research is necessary in several disciplines, such as geological, pedological, hydrological, climatic, and vegetation conditions, which significantly affect the primary production of the forest stands [20,23,24]. Various methods of estimating dendromass are available [25,26], and they are based on the long-term research sample plot data [27–30]. The dendromass can be estimated using the relationship among stem volume and other tree- and stand-level variables [31,32]. Since the influence of some important site factors on the dendromass may be significantly high, and therefore they need to be evaluated [33,34]. However, only few studies [35] showed insignificant relationships among logging residues and forest stand conditions. Some tree parts (assimilation apparatus and thin roots) may change in a short period such as 1 to 5 years, and in other parts (e.g., woody parts) the changes have been seen in the period of 10 to 100 years [36–38]. Studies [17,39,40] often aim at estimating dendromass yields from the allometric relationships among tree and stand level variables for mature or maturing stands, but only few studies [13,31,41,42] aim at estimating dendromass amounts of young stands. Distribution patterns of the dendromass substantially differ between young and mature trees [31], for example, Konopka et al. [43] presented that the stem volume share in a total volume of the dendromass of Norway spruce (Picea abies/L./Karst.) trees increases with age, but amount of branch, needle, and root decrease with age. The empirical dendromass models were developed in the past for a few tree species in various geographic regions and forest stand conditions, especially for the aboveground tree parts [44–47]. All these, however, do not have tendencies of generalization, and therefore cannot be used for forest stands with different management regimes [48].

Considering the importance of a total dendromass production, prediction of the timber and branch volumes may be crucial using some important dendrometric measures and selected stand and site characteristics of the forests. The improper management of the dendromass could cause a negative impact on the forest landscape, such as deforestation, soil degradation, and reduction of biodiversity richness [49]. Establishing the quantitative relationships among timber and branch volumes, dendrobiomass, stand and site characteristics may offer better alternatives for more effective forest management. This study is a continuation of the previous works [50]. The objectives of this study are (i) to develop the models that predict both timber and branch volumes of Norway spruce—the
most abundant tree species in Europe—and (ii) to determine the relationships among timber volume and branch volume and various site and stand characteristics affecting their productions. This study attempts to answer the following questions through logical ways:

- What stand and site characteristics are correlated with timber volume?
- What stand and site characteristics are correlated with branch volume?
- What is the ratio of timber volume to branch volume and how it is related to stand and site characteristics?
- Is it possible to predict the timber and branch volumes from dendrometric measurements and site characteristics?

2. Materials and Methods

Study Area

This study is based on the dendrometric data from 76 sample plots (specific stands) that were located on the Norway spruce forest stands distributed in the nine out of 41 Natural Forest Area across the Czech Republic (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Location of 76 research plots with Norway spruce forest stands (black dots); gray lines showing separating Natural Forest Areas and gray areas forest cover with dominating Norway spruce in the Czech Republic. The dominating spruce layer was created by classifying ESA Sentinel-2 satellite images by pixel sorting based on spectral spruce response during phenological vegetation phases using data collected during the National Forest inventory. The author of the tree species determination method was Dr. Filip Hájek, the author of the spruce layer was Ing. Markéta Kantorová, data source ÚHÚL Brandýs nad Labem, and software ArsGis (Esri).
Sample plots were chosen to represent various stands of Norway spruce and site conditions. Elevation of the studied forests ranges from 380 m to 1040 m above sea level and the majority of forests is mainly in the humid continental climate zone characterized by hot and humid summer and cold to severely cold winters according to Köppen climate classification [51]. The remaining part of the forest stands belongs to the temperate oceanic climate featured by cool summer and chilly winter, with relatively narrow range of the annual temperature and few temperature extremes. The mean annual temperature lies between 4 and 8 °C, and mean annual precipitation varies from 500 to 1100 mm. There is a large variation of the mean growing season length (90–160 days) and mean temperature during the growing season is ~11.5 °C, with precipitation amount of 580 mm. The slopes of sample plot vary from 1° to 46° and exposition includes four directions (N, S, E, and W). The bedrocks of sample plots are composed mostly of schists, gneisses, granites, and sandstones. Modal Cambisols and Cryptopodzols are the prevailing soil types [52].

Norway spruce dominates over other tree species in the stands (82–100% abundance), which was planned for clear-cut management, and to a small extent, for shelterwood management system. The remaining share of tree species composition belong to European beech (Fagus sylvatica L., 0%–18%). The proportion of sycamore maple (Acer pseudoplatanus L.), silver fir (Abies alba Mill.), birch (Betula pendula L.), rowan (Sorbus aucuparia L.), and Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) is below 1%. The stand age ranged from 77 to 170 years with various standing volume (337–762 m³ ha⁻¹), stocking (60%–130%), mean diameter at breast height (DBH 24–48 cm), and mean height (23–40 m) per sample plot. According to the phytosociology and forest ecosystem classification, 40 sample plots were established on the acidic (acidophilum) sites and 36 on the nutrition-rich (trophicum) sites. The most common forest site types are Piceeto-Fagetum acidophilum (Acidic Spruce-Beech), Abieto-Fagetum acidophilum (Acidic Fir-Beech), Fageto-Piceetum acidophilum (Acidic Beech-Spruce), Piceeto-Fagetum mesotrophicum (Nutrient-medium Spruce-Beech), Piceeto-Fagetum eutrophicum (Nutrient-rich Spruce-Beech) and Piceeto-Fagetum lapidosum acidophilum (Stony-acidic Spruce-Beech) according to Czech forest ecosystem classification [53]. The herb layer is composed of the species of spruce-fir-beech stands belonging mostly to the alliance of Piceion abietis Pawlowski et al. 1928, Luzulo-Fagion sylvaticae Lohmeyer et Tüxen in Tüxen 1954 and Fagion sylvaticae Luquet 1926.

3. Sampling and Measurements

On each sample plot, 10 sample trees were randomly chosen and marked for logging. However, trees with remarkable abnormalities, such as broken stem, two terminals, border trees, dead, and wolf trees were excluded from being sampled. All sample trees were measured and logged using the standard logging methods (harvester and motor chain saw). The stem was divided into shorter logs and volume of each log was calculated using Huber’s equation as below.

\[ V = \frac{\pi D^2 L}{4} \]

where D is the stem diameter (cm) in the middle and L is the length of a log (m); \( \pi = 3.1416 \).

The stem volume was calculated without bark using common tables and polynomial equations that were developed in the past [54]. All small woods (below 7 cm diameter) were chipped using forest chippers, which were operated with 100 kW energy, and then woody chips were loaded into a truck. The weight of chips was derived from weight difference of the empty and full truck. From each pile of the chips, 10 samples—three from the left side, three from the right side, and four from the top—were chosen. The sample size of the residues was determined in accordance with the BioNorm II [55]. The dustpan of size 20 cm \( \times \) 18 cm was used for sample collection after weighing the whole pile, and all samples were immediately put into the plastic bags and tightened with a fastener. The bags were labeled and transported to the laboratory. The residue samples were taken out from the bags, put into the iron bowls, then into the dryer, and allowed for drying. Drying was done continuously at 103 °C until wood moisture reached 0%. However, weight was first checked after 24 h, and then after
weight was subsequently noted in every three hours. Kern PBS 4200 digital scales with a precision of 0.01 g, were used for measuring the dry weight. The sample was considered completely dried when the change of its weight was not more than 0.02 g. The total volume of small wood (entire pile) was calculated using the wood density of Norway spruce, which was determined as 392 kg m⁻³ [56]. Measurements of the stand characteristics were obtained during field inventories that was provided by relascope technology Haglof Factor Gauge (Haglöf Sweden AB, Långsele, Sweden) for determine of the basal area, stand density, tree species composition, mean height and DBH of a tree. Field inventories was provided according to standard methods of the Forest Management Institute (FMI, www.uhul.cz). Stand heights and DBH were measured using the hypsometer Vertex Laser (Haglöf Sweden AB) and metal caliper Mantax Blue (Haglöf Sweden AB), respectively. The information of other stand and site characteristics were extracted from forest management plans (update stand age, species composition, site quality class, forest site type). Site quality class was calculated from yield tables using mean stand height, age and forest site type from Czech forest ecosystem classification [53,54]. Tree and stand specific measures, such as canopy, tree coordinates, crown width and height to live/dead crown base were not measured because of the simplicity and the commonly used of derived model. General users and foresters would be able to calculate the dendromass volume only based on the information extracted from forest management plan. To determine the slope and exposure, the EU-DEM digital surface model v1.0 [57] was used with horizontal raster resolution of 25 m. From this database, slope and aspect gradients were determined using the slope and aspect functions in ArcMap (Esri). The aspect was reclassified into eight directions. Data are summarized in Table 1 and relationships between variables that significantly affected timber volume, branch volume, and timber-to-branch volume ratio are presented in Figures 2–4, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber volume (m³)</td>
<td>14.43</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>33.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branch volume (m³)</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>12.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timber-to-branch volume ratio</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>9.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branch weight (kg)</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>8.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean DBH (cm)</td>
<td>35.49</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>48.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean height (m)</td>
<td>30.01</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation (m)</td>
<td>726.8</td>
<td>172.5</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>1040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope (degree)</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>45.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stand age (year)</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>45.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stand stocking (%)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. Scattered plots of timber volume versus other variables.
Figure 3. Scattered plots of branch volume versus other variables.
4. Data Analysis

We evaluated several variables that could have a potential contribution to the variations of timber and branch volumes. They are elevation, slope, aspect, stand age, mean DBH, mean height, and a few categorical variables, such as site quality class (rich, medium, or poor) and stand stocking class (dense or sparse). Following the principles of modeling categorical variables [58–60], we formulated the dummy variables to account for the effects of these variables on the timber and branch volumes. For example, three site quality classes were coded with two dummy variables as below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Quality Class</th>
<th>SQC₁</th>
<th>SQC₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rich</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We used only those variables, which had significant contribution to the variations of timber and branch volumes, to develop prediction models for timber and branch volumes. The backward elimination procedure, which excludes the nonsignificant variables for a given criterion (5% level of significance, in our case), was applied to select significantly contributing variables to the models. The Pearson’s correlation coefficients and variance influence factor were used to check the dependency among the predictor variables [61]. We expressed timber volume as a function of selected predictors as below.

\[
TV_i = b_1 + b_2 X_i + \varepsilon_i
\]

\[
b_1 = q_1 BV_i + q_2 SLP_i, X_i = D_i^2 H_i
\]

(1)
where $TV = $ timber volume ($m^3$), $BV = $ branch volume ($m^3$), $D = $ mean diameter at breast height of spruce trees per sample plot (cm), $H = $ mean height of spruce trees per sample plot (m), and $SLP = $ slope of locality (degree); $b_1$, $b_2$, $q_1$, and $q_2$ are parameters to be estimated, and $\varepsilon$ is the error term, which was assumed to be normally distributed with zero mean and constant variance, $i$ is an index of the location. For this model application, BV could be obtained from other predictor variables used in BV prediction equation (Equation (2)), which will avoid the inventory works required to quantify branch volume.

Developing a model for predicting timber-to-branch volume ratio was not possible as none of the potential predictors significantly contributed to the variations of the ratio. The BV is relatively more difficult to measure compared to the timber volume as former may need more intensive work, which is costlier and time-consuming. Spruce BV could be predicted from the following BV prediction model (Equation (2)).

$$BV_i = b_1 + b_2 X_i + \varepsilon_i$$

$$b_1 = q_1 SQC_1 + q_2 SQC_2, \quad X_i = D_i^2 H_i$$

(2)

where $BV = $ branch volume ($m^3$) $SQC_k (k = 1, 2)$ is dummy variable, all other abbreviations and symbols are the same as in Equation (1).

The parameters in Equations (1) and (2) were estimated using PROC MODEL in SAS [62]. The estimated models were evaluated using various statistical measures such as significance of parameter estimates, root mean square error (RMSE), and adjusted coefficient of determination ($R^2_{adj}$). Graphs of the residuals and simulated curves overlaid on the measured data were evaluated for the predictive performance of the models. We used 5% level of significance for all analyses. Even though validation of the fitted models may increase its credibility and confidence, we were not able to use this procedure. Our dataset was neither adequate for splitting nor did we have an external independent dataset to validate our models.

The relationships among dendromass, various site variables and stand characteristics were shown by the principle component analysis (PCA) using the Canoco 5 program [63]. The data were log-transformed, centered, and standardized before PCA. The results of PCA were visualized in the form of an ordination diagram.

5. Results

Except the aspect, elevation, and timber-to-branch ratio (TBR), all other variables were significantly correlated with timber volume (Table 2). The timber volume was most strongly correlated to branch volume ($r = 0.79$, $p = 0.0001$) followed by mean DBH ($r = 0.62$, $p = 0.0001$), mean height ($r = 0.58$, $p = 0.0001$), slope of location ($r = 0.39$, $p = 0.0005$), stand age ($r = 0.28$, $p = 0.0124$), and stocking ($r = 0.23$, $p = 0.0424$). Similarly, branch volume was mostly correlated with mean DBH ($r = 0.52$, $p = 0.0001$) followed by TBR ($r = 0.44$, $p = 0.0001$), mean height ($r = 0.52$, $p = 0.0001$), stocking ($r = 0.33$, $p = 0.0032$), and stand age ($r = 0.24$, $p = 0.0403$). Except for mean DBH, elevation was not significantly correlated with other variables including timber and branch volumes, whereas slope was significantly correlated to all variables, except stand age. Both slope and aspect showed very small effect on timber volume ($p < 0.09$), but aspect did not show any significant effect on the timber volume, branch volumes, and TBR. Except branch volume, elevation, and slope, all other variables did not show significant effects on TBR.

The timber volume prediction model with estimated parameter values is given in Equation (3).

$$TV_i = b_1 + 0.000109 X_i + \varepsilon_i$$

$$b_1 = 1.880413 BV_i + 0.123368 SLP_i, \quad X_i = D_i^2 H_i \quad \left( \text{RMSE} = 3.6176, \quad R^2_{adj} = 0.7310 \right)$$

(3)
Similarly, the branch volume prediction model with estimated parameter values is given in Equation (4).

\[
BV_i = b_1 + 0.000092X_i + \varepsilon_i
\]

\[
b_1 = 0.833985SC_1 + 1.639027SC_2, \quad X_i = D_i^2H_i \quad \left( RMSE = 1.928; R^2_{adj} = 0.2517 \right)
\]

where all symbols and abbreviations in Equations (3) and (4) are the same as in Equations (1) and (2), respectively.

Compared to the branch volume model (Equation (4)), the timber volume model (Equation (3)) described larger parts of the variations. All parameter estimates of Equations (3) and (4) were highly significant \((p < 0.0001)\), and they are biologically plausible and interpretable. No significant heteroscedasticity was observed in the residuals plotted against each of the significant predictors of Equations (3) and (4) and estimated timber and branch volumes (Figures 5 and 6).

The scattered plots of the estimated volumes versus measured volumes independently and closely distributed around the reference line having zero intercept and slope one (Figure 7).

Timber volume for a given \(D^2H\) and site quality class significantly varied with branch volume and slope of the sample plot site location (Figure 8). A wider spacing of the curves in this figure indicated that the branch volume contributed mostly to the timber volume variations. Timber volume significantly increased with increasing branch volume and degree of slope. However, magnitudes of the effects of these variables for each site quality class largely differed. Similarly, branch volume significantly varied with site quality class and branch volume increased with decreasing site quality class (Figure 9).

![Figure 5](image-url) Residuals against predictor variables and estimated timber volume with a timber prediction model (Equation (3)).
Figure 6. Residuals against predictor variables and estimated branch volume with a branch volume model (Equation (4)).

Figure 7. Estimated volumes by timber volume prediction model (Equation (3)) and branch volume prediction model (Equation (4)) against measured volumes with a diagonal 1:1 line passing through data points.
the effects of these variables for each site quality class largely differed. Similarly, branch volume significantly varied with site quality class and branch volume increased with decreasing site quality class (Figure 9).

**Figure 8.** Effects of the branch volume (branchvol) and degree of slope on the timber volume prediction. Curves were produced using parameter estimates of the timber volume prediction model (Equation (3)) and the mean of each of the predictors; except the variable of interest in the figure, which was allowed varying from approximately minimum to maximum in the measured data (Table 1). Black dots represent the measured timber volumes.

Figure 10 shows the results of the PCA in the form of ordination diagrams, where the first ordination axis described 40.7%, the first two 57.1%, and the first four axes together described 77.4% of the variability in our data. The x-axis represents the timber volume, stand age and mean DBH whereas y-axis represents TBR. The timber volume, mean DBH, mean height, and stand age were positively correlated with each other, while these variables were negatively correlated with site quality class. The branch volume was negatively correlated with stand stocking and mean DBH-mean height ratio (HDR). The TBR was positively correlated with elevation and slope, and contributions of slope and stand age were relatively smaller. It seemed that the growth of trees, especially branch volume, was
influenced by edaphic factors. Generally, trees on the nutrient-rich sites were characterized by higher branch volume, while TBR was higher on the acid sites.

**Figure 9.** Simulated effects of site quality class (SQC) on the branch volumes. The branch volume curves were produced using parameter estimates of the branch volume prediction model (Equation (4)). Black dots represent the measured branch volumes. SQC = 1: rich; SQC = 2: medium; SQC = 3: poor.

**Figure 10.** Ordination diagram showing the relationships of the PCA among dendromass characteristics (timber volume, branch volume, and timber-to-branch volume ratio-TBR), stand characteristics (mean height, mean diameter at breast height-DBH, stand age, height-to-breast height diameter ratio-HDR, and stocking), and sample plot characteristics (elevation, slope, and site quality class) on the left side and the classified diagram was differentiated according to edaphic factors (● nutrient–rich series, ■ acid series) on the right side; small dots and squares indicating 76 sample plots.
Table 2. Pearson’s correlations between various variables of the interest. Approximate p-value of each correlation is given in the parenthesis, and significant correlation is shown in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Branch volume</th>
<th>Timber Volume</th>
<th>Mean DBH</th>
<th>Mean Height</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Stand Age</th>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>Stocking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.792 (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.618 (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.5152 (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.426 (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.8554 (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.145 (0.2129)</td>
<td>0.0158 (0.9822)</td>
<td>0.3459 (0.0022)</td>
<td>0.173 (0.1350)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.579 (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.392 (0.0005)</td>
<td>0.1979 (0.0866)</td>
<td>0.2269 (0.0487)</td>
<td>0.2925 (0.0103)</td>
<td>0.2046 (0.0762)</td>
<td>0.2387 (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.5765 (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.4931 (0.0187)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.285 (0.0124)</td>
<td>0.172 (0.1382)</td>
<td>−0.193 (0.0948)</td>
<td>−0.0748 (0.5205)</td>
<td>−0.132 (0.2580)</td>
<td>0.199 (0.0844)</td>
<td>−0.025 (0.8275)</td>
<td>0.45303 (0.0001)</td>
<td>−0.018 (0.8761)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−0.23432 (0.00416)</td>
<td>−0.33149 (0.0032)</td>
<td>−0.35116 (0.0019)</td>
<td>−0.28117 (0.0139)</td>
<td>−0.00998 (0.9933)</td>
<td>−0.07151 (0.5393)</td>
<td>−0.45303 (0.0001)</td>
<td>−0.45303 (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.12383 (0.2865)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−0.06866 (0.05661)</td>
<td>−0.44449 (0.0001)</td>
<td>−0.04532 (0.6973)</td>
<td>−0.14596 (0.2083)</td>
<td>−0.29713 (0.0091)</td>
<td>0.21281 (0.0649)</td>
<td>0.11116 (0.3391)</td>
<td>−0.03423 (0.7691)</td>
<td>0.1709 (0.1398)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Discussion

Norway spruce is the most economically important tree species in central Europe [64] and it has spread from the foot-hills to the upper forest boundary on the mountainous region of the continent. Even though the climate change effect has caused a decrease of the proportion of spruce forests, we can expect that higher amount of spruce timber could increase in coming years, as management would be changed in favor of silvicultural tending and selection felling. Several studies were carried out for assessment of the growth and timber production of spruce forests in relation to stand and site characteristics in various countries, for example, forests in southwest and eastern Germany [65–67], the northern Alps [68–70], Bavarian Forest [71,72], northern part of the Babia Góra Mountains [73,74], the Tatras [75,76], the Eastern Carpathians [77,78], the Krkonoše Mountains (Giant Mountains), the Jeseniky Mountains and the Babia Góra Mountains [79], and the Krkonoše Mountains alone [80]. These studies show remarkably decreased growth and timber production with the increased elevation. Our study shows that both timber and branch volumes are affected significantly by individual trees and stand characteristics. The effects of these characteristics may be different for different ecotones. The highest decrease of the radial and height growth occurs in the ecotone of the upper forest boundary [79,80], where crown size relative to tree height is considerably higher [82] and height of the green crown base is lower [83]. In the mature spruce stands, stem taper would considerably increase with increasing elevation, especially within the ecotone of the upper forest boundary. This has been proved on the dendrometric data acquired from the primal forest stands of the Krkonoše Mountains in the Czech Republic, where a negative correlation was found ranging from −0.83 to −0.97 [80]. However, our study shows that timber volume increases and branch volume decreases with increasing elevation (Table 2). Global warming may, however, decrease spruce production even in the lowlands because of more intense drought compared to the mountains, where we expect increased growth and higher production due to the higher temperature and enough precipitation [3,4,84]. The results from these studies are also connected with elevation range in our study area, where it includes only optimal spruce production with declining tendencies to the left site at the lower part of the distribution range [85].
but sites closer to the timber line were not included in the studies. The negative or positive influence on the production of forest stands may differ on the local and regional scale, especially regarding to edaphic, humidity, and climatic conditions of the given habitats [65,86]. Therefore, reconstruction of forest production dynamics in relation to the global climate change can be as such estimated from the former growth trends [87–89].

In our case, timber volume is highly correlated with the volume of branches, mean DBH, and stand age. This result corroborates with that of other studies [26,27]. The mature trees have the largest tree dendromass and stem mass, while changes of the mass of needles and branches usually do not differ between mature and middle-aged stands. The largest needle and branch masses relative to stem volume was found in younger stands. Stem weight inside the crown was linearly correlated with a mean height of the crown, tree stem basal area, and wood density. As in our study, Konôpka et al. [43] show that the stem volume of spruce relative to total dendromass amounts including needle mass and root mass increases with increasing size of the trees. However, we did not consider the needles and roots in our analysis.

Čihák and Vejpustková [90] reported that the total above ground dendromass or dendromass of the individual parts of Norway spruce in the Czech Republic highly depends on the DBH. The percentage of stem volume rapidly increases with age up to 40 years, and then fluctuates around 80%. In younger stands, the proportion of stem dendromass may have higher variability, which gradually decreases with stand age and stabilizes at a constant value. A portion of the crown dendromass decreases with age and it oscillates after 40 years ~17%. The percentage of needle dendromass exhibits a decreasing tendency, and in the mature stage, it is ~5%. Ilomäki et al. [91] state that the crown shape and branch volume are relatively conservative for the trees of different ages, cenotypic hierarchy status, and soil quality, and this could explain remarkable differences in the dendromass of trees of different stage, age, cenotypic hierarchy status, and soil quality. The trees of higher age, leveled, or emergent and of better quality have larger volumes of the dendromass. In our study, soil quality appeared as an important factor. The timber volume on the rich-nutrient sites was higher than on the acidic sites. In addition to site quality class, timber and branch volumes are highly correlated with the individual tree characteristics, such as DBH, height, crown depth and width, and stem taper [16,27]. However, due to the unavailability of data, we were not able to evaluate the effects of individual tree variables on the timber and branch volumes in our study.

Given the data limitations (fewer sample plots, lack of crown dimension measurements, and other individual tree information), we developed the timber volume model (Equation (3)) and the branch volume model (Equation (4)), whereby former model exhibits the remarkable prediction performance and latter model shows relatively poorer performance. The timber volume prediction can be made using the input information of the slope of the terrains of spruce stands, branch volume (information of which can be derived from Equation (4)), mean DBH, and mean height of the trees per sample plot. Similarly, the branch volume prediction can be made from measurements of the dendrometric variables (mean DBH and mean height) and site quality classes. The timber volume increases with increasing branch volumes and slope of the terrain (Figure 8), because on the sloping stands, growing space available for trees is larger than on the flatter land and total tree volume may be higher. On steeper slopes, trees reach larger crown projections and there can be increased light for crowns that positively affect the growth of central bole of the spruce [81,92]. In contrary to the broadleaved tree species, a higher proportion of biomass in the formation of horizontal branches is formed due to high crown plasticity [93,94]. Generally, the crown shape is related to the productivity of forest stands [60,95,96]. The branch volume also increases with decreasing site quality class (Figure 9) because of the nutrient deficit in the low quality site classes, which may result in more intensive growth of branches. For the application of the branch volume model, dummy variables accounting for effects of the site quality classes should be formed in the same way as depicted in the data analysis section. Compared to the timber volume model, the branch volume model can predict significantly poorly, as branch volume would be more corrected to tree-level variables, for example, crown dimensions (crown width, crown
ratio, and crown depth) that were not available in our data. As has been mentioned in the previous paragraphs, the amounts of timber and branch volumes are significantly affected differently by site and stand characteristics. This is the reason that the terrain slope, which significantly contributes to the timber volume variations, appears nonsignificant in the branch volume model (Equation (4)). Similarly, the site quality class, which significantly affects the branch volume variations, does not significantly affect the timber volume variations. Most importantly, for application of the timber volume (Equation (3)), input information of branch volume can be obtained from the prediction equation of the branch volume (Equation (2)), which will avoid the inventory works necessary for quantifying branch volume in the field and laboratory.

7. Conclusions

The main conclusions are summarized as follows.

- Models for prediction of timber and branch volumes of Norway spruce were developed.
- Model described larger proportion of timber volume than branch volume.
- Factors affecting the timber-to-branch volume ratio (TBR) were analyzed and TBR was found significantly correlated with elevation and branch biomass.
- Timber volume increased with increasing slope and TBR increased with increasing elevation.
- Branch volume increased with decreasing site quality class and stand stocking.

The presented models can be an important basis for further investigations on the relationships among site and stand characteristics, stem volume, branch volume, and dendromass of Norway spruce. The proposed volume models can be used to predict the timber and branch biomass for Norway spruce in the same stand conditions, which were used as the basis of this study. The correlation statistics (Table 2) and models presented in the article (Equations (3) and (4)) can be useful in decision making for Norway spruce forest management.
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