Combining Faraday Tomography and Wavelet Analysis
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Abstract: We present a concept for using long-wavelength broadband radio continuum observations of spiral galaxies to isolate magnetic structures that were only previously accessible from short-wavelength observations. The approach is based on combining the RM Synthesis technique with the 2D continuous wavelet transform. Wavelet analysis helps to isolate and recognize small-scale structures which are produced by Faraday dispersion. We find that these structures can trace galactic magnetic arms as illustrated by the case of the galaxy NGC 6946 observed at λ = 17–22 cm.

We support this interpretation through the analysis of a synthetic observation obtained using a realistic model of a galactic magnetic field.
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1. Introduction

The bulk of contemporary knowledge concerning magnetic field configurations in spiral galaxies has been obtained using observations performed at only a few wavelengths. Modern progress in observational techniques allows us to observe galaxies at dozens to hundreds or even thousands of individual wavelengths over broad bands. However, it is important to learn how best to use these new resources. Some problems which can arise are illustrated in Figure 1. The left panel shows the linearly polarized intensity distribution of NGC 6946 at λ = 6 cm with a bandwidth of 100 MHz from Beck et al. [1]. Magnetic spiral arms are clearly recognized. Magnetic arms are important because they are possibly the sign of magnetic reconnection regions [2] or may also be products of dynamo action [3]. However their origin remains unclear [4]. The middle panel shows the polarized intensity distribution obtained using a single narrowband frequency channel around λ = 20 cm from modern broadband observations in the spectral range from 17 to 22 cm. The plot shows only noise. The discrepancy occurs for two reasons. First, sensitivity in modern radio continuum observations is built up through a combination of time and bandwidth, so narrowband images have relatively high noise. Second, depolarization effects by Faraday rotation are much more pronounced at longer wavelengths as compared to λ = 6 cm.

One can try resolve the problem in two ways. Firstly, it is possible to sum polarized intensities over all channels in the range λ = 17–22 cm to emulate single-wavelength observations at λ ≈ 20 cm.
This option is not optimal because it does not fully exploit the possibilities offered by modern observational techniques. Another option is to use RM Synthesis [5,6] which involves coherently adding data at many wavelengths for many values of Faraday depth. RM Synthesis is a technique giving a “Faraday cube” which consists of the “Faraday dispersion function” $F$ (also called “Faraday spectrum”) for each pixel on the sky plane

$$F(\phi, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\lambda_{\text{min}}}^{\lambda_{\text{max}}} P(\lambda^2, \alpha, \beta) e^{-2i\phi\lambda^2} d\lambda^2,$$

where $\phi$ is the Faraday depth, $P$ is the complex polarization, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the sky coordinates of the pixel, and $\lambda_{\text{min}}$ and $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ define the range of observed wavelengths. Reconstruction of the magnetic field even along a single line of sight remains a challenging problem [7]. Three-dimensional Faraday spectrum data (i.e., the distribution of polarized intensity as a function of Faraday depth) can be analysed in various ways. A straightforward approach is to compute maximum intensity values [8] (the peak polarized intensity $F_{\text{max}}(\alpha, \beta) = \max |F(\phi, \alpha, \beta)| = |F(\phi_{\text{max}}(\alpha, \beta), \alpha, \beta)|$). The resulting distribution of $F_{\text{max}}$ is shown in Figure 1c. The recovered signal-to-noise is much higher than in Figure 1b. However, we still do not see as prominently the narrow magnetic arms visible in Figure 1a because they are suppressed by Faraday depolarization effects at $\lambda = 17–22$ cm.

We face a difficult choice: to postpone broadband observations of magnetic arms in spiral galaxies until the forthcoming SKA telescope will be ready for observations with its substantially higher sensitivity, or to develop a method which allows recovery of magnetic arms from the available broadband data taken at long wavelengths. The second way seems more attractive. The suggested method is fully described by Chupin et al. [9]. In the present paper, we summarize the idea and illustrate it by a comparison of wavelet transform of the NGC 6946 data at $\lambda = 17–22$ cm and at $\lambda = 6$ cm (Section 2). We support our interpretation of the method through analysis of an artificial example (Section 3).

2. Idea of the Method Applied to the NGC 6946 Data

We begin by recognizing that polarized observations performed at the wavelength $\lambda^*$ are most informative for magnetic structures of the scale $l^*$ for which $\lambda^2 RM \propto \lambda B n_s l$ is comparable with $\pi$. Contributions from structures with $l \ll l^*$ are strongly depolarized due to Faraday rotation while Faraday rotation from the structures with $l \gg l^*$ is too weak to be easily recognized and interpreted. It means that using observations at $\lambda_1 = 20$ cm instead of at $\lambda_2 = 6$ cm we deal with magnetic structures that are an order of magnitude smaller $(\lambda_2/\lambda_1)^2 \approx 10)$. In other words, instead of detecting magnetic arms by observing polarized emission on large scales directly, we instead seek small-scale
structures which can arise by tangling and randomizing of large-scale structures, in addition to
small-scale structures which arise from the small-scale turbulent magnetic fields that are predicted by
dynamo theory.

In practice, the realization of the idea suggested in [9] is based on the wavelet technique. Wavelet
functions are used for the analysis of spatial and temporal data, also in astrophysics [10]. The
wavelet transform can be used as an enhancement of RM Synthesis to analyze structure at
different scales [11,12]. Here wavelets are used as a spatial-scale filtering tool. We decompose the
two-dimensional map \( f(\alpha, \beta) \) into wavelet coefficients of various scales \( l \):

\[
\omega_l(\alpha, \beta) \equiv W_l\{f(\alpha, \beta)\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\alpha', \beta') \psi \left( \frac{\alpha' - \alpha}{l}, \frac{\beta' - \beta}{l} \right) \, d\alpha' \, d\beta',
\]

using the “Mexican-hat” wavelet \( \psi(x, y) = e^{-x^2/\lambda^2} (2 - x^2 - y^2) \) as the base wavelet and scale \( a \) as the
characteristic radius of the wavelet function. For the wavelet transform \( W_l\{F(\alpha, \beta)\} \) one can define a
peak intensity distribution \( \omega_{l_{\text{max}}}(\alpha, \beta) = \max_{\phi} |\omega_l(\alpha, \beta)| \) along each line of sight. Results for various
scales \( l \) measured in arcseconds are shown in the middle column of Figure 2. We recognize small-scale
structures at the scale \( l = 16 \) (middle row) arcsec that are organized into arm-like structures. Structures
at smaller (lower row) and larger (upper row) scales are less pronounced. In other words, we have
thus traced the structure of magnetic arms known from \( \lambda_1 = 6 \) cm using data obtained at \( \lambda_2 = 20 \) cm
despite the lack of a direct detection of large-scale arm-like structure. In a comparison, the result
of wavelet decomposition of polarized intensity at 6 cm (left column of Figure 2) and of the peak
Faraday spectra \( F(\phi_{\text{max}}) \) (right column of Figure 2) are much noisier. These images repeat similar
results from [9] except for 6 cm, which was calculated and added to the panel to aid comparison.
3. Synthetic Data Analysis

We now consider a model magnetic field in order to demonstrate qualitatively the applicability of our approach and to support our interpretation of the observational results. The galactic magnetic field \( \vec{B}_g \) is modelled as a superposition of a large-scale component \( \vec{B} \) and a small-scale turbulent component \( \vec{b} \):

\[
\vec{B}_g(r) = \vec{B}(r) + \vec{b}(r),
\]

where \( r \) is the position vector in the cylindrical coordinate system \((r, \phi, z)\). The regular part of the magnetic field is assumed to be of bisymmetric form with two reversals along azimuthal angle:

\[
B(r, \phi, z) = B_0 \cos \left( m \left( \frac{\ln r}{\tan p} - \phi + \phi_0 \right) \right) \tanh \left( \frac{r}{R_0} \right) \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{r}{R_0} \right)^2 \right\} \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{z}{h_0} \right)^2 \right\},
\]

where \( B_0 \) is the field amplitude (strength) and \( \phi_0 \) is the azimuthal phase of the mode \( m, p \) is a pitch angle, and \( R_0 \) and \( h_0 \) are the Gaussian radius and vertical scales of the magnetic galactic disk. The \( \tanh \) term is introduced to suppress the field near the centre of the galaxy and thus to avoid a discontinuity near the \( r = 0 \) axis [13]. The components of the regular magnetic field are then evaluated by

\[
\begin{align*}
B_r(r, \phi, z) &= B(r, \phi, z) \sin p, \\
B_\phi(r, \phi, z) &= B(r, \phi, z) \cos p, \\
\partial_\phi B_z(r, \phi, z) &= -r^{-1} \left( (\partial_r B_r(r, \phi, z)) + \partial_\phi B_\phi(r, \phi, z) \right),
\end{align*}
\]

with the relation (7) being a result of the incompressibility condition.

The turbulent magnetic field is considered as a divergence-free, random fluctuating field with the energy spectrum given by \( \Psi \), and a Gaussian spatial distribution with characteristic radius \( R_t \) and vertical scale \( h_t \):

\[
\vec{b}(r, \phi, z) = b_0 \Psi(r, \phi, z) \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{r}{R_t} \right)^2 \right\} \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{z}{h_t} \right)^2 \right\},
\]

where \( b_0 \) is the strength of the turbulent field. The spectral properties of the random function \( \Psi \) are specified as follows:

\[
|\Psi(\vec{k})|^2 = \begin{cases}
(k/k_0)^\alpha, & k > k_0 \\
(k/k_0)^\beta, & k < k_0,
\end{cases}
\]

We adopt \( \alpha = -5/3 \) (Kolmogorov scaling), \( \beta = 2 \), and \( k_0 = 10h_t^{-1} \) [14].

Figure 2. Wavelet coefficient maps at the scales 32, 16 and 8 arcsec (from top to bottom): (left column) \( |W(P)| \) at \( \lambda = 6 \) cm, (middle column) \( W^{max} \), (right column) \( |W(F^{max})| \). All are measured with \( \mu \)Jy/beam.
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Figure 3 shows the distribution of galactic magnetic field in the three-dimensional numerical domain $14 \times 14 \times 14 \text{kpc}^3$ with resolution 0.4 kpc for a particular choice of parameters: $m = 1$, $p = \pi/12$, $h_0 = 1 \text{kpc}$, $R_0 = 14 \text{kpc}$, $r_0 = 4 \text{kpc}$, $R_1 = 20 \text{kpc}$, $h_1 = 4 \text{kpc}$ and $B_0 = b_0 = 1 \mu\text{G}$. Thermal electron and cosmic rays densities are adjusted to obtain qualitative correspondence with the observations considered earlier. We use this simulated magnetic field to calculate artificial maps of polarized intensity and Faraday rotation for discrete values of $\lambda$ in the observed range from 17 to 22 cm. Then a synthetic RM-cube is analysed through the same approach as for the observed NGC 6946 data in Section 2.

(a) (b)

Figure 3. Distribution of model magnetic field: (a) in central horizontal galactic plane, (b) in central vertical plane. Colour scale shows magnetic field intensity in $\mu$G. Arrows denote magnetic field direction.

For the case of a noise-free observation of the polarized intensity, the distribution of $F_{\text{max}}$ (which is shown in Figure 4a) is slightly affected by Faraday depolarization. We note that the result does not differ from the initial distribution in Figure 3a because the rotation measures are rather moderate (a few tens of rad/m$^2$) and the beam depolarization effect is not taken into account. However, the synchrotron emission is considerably scattered over a range of Faraday depths so that small-scale structures appear in the distribution of $w_{\text{max}}$ (see Figure 4b). Nevertheless the large-scale structure of galactic magnetic arm is well traced by $w_{\text{max}}$.

(a) (b)

Figure 4. Distributions for a model which consists of large scales and small scales (no noise): (a) $F_{\text{max}}$, (b) $w_{\text{max}}$. Colour scale shows values in $\mu\text{Jy/beam}$. 
The situation is substantially changed in the case where white noise is added to the ideal observations such that $S/N = 20$. Figure 5 shows the resulting distribution of $F_{\text{max}}$ and $w_{\text{max}}$ in the presence of this mock observational noise. The galactic signal in $F_{\text{max}}$ representation is getting much weaker. At the same time the distribution of $w_{\text{max}}$ reveals the magnetic arms with a patchy structure.

**Figure 5.** Distributions for a model which consists of large scales, small scales and noise: (a) $F_{\text{max}}$, (b) $w_{\text{max}}$. Colour scale shows values in $\mu$Jy/beam.

### 4. Discussion

In this paper, we exploit a method [9] that allows us to use long-wavelength broadband observations to isolate magnetic structures that were only previously accessible from short-wavelength observations. The difference between the wavelet analysis of broadband and single-frequency observations is illustrated in the case of NGC 6946. Our interpretation of the method is that we isolate small-scale structures in the RM-cube which are associated with an initially large-scale magnetic field structure that has been distorted by Faraday dispersion. We support this interpretation through the analysis of a synthetic observation generated using a realistic model of a galactic magnetic field with regular and random components. The results presented here demonstrate that the method can be helpful in practice especially in the case of polarization data with low signal-to-noise at individual wavelengths. However, we point out that intrinsically small-scale structures of the magnetic field may contribute to the wavelet coefficients. The existence of such structures has been a general expectation from dynamo theory, but the previous methods do not allow us to isolate this. We note that the wavelet technique can be successfully combined with modern approaches like Faraday tomography [15] and the method based on synchrotron polarization gradients [16]. The assessment of an anisotropic structure of the galactic magnetic can be done using anisotropic wavelets [17,18]. With these approaches, one can probe the local interstellar medium in the Galactic foreground towards some galaxies [19]. Our model of a realistic galactic magnetic field may be also useful for consistent validation of different processing techniques.
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Abbreviations
The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

NGC  New General Catalogue
SKA  Square Kilometre Array
RM  Rotation measure
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