Abstract: The transport and fate of nitrate (NO$_3^-$) to in the top 15 cm of a streambed has been well-documented, but an understanding of greater depths is limited. This work examines the transport and fate of nitrate (NO$_3^-$) at depths of 30 cm, 60 cm, 90 cm, and 150 cm below the stream-streambed interface. Concentrations of nitrate as nitrogen (NO$_3$-N) and chloride (Cl$^-$) were measured in the waters from the streambed, the stream water, and the groundwater. Mixing models predicted values of $\Delta$NO$_3$-N, the difference between measured NO$_3$-N and theoretical NO$_3$-N. At a 30-cm depth, the mean $\Delta$NO$_3$-N value was $-0.25$ mg/L, indicating a deficit of NO$_3$-N and the removal of NO$_3$-N from the system. At deeper levels, the values of $\Delta$NO$_3$-N began to approach zero, reaching a mean value of $-0.07$ mg/L at 150 cm. The reduction of NO$_3$-N does not appear to be controlled by vegetation, as it was not correlated to either temperature or visible light. Larger negative $\Delta$NO$_3$-N values (more removal) occur when stream NO$_3$-N concentrations are higher and organic matter is present.
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1. Introduction

Fertile soils within the upper Mississippi River Basin experience intensive agricultural practices that utilize nitrogen (N) fertilizers to enhance yield. Under optimal growing conditions, crop yield accounts for 50% of the added N; the excess nitrogen remains within or is exported from the system [1]. Since the 1960s, the application of N fertilizers to agricultural fields has increased significantly; subsequently, nitrate as nitrogen (NO$_3$-N) concentrations in rivers and reservoirs has concurrently increased throughout agricultural regions [2]. Once in the waterways, NO$_3$-N may be removed by microbial processes in the streambed sediment and by plant uptake [3–5]. However, approximately 25% of the NO$_3$-N in the stream system will remain mobile, eventually discharging into the Gulf of Mexico [6,7]. Since 1950, the NO$_3$-N load discharged into the Gulf of Mexico has tripled [8,9], with the Illinois River identified as the second leading contributor of NO$_3$ to the Mississippi River [10], accounting for 19% of the NO$_3$-N load discharged to the Gulf of Mexico by the Mississippi River [11–13]. The increase in N entering the Gulf of Mexico has been correlated to an increase in the frequency and the magnitude of the zone of hypoxia in the Gulf of Mexico and to changes in biodiversity within surface waters [9,14–16]. Effects of excess nitrogen are not limited to the United States and are of global concern [17–19]. The transport and fate of nitrogen in agricultural watersheds have been well-reported (e.g., [20–26]). Specifically, the function of the upper portion of the streambed in the nitrogen cycle has received significant attention [27–30].

The zone within the streambed substrate, where surface water and groundwater mix, exhibits a natural capacity for nitrogen removal [22,28,31–33]. Reported NO$_3$-N removal processes include denitrification and aquatic uptake by in-stream plants and benthic sediments [32,34–38].
Spatial variability in the distribution and composition of microbial communities, the concentrations of dissolved oxygen (DO), the concentration of organic matter (OM), and the concentration and species of nitrogen within the streambed control the rate of N removal [35,39–43]. Longer residence time of the waters in the streambed correlate to enhanced reduction of NO$_3$-N concentrations [44].

Seasonal variation of NO$_3$-N concentrations in midwestern streams has been observed [45,46]; the variations are attributed to precipitation, fertilizer application, rate of stream water discharge, and the concentration of dissolved organic carbon in pore water within the streambed [3,4,6]. Concentrations of NO$_3$-N tend to be higher during early spring following the application of fertilizers and when more frequent and higher magnitude precipitation events increase runoff. Nitrate concentrations are typically lowest during summer, when there is a limited source of NO$_3$-N and there is increased uptake from growing plants [38,45]. The rate of denitrification, which is lowest during the winter months (November to March) and highest in early spring and summer (April to July), influences the seasonal variation of NO$_3$-N concentrations in streams [4,47]. Moreover, the decrease in winter denitrification rates is attributed to a decrease in temperature and in microbial activity. Elevated denitrification rates during spring and summer are correlated to increased NO$_3$-N entering the system and to increased amounts of decaying foliage entering the stream, providing OM for the denitrifying microbes.

Nitrate removal in stream ecosystems is thought to occur disproportionately in zones with long residence times that facilitate the contact of reactive solutes with high biotic capacity for biogeochemical processing [42,48]. Despite studies indicating that significant microbial processes occur up to several meters below the streambed, the majority of the research examining nitrate removal focuses on the top 5 centimeters of the streambed [3–6,49,50]. While the top 5 cm may be the most productive zone, the sediments can be highly mobile and are capable of being scoured at elevated discharge [51], altering the population of denitrifying microbes [52]. This work examines the variations of NO$_3$-N concentrations at depths from 30 cm to 150 cm in a low-gradient agricultural streambed in central Illinois, USA. Insight is provided into the fate of NO$_3$-N, focusing on variables, stream stage, length of day (visible light), water temperature, and OM, involved in NO$_3$-N removal at various depths within the streambed.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Site Description

Fieldwork was conducted along a stretch of Little Kickapoo Creek (LKC) in central Illinois, USA (40°22′46″ N, 88°57′14″ W) (Figure 1). LKC is a third-order, low-gradient stream with a watershed that covers 76 km$^2$. Although the headwaters originate in an urban setting, land use is primarily agricultural, with corn and soybeans being the predominant crops [25].

The watershed is within the Bloomington Ridged Plain of the Till Plains Section, Central Lowland Province [53]. At the study location, three geologic units are relevant [51,54,55]. The Cahokia Alluvium, a 2-m thick Holocene floodplain deposit composed of sandy-silt, is the surficial unit. Underlying the Cahokia Alluvium is the Henry Formation, a glacial outwash deposited during the Wisconsinan Episode. The formation is 8 to 10 m of gravel with some coarse sand and serves as an aquifer. The Henry Formation is confined to a small valley that has been carved within the Tiskilwa Formation, a diamicton dominated by clay with some silt and fine sand.

The interface between the stream and the streambed occurs along the contact separating the Cahokia Alluvium and the Henry Formation; the gravels of the Henry Formation serve as the streambed [51,55]. A strong hydraulic connection between the stream and the underlying outwash aquifer has been documented [46,55–58]. Increases and decreases in the stream stage produced corresponding changes in the water level observed in wells within 50 m of the stream [55]. The water table in the area is located 1.5 to 2 m below the ground surface, and the regional hydraulic gradient is from the north to the south. Near LKC, the hydraulic gradient is toward the stream, with
groundwater discharging into the stream [25,55–58]. Despite the observed upwelling of groundwater to LKC, a bromide tracer tests confirmed the downwelling of stream water to depths of 150 cm [59]. Although LKC has a low gradient (0.002) the streambed is mobile, with the top 30 cm of sediment entrained during bank full events on average every 7.6 months [51]. Limited vegetation has been observed along the streambed.
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**Figure 1.** Location of the stretch of Little Kickapoo Creek (LKC) (40°22′46″ N, 88°57′14″ W) with a detailed presentation of the array of multi-level samples (MLS) within the study stretch.

Stated NO$_3$-N concentrations in LKC water have ranged from below the detection limit to 9.7 mg/L. Lower NO$_3$-N concentrations are reported during late summer to fall, as compared to late winter to spring, when the highest NO$_3$-N concentrations are observed [25,46]. In the top 10 cm of the LKC streambed, denitrification and plant uptake have been reported as removal mechanisms for NO$_3$-N [60]. Other N species, ammonium, ammonia, nitrate, and dissolved organic nitrogen (DON), have been reported as non-detectable in the system [46] and were not examined for this work. As a conservative ion, Cl$^-$ was analyzed to provide an understanding of the mixing within the system. Reported baseflow concentrations of Cl$^-$ range from 60 to 90 mg/L; however, measured Cl$^-$ concentrations following winter storm events can exceed 1700 mg/L [25,46]. The elevated Cl$^-$ concentrations reflect the impact of road salts applied within the watershed [61–63].

### 2.2. Sampling

To measure seasonal changes in NO$_3$-N concentrations, 17 sample events were conducted from January 2012 to September 2012. At each event, stream water samples and streambed pore water samples were collected from five multi-level samplers installed along the thalweg of LKC (Figure 1). The multi-level samplers extend to a depth of 150 cm beneath the streambed surface with water intake at depths of 30 cm, 60 cm, 90 cm, and 150 cm below the streambed (Figure 2). To avoid the direct effects of scour, 30 cm was selected as the shallowest intake within the streambed. Separated by foam sealant, each intake zone was equipped with individual plastic tubing extending to the surface to allow for water extraction and a HOBO pendant recording water temperature every 15 min. Groundwater was collected from LK 60 and LK 61, which are water-table wells with screens extending to 2 m below the elevation of the stream-streambed interface. Hermit pressure transducers were installed in
LK 60 and in the stilling well, recording the groundwater elevation and the stream stage at 15-min intervals, respectively.
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**Figure 2.** Diagram of multi-level samplers installed in the streambed. The sampler is divided at four depths, with tubing confined to each sampling depth.

From each zone within the multi-level samplers and the groundwater wells, water was pumped using a peristaltic pump while monitoring specific conductance (SpC) and temperature using a YSI-85 m (Yellow Springs Instrument Company, Inc., Yellow Springs, OH, USA). Upon parameter stabilization [64], the waters were filtered through a 0.45-mm filter and collected in acid-washed high-density polyethylene (HDPE) containers. While in the field and in transport, all samples were stored on ice; once at the laboratory, the samples were stored at 4 °C until being analyzed using a DIONEX ICS-1100 ion chromatography for chloride (Cl⁻) and nitrate as nitrogen (NO₃⁻-N) measurements following the US Environmental Protection Agency method 300.1 [65]. Quality Assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) were maintained during the analysis of water samples by running blanks, duplicates, and replicates; the analytical error was less than 3%.

The presence of OM in sediments has been linked to nitrogen processing [66,67]. Employing the loss-on-ignition method [68], the OM within the streambed sediment at LKC was analyzed. Composite sediment samples of the top 25 cm of the streambed were collected adjacent to samplers 1, 3, and 5 once each month from May to October 2012. Samples were dried in an oven at 105 °C for 24 h to remove moisture, which was followed by four hours in a muffle furnace at 550 °C.

For each day of sampling, length of day data, to assess the photoperiod for vegetation, were collected from a weather station housed at the Central Illinois Regional Airport (KBMI), which is 10 km from the field site [69].

2.3. **Mixing Model**

A bromide tracer test illustrated the transport of bromide from the stream to a depth of 150 cm [59]. Within two hours after injection, bromide was observed at the 30-cm depth, highlighting the short lag time for the movement of water from the surface into the streambed. To determine the composition of the streambed pore water, a mixing model, where stream water and groundwater are the endmembers, was utilized. As a conservative tracer, Cl⁻ served as the parameter of interest to quantify the
percentages of streambed pore water derived from stream water at the given depths using the
formula [29,70]:
\[
\%SW = \left( \frac{Cl_{HZ} - Cl_g}{Cl_s - Cl_g} \right) \times 100
\]
where:
\%SW is the percentage of stream water within the streambed pore water (%),
Cl_{HZ} is the chloride concentration in the streambed pore water at the depth of interest (mg/L),
Cl_g is the chloride concentration in the groundwater (mg/L),
Cl_s is the chloride concentration in the stream water (mg/L).

The composition of the water at the given depth determined from Equation (1) was employed to
calculate the theoretical concentration of NO_3-N that should be present if mixing was the only potential
control on concentration [71,72]. Assuming a conservative behavior for NO_3-N, with no denitrification,
biotic uptake, or nitrification, and that the sources providing Cl^− are the same as those providing
NO_3-N (surface water and groundwater, both lateral and vertical flow), the modeled concentration of
NO_3-N present at a given depth was calculated using Equation (2):
\[
NO_3-N = \%SW \times (N_s - N_g) + N_g
\]
where:
NO_3-N is the calculated concentration of NO_3-N in the pore water as a given depth (mg/L),
N_s is the NO_3-N concentration of the stream water (mg/L),
N_g is the NO_3-N concentration of the groundwater (mg/L).

The modeled values (Equation (2)) represent the NO_3-N concentrations if NO_3^- behaved
conservatively. Deviations (\Delta NO_3-N), either positive or negative, indicate the addition or removal
of NO_3-N from the system, as compared to the conservative behavior of Cl^−. The difference
between the measured NO_3-N in the pore water and the modeled NO_3-N concentrations provided the
\Delta NO_3-N (mg/L).
\[
\Delta NO_3-N = (NO_3-N)_{observed} - (NO_3-N)_{modeled}
\]

A positive \Delta NO_3-N indicates that there is more NO_3-N present than what is expected based upon
the mixing of surface water and groundwater, suggesting that nitrification has occurred. A negative
\Delta NO_3-N implies less NO_3-N in the waters than predicted based upon mixing; the \Delta NO_3-N indicates
the removal of NO_3-N, either through microbial assimilation, plant uptake, or denitrification [73–75].

2.4. Statistical Analysis

A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (\alpha = 0.05) was used to determine if concentrations in
waters at the different depths were statistically similar. Individual t-tests (\alpha = 0.05) were used to assess
if the stream water and the groundwater had different concentrations of NO_3-N and Cl^− to serve as
dendmbers of the mixing model. Linear relationships between \Delta NO_3-N and the potential controlling
variables—stream NO_3-N concentration, hydraulic gradient, OM, water temperature, and visible
light—were determined examining the Pearson correlation coefficient and subsequently assigned a
classification [76].

3. Results

3.1. Stream and Groundwater

Stream flow in LKC varied during the sampling period. During winter and spring, LKC exhibited
a higher mean stage at baseflow than during summer. As late summer and early fall precipitation
events recharged the system, baseflow increased (Figure 3a). High-flow events occurred throughout the period, with larger magnitude events in April, May, and September. While mirroring the stage, the elevation of the groundwater was always higher than the stream stage. The mean hydraulic gradient between LK 60 and the stream was 0.029 m/m, providing a mean specific discharge from the groundwater to the stream of $2.9 \times 10^{-6}$ m/s using the reported horizontal hydraulic conductivity (K) of $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ m/s [55,71]. From January to the end of May, the hydraulic gradient decreased as stream stage rose at a greater rate than the groundwater (Figure 4a). The largest hydraulic gradient, 0.035 m/m, was measured in June, coinciding with drier conditions and a lower stage. The hydraulic gradient was stable until September, when it started to decrease as the stage rose in response to recharge events.

Figure 3. (a) Stream stage and groundwater elevation; (b) measured NO$_3$-N (mg/L) in the stream, streambed, and wells; (c) measured Cl$^-$ (mg/L) in the stream, streambed, and wells; (d) calculated %SW ratios for the streambed depths; and (e) modeled ΔNO$_3$-N values for the streambed depths.
Figure 4. (a) Hydraulic gradient between LK 60 and the stream; (b) organic content within the top 25 cm of the streambed; (c) temperature measured within the hyporheic zone at the specified depth; (d) visible light measured during the day.

3.2. Nitrate

NO$_3$-N concentrations of the stream water varied during the period (Figure 3b and Table 1), with a maximum concentration of 4.59 mg/L in May and concentrations less than 1 mg/L during summer and early fall. Higher concentrations were observed following periods of elevated stage following precipitation events, with lower concentrations during base flow. Similar concentration trends have been reported for LKC waters [25,46], indicating that the conditions during the observation period were consistent with those of other periods. NO$_3$-N concentration in the sediment pore waters and the groundwater were consistent across all of the depths over the entire period of time (Figure 3b and Table 1). The highest concentrations, above 0.50 mg/L, were measured in May; the lowest concentrations, less than 0.1 mg/L, were measured in January. A one-way ANOVA indicated that a statistical significant difference in NO$_3$-N concentrations exists among the depths/locations ($F(5,96) = 8.955, p < 0.001$) (Figure 5a). The $t$-tests indicated that the NO$_3$-N concentrations in the stream were different from the groundwater, establishing distinct endmembers for the mixing model.
3.3. Chloride

Chloride concentrations of the stream water varied throughout the period, following a different temporal trend from the NO$_3$-N (Figure 3c and Table 1). The maximum concentration of 265 mg/L and the minimum concentration of 25 mg/L were observed in September. Historically, the Cl$^-$ concentrations illustrate temporal variability associated with the application of road salts [62,63]. The range of concentrations were consistent with previously reported values [25,46]. The trend of Cl$^-$ concentrations showed a consistent spatial trend where the shallower streambed depths had higher concentrations than the deeper levels, i.e., Cl$^-$$_{\text{stream}}$ > Cl$^-$$_{30\text{cm}}$ > Cl$^-$$_{60\text{cm}}$ > Cl$^-$$_{90\text{cm}}$ > Cl$^-$$_{150\text{cm}}$ > Cl$^-$$_{\text{groundwater}}$ (Figures 3c and 5b). The concentrations were shown to be statistically different using a one-way ANOVA ($F(5,96) = 16.702, p < 0.001$). As with the NO$_3$-N, the $t$-tests confirmed that the stream Cl$^-$ concentrations were different from those of the groundwater, establishing the two endmembers for the mixing model.
3.4. Mixing Model

The distribution of the Cl$^-$ concentrations among the stream, the multi-level samplers, and the groundwater allowed the mixing model, Equations (1)–(3), to be used to determine the composition of the waters at a given depth (%SW) and to calculate the ΔNO$_3$-N, assuming no loss or removal of NO$_3$-N from the system. Downwelling of stream water was evident at all depths during the period of study (Figure 3d and Table 2). The 30-cm depth comprised the highest percentage of stream water, with a mean %SW of 41%, while at 150 cm the %SW was typically less than 10%. From April to July, the streambed waters exhibited the least amount of stream water across all depths, with the 30-cm depth exhibiting %SW from 10% to 18%; in the deeper waters, the %SW were all below 10%. Beginning in July, the percentage of stream water at the 30-cm depth rose; increases in the %SW ratios at the greater depths were observed to begin in September.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Location</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%SW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± σ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 cm</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>40% ± 22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 cm</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>83% ± 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 cm</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15% ± 18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 cm</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>7% ± 12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reduction of NO$_3$-N, as indicated by negative ΔNO$_3$-N values, occurred from January through September at all depths within the streambed (Figure 3e and Table 1). The quantity of removal varied both spatially and temporally (Figure 3e). Spatially, the 30-cm depth exhibited the highest removal values (negative ΔNO$_3$-N values). The 150-cm depth exhibited the smallest removal values and the smallest variation. All depths exhibited a mean deficit, indicating the loss of NO$_3$-N, with the 30-cm depth having the largest deficit and exhibiting the greatest variance (Figure 5c). While visual inspection of the data indicated that the magnitude and variation of the mean deficit decreases with depth (Figure 5c), an ANOVA indicated that the values are not statistically different ($F(3,67) = 2.108$, $p = 0.11$).

3.5. Controlling Factors

Sediment samples were taken once a month from May 2012 until October 2012 to observe changes in OM percent in the top 25 cm centimeters of the streambed (Figure 4b). The OM content was highest in May and decreased through July. An increase in OM was measured in August, when sampling was preceded by a recharge event less than 48 h prior. September and October exhibited depleted OM content similar to, but less than, those in July.

Temperatures within the hyporheic zone followed a sinusoidal trend increasing from the start of sampling in January until August/September, when temperatures started to decline (Figure 4c). The temperature followed a similar trend to the duration of visible light, but the peak temperature lagged the maximum measured visible light by two months (Figure 4d).

Pearson correlation analyses were conducted to assess the presence or absence of a correlation between the behavior of ΔNO$_3$-N and potential controlling factors. The potential controlling factors, including stream NO$_3$-N concentration; hydraulic gradient between LK 60 and the stream; %SW; the OM in the top 25 cm of the stream; water temperature; and visible light during a day, were compared to the ΔNO$_3$-N at each depth (Figures 6 and 7). For %SW and water temperature, individual analyses comparing the ratio of %SW and the temperature at a given depth to the ΔNO$_3$-N at the same depth were completed, respectively. From the analyses, seven statistically significant correlations were identified (Table 3 and Figure 7); no other statistically significant correlations were identified.
Figure 6. Relationships between ΔNO$_3$-N and (a) stream NO$_3$-N concentration; (b) hydraulic gradient between LK 60 and the stream; (c) %SW; (d) organic matter (OM); (e) temperature measured at a given depth; and (f) measured visible light during the day of sampling.

Figure 7. Results of Pearson correlation analyses; asterisk signifies statistically significant relationships.

Table 3. Pearson correlation results—statistically significant correlations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3$-N stream: ΔNO$_3$-N at 30 cm</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>−0.569</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>moderately negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3$-N stream: ΔNO$_3$-N at 60 cm</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>−0.409</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>weakly negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic gradient: ΔNO$_3$-N at 60 cm</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>moderately positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic gradient: ΔNO$_3$-N at 90 cm</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>moderately positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%SW: ΔNO$_3$-N at 150 cm</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>−0.765</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>moderately negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM: ΔNO$_3$-N at 30 cm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−0.852</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>strongly negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%SW: ΔNO$_3$-N at 90 cm</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>−0.723</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>moderately negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$ Based upon Reference [76].
4. Discussion

While the magnitude varied, the hydraulic gradient indicated that groundwater flowed towards LKC, which was consistent with previous studies reporting LKC as a gaining stream [25,55–58]. Evidence of the upwelling of groundwater was observed in several instances while collecting water samples. After disconnecting the pump from the 150-cm sampling tubes, water continued to flow for a few seconds.

Regardless of whether a stream is gaining or losing water, downwelling into the streambed has been reported [77] and the mixing model quantified the mixing that has occurred (Figure 3d). Stream velocity and gradient influence the rate of downwelling [44,58,77]. At baseflow, when the stream stage is lower and the stream velocity is slower, downwelling stream water will compete against upwelling groundwater. Conversely, an elevated stage equates to a lower hydraulic gradient, increasing the potential for downwelling.

For LKC, the distribution of Cl$^-$ concentrations across the depths highlighted the downwelling of stream water despite the upwelling of groundwater. Given the similarities in both the Cl$^-$ and NO$_3$-N concentrations within the pore waters at 150 cm and the groundwater, the mixing model results revealed that the 150-cm depth was predominantly composed groundwater, with %SW amounting to less than 10%. With the exception of February, the waters at the 150-cm depth were comprised of less than 10% stream water. The amount of downwelling was temporally variable. From April to early July, evidence of downwelling was lacking. Only the 30-cm depth consistently had %SW values greater than 10%. Corresponding to the lower hydraulic gradient observed in winter and spring, the mixing model data signaled that the stream water was transported to a depth between 90 and 150 cm. During summer and fall, when a greater hydraulic gradient was present, the mixing model results showed that waters at 90 cm contained less than 10% stream water, suggesting that upwelling limited the penetration depth of the stream water. The thinner mixing area in summer and fall coincided with the greater potential for groundwater upwelling (higher hydraulic gradient) and the thicker mixing area occurred during the period of low hydraulic gradient.

The measured NO$_3$-N concentrations in the stream water were consistent with previously reported concentrations observed in LKC. Similar to trends reported by References [25,46], the highest measured concentrations occurred in spring and early summer, while the lowest concentrations were in late summer and early fall. Nitrate concentrations in the stream rapidly decreased from a peak of 4.59 mg/L at the beginning of May to 0.62 mg/L in June, where concentrations remained for the duration of the study. With the exception of early fall, higher NO$_3$-N concentrations were measured in the stream water than the groundwater, with the contrast between the means (1.32 mg/L and 0.38 mg/L, respectively) highlighting the difference. Exhibiting little variation, the NO$_3$-N concentrations of the streambed pore waters were similar to those of the groundwater (Figure 5a).

Assuming only mixing and the strong potential for groundwater upwelling into the stream, similar NO$_3$-N concentrations among the depths and groundwater was expected. However, the distribution of Cl$^-$ concentrations with depth supported the occurrence of downwelling stream water. Differences in NO$_3$-N concentrations at the depths cannot be accounted for solely by the mixing ratios generated with the Cl$^-$ concentrations; that is, the ΔNO$_3$-N values were not 0 mg/L. From January to September, the sediment pore waters produced negative ΔNO$_3$-N values, indicating a depletion or loss of nitrate from the system. The majority of the nitrate loss occurred in the top 30 cm, with a mean reduction of 0.25 mg/L, which represents 19% of the stream water concentration. Progressing deeper into the sediment, removal rates (negative ΔNO$_3$-N) slowed. At 150 cm, the loss of nitrate continued, but negative ΔNO$_3$-N values approach 0 mg/L (less removal). References [66,78] reported that while denitrification rates were highest in the top 5 cm, denitrification continued to a depth of at least 25 cm, the deepest point of measurement. Our results suggest that NO$_3$-N removal continues to a depth of 150 cm.

A lower hydraulic gradient allows for easier downwelling, resulting in longer residence times (less potential to return to the stream). Residing in the streambed for longer periods of time leads to
greater denitrification as a result of enhanced opportunity for biogeochemical processing [36,44,79]. While residence time was not measured, %SW values, a proxy of travel distance and time, suggest longer residence times in winter and late summer to early fall. As downwelling transported water deeper into the substrate, the removal of NO$_3$-N was observed. However, the calculated $\Delta$NO$_3$-N values do not suggest a consistent relationship between residence time and NO$_3$-N removal. The largest negative $\Delta$NO$_3$-N values occurred in winter, corresponding to greater infiltration depths of stream water flux. In late summer to early fall, less removal occurred, although stream water penetrated a similar depth to that observed in winter. The difference between these periods is the stream NO$_3$-N concentration, which is higher in winter and lower in summer. While fluid flux plays a role, if the supply of nitrate is limited, removal is constrained [6,42,43,78,80–83].

The trend of $\Delta$NO$_3$-N values mimicked the concentrations of NO$_3$-N in the stream, which was confirmed by the significant negative correlations observed at the 30-cm and 60-cm depths. At lower stream NO$_3$-N concentrations (less than 0.4 mg/L) the $\Delta$NO$_3$-N values were slightly positive (Figure 6a), indicating the addition of NO$_3$-N to the system. As stream NO$_3$-N concentrations increased, the $\Delta$NO$_3$-N values approached zero (0), and then became deficient in NO$_3$-N (negative $\Delta$NO$_3$-N values), suggesting that NO$_3$-N was being removed within the streambed. The larger negative $\Delta$NO$_3$-N values were attributed to the downwelling of stream water with higher NO$_3$-N concentrations into the streambed. Plant uptake, microbial assimilation, and denitrification were potential pathways for NO$_3$-N removal [84–87]. Seasonal changes in solar radiation influence the growth of aquatic plants and algae by controlling photosynthesis [7,43,66]. While photosynthesis creates a complex set of interactions, the highest rates of plant and algal NO$_3$-assimilation are reported during periods of greater sunlight [88,89]. The absence of a correlation between $\Delta$NO$_3$-N values and visible light at all depths suggest that photosynthesis is not a direct factor controlling NO$_3$-N removal (Figure 7). The negligible vegetation along the streambed coupled with no relationship to sunlight indicate that plant uptake was a minimal pathway for NO$_3$-N removal.

In similar systems, denitrification has been identified as the dominant mechanism of NO$_3$-N removal [35,40,42,43]. Also, in LKC, Reference [60] documented the reduction of NO$_3$-N via denitrification and plant uptake within the top 10 cm of the LKC streambed. This work did not conduct a direct measurement of removal processes; however, the data suggest denitrification was the primary mechanism. Sediments in the agricultural streams of Illinois are capable of supporting high rates of denitrification for much of the year [45], implying a removal mechanism throughout the year. Studies have shown that elevated concentrations of organic matter in the streambed can stimulate denitrification within the streambed when NO$_3$-N concentrations in the stream system are high [6,66,67,78,82]. The strong negative correlation observed between OM and $\Delta$NO$_3$-N at a depth of 30 cm demonstrates the importance of OM to the removal of NO$_3$-N. The lack of correlation at greater depths does not discount the role of OM on the process but may speak to the supply of OM at those depths. The top 30 cm of the LKC streambed is entrained and redistributed on average every eight months [51]. As sediment is deposited, OM is also incorporated, replenishing the supply for continued denitrification [33,38]. As the sediment is more stable at deeper levels, the OM is expected to be lower (depleted) and not easily replenished. Thus, the OM at a depth of 30 cm would not be representative of conditions at deeper levels.

5. Conclusions

The results of this study support the hypothesis that nitrate loss occurs at depths below 25 cm. Concentrations of NO$_3$-N in the pore waters at the given depths were lower than those measured in the stream waters, which was expected given the mixing of upwelling groundwater that had low NO$_3$-N concentrations with downwelling stream water that had higher concentrations. The mixing models generated $\Delta$NO$_3$-N values that indicated deficits of NO$_3$-N at all of the depths. At the 30-cm depth, the mean $\Delta$NO$_3$-N of $-0.25$ mg/L identified a deficit (loss) of NO$_3$-N as compared to the expected concentration (assuming that only mixing occurred). Calculated negative $\Delta$NO$_3$-N values
show that the removal of NO$_3$-N occurred to depths of 150 cm, which extends the depth beyond 25 cm reported by References [66,78]. However, the amount of removal was not spatially or temporally consistent. Larger negative $\Delta$NO$_3$-N values were calculated for the 30-cm depth, representing more NO$_3$-N removal. Traveling deeper into the streambed, the negative $\Delta$NO$_3$-N values approached zero, indicating less NO$_3$-N removal. Nearer the surface (30 cm and 60 cm), the removal of NO$_3$-N ($\Delta$NO$_3$-N) was correlated to stream NO$_3$-N concentrations and OM. Deeper in the substrate (90 cm and 150 cm), water movement, as calculated by both hydraulic gradient and $%SW$, was a more controlling factor. No correlation between $\Delta$NO$_3$-N and visible light nor temperature was observed. The supply of NO$_3$-N appeared to be the primary control; when stream NO$_3$-N concentrations were lowest, the lowest rates of removal were observed.

In LKC, NO$_3$-N removal rates were low—approaching zero in June and August when visible light and, subsequently, plant uptake would be optimal. The highest removal rates occurred in January and February, when plants were dormant. During the study, the streambed lacked significant vegetation. Thus, the absence of plant uptake suggests that denitrification was the main mechanism of NO$_3$-N removal. As mentioned, a significant limitation to this work is the absence of the direct measurement of denitrification or nitrification. The work essentially accounts for net nitrogen activity. Without a direct measurement of the processes, the interpretations are limited to relationships between NO$_3$-N removal/addition and the controlling variables. To elucidate the primary factors and to confirm the results of other studies, additional work is needed. Given that denitrification was not directly measured, this work cannot confirm denitrification at depths greater than 25 cm [66], but the observation of negative $\Delta$NO$_3$-N values corroborates nitrate removal at depths greater than 30 cm in streambeds.
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