Short-Term Effects of Ambient Air Pollution on Hospitalization for Respiratory Disease in Taiyuan, China: A Time-Series Analysis
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Abstract: In this study, we estimated the short-term effects of ambient air pollution on respiratory disease hospitalization in Taiyuan, China. Daily data of respiratory disease hospitalization, daily concentration of ambient air pollutants and meteorological factors from 1 October 2014 to 30 September 2017 in Taiyuan were included in our study. We conducted a time-series study design and applied a generalized additive model to evaluate the association between every 10-µg/m³ increment of air pollutants and percent increase of respiratory disease hospitalization. A total of 127,565 respiratory disease hospitalization cases were included in this study during the present period. In single-pollutant models, the effect values in multi-day lags were greater than those in single-day lags. PM$_{2.5}$ at lag 0 days, SO$_2$ at lag 0 days, PM$_{10}$ and NO$_2$ at lag 0 days were observed to be strongly and significantly associated with respiratory disease hospitalization. No significant association was found between O$_3$ and respiratory disease hospitalization. SO$_2$ and NO$_2$ were still significantly associated with hospitalization after adjusting for PM$_{2.5}$ or PM$_{10}$ into two-pollutant models. Females and younger population for respiratory disease were more vulnerable to air pollution than males and older groups. Therefore, some effective measures should be taken to strengthen the management of the ambient air pollutants, especially SO$_2$ and NO$_2$, and to enhance the protection of the high-risk population from air pollutants, thereby reducing the burden of respiratory disease caused by ambient air pollution.
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1. Introduction

As one of the chronic non-communicable diseases, respiratory disease has become the 4th leading cause of death globally, which has brought tremendous health burdens to society. According to the Global Burden of Disease study 2016 (GBD 2016), there were 3.54 million deaths and 92.53 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) caused by respiratory disease globally in 2016. In China, respiratory disease caused approximately 0.92 million deaths and 17.81 million DALYs in 2016, which ranked the third leading cause of death [1,2]. It has been shown that respiratory disease is
caused by a variety of risk factors, among which tobacco use and air pollution are the most important risk factors [3].

With the rapid development of economy and industrialization, China has experienced a severe deterioration in air quality over the past several years. Air pollution was the fourth highest-ranking risk factor and led to approximately 1.58 million deaths and 32.28 million DALYs in 2016 [3]. Unlike the behavioral risk factors such as alcohol use and tobacco use, and metabolic risk factors such as high blood pressure and high blood glucose, ambient air pollution is one of the potentially modifiable environmental risk factors that do not depend on the change of individual behaviors [4]. In consequence, reducing concentration of air pollutants and strengthening individual protection can offer special advantages on respiratory disease prevention, thereby reducing the health and economic burden caused by respiratory disease from a public health perspective.

A growing number of studies had shown the association of short-term exposure to ambient air pollution and risk of respiratory disease in China [5–9]. Ren et al. assessed the association between air pollutants and respiratory disease mortality in Wuhan, China from 2007 to 2009 with both time-series and case-crossover methods, and they concluded that the increment in SO\(_2\) and NO\(_2\) were associated with an increase of relative risk for respiratory disease mortality [5]. Zhang et al. estimated the burden of years of life lost and mortality due to ambient PM\(_{10}\) pollution in Wuhan, China during 2009 to 2012, and they indicated that a 10-µg/m\(^3\) increment in PM\(_{10}\) was associated with an increase of 0.66% for respiratory disease mortality [6]. Based on a Generalized Additive Model (GAM), Tian et al. explored the relationship between PM\(_{2.5}\) and hospital visits for asthma in Beijing, China during 2010–2012 and concluded that the short-term elevations in PM\(_{2.5}\) concentration could increase the risk of asthma exacerbations [7]. Wang et al. analyzed the relationship between PM\(_{10}\) and cause-specific mortality from 2006 to 2010 in Tanggu, Tianjin, and their results showed that a 10-µg/m\(^3\) increase of PM\(_{10}\) was associated with a 0.88% increase in cardiopulmonary mortality [8]. Tao et al. studied the relationship between PM\(_{10}\), SO\(_2\), NO\(_2\) and respiratory disease hospitalization from 2001 to 2005 in Lanzhou, China, and stronger effects were observed for females and aged 65+ years groups [9].

Although these studies have observed the effects of different ambient air pollutants on risk of respiratory disease with different findings, their locations were limited to some large cities, and studies in recent five years have been still very sparse. As far as we know, the characteristic of air pollution varied in different regions and periods, and the health hazards were also inconsistent, which mainly depend on their unique development characteristics [10]. Taiyuan, the capital of Shanxi province, is the main area of coal production and rich in coal resources in China [11]. The type of air pollution in Taiyuan is typical coal-burning pollution, including particulate matter, sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide, which mainly come from the exhaust emissions of the heavy industries and coal-fired heating. One previous study showed that the particulate matter species in Taiyuan were different from those in other areas, and the concentration of heavy metals related to coal combustion in the chemical composition of particulate matter increased significantly, which indicated that coal combustion had a greater impact on the chemical composition of PM pollution. [12]. Therefore, the link between air pollution and respiratory disease in other areas could not directly reflect the status in Taiyuan. With the rapid development of the transportation, gas emission has also become one of the main sources of air pollution in Taiyuan [13]. Due to the specific geographical position and the climate type, ambient air pollution can hardly spread out immediately and the air condition is getting worse. Previous studies on the adverse effect of air pollutants on health in Taiyuan mainly focused on household air pollution, evidence-based epidemiologic researches linking ambient air pollution with respiratory disease have been still very sparse. Report on air quality in 74 cities by China National Environmental Monitoring Centre indicated that the air quality of Taiyuan ranked 69th from January to June 2017 based on the comprehensive index evaluation of urban environmental air quality [14]. Therefore, it is urgent to further investigate the effects of ambient air pollutants on respiratory disease in Taiyuan city, and to compare whether these results are consistent with studies in other cities.
Overall, this study has three advantages. First, as we mentioned above, PM species in Taiyuan were different from those in other areas due to the coal-burning pollution. Therefore, this study conducted in this understudied city benefited us to better understand the effect of the air pollution on respiratory disease in a coal-burning polluted region. Second, more recent data were used because the characteristic of air pollution varied in different periods. Third, the air pollution in our study consisted of five specific pollutants, so we could observe a relative full picture of the association between air pollution and respiratory disease.

In the present study, a time-series analysis was conducted to estimate the association of short-term exposure to air pollution and hospitalization due to respiratory disease and two subtypes (pneumonia and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)) in Taiyuan with the latest data during 2014–2017. Gender and age were considered to examine whether health effect of air pollution differentiated between subgroups.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

Taiyuan (37°27′–38°25′ North, 111°30′–113°09′ East), the capital of Shanxi Province, is located in the east of the Yellow River valley of Northern China, which has a typical north temperate continental climate with four distinct seasons. Our study is limited to the urban districts of Taiyuan, with a total area of 1460 km² and a population size of 3.41 million at the end of 2017.

2.2. Air Pollution and Meteorology Data

Eight air quality monitoring stations have been established in Taiyuan city by Taiyuan Environmental Protection Bureau. In the present study, daily average concentration of particulate matter less than 2.5 μm in aerodynamic diameter (PM$_{2.5}$), particulate matter less than 10 μm in aerodynamic diameter (PM$_{10}$), sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$), nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$) and ozone (O$_3$) between October 1st, 2014 and September 30th, 2017 were obtained from Taiyuan Environmental Protection Bureau. To adjust for the effects of weather on respiratory disease hospitalization, daily meteorological data including average temperature (°C) and relative humidity (%) during the same period were collected from the China Meteorological Data Network (http://data.cma.cn).

2.3. Hospitalization of Respiratory Disease

Data on daily hospitalization for respiratory disease from October 1st, 2014 to September 30th, 2017 were obtained from hospital electronic health records (EHRs) in 11 hospitals in urban districts of Taiyuan city. We extracted records including admission time, age, gender and the principle discharge diagnosis according to the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) for each hospitalization. Hospitalization for respiratory disease (ICD-10: J00–J99) and two main categories: pneumonia (ICD-10: J18) and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD, ICD-10: J40–J44 and J47), were included into our study. We further classified the total respiratory disease hospitalization into different subgroups by gender (males and females) and age (0–64 years, 65+ years).

2.4. Data Analysis

Daily respiratory disease hospitalization, air pollutants and meteorological factors were described as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and quartiles. Spearman rank correlation analysis was conducted to estimate the relationships between air pollutants and meteorological factors. A time-series analysis approach in Generalized Additive Model (GAM) was applied to estimate the association between ambient air pollution and hospitalization for respiratory disease [15]. Since daily hospitalization counts typically followed an over-dispersed Poisson distribution, the quasi-Poisson distribution was adopted in the GAM model in our study [16–18]. The GAM process in the present study included the following steps: (1) The cubic spline smoothing function of time was incorporated into the GAM to control the
long-term trends and seasonal changes of daily respiratory disease hospitalization [19]. (2) The partial autocorrelation function (PACF) was applied to select the degree of freedom of time according to the minimum absolute values of the sum of PACF for lags up to 30 [19,20]. Degrees of freedom for temperature and relative humidity were defined based on previous studies [9,21]. (3) Indicators for the weekends (DOW) and the public holidays (holiday) were adjusted as dummy variables in the model [6,19]. (4) The naturally smooth functions of two variables, average temperature and relative humidity, were included into the model to control the confounding effects of meteorological factors on the association between air pollution and respiratory disease hospitalization [21]. According to previous studies, the effect of temperature on health had a lag effect of more than 10 days [22,23]. Therefore, the 14-day moving average of mean temperature, and the relative humidity of the current day were used in this study [6].

The model was as follows:

\[
\log [E(Y_t)] = \alpha + s(\text{time, df}) + s(\text{temperature, df}) + s(\text{humidity, df}) + \text{DOW} + \text{holiday} + \beta Z_t
\]

\(E(Y_t)\) was the expected count of hospitalization for respiratory disease on day \(t\); \(\alpha\) was the intercept of the model; \(s\) was a spline smoothing function for the nonlinear variables such as time, temperature and humidity; \(df\) was the degree of freedom and \(\beta\) denoted the coefficient for air pollutants of \(PM_{2.5}\), \(PM_{10}\), \(SO_2\), \(NO_2\) and \(O_3\); and \(Z_t\) represented the concentration of each air pollutant on day \(t\). In the final model, we defined 4 \(df\) per year for time trends, and 3 \(df\) per year for temperature and relative humidity.

Air pollutants of \(PM_{2.5}\), \(PM_{10}\), \(SO_2\), \(NO_2\) and \(O_3\) were incorporated into the model separately to evaluate the relationship between respiratory disease and each pollutant. Due to the potential delayed effects of ambient air pollutants on respiratory disease hospitalization [24], this study used the single-day lags (lag0, lag1, lag2, lag3, lag4, lag5) and multi-day lags (lag01, lag02, lag03, lag04, lag05) to estimate the effects of air pollutants at different lag days. Lag1 referred to the concentration of air pollutants at the previous day and lag01 meant the average concentration of air pollutants at the current and previous day. After evaluating the health effects of single-pollutant models, multi-pollutants models were also constructed to assess the stability of the effects of each air pollutant on the hospitalization for respiratory disease. Moreover, we analyzed the association between air pollutants and respiratory disease hospitalization in different subgroups by age (0–64 years and 65+ years) and gender (males and females). In addition to two- and multi-pollutants models, sensitivity analysis were conducted by varying dfs for time (2–5 per year), temperature and relative humidity (2–5) to examine the robustness of the results in our study (Table S1).

Consistent with previous studies [4,16], results in the present study were showed as percent changes and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) in daily hospitalization for respiratory disease associated with per 10-\(\mu\)g/m\(^3\) increase in the concentration of air pollutants. All statistical analysis was carried out using R software (version 3.5.0, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Results with a 2-sided and \(p\) value < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results

The spatial distributions of air monitoring sites and hospitals are displayed in Figure 1. We observed that all of them were concentrated in the main urban areas of Taiyuan. The descriptive statistics for respiratory disease hospitalization, air pollutants and meteorological factors were summarized in Table 1. We included a total of 127,565 hospitalization cases with principle discharge diagnosis of respiratory disease from October 1st, 2014 to September 30th, 2017, of whom 78,209 (61.31%) were males and 49,356 (38.69%) were females. Daily mean hospitalization cases were 116.39 for total respiratory disease, among which 41.87 for pneumonia and 19.38 for COPD. For air pollutants, the daily average concentration for \(PM_{2.5}\), \(PM_{10}\), \(SO_2\), \(NO_2\), \(O_3\) were 65.71 \(\mu\)g/m\(^3\), 124.20 \(\mu\)g/m\(^3\), 69.34 \(\mu\)g/m\(^3\), 43.41 \(\mu\)g/m\(^3\) and 89.26 \(\mu\)g/m\(^3\), respectively. The average daily temperature was 11.39 \(^\circ\)C, and average relative humidity was 57.70%.
The curves associated with PM2.5, PM10, SO2 and NO2 presented similar linear trends, which indicated that the higher concentration of air pollutants might cause a significant increase in the hospitalization for respiratory disease. In addition,
O₃ curve tended to be not associated with hospitalization. Similar exposure-response associations were identified in subtypes of pneumonia and COPD (Figure S3).

Table 2 showed the results of spearman rank correlation between air pollutants and meteorological factors. There were significantly positive relationships between PM₂.₅ and PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, and the correlation coefficients (r) were 0.620–0.898 (p < 0.01). In accordance with PM₂.₅, PM₁₀ also had strong correlations with SO₂ and NO₂ (r = 0.583 and 0.645, respectively, p < 0.01). The correlation coefficient between SO₂ and NO₂ was 0.496 (p < 0.01). Negative relationships were observed between O₃ and other air pollutants. In addition, PM₂.₅, PM₁₀, SO₂ and NO₂ had significantly negative correlations with temperature (p < 0.01), while these negative associations were just found between relative humidity and SO₂.
Table 2. The coefficient of spearman rank correlation between air pollutants and meteorological factors in Taiyuan, China.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>PM$_{2.5}$</th>
<th>PM$_{10}$</th>
<th>SO$_2$</th>
<th>NO$_2$</th>
<th>O$_3$</th>
<th>RHU</th>
<th>TEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>0.898 ***</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_2$</td>
<td>0.656 ***</td>
<td>0.583 ***</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$</td>
<td>0.620 ***</td>
<td>0.645 ***</td>
<td>0.496 ***</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_3$</td>
<td>−0.253 ***</td>
<td>−0.132 ***</td>
<td>−0.611 ***</td>
<td>−0.073 *</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHU</td>
<td>0.197 ***</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>−0.180 ***</td>
<td>0.161 ***</td>
<td>−0.002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM</td>
<td>−0.327 ***</td>
<td>−0.243 ***</td>
<td>−0.755 ***</td>
<td>−0.114 ***</td>
<td>0.780 ***</td>
<td>0.361 ***</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: RHU: Relative Humidity; TEM: Temperature; * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001)

The results of single-pollutant models at different lags are presented in Table 3. After adjusting for the confounding effects of meteorological factors, holiday and weekend, the effects of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$ and NO$_2$ on respiratory disease hospitalization had statistical significance (p < 0.05). For the single-day lag effects, the largest positive percent changes of respiratory disease hospitalization with a 10-$\mu$g/m$^3$ increment in PM$_{2.5}$ were found at lag0 with 0.397% (95%CI: 0.045–0.751), PM$_{10}$ at lag0 with 0.257% (0.031–0.484), SO$_2$ at lag1 with 0.413% (0.121–0.706) and NO$_2$ at lag0 with 1.682% (0.664–2.711). In terms of multi-day lag effects, the strongest effects of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$ and NO$_2$ concentration on respiratory disease were 0.534% (0.092–0.977) at lag02, 0.389% (0.021–0.758) at lag05, 0.777% (0.320–1.237) at lag03 and 2.666% (0.961–4.399) at lag05, respectively. The effect values in single-day lags were smaller than those in multi-day lags. The increase in O$_3$ concentration had no statistically significant effect on respiratory disease hospitalization, either for single- or multi-day lags.

Table 3. The percent change and 95% CI for respiratory disease hospitalization associated with a 10-$\mu$g/m$^3$ increases in air pollutants concentrations in single-pollutant models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lag Days</th>
<th>PM$_{2.5}$</th>
<th>PM$_{10}$</th>
<th>SO$_2$</th>
<th>NO$_2$</th>
<th>O$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lag0</td>
<td>0.397(0.045,0.751) *</td>
<td>0.257(0.031,0.484) *</td>
<td>0.407(0.106,0.708) **</td>
<td>1.682(0.664,2.711) **</td>
<td>0.088(−0.438,0.618)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag1</td>
<td>0.342(0.017,0.668) *</td>
<td>0.232(0.012,0.452) *</td>
<td>0.413(0.121,0.706) **</td>
<td>1.018(0.020,1.026) *</td>
<td>0.359(−0.160,0.880)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag2</td>
<td>0.176(−0.139,0.491)</td>
<td>0.160(−0.060,0.381)</td>
<td>0.309(0.026,0.593) *</td>
<td>1.228(0.249,2.217) *</td>
<td>−0.028(−0.358,0.485)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag3</td>
<td>0.008(−0.307,0.325)</td>
<td>0.061(−0.160,0.282)</td>
<td>0.107(−0.175,0.389)</td>
<td>0.994(0.014,1.984) *</td>
<td>−0.203(−0.713,0.310)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag4</td>
<td>−0.010(−0.326,0.307)</td>
<td>0.074(−0.144,0.292)</td>
<td>−0.016(−0.298,0.267)</td>
<td>0.344(−0.633,1.331)</td>
<td>−0.071(−0.580,0.441)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag5</td>
<td>0.029(−0.289,0.348)</td>
<td>0.065(−0.154,0.284)</td>
<td>−0.069(−0.352,0.214)</td>
<td>0.219(−0.757,1.204)</td>
<td>0.415(−0.095,0.929)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag01</td>
<td>0.512(0.113,0.912) *</td>
<td>0.326(0.068,0.584) *</td>
<td>0.617(0.254,0.981) ***</td>
<td>1.825(0.649,3.016) **</td>
<td>0.315(−0.300,0.934)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag02</td>
<td>0.534(0.092,0.977) *</td>
<td>0.363(0.075,0.652) *</td>
<td>0.771(0.354,1.189) ***</td>
<td>2.255(0.939,3.589) ***</td>
<td>0.240(−0.440,0.924)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag03</td>
<td>0.489(0.004,0.977) *</td>
<td>0.360(0.043,0.678) *</td>
<td>0.777(0.320,1.237) ***</td>
<td>2.574(1.126,4.041) ***</td>
<td>0.105(−0.629,0.846)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag04</td>
<td>0.459(−0.070,0.991)</td>
<td>0.373(0.031,0.717)</td>
<td>0.715(0.223,1.209) **</td>
<td>2.612(1.039,4.209) **</td>
<td>0.062(−0.724,0.853)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag05</td>
<td>0.465(−0.107,1.040)</td>
<td>0.389(0.021,0.758) *</td>
<td>0.645(0.119,1.173) *</td>
<td>2.666(0.961,4.399) **</td>
<td>0.248(−0.589,1.092)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001)

After the best lag day for each pollutant being determined in the single-pollutant models, we added other pollutants for adjustment. The percent increase and 95% CI for respiratory disease hospitalization associated with a 10-$\mu$g/m$^3$ increment of air pollutants in multi-pollutant models were presented in Table 4. After adjusting for O$_3$ concentration in the two-pollutant models, the percent increase for respiratory disease hospitalization of other four pollutants appeared to be statistically significant, which was similar with the results of the single-pollutant models. Percent changes of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ tended to be statistically insignificant adjusting for SO$_2$ or NO$_2$. On the contrary, although the values had decreased, percent increase of SO$_2$ and NO$_2$ were still statistically significant after adding PM$_{2.5}$ or PM$_{10}$ in two-pollutant models. When we added other four pollutants in the multi-pollutant models, all effect values appeared to be statistically insignificant.
The percent increase and 95% CI for hospitalization of different types of respiratory disease associated with a 10-μg/m³ increase in five air pollutants were listed in Table 5. After controlling the effects of meteorological and other factors, the estimated effects of PM_{2.5}, PM_{10}, SO_{2}, and NO_{2} on pneumonia hospitalization were statistically significant at different lag days (p < 0.05). For PM_{2.5}, the percent increase decreased gradually in single-day models, the largest values occurred at the current day (lag0) (0.541%, 95% CI: 0.187–0.897), while in multi-day models, the effect values increased with the lag days and the strongest effect was observed at lag05 (0.990%, 95% CI: 0.407–1.576). The variations of PM_{10} and NO_{2} effects were generally consistent with PM_{2.5}, and the greatest effects appeared at lag0 (PM_{10}: 0.393% (0.160–0.626), NO_{2}: 1.452% (0.403–2.512)) in single-day models and lag05 (PM_{10}: 0.834% (0.453–1.216), NO_{2}: 3.113% (1.332–4.926)) in multi-day models, respectively. The largest effect of SO_{2} on pneumonia was observed at lag1 with 0.438% (0.149–0.729) in single-pollutant models and lag04 with 1.017% (0.520–1.516) in multi-pollutant models. The effect of increased concentration of O_{3} on the hospitalization for pneumonia was not statistically significant. However, the effects of five air pollutants on COPD hospitalization were different from pneumonia. We could see that the percent increase with per 10-μg/m³ increment in PM_{2.5} and PM_{10} was statistically significant at the current day only (PM_{2.5}: 0.547% (0.038–1.058), PM_{10}: 0.366% (0.042–0.691), respectively). For SO_{2} and NO_{2} statistically significant effects appeared at lag0 in single-day models and different lag days in multi-day models. Different from overall respiratory disease and pneumonia, the association between O_{3} concentration and COPD hospitalization had statistically significance at lag1 (1.080% (0.326–1.839)), lag01 (1.073% (0.164–1.990)) and lag02 (1.124% (0.128–2.130)), respectively.

### Table 4. The percent change and 95% CI for respiratory disease hospitalization associated with a 10-μg/m³ increases in air pollutants concentrations at different best lag days in multi-pollutant models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>PM_{2.5}</th>
<th>PM_{10}</th>
<th>SO_{2}</th>
<th>NO_{2}</th>
<th>O_{3}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for PM_{2.5}</td>
<td>0.136 (−0.421, 0.686)</td>
<td>0.7620 (1161, 1413) *</td>
<td>2.1880 (1842, 2323) **</td>
<td>0.408 (0.10, 0.921)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for PM_{10}</td>
<td>0.414 (−0.233, 1.065)</td>
<td>0.7180 (1833, 256) **</td>
<td>2.6130 (3454, 931) **</td>
<td>0.381 (−0.19, 0.895)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for SO_{2}</td>
<td>0.202 (−0.599, 0.642)</td>
<td>0.091 (−0.336, 0.520)</td>
<td>1.552 (−0.462, 3.080)</td>
<td>0.434 (−0.075, 0.944)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for NO_{2}</td>
<td>0.228 (−0.292, 0.722)</td>
<td>0.037 (−0.472, 0.508)</td>
<td>0.541 (−0.001, 1.091)</td>
<td>0.363 (−0.146, 0.875)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for O_{3}</td>
<td>0.530 (0.089, 0.973) *</td>
<td>0.3710 (0.022, 0.749) *</td>
<td>0.7850 (327, 2244) **</td>
<td>2.5830 (877, 419) **</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for other 4 pollutants</td>
<td>−0.021 (−0.799, 0.764)</td>
<td>−0.119 (−0.733, 0.498)</td>
<td>0.618 (−0.065, 1.306)</td>
<td>1.713 (−0.674, 4.157)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: The best lag day was the day with the highest effect value in single-pollutant models, specifically for PM_{2.5} at lag02, PM_{10} at lag05, SO_{2} at lag03, NO_{2} at lag 05 and O_{3} at lag5. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001)

### Table 5. The percent change and 95% CI for hospitalization due to subtypes of respiratory disease associated with a 10-μg/m³ increases in air pollutants concentrations in single-pollutant models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>PM_{2.5}</th>
<th>PM_{10}</th>
<th>SO_{2}</th>
<th>NO_{2}</th>
<th>O_{3}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>0.541 (0.187, 0.897) **</td>
<td>0.393 (0.160, 0.626) ***</td>
<td>0.4000 (102, 0.699) **</td>
<td>1.4520 (403, 2512) **</td>
<td>0.073 (−0.524, 0.673)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag0</td>
<td>0.442 (0.116, 0.769) **</td>
<td>0.332 (0.107, 0.558) **</td>
<td>0.4380 (149, 0.729) **</td>
<td>0.929 (−0.961, 1.966)</td>
<td>0.267 (−0.321, 0.859)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag2</td>
<td>0.3520 (0.037, 0.668) *</td>
<td>0.3260 (0.102, 0.530) **</td>
<td>0.3720 (0.091, 0.655) **</td>
<td>1.2110 (203, 229) **</td>
<td>−0.04 (−0.620, 0.543)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag3</td>
<td>0.259 (−0.056, 0.576)</td>
<td>0.2680 (044, 0.453) *</td>
<td>0.248 (−0.033, 0.530)</td>
<td>1.299 (0.066, 2.303) **</td>
<td>−0.209 (−0.799, 0.375)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag4</td>
<td>0.215 (−0.102, 0.532)</td>
<td>0.290 (0.067, 0.514) *</td>
<td>0.215 (−0.066, 0.496)</td>
<td>1.013 (0.000, 2.037) **</td>
<td>−0.247 (−0.842, 0.334)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag5</td>
<td>0.068 (−0.251, 0.389)</td>
<td>0.150 (−0.075, 0.376)</td>
<td>0.010 (−0.272, 0.292)</td>
<td>0.326 (−0.680, 1.343)</td>
<td>0.220 (−0.329, 0.830)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag01</td>
<td>0.685 (0.283, 1.089) ***</td>
<td>0.465 (0.221, 0.751) ***</td>
<td>0.6340 (273, 0.996) ***</td>
<td>1.6150 (404, 2.840) ***</td>
<td>0.240 (−0.461, 0.945)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag02</td>
<td>0.7970 (352, 1.244) ***</td>
<td>0.9340 (289, 0.993) ***</td>
<td>0.8530 (430, 1.451) ***</td>
<td>2.0750 (777, 3.447) ***</td>
<td>0.172 (−0.603, 0.956)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag03</td>
<td>0.8840 (393, 1.377) ***</td>
<td>0.6620 (356, 1.008)</td>
<td>0.9390 (480, 1.401) ***</td>
<td>2.580 (1884, 0.998) ***</td>
<td>0.045 (−0.797, 0.894)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag04</td>
<td>0.9860 (432, 1.507) ***</td>
<td>0.7880 (435, 1.143) ***</td>
<td>1.0170 (520, 1.516) ***</td>
<td>2.987 (1354, 1.649) ***</td>
<td>0.003 (−0.883, 0.885)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag05</td>
<td>0.9900 (407, 1.576) ***</td>
<td>0.8340 (453, 1.216) ***</td>
<td>0.9840 (450, 1.521) ***</td>
<td>3.133 (1354, 2.926) ***</td>
<td>0.023 (−0.940, 0.996)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001)
The percent change of overall respiratory disease hospitalization with per 10-μg/m³ increment in single-pollutants by gender and age were shown in Figure 3. For gender subgroups, females suffered more from the adverse effects of air pollutants, with an increasing trend from lag01 to lag05 in multi-day lags. Except for SO₂ at lag03 day, the significantly greatest effects values with PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$ and NO$_2$ for female groups were observed at the current day in single-day lags and lag05 days in multi-day lags. For age subgroups, greater percent increase was displayed in younger groups aged 0–64 years, which meant they were more vulnerable to exposure to the air-pollutants, while in single-day lags, only the significant effects for SO₂ and NO₂ in group aged 65+ years at lag1 with 0.442% (0.021–0.865) and lag0 with 2.662% (1.172–4.173) were greater than those in groups aged 0–64 years. In accordance to overall respiratory disease, the associations between O₃ concentration and hospitalization for age and gender subgroups had no statistical significance.

4. Discussion

In this study, we used the time-series design with Generalized Additive Model to analyze the relationships between air pollutants and hospitalization for respiratory diseases in Taiyuan, China. We concluded that after adjusting for influences of temperature, relative humidity, weekend and public
holiday, PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$ and NO$_2$ had statistically significant adverse effects on the hospitalization for overall respiratory disease and its subtypes, including pneumonia and COPD. Subgroups analysis demonstrated that females and younger groups aged 0–64 years were more vulnerable to air pollutants. Our results may have important implications for the prevention and treatment for respiratory disease and decision-making for governance of air pollution in Taiyuan, China.

The China National Environmental Monitoring Centre reported that, in the first half of 2017, the average concentration of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, NO$_2$ and O$_3$ of Taiyuan was 78, 147, 79, 55 and 185 μg/m$^3$, ranked the 66th, 68th, 74th, 64th and 51th in 74 cities of China, respectively [14]. Therefore, it was of great significance and urgency to estimate the health burden caused by air pollution in Taiyuan.

Our findings showed that in single-day lags, a 10-μg/m$^3$ increment in PM$_{2.5}$ at lag0 was mostly strongly associated with 0.397% (95% CI: 0.045–0.751), 0.541% (0.187,0.897) and 0.547% (0.038,1.058) increment in overall respiratory, pneumonia and COPD hospitalization, respectively, and the effects in multi-day lags were greater than them, which were consistent with most previous studies [21,25,26]. A study in Jinan city conducted by Liu et al. concluded that an increase of 10-μg/m$^3$ in PM$_{2.5}$ corresponded to 1.4% (95% CI: 0.7–2.1) growth in respiratory emergency room visits in urban areas, and 1.5% (95% CI: 0.4–2.6) rise for suburban population [21]. A meta-analysis conducted by Li et al. yielded 12 studies to evaluate the association between exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ and COPD hospitalization, and they found that a 10-μg/m$^3$ increase in PM$_{2.5}$ at lag0–7 days could lead to a 3.1% (1.6–4.6%) increase in COPD hospitalization [25]. However, Ouyang explored the effect of air pollution on pneumonia hospitalization in a children hospital in Changsha city, and they indicated that there was no significant association between PM$_{2.5}$ and respiratory disease hospitalization [26], which was consistent with the study conducted by Rodopoulou et al. in Central Arkansas [16]. The results’ inconsistence is probably because Taiyuan has been exposed to a high concentration of pollution for a long time, and people’s susceptibility is different from other areas [27]. As we mentioned above, the PM$_{2.5}$ species in Taiyuan were also different from those in other areas because of the coal-burning. In addition, different study periods, the characteristics of included hospital and patients can also influence the results [6].

For PM$_{10}$, we concluded that the increases of 0.257% (0.031, 0.484) at lag0 in single-day lags and 0.389% (0.021, 0.758) at lag05 in multi-day lags for respiratory disease hospitalization were mostly strongly due to per 10-μg/m$^3$ growth in PM$_{10}$ concentration. Our finding was also consistent with other previous studies [9,28]. Tao et al. concluded that with per inter-quartile range (IQR) increase in PM$_{10}$, respiratory disease hospitalization was significantly increased by 2.4% (0.5–4.2) at lag4 day in Lanzhou, China [9]. Zhu et al. conducted a meta-analysis to estimate the relationship between PM$_{10}$ and COPD hospitalization, and they observed that a 10-μg/m$^3$ increase in PM$_{10}$ was associated with 2.7% increment in COPD hospitalization [28]. However, other two studies conducted in Wuhan all showed that there was no significant association between PM$_{10}$ concentration and respiratory mortality [5,6]. It was possible that the mortality and hospitalization are not the same indicators, and the concentration of pollutants and temperature in Taiyuan are also different from that in Wuhan, so the result of them are inconsistent.

SO$_2$ is one of the major pollutants in the coal-smoking type of the air pollution [11,29]. As Taiyuan is rich in coal resources and mainly relies on coal for heating and cooking, SO$_2$ pollution in Taiyuan is more serious than other cities in China. [11] As we mentioned, average SO$_2$ concentration in Taiyuan in the first half of 2017 was the highest among 74 cities in China. Our study revealed that the adverse effect of SO$_2$ on respiratory disease was delayed and cumulative. The stronger significantly effect on overall respiratory disease hospitalization was observed at lag1 with 0.413% (0.121–0.706) in single-day lags and 0.777% (0.320–1.237) at lag03 in multi-day lags, and the results for pneumonia, COPD were consistent with them. A study conducted in Lanzhou showed that respiratory disease hospitalization increased by 3.4% (0.2–6.7) at lag1 with per IQR increase in SO$_2$ concentration during 2001–2005. Their subtypes analysis in this study also supported our results. [9] A study in Guangzhou revealed
that with per 10-µg/m³ increment in SO₂, the significant adverse effects on respiratory emergency room visits were 1.07% (0.54, 1.60) and 1.16% (0.61, 1.70) increases at lag1 and lag3 in multi-pollutant model, separately [30]. In Jinan, a 10-µg/m³ increase in SO₂ concentration was associated with 1.2% (0.5–1.9) and 0.8% (−0.7–2.3) increases of hospital emergency room visits for respiratory disease in urban and suburban areas, respectively [21]. All these studies conducted in different regions in China indicated that there were significant relationships between respiratory diseases and SO₂ at different lag days. It mainly because SO₂ has an immediate stimulation effect on respiratory mucosa, causing acute attacks to respiratory systems [31]. Consequently, some effective measures must be taken to strengthen the governance of SO₂ pollution and the prevention of its adverse effect in Taiyuan.

Of the five pollutants included in this study, NO₂ had the greatest adverse effect on hospitalization for respiratory disease. We observed that an increment of 1.682% (0.664–2.711) at lag0 and 2.666% (0.961–4.399) at lag05 were mostly strongly associated with per 10-µg/m³ increase in NO₂. In single-day lags, the effect of NO₂ on pneumonia was higher than that on COPD, while in multi-day lags, the greatest effect was found at lag05, which was lower than that on COPD at lag03. This finding was consistent with previous studies [9,16,25]. According to the rankings mentioned above, the NO₂ pollution in Taiyuan was also very serious, and it was confirmed by toxicological studies that NO₂ could increase the susceptibility of respiratory patients. Therefore, much more attention should be paid to NO₂ pollution for public health in Taiyuan. Our study showed that there was no association between O₃ and hospitalization for overall respiratory disease and pneumonia, but a significant relationship between O₃ and COPD hospitalization was observed, which were entirely consistent with other previous studies [25,32]. Our study indicated that in two-pollutant models, the effects of SO₂ and NO₂ were still statistically significant after adjusting for PM₂.₅ or PM₁₀, whereas the effects of PM₁₀ and PM₂.₅ were not significant when SO₂ or NO₂ were controlled, which meant SO₂ and NO₂ might be strong predictors for respiratory disease hospitalization in Taiyuan, China [33]. However, no significant association between air pollutants and hospitalization for respiratory disease was observed in multi-pollutant models. The possible reason was that the stronger correlations between air pollutants would influence the effects of them on respiratory disease hospitalization [18].

In line with previous studies, the subgroup analysis in our study indicated that females were more vulnerable to ambient air pollution, which might be due to the biological susceptibility. Unlike males, females have smaller lung tissue and trachea, which would be subjected to great pressure under the same pollution conditions [21,34]. Age-specific analysis revealed that the younger population (aged 0–64 years) were more sensitive to ambient pollution. The results of studies on age differences in the effects of air pollution were inconsistent, and most studies showed that older people were more sensitive to ambient air pollution because of their poor immune function [6,9]. While a small number of studies confirmed that younger population were more vulnerable than the elderly, which was consistent with our study [33]. The major reason was the time of exposure to ambient air pollution. Younger population spend more time outside because of work, learning, social interaction and so on, which obviously increase the exposure to air pollutants. In addition, younger people are more likely to expose themselves to the risk factors of respiratory disease, such as smoking and alcohol use [33,35].

Nevertheless, our study had several limitations. Firstly, as in previous studies, the data of ambient air pollutants and meteorological factors in this study were obtained from eight fixed monitoring stations in Taiyuan, which could not represent total exposure to population. Therefore, our study might underestimate the effects of these five pollutants on respiratory disease hospitalization. Secondly, we didn’t estimate the effects of air pollutants on asthma due to the data sparsity. However, some previous studies had shown that there was a significant association between asthma and ambient air pollution [7,36], so further epidemiological studies were needed to confirm this relationship in Taiyuan. Thirdly, the personal exposure to air pollution included ambient and indoor pollution, while our study lacked analysis of latter because of the unavailability of data, which would overestimate the effect of air pollution on respiratory disease. In addition, we didn’t include other meteorological factors like atmosphere pressure and wind speed into models, because there was no
evidence supporting the associations between respiratory disease and them. Also, our study didn't include the factors like marital status, occupation and education status because these data were not available. Further researches on these potential effects on respiratory disease hospitalization attributed to air pollution need to be conducted in Taiyuan.

5. Conclusions

In summary, we evaluated the short-term effects of ambient air pollutants on hospitalization for respiratory disease during 2014–2017 in Taiyuan. Through the Generalized Addition Model of time-series analysis, we observed positive associations between \( \text{PM}_{2.5} \), \( \text{PM}_{10} \), \( \text{SO}_2 \), and \( \text{NO}_2 \) concentration at different lag days and hospitalization for overall respiratory disease, pneumonia and COPD. \( \text{NO}_2 \) and \( \text{SO}_2 \) were the largest two risk pollutants of respiratory disease hospitalization in Taiyuan. Females and younger population for respiratory disease were more vulnerable to air pollution. In consequence, some effective measures should be taken to strengthen the management of the ambient air pollutants, especially \( \text{SO}_2 \) and \( \text{NO}_2 \), and to enhance the protection of the high-risk population from air pollutants, thereby reducing the burden of respiratory disease caused by ambient air pollution in Taiyuan.
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