**Article**

**Exploring the Associations Between Urban Form and Neighborhood Vibrancy: A Case Study of Chengdu, China**

Shiwei Lu $^{1,2,3}$, Yaping Huang $^{1,4}$, Chaoyang Shi $^{5,}$* and Xiping Yang $^{6}$

1 School of Architecture and Urban Planning, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China; lusw_gis@163.com (S.L.); hust_hyp@sina.com (Y.H.)
2 Key Laboratory of urban land resources monitoring and simulation, Ministry of Land and Resources of China, Shenzhen 518034, China
3 Beijing Key Laboratory of Urban Spatial Information Engineering, Beijing 100038, China
4 Hubei Engineering and Technology Research Center of Urbanization, Wuhan 430074, China
5 School of Civil Engineering and Mechanics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China
6 School of Geography and Tourism, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi’an 710119, China; xpyang@snnu.edu.cn

* Correspondence: cyshi@hust.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-27-8754-2156

Received: 27 February 2019; Accepted: 1 April 2019; Published: 2 April 2019

**Abstract:** The design and optimization of urban form has always been a hot topic in urban planning and development research. Besides, the creation of continuous vitality in urban areas is of critical importance in the development of urbanization. However, due to the lack of data, it is difficult to measure the effects of urban form on neighborhood vibrancy. Additionally, no uniform conclusion has been drawn regarding to what degree urban form can contribute to neighborhood vibrancy. Taking advantage of emerging new data sources, the depth and breadth of related research can now be improved. Therefore, this paper uses high-precision positioning social media check-in data to approximate the vibrancy of 658 neighborhoods, and uses a geographical information system (GIS) to quantitatively measure the urban form indicators in the central area of Chengdu City, China. A quantitative exploration and analysis of the relationships between neighborhood vibrancy and urban form is conducted. The results of three regression models considering different explanatory variables show that socio-economic factors account for approximately 23% of neighborhood vibrancy. In addition, the correlation between the shape characteristics of a neighborhood and the vibrancy is weak. However, when the inner urban form indicators of neighborhoods are introduced into the regression model, the goodness of fit ($R^2$) is nearly doubled. This finding indicates that strong associations exist between urban form and neighborhood vibrancy. Specifically, building density and functional diversity are positively correlated with neighborhood vibrancy. Unlike existing studies, this study finds that the road network within the neighborhood plays a positive role in the creation of neighborhood vibrancy. However, the impact of a road density indicator is not as powerful as the impacts of building density and functional diversity. This research can help urban designers to better design urban environments.
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1. Introduction

The design and optimization of urban form has received considerable attention regarding urban planning and development. The continuing vitality of urban space is a significantly important topic in the development of urbanization [1]. New Urbanism advocates the reintegration of spatial form...
and built-up environments to generate a perfect neighborhood, thus enhancing the vitality and sustainability of urban space [2]. Therefore, there is a qualitative connection between urban spatial form and spatial vitality [3]. The relevant theories are gradually enriched, while the creation of urban vitality is still regarded as a difficult process that is hard to define clearly, and relies heavily on the experience and intuition of urban designers [4,5]. Therefore, how to quantitatively analyze the associations between urban vitality and urban form, and how to assess the impact of urban form on neighborhood vibrancy, is particularly important for urban planners and managers.

First of all, a quantitative evaluation of neighborhood vibrancy is needed. It is known that neighborhood vibrancy affects residents’ health [6], and is positively associated with urban public safety [6,7], socio-economic development, and spatial connections [8]. Lynch takes urban vitality as the primary indicator for assessing the quality of urban form [9]. He defines vitality as an urban form dimension that supports the needs and social functions of human life. Lynch also illustrates that urban vitality, good urban form, completed urban function, and rich urban activities are closely related [9]. Most researches characterize and portray urban vitality from the perspectives of economic levels, activity intensity, and cultural contacts [10–13]. From the perspective of intraurban human mobility, urban vitality is reflected by human convergences and activities [14,15]. The collection of human activity data in existing research is commonly achieved through surveys or questionnaires [16]. However, these methods are time-consuming and laborious, and the representativeness of data is also questionable [17–19].

Secondly, questions still remain regarding how to quantify urban form measurements. The non-physical environmental factors of urban space (such as social order, living standards, psychological emotions and other factors) also affect urban vitality [20]. These factors are not examined in this study. The spatial analysis unit at the appropriate scale needed to be selected prior to the study; the modifiable areal unit problem (MAUP) was not discussed [21]. Neighborhoods or blocks are the basic unit of urban planning and management, the design of which has received a lot of attention in recent years [22]. With the rapid urbanization in China, the spatial and social attributes of China’s neighborhoods are quite different from those of Western countries, and the comprehensive measurement of the urban forms has gradually attracted considerable attention [23,24]. Many indicators are used to qualitatively describe urban form, such as land use, accessibility, and building density [25,26]. Some scholars have begun to doubt the roles of density and accessibility in creating neighborhood vibrancy [27]. However, to what extent can urban form contribute to neighborhood vibrancy for China’s cities has not been revealed. Therefore, this paper takes the view that it is necessary to correlate urban form measurements with urban vitality at the fine scale level in China.

Traditional data environment lacks the support of quantitative methods for urban vitality assessment. This also leads to a lack of depth and breadth of quantitative research. With China’s rapid urbanization process, people’s lifestyles and the organization of urban areas have changed dramatically [28]. Many emerging sources of big data that record the activity information of massive populations are being collected, such as location-based service (LBS) check-in data, mobile phone location data, GPS location data, and so on [29–31]. Many map platforms provide free, basic urban space data include points of interest (POI), road networks, and building vectors. This information lays a sufficient data foundation for portraying urban form.

Therefore, the contributions of this research are two-fold. Firstly, we give quantitative measurements of neighborhood vibrancy and urban form by using new big data sources at fine scales. Secondly, the correlations between socio-economic indicators, urban form indicators, and neighborhood vibrancy are evaluated by introducing three sets of regression models. The impacts of different urban form factors on spatial vitality are also accessed, and this information could help guide future planning practices. Thus far, this research answers the important questions already proposed, namely, to what extent can urban form contribute to neighborhood vibrancy.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Section 2 gives a review of neighborhood vitality and urban form. Section 3 introduces the research area and data used in this paper. Section 4 introduces
the method used to measure neighborhood vibrancy and urban form. Section 5 focuses on the analysis of regression results. Section 6 summarizes this paper, and discusses the shortcomings of the research and potential directions for future research.

2. Related Work

2.1. Neighborhood Vibrancy

Urban vitality can be divided into two elements, namely, people and the space used for their activities [32]. Jan Gehl and Jane Jacobs also believe that urban vitality stems from the people in the urban area, as well as the activities of those people [33,34]. Jan Gehl studied the vitality of urban public space from the perspective of people and their activities. He concluded that the trivial daily needs of people in the place are the important driving force behind the urban vitality [33]. Attoe and Logan points out that residents’ activities and spatial quality are the two main parts of urban catalytic reactions, in which people interact with each other and create urban vitality [35]. In brief, people, activities, and the building environment are the three important aspects of neighborhood vibrancy, according to Maas [36].

However, how to describe or quantitatively evaluate neighborhood vibrancy is a problem that still needs to be solved. Some studies assess vibrancy from a qualitative perspective [37], such as aesthetics or visual satisfaction [38,39]. In addition, March et al. [40] pointed out that the measurement of vibrancy should take into consideration the various experiences required for a healthy life, including privacy, rest and contemplation. The disadvantage of using these methods is too subjective. From an objective and qualitative point of view, the measurement of vibrancy involves the collection and processing of relevant data. Thus, data (such as the employment of residents, economic connectivity, hostel activity, and social welfare) can indirectly reflect the vitality of an urban space [10,11,41]. Moreover, data that directly reflect residents’ activity can be collected through field observation or questionnaires [42,43]. For example, Filion and Hammond observed how people use the physical environment of neighborhoods [42]. Wu et al. [43] used questionnaires to record the non-work activities of residents in 23 neighborhoods in the suburban area of Beijing, in order to directly assess the neighborhood vibrancy. These methods are time-consuming and laborious, and the representativeness of the collected data is also questionable [17,18]. Moreover, the study areas in such cases are also limited to just a few surveyed neighborhoods, thus no uniform conclusion exists regarding to what degree urban form can contribute to neighborhood vibrancy.

In addition, the number of people in a given place across a specified time period is considered to be one of the most prominent features of urban vibrancy [1,34]. Thus, urban vitality measurement can be transferred to the convergence of people in urban space. Fortunately, with the rapid development of ICT (Information and Communication Technology), the accurate daily activity data of massive numbers of residents can be obtained [18,30,31]. At present, new sources of big data that describe the spatial distribution of population are mobile phone signaling data [14], LBS data [44] and GPS tracking data [43]. The distribution of population density can be used to represent the degree of urban vitality [14]. Thus, the spatial and temporal patterns of urban vitality are studied. For example, Yue et al. [14] discovered that neighborhood vibrancy can be measured by the number of people attracted to that neighborhood. This number was extracted from mobile phone location data. However, vibrancy extracted from mobile phone data could cause MAUP [21] and ‘ping-pong’ effect, which affects the accuracy of the vibrancy assessment.

In summary, the assessment of neighborhood vibrancy is not an easy task, especially when trying to assess a wide range of neighborhoods. In this paper, we use long-time recorded high-precision positioning social media check-in data. This study attempts to use this new data source to portray the levels of neighborhood vibrancy in the central urban area of Chengdu City.
2.2. Urban Form and Neighborhood Vibrancy

Urban form has long been the focus of urban planning research. In turn, urban planning has profoundly affected cities’ health levels, economic development, and sustainability [45]. According to the Conzen School classical theory, in the study of urban form, the key elements of urban form include urban plane information (streets, blocks, and buildings), architectural structures, and land use [46]. In recent years, high-density and compact urban form has received more and more attention, because it is considered to have the advantages of saving natural resources and protecting the ecological environment [47–50].

In addition, quantitative measurements and analyses of urban spatial forms and their spatial patterns are the basis for studying urban form and other urban problems. Many studies frequently measure urban form based on geographical information system (GIS) data (such as Smart Growth America indicators). These data mainly include residential density, centrality, land use, and road accessibility [51,52]. Other studies describe urban form based on remote sensing image data, including indicators such as shape index, maximum plaque area, adjacency, fractal dimension, and compactness of landscape [53,54]. Single (or even just a few) urban form measurements, such as land use diversity and construction density, cannot fully characterize the urban form characteristics in different cities [55]. Song et al. [25] propose 27 sets of urban form measurements from three main aspects. These aspects are permeability measurements, accessibility measurements, and variety measurements. Generally speaking, a complete spatial morphological measurement system should consider several different categories.

In addition, many scholars have explored the spatial and non-spatial factors that influence urban vitality [41]. Various interpretations of the concept of urban vitality have always existed in the perspectives of urban sociology and architecture [3]. Urban sociologists generally believe that urban vitality consists of economic vitality, social vitality, and cultural vitality. As such, urban vitality is merely a spatial representation of economic, social, and cultural activities. Architects believe that urban vitality can be created through design techniques. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the relationship between urban form and urban vitality, which helps planners to create urban vitality.

A study by Jacobs concludes that four important factors are required for good urban vitality: Mixed spatial functions, small-scale neighborhoods, rich historical space, and dense population [34]. By considering people and their activities in the physical environment, Gehl explores the inner components of urban vitality by analyzing the impacts of people’s daily social life in public space [33]. The study analyzes how mixed function, slow traffic, and open blocks affect neighborhood vibrancy. Katz points out that compactness of spatial unit, walking conditions, mixed function, and appropriate building density are the key factors that influence neighborhood vibrancy [56]. The study concludes that density and mixed function are the two most important factors in creating good urban vitality. Adedeji and Fadamiro argue that visual accessibility and satisfaction, pedestrian friendliness, and driving safety are the key factors affecting the quality of public landscape spaces [39]. Delclòs-Alió et al. [57] analyze the relationship between the built-up environment and the smartphone-based tracking activities under Jacob’s theory of urban vitality.

In China, Yue et al. [14] and Wu et al. [44] use regression models to explore the relationship between neighborhood vibrancy and POI entropy and diversity. Ye et al. [58] verify that both typology and density matter for neighborhood vibrancy. He et al [59] argue that a vibrant neighborhood should have detailed texture, mixed function, and connected streets. Researchers just select a few typical neighborhoods or a few urban form indicators. These methods have led to a limited breadth of research.

Therefore, the relevant spatial factors that affect urban vitality are mainly as follows: Spatial function, spatial accessibility, spatial intensity and density, shape, and other factors [1,33,34,43,56,58]. However, existing neighborhood vibrancy and form research is mostly comprised of qualitative descriptions, but quantitative empirical research is lacking. Besides, limited research has been devoted to empirically testing the relationship between urban design, urban morphology, and urban vitality in Chinese cities. Moreover, no effective optimization strategy for planning and design has yet been
proposed. This paper uses various spatial information databases, obtained by different platforms, to measure the urban form of 658 neighborhoods in the central urban area of Chengdu, in order to explore the quantitative relationship between urban form and neighborhood vibrancy.

3. Study Area and Data Sources

3.1. Study Area

The research area of this paper is the central urban area of Chengdu, the capital city of Sichuan Province, China. This city has a permanent population of more than 16 million. In 2017, the city’s GDP exceeded 1.3 trillion yuan, ranking eighth in China’s urban GDP table. Chengdu is the most important central city in western China, with an urbanization rate of 70.6%. The spatial location of Chengdu is shown in Figure 1. Chengdu has developed into an influential international city. The prosperous socioeconomic status of Chengdu makes it a good choice for neighborhood vibrancy and urban form analyses in China.

![Figure 1. Study area of our research.](image)

The central urban area of Chengdu includes the districts of Chenghua, Wuhou, Jinniu, Jinjiang, and Qingyang. These five districts are the most prosperous and oldest districts in Chengdu. The five districts have a total population of 4.6 million, and a total area of approximately 420 square kilometers. The five districts have 76 sub-district offices and a total of 658 traffic analysis zones. The basic spatial analysis units in this paper (the neighborhoods) are the traffic analysis zones.

3.2. Data Resources

(1) Sina micro-blog check-in data: The data used in this research to describe human activity intensity is Sina micro-blog social media check-in data. Sina micro-blog (similar to Facebook and Twitter) is China’s largest social media platform, with 165 million daily active users (DAUs) and high spatial positioning accuracy. The location check-in data obtained in this research covers the time period from 1 December 2014, to 31 December 2014. During this period, a total of 244,831 records were logged in the study area. Sina micro-blog users have many attributes, such as gender, place of registration, educational levels, and the number of Weibo fans. These check-in data are easy to obtain through corresponding application program interfaces (APIs) without encountering privacy issues [44], since it is the users’ own choices to hide this information or not.
(2) POI (point of interest) data: The POI data in this paper are obtained from Amap (http://www.amap.com/), one of China’s largest map search engines and suppliers. Amap provides free API interfaces to access map layers and features information. The POI data obtained in this research cover all types of facilities. The POI database has 223,595 POIs, covering 14 primary categories and 81 subcategories. The 14 primary categories include: Retail and wholesale, companies and enterprises, public facilities, scenic sites, restaurants, hotel and recreation facilities, government and organization locations, medical and health care, sports and cultural facilities, textile and food, residential, research and education buildings, financial and insurance offices, and transportation. Table 1 shows the numeric distribution of POIs in the various categories.

Table 1. Number of points of interest (POIs) at each category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail and wholesale</td>
<td>45,942</td>
<td>Textile and food</td>
<td>18,119</td>
<td>Public facilities</td>
<td>1967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenic sites</td>
<td>839</td>
<td>Restaurants</td>
<td>31,555</td>
<td>Hotel and recreation</td>
<td>9224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government and organization</td>
<td>8554</td>
<td>Companies and enterprises</td>
<td>32,372</td>
<td>Medical and health care</td>
<td>13,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports and cultural</td>
<td>7689</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>12,679</td>
<td>Research and education</td>
<td>13,424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial and insurance</td>
<td>6321</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>21,842</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>223,595</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The POI data are easy to obtain through corresponding application program interfaces (APIs) without encountering privacy issues or obtaining the users' own choices to hide personal information. The relevance of urban form elements is widely accepted in the field of urban planning and design [43,44,47–50]. This research then computes different density, spatial accessibility, spatial intensity and density, shape index, and so on [1,33,34,43,44,56,58], according to the literature in China and abroad. Besides, these first three urban form elements are the cores of compact city concepts that have been widely accepted in the field of urban planning and design [43,44,47–50]. This research then computes different sets of urban form measurements that correspond to the concluded spatial elements to capture the characteristics of neighborhoods.

(3) Building vector data and road network data: In this paper, building vector data (including building height) are used to calculate the floor area ratio, which is the building density indicator in each neighborhood. In addition, road network data can be downloaded from Openstreetmap, which provides detailed road vector information that global users can download and use. The building vector data and road network data in the study area are shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Road network (left) and building vector data (right).](image)

4. Definitions of Variables

As has been illustrated in Section 2.1, the relevant urban form elements that affect urban vitality are mainly concluded as follows: Mixed function, spatial accessibility, spatial intensity and density, shape index, and so on [1,33,34,43,44,56,58], according to the literature in China and abroad. Besides, these first three urban form elements are the cores of compact city concepts that have been widely accepted in the field of urban planning and design [43,44,47–50]. This research then computes different sets of urban form measurements that correspond to the concluded spatial elements to capture the characteristics of neighborhoods.
4.1. Neighborhood Vibrancy (Dependent Variable)

This paper uses the number of check-ins of each neighborhood to measure the degree of vibrancy. We know that neighborhood vibrancy cannot be fully expressed by the number of people attracted to that neighborhood [14]. However, compared to traditional questionnaire data, social media check-in data can provide a higher sampling rate and also have the ability to characterize community vitality [43]. In this paper, the number of check-ins will be normalized to a fixed interval. Larger number of check-ins indicates higher vibrancy of the neighborhood.

4.2. Socio-Economic Indicators (Independent Variables)

The socio-economic data used in this study mainly include the number of permanent residents in each neighborhood as the population indicator, along with the GDP (gross domestic product). This paper uses the amount of local tax income (instead of GDP), as tax income is also considered to be an indicator of the economic level of a country or region. Both the population indicator and local tax income data can be acquired from the statistical yearbook (http://www.chdstats.gov.cn/). Jacobs illustrated that good neighborhood vibrancy requires both dense population distribution and economic connectivity [34].

4.3. Shape Indicators (Independent Variables)

In this paper, Area ($S_i$) and the Richardson compactness index (RCI) [60] are used to measure the shape indicators of each neighborhood. The compactness index takes the circular region as the standard unit measure of shape compactness, and its value is 1. The compactness of other regions is less than 1. The smaller the value of the compactness index, the less compact the urban space. The Richardson compactness index (RCI) is calculated as:

$$RCl_i = \frac{\sqrt{\pi S_i}}{C_i}, \quad (1)$$

where $C_i$ is the perimeter of neighborhood $i$.

4.4. Accessibility Indicators (Independent Variables)

According to existing research, and based on the building environment and travel behavior, a convenient external transportation system (such as rail transit or public transportation, which is beneficial to residents travelling long distances) would lead to lower neighborhood vibrancy [61]. One of the accessibility measurements is the distance between each neighborhood and its nearest railway station, known as the rail-transit convenience index (RTI) [43].

$$RTI_i = D_0 - \text{Min}(D_{ir}), \quad (2)$$

where $D_{ir}$ is the distance between neighborhood $i$ and its nearest railway station $r$; and $D_0$ is the minimum constant distance, which is set as 12 km in our study. Thus, a smaller distance of $D_{ir}$ results in a higher value of RTI$_i$, indicating a more convenient rail transit.

In addition, the accessibility of bus services needs to be measured. The accessibility of bus transport mainly refers to the distribution of bus stations within the neighborhood. This paper uses the number of bus stations (NBS) in the neighborhood to measure the degree of bus accessibility.

4.5. Mixed Function (Independent Variables)

The spatial function focuses on the types of land use and their mixing degree. This is a key indicator when measuring urban spatial functional diversity. A number of studies have indicated that the diversity of POIs can describe the degree of the mixed function of urban spaces [14]. Based on the
information entropy calculation method, Shannon entropy is used to calculate the POI diversity index in each neighborhood. The index is calculated as follows:

$$\text{Entropy} = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \log_2 p_j$$

(3)

where \( n \) is the number of POI types, and the \( i \)th POI has a relative proportion of \( p_j \).

4.6. Density and Construction Strength (Independent Variables)

Many indicators are used to measure the construction strength and density of neighborhoods. However, construction strength and density are mainly based on the neighborhood’s own attribute characteristics, construction intensity, and degree of mixed function [27]. Studies have shown that higher spatial intensity and building density result in lower urban vitality. Thus, a good built-up environment requires suitable spatial construction strength and density.

Firstly, this research introduces the floor area ratio (FAR) to measure the level of spatial construction strength. In fact, FAR is an important economic and technical indicator reflecting the intensity of urban construction. The greater the FAR is, the greater the intensity of construction is, and the higher the degree of land use is. In this paper, FAR is calculated as:

$$\text{FAR}_i = \frac{Q_i}{S_i}$$

(4)

where \( Q_i \) is the total construction area of neighborhood \( i \), including the ground and aerial construction area.

Secondly, this research introduces the building density index (BDI) to measure the level of ground construction strength. In this study, BDI is the ratio of the projected area of buildings to the total area of a neighborhood, thereby indicating the land occupation rate of that neighborhood, as well as the intensity of building coverage. BDI is calculated as:

$$\text{BDI}_i = \frac{M_i}{S_i}$$

(5)

where \( M_i \) is the total base area of all buildings in neighborhood \( i \).

Thirdly, the road density index (RDI) is introduced to measure the degree of road density in the neighborhood. The RDI further describes the construction strength and accessibility of transportation facilities and services. In this paper, RDI is calculated as:

$$\text{RDI}_i = \frac{L_i}{S_i}$$

(6)

where \( L_i \) is the total length of the center lines of the roads in neighborhood \( i \).

Lastly, the POI density index (PDI) is used to measure the degree of function density in each neighborhood. In this case, PDI is the ratio of the total number of POIs to the area of the neighborhood. Table 2 lists the definitions of all the urban form variables.

4.7. Regression Model

In order to explore the relationship between urban form factors and neighborhood vibrancy, this paper implements three sets of linear regression models. The dependent variable is neighborhood vibrancy, as measured by social-media check-in data. Independent variables include socio-economic factors and neighborhood urban form indicators. Socio-economic factors (population and economic data) are control variables. These variables are introduced to explain any variation in neighborhood vibrancy that is not related to urban form.
Table 2. Definitions of urban form indicators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urban Form</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shape index</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Area of each neighborhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCI</td>
<td>Richardson compactness index: The smaller the value of the compactness index, the greater the dispersion of urban form and the less compact the urban space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POI diversity</td>
<td>Entropy</td>
<td>Diversity of POIs: Describes the degree of mixed use of the neighborhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>RTI</td>
<td>Rail-transit convenience index: The distance between each neighborhood and its nearest railway station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NBS</td>
<td>Number of bus stations: The number of bus stations within the neighborhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>FAR</td>
<td>Floor area ratio: The total spatial construction area divided by the area of the neighborhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BDI</td>
<td>Building density index: The total projected construction area divided by the total area of the neighborhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PDI</td>
<td>POI density index: The number of POIs divided by the area of the neighborhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RDI</td>
<td>Road network density index: The total length of roads divided by the area of the neighborhood</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Results and Analysis

5.1. Analysis of Vibrancy and Selected Factors

The spatial distribution patterns of neighborhood vibrancy are shown in Figure 3. The value of neighborhood vibrancy is normalized into fixed intervals, and divided into six levels according to the nature breaks method. It can be seen that neighborhood vibrancy in our study area shows obvious spatial heterogeneous patterns.

Figure 3. Spatial distribution of neighborhood vibrancy.

Neighborhoods in the most central areas of Chengdu have strong vibrancy. However, the ring-shaped neighborhoods radiating out towards the central area are stronger, while the vibrancy of areas that reach the margins is weak. This paper further uses Global Moran’s I to measure the global spatial autocorrelation of neighborhood vibrancy. The Global Moran’s I coefficient of neighborhood vibrancy is 0.071 (p-value < 0.001), and the correlation is very weak. This finding indicates that the neighborhood vibrancy in the study area has strong spatial heterogeneous patterns, further confirming that neighborhood vibrancy is affected by various spatial factors. This finding provides the basis for the application of spatial regression analyses.
Table 3 shows the statistical values of the socio-economic indicators and urban form indicators in each neighborhood in this paper. The absolute values of the correlation coefficients of different urban form indicators are less than 0.62, indicating that no serious multicollinearity exists in the selected variables, so the results of the multiple linear regression are not affected. The average population of each neighborhood is approximately 6990. The indicators of each neighborhood change greatly, according to the standard deviation. The spatial heterogeneous distributions of each indicator suggest that different urban forms may lead to different levels of neighborhood vibrancy. Thus, the relationship is revealed in the next part.

Table 3. Characteristics of urban form in neighborhood variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urban Form</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social-economic</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>10,000 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>100 M CNY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape index</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>km²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCI</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POI mixed use</td>
<td>Entropy</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>RTI</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NBS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FAR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BDI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>PDI</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>Thousand/km²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RDI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>km/km²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2. Analysis of Linear Regression

Table 4 shows the detailed results of the three sets of linear regressions. The variance inflation factor (VIF) of the FAR variable is approximately 5.5, and the VIF of other variables are all less than 3. Therefore, the independent variables are not redundant, which indicates that the multicollinearity should have no impact on the results of the regression analyses.

Firstly, the adjusted $R^2$ values for Model 1, Model 2, and Model 3 are 0.23, 0.26, and 0.45, respectively; the intercepts are 4.95, 4.32, and 1.90, respectively. These figures indicate that the accuracy of the regression model gradually improves. While the highest $R^2$ is only approximately 0.45 in these three models, the p-value is less than 0.0001, indicating that the three linear regression models are statistically significant in terms of illustrating patterns of neighborhood vibrancy.

Table 4. Regression models for the impact of urban form on neighborhood vitality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th></th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th></th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Standard Error</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Standard Error</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Standard Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>−0.22</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCI</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entropy</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTI</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBS</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAR</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDI</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDI</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjust $R^2$ | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.45 |
Model 1, as the base model, does not contain any urban form indicators. As expected, the regression results indicate that socio-economic factors have a significant impact on neighborhood vibrancy. These factors account for approximately 23% of the variance. The result of this positive association is consistent with the findings of Yue et al. [14]. The main difference is that the contribution of the socio-economic factors in this study is slightly lower than in Yue’s study. With this positive relationship, it is also easier to explain why neighborhoods with strong vibrancy often have dense population and economic contacts.

Model 2 introduces the neighborhood’s own area and compactness (RCI) indicators to measure the shape index of the neighborhood. The shape index is independent of the distribution of the various elements within each neighborhood. The regression results show that the introduction of these two indicators does not significantly improve the predictive ability of the linear regression model. In this study, $R^2$ only increased from 0.23 to 0.26, which is not obvious. This finding indicates that, in this study, the relationship between neighborhood vibrancy and the neighborhood shape index is very weak. A neighborhood’s boundary jaggedness is not very important for creating or increasing the vibrancy of that neighborhood.

In Model 3, by introducing inner urban form indicators (including density, intensity, accessibility, and functional diversity), the accuracy of the regression model increases to 0.45, which is nearly double compared with Models 1 and 2. This shows that the urban form of the neighborhood has a strong correlation with the neighborhood vibrancy. In addition, as expected, various urban form measurements jointly promote the vitality of urban space. Different urban form indicators make different contributions. The functional diversity indicator (entropy) plays a positive role in creating neighborhood vibrancy. Additionally, BDI and FAR describe the construction intensity from different ground and spatial perspectives, but these factors play different roles in creating vibrancy. In reality, FAR plays a weak and negative role, indicating that excessive spatial construction leads to a decrease of neighborhood vibrancy. In addition, public transportation systems are also conducive to the creation of vibrancy. This finding is different to the findings of Miles and Song [61]. The higher the accessibility of the neighborhood, the higher the connectivity of the neighborhood with others in the city. The residents can more easily travel from the surrounding areas to the neighborhood to take part in activities and social interactions, thus inspiring greater neighborhood vibrancy.

Therefore, to a large extent, urban form indicators and socio-economic factors can explain the variation of neighborhood vibrancy. Different urban form factors have different influences, and urban form indicators with strong correlation, such as proper spatial construction density, high functional diversity, and so on, have greater impacts in terms of creating vibrancy.

5.3. Comparisons of Inner Neighborhood Urban Forms

We selected four typical neighborhoods to explore the relationship between their internal urban form and vibrancy. Neighborhoods No. 1 and No. 2 are located near Nanhong Village. Chengdu Normal University is located in Neighborhood No. 1, and Xihua University is located in Neighborhood No. 2. Both of these two neighborhoods are close to Sichuan University. Neighborhoods No. 3 and No. 4 are located near Chunxi Road in Jinjiang District, which is famous for food, entertainment, and shopping. The spatial distributions and satellite images of the four neighborhoods are shown in Figure 4.

Table 5 shows the urban form indicators within four selected neighborhoods, where the values of Entropy, NBS, and RTI indicators are almost the same. Neighborhoods No. 1 and No. 2 are adjacent, but their degrees of vibrancy are very different. The main difference of urban form indicators is that the FAR of No. 1 is higher than that of No. 2, but the PDI and RDI are much lower. This indicates that FAR inhibits neighborhood vibrancy. Neighborhoods No. 3 and No. 4 are also adjacent, but their neighborhood vibrancy levels are almost the same. The BDI and PDI of No. 4 are higher than those of No. 3, which indicates that BDI and PDI can promote neighborhood vibrancy. The creation of urban vitality is the result of multiple urban form indicators. However, the relationship between neighborhood vibrancy and the spatial form of adjacent neighborhoods needs to be examined in future studies.
neighborhood vibrancy. Also, various urban form indicators jointly promote the vitality of urban spaces. Specifically, building density and functional diversity are strongly positively correlated with neighborhood vibrancy. In addition, the FAR has a weak negative correlation, indicating that large FAR will suppress the vibrancy of urban space. Therefore, it is necessary to balance and coordinate the level of ground building density and spatial construction intensity, which help strengthen the understanding of the “compact city” concept and the practice of urban planning and design [62,63]. In addition, mixed and diverse functions are also key elements of a compact city [62,64]. The problems and difficulties of mixed land use that emerged in the development of China’s rapid urbanization process still require

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood</th>
<th>Vibrancy</th>
<th>Entropy</th>
<th>RTI</th>
<th>NBS</th>
<th>FAR</th>
<th>BDI</th>
<th>PDI</th>
<th>RDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. 1</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 2</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 3</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>9.83</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>5.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 4</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>9.66</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Four neighborhoods with different urban forms.

6. Conclusions and Discussion

Urban morphogenesis has always been an important topic in urban form research, and the diversity and vibrancy of urban spaces has been receiving more and more attention. Many theories aim at explaining how urban vitality is created. However, the question of to what extent urban form contributes to neighborhood vibrancy has not been answered due to a lack of sufficient data. Based on the new urbanism theory, this paper uses various open data platforms to explore and analyze the relationship between urban form and vibrancy.

Firstly, this paper uses social media check-in big data to quantitatively evaluate the vibrancy of 658 neighborhoods in the central urban area of Chengdu, China. The results of spatial autocorrelation analysis show that neighborhood vibrancy in this study area shows strong spatial heterogeneous patterns, which in turn provides the basis for the application of spatial regression analysis. By analyzing the results of three regression models that consider different explanatory variables, this study finds that socio-economic factors can explain approximately 23% of neighborhood vibrancy. In addition, the correlation between the shape compactness index of a neighborhood and vibrancy is not strong. However, neighborhood area has a swinging effect on neighborhood vibrancy.

Based on various open data platforms, this paper proposes an urban form measurement system including three primary categories: (1) Construction intensity and density, (2) accessibility, and (3) functional diversity. When the inner urban form indicators are introduced into the regression model, the accuracy of the model increases from 0.23 to 0.45, indicating that urban form has a strong correlation with neighborhood vibrancy. Also, various urban form indicators jointly promote the vitality of urban space. Specifically, building density and functional diversity are strongly positively correlated with neighborhood vibrancy. In addition, the FAR has a weak negative correlation, indicating that large FAR will suppress the vibrancy of urban space. Therefore, it is necessary to balance and coordinate the level of ground building density and spatial construction intensity, which help strengthen the understanding of the “compact city” concept and the practice of urban planning and design [62,63]. In addition, mixed and diverse functions are also key elements of a compact city [62,64]. The problems and difficulties of mixed land use that emerged in the development of China’s rapid urbanization process still require
systematic research and exploration [65]. In summary, the results of this paper show that urban form profoundly affects the creation of urban vitality. Creating neighborhood vibrancy is mainly about emphasizing the enhancement of public transport accessibility, diversity of urban functions, and appropriate construction intensity. The quantitative relationships can help urban planners and managers to better design urban form.

However we do note several limitations specific to the application of social-media check-in data and urban form measurement system, such as:

1. In the application of big data for analyzing and evaluating neighborhood vibrancy, we should not only consider the spatial and temporal sampling representativeness problem of data [18,19], we must also consider the privacy issue and biases of group, age, gender, and occupation [66,67] in the data.

2. In addition, real-time check-in data are needed to measure the dynamic and present neighborhood vibrancy. Besides, the distinction between working days and non-working days, as well as holidays, may be more helpful in explaining the regression results.

3. Moreover, neighborhood vibrancy may also be related to the urban form of nearby neighborhoods and social attributes of residents. This possibility has not been considered in this or previous studies.

4. Finally, indicators regarding different urban form elements may differ from city to city, thus using more open data platforms could help complete the urban form measurement system.
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