Cytokeratin 19 (KRT19) has a Role in the Reprogramming of Cancer Stem Cell-Like Cells to Less Aggressive and More Drug-Sensitive Cells
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Abstract: Cytokeratin 19 (KRT19) is a cytoplasmic intermediate filament protein, which is responsible for structural rigidity and multipurpose scaffolds. In several cancers, KRT19 is overexpressed and may play a crucial role in tumorigenic transformation. In our previous study, we revealed the role of KRT19 as signaling component which mediated Wnt/NOTCH crosstalk through NUMB transcription in breast cancer. Here, we investigated the function of KRT19 in cancer reprogramming and drug resistance in breast cancer cells. We found that expression of KRT19 was attenuated in several patients-derived breast cancer tissues and patients with a low expression of KRT19 were significantly correlated with poor prognosis in breast cancer patients. Consistently, highly aggressive and drug-resistant breast cancer patient-derived cancer stem cell-like cells (konkuk university-cancer stem cell-like cell (KU-CSLCs)) displayed higher expression of cancer stem cell (CSC) markers, including ALDH1, CXCR4, and CD133, but a much lower expression of KRT19 than that is seen in highly aggressive triple negative breast cancer MDA-MB231 cells. Moreover, we revealed that the knockdown of KRT19 in MDA-MB231 cells led to an enhancement of cancer properties, such as cell proliferation, sphere formation, migration, and drug resistance, while the overexpression of KRT19 in KU-CSLCs resulted in the significant attenuation of cancer properties. KRT19 regulated cancer stem cell reprogramming by modulating the expression of cancer stem cell markers (ALDH1, CXCR4, and CD133), as well as the phosphorylation of Src and GSK3β (Tyr216). Therefore, our data may imply that the modulation of KRT19 expression could be involved in cancer stem cell reprogramming and drug sensitivity, which might have clinical implications for cancer or cancer stem cell treatment.
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1. Introduction

Heterogeneity at the cellular and molecular level is a prominent feature of most tumor types, including breast cancer [1]. Most breast cancer cases occur in the United States of America (USA) and it is the second most prevalent cancer worldwide [2]. Diverse self-renewing cell subpopulations have been observed in primary tumors and they were shown to be genetically and phenotypically heterogeneous, possibly augmenting a number of cancer growth patterns [1]. Heterogeneity of cancer is potentially controlled by the cellular microenvironment, as well as genetic and epigenetic factors [3]. Nowadays, stem cell research becomes the hot topic to the researchers because stem cells have the ability to self-renew and differentiate into various kinds of cells [4]. Current research suggests that
genetic reprogramming may play a potential role in stem/cancer stem cell biology, by sustaining the pluripotency of stem cells (SCs) and increasing their differentiation into mature cell populations [5,6]. Studies have shown that dysplastic growth could be induced by the ectopic expression of stemness marker genes in adult epithelial tissues [7,8]. In 2006, Takahashi and Yamanaka showed for the first time that pluripotent stem (PS) cells could be generated from mouse embryonic fibroblasts by ectopic expression of transcription factors (TFs), called Yamanaka factors, such as OCT4, C-MYC, KLF4, and SOX2 [9]. Beside these Yamanaka factors, currently, there are around 25 TFs that are reported to be expressed in SCs. Of them, most of the TFs are suppressed in normal somatic cells, but are abnormally expressed in cancer cells [10,11], suggesting that the ectopic expression of stemness marker genes may cause the generation of abnormal cancer stem cells (CSCs). For instance, several stemness markers, such as OCT4, C-MYC, KLF4, NANOG, SALL4, and SOX2 are reported to be highly expressed in various cancers and associated with poor clinical outcomes of patients [12–22]. Recently, another study demonstrated that early termination of reprogramming could generate cells possessing a number of stemness signatures, but was unsuccessful in transforming into induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) and in ending originate neoplasia, such as Wilms tumor [23]. Recent advances in stem cell biology have explored the presence of cancer stem cell-like cells (CSCs) in several cancers, such as breast, brain, colon, leukemia, and prostate cancers [24–28]. CSCs are a subtype of cells that have the capacity to self-renew, produce a heterogeneous subset of cancer cells, and initiate tumor generation [29,30]. These findings may suggest a possible relationship between embryonic stem cells (ESCs)/iPSC reprogramming and tumor generation.

KRT19 (Cytokeratin 19) is known to be the smallest (40 kDa) member of the acidic type I cytokeratin family proteins (KRTs) and may play a potential role in tumor detection by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in the bone marrow, lymph nodes, and peripheral blood of patients with breast cancer [31,32]. It has a highly preserved α-helical central domain and lack of C-terminal non-helical tail domain; α-helical central domain is very important for intermediate filament formation [33]. As a cytoplasmic intermediate filament protein, KRT19 could be responsible for structural rigidity and multipurpose scaffolds, as well as being a marker of epithelial cells and tissues [34]. It is also known that KRTs may interact with several signal transduction molecules, such as adaptors, effectors, kinases, and receptors, which may regulate signaling pathways and mediate cell apoptosis, cell cycle arrest, invasion, and metastasis [34,35]. Recent studies have revealed that KRT19 is crucially involved in the cancer stemness of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [36]. Moreover, a recent study showed that HCC progression could be regulated through PDGFRα-laminin B1-keratin 19 cascade and this cascade could drive early recurrence, microvascular invasion, and metastasis in HCC [37–39]. Furthermore, it is demonstrated that KRT19 transcription could be increased through HER2/ERK/SP1 signaling pathway, in a consequence, KRT19 translocated to HER2 receptor then bound and stabilized the HER2 activation in breast and lung cancer [40,41]. In addition, KRT19 is normally expressed in the stem cell region of the hair follicle [42,43], and it is overexpressed in various radio-resistant solid tumors including colon and intestine progenitor/stem cells [44]. However, KRT19 shows discrepant relationships with both breast carcinoma and chemotherapy resistance [40,45–47]. Our previous study demonstrated that KRT19 could attenuate ALDH1(high)/CXCR4(high)/CD133(high) breast cancer stem cell-like cells (CSCs) properties by regulating NUMB-mediated wnt/notch signaling crosstalk [46]. Moreover, KRT19 is reported to be a tumor suppressor gene in breast cancer, which regulates the nuclear translocation of EGR1 to the PTEN promoter [47]. KRT19 was also found to be a regulator of p38-MAPK/XBP-1 signaling cascade-mediated endoplasmic reticulum stress in breast cancer [48]. However, the roles that are involved in KRT19-driven breast cancer stem cell-like cell reprogramming and drug sensitivity are yet to be elucidated.

Herein, we have aimed to distinctly identify the role of KRT19 in cancer stem cell reprogramming. As KRT19 is involved in cancer regulation through signaling pathway, as we reported somewhere [46]. Here, we have hypothesized that KRT19 may have cancer regulating role through the reprogramming of cancer stem cell by partially modulating stemness, metastasis, and drug-resistant properties. Therefore,
we investigated the role of KRT19 in cancer stem cell reprogramming and drug sensitivity by the overexpression and knockdown of KRT19 in KU-CSLCs (konkuk university-cancer stem cell-like cell) and MDA-MB231 cells. We found that the knockdown or overexpression of KRT19 in MDA-MB231 or KU-CSLCs cells modulated cancer reprogramming and drug sensitivity, as compared to normal MDA-MB231 or KU-CSLCs. These modulations may be partially due to the alteration of cancer stem cell markers (ALDH1, CXCR4, and CD133) expression as well as the phosphorylation of Src and GSK3β (Tyr216). Our result thus implies that KRT19 has the potential for targeting highly drug-resistant CSCs and for reprogramming to less aggressive phenotypes, which may be useful for the treatment of drug-resistant breast CSCs.

2. Results

2.1. Expression of Cytokeratin 19 (KRT19) Is Downregulated in Several Breast Cancer Patients and Correlated with Breast Cancer Prognosis

As KRT19 expressed in various cancer types [36–41,44–47], we checked the expression level of KRT19 in five paired breast cancer tissue and adjacent normal breast tissues using reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis. However, our RT-PCR results showed that the expression of KRT19 was attenuated in breast cancer tissue (C) when compared to their normal (N) counterparts (Figure 1A, upper panel); the clinical characterization of breast cancer patients was overviewed in Figure 1A, lower panel. We then checked the KRT19 expression in breast cancer using Oncomine database. The KRT19 was significantly downregulated in ductal breast cancer tissue as compared to the normal tissue (Figure 1B, upper panel) [49], although KRT19 expression was upregulated in other breast cancer studies (Oncomine). Moreover, KRT19 expression downregulated in more aggressive breast cancer tissue than normal and less aggressive tissue (Figure 1B, lower panel) [49]. Next, we aimed to investigate the relationship between KRT19 expression and the patient’s clinical outcomes using the Kaplan-Meier plotter. We found that patients with low expression of KRT19 were significantly correlated with poor prognosis in breast cancer (BC), ER+_-, HER2+_-, and luminal A_BC patients (Figure 1C), suggesting that KRT19 is downregulated in breast cancer and is significantly correlated with poor breast cancer prognosis.

2.2. Chemo-Treated Breast Cancer Patient-Derived KU-CSLCs Show High Expression of ALDH1, CXCR4, and CD133, and More Aggressive Cancer Phenotypes

It is known that the overexpression of ALDH1, CXCR4, and CD133 produces cells with an aggressive and highly drug-resistant cancer stem cell-like phenotype [50,51]. Our previous study reported that the expression of KRT19 was upregulated in various breast cancer cell lines (i.e., MDA-MB231, MCF7) and was downregulated in highly aggressive cancer stem-like cells [46]. In our present study, we have also confirmed the expression pattern of KRT19 in MDA-MB231 and highly aggressive and drug-resistant breast cancer patient-derived cancer stem cell-like KU-CSLC (konkuk University-cancer stem cell-like cell) cells, using RT-PCR and western blot (WB) analysis (Figure 2A). To characterize chemo-treated breast cancer patient-derived KU-CSLCs, a triple negative breast cancer cell line, MDA-MB231, and KU-CSLC cells, were analyzed for the expression of ALDH1, CXCR4, and CD133 while using RT-PCR. The data indicated that the expression of ALDH1, CXCR4, and CD133 was significantly upregulated in KU-CSLC cells (Figure 2B). We then checked the expression of stemness marker genes (NANOG, OCT4, and SOX2), EMT markers (N-cadherin and E-cadherin), and the tumor suppressor gene P53, using qRT-PCR analysis. The KU-CSLC cells showed a significant upregulation of stemness marker genes (NANOG, OCT4, and SOX2) and N-cadherin, while a downregulation pattern of E-cadherin and P53 was observed in KU-CSLC cells when compared to MDA-MB231 cells (Figure 2C). The colony formation efficiency was significantly higher in KU-CSLC cells as compared to MDA-MB231 cells (Figure 2D). Afterward, the in vivo tumorigenic efficiency was investigated for both MDA-MB231 and KU-CSLCs. To verify in vivo tumorigenic efficiency, we used five-month old female SCID mice and subcutaneously implanted a range of cell numbers, 100, 1000, and 10,000, of both MDA-MB231 and KU-CSLC cells into the lower flanks of the mice. The tumor
generation and growth in mice were monitored and mice were sacrificed four weeks post tumor formation. The results showed that for KU-CSLC cells, even 100 cells were enough to significantly induce tumor growth when compared to MDA-MB231 cells, which required 10,000 cells (Figure 2E). Concurrently, tumor weights from the KU-CSLC cells were significantly heavier than those resulting from MDA-MB231 cells (Figure 2F). These results suggest that chemo-treated breast cancer patient-derived KU-CSLC cells are more aggressive and drug-resistant than the aggressive triple negative MDA-MB231 cells.

Figure 1. Expression pattern of KRT19 (keratin 19) in breast cancer and its clinical significance. (A) mRNA expression level of KRT19 was analyzed using reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in breast cancer tissue (C; n = 5) as compared with adjacent normal breast tissues (N; n = 5). GAPDH was used as loading control (upper panel). Clinical characteristics of breast cancer patients for KRT19 expression (lower panel); (B) Relative levels of KRT19 expression was analyzed in normal and ductal breast carcinomas (p = 0.012) (upper panel) and different tumor grade of ductal breast carcinomas (lower panel) using Oncomine databases. The image was downloaded from the Oncomine datasets provided by Perou et al. [49]; (C) Kaplan–Meier curves for overall survival (OS) probability of patients with breast cancer (BC) (n = 3951); ER+_BC (n = 2061); HER2+_BC (n = 252); and Luminal A_BC (n = 1933) expressing high and low expression levels of KRT19.

2.3. Knockdown or Overexpression of KRT19 Regulates Cell Proliferation and Sphere Formation in MDA-MB231 and KU-CSLC Cells

As KRT19 was upregulated in MDA-MB231 and downregulated in KU-CSLC cells, we next knocked down the expression of KRT19 by using a KRT19 specific shRNA (shKRT19) and overexpressed KRT19 (KRT19-oe) in KU-CSLC cells to investigate the function of KRT19 in breast cancer. The knockdown and overexpression of KRT19 were confirmed by RT-PCR and WB analysis (Figure 3A). Cell proliferation analysis demonstrated that cell proliferation was increased in shKRT19 infected MDA-MB231 cells and decreased in the KRT19 overexpressing KU-CSLC cells, when compared to their control counterparts (Figure 3B). To further explore the role of KRT19 on cell growth, we investigated the sphere formation ability using a sphere-forming assay. We observed a significantly increased
number of the spheres in MDA-MB231 cells that were infected with the shKRT19 virus, as compared to the scramble, whereas a reduced sphere forming ability was seen in KRT19 overexpressing KU-CSLC cells, when compared with those infected with the vector (Figure 3D,E). A subsequent RT-PCR analysis for stemness marker genes was performed, with the results showing that the expression of stemness markers (NANOG, OCT4B, KLF4, SOX2, and C-MYC) was significantly increased in shKRT19 virus-infected MDA-MB231 cells and downregulated in KRT19 overexpressing KU-CSLC cells, as compared to scramble or vector infected MDA-MB231 or KU-CSLC cells, respectively (Figure 3D,E), suggesting that KRT19 may regulate cell growth and sphere formation ability in breast cancer.

Figure 2. Patient-derived cancer stem-like cells (konkuk university-cancer stem cell-like cell (KU-CSLCs)) show downregulated KRT19 expression and highly aggressive cancer stem cell-like cells (CSC) properties. (A) mRNA and protein expression levels of KRT19 were analyzed using RT-PCR and western blot (WB) in MDA-MB231 and KU-CSLC cells; (B) mRNA expression levels of ALDH1, CXCR4, and CD133 were analyzed using real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) in MDA-MB231 and KU-CSLC cells. The specific band intensity was measured by the scanning densitometry program in ImageJ and normalized to that of a housekeeping gene, GAPDH. *p < 0.05; ***p < 0.001; (C) mRNA expression levels of stemness (NANOG, OCT4, and SOX2), epithelial-to-mesenchymal (EMT; N- and E-cadherin) markers, and P53 were analyzed using qRT-PCR in MDA-MB231 and KU-CSLC cells. **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; (D) Colony formation assay was analyzed in serum-free media (SFM) using non-coated plates. After five days of culture, colonies from either KU-CSLCs or MDA-MB-231 cells were collected and stained with crystal violet. The stained colonies were enumerated and presented as colony forming cells (×10^4). ***p < 0.001; (E,F) An indicated number of MDA-MB231 or KU-CSLC cells were injected into SCID mice (n = 5) and after four weeks of post tumor formation, the mice were excised. Tumor volume (mm^3) and weight (g) were assessed after the biopsy. ***p < 0.001.
KRT19 was observed in was increased upon KRT19 precursors (Figure 4B,C). This suggested that the silencing or overexpression of cell migration in MDA-MB231 or KU-CSLC cells.

The opposite result was observed in EMT markers (mesenchymal to epithelial transition) was performed to assess the expression of EMT marker genes, with the results displaying that MET

2.4. Silencing or Upregulation of KRT19 Regulates Cell Migration in MDA-MB231 and KU-CSLC Cells

To explore the function of KRT19 on cell migration in breast cancer, we first performed a wound healing cell migration analysis. The results showed that cell migration during wound healing was increased upon KRT19 knockdown in MDA-MB231 cells, while a reduction in cell migration was observed in KRT19-overexpressing KU-CSLC cells, when compared to those of scramble- or vector-expressing MDA-MB231 or KU-CSLC cells, respectively (Figure 4A). Afterwards, an RT-PCR analysis was performed to assess the expression of EMT marker genes, with the results displaying that MET (mesenchymal to epithelial transition) markers (OCLN and E-cadherin) were downregulated and EMT markers (ZEB1 and TWIST1) were upregulated in KRT19-silencing MDA-MB231 cells, whereas the opposite result was observed in KRT19-overexpressing KU-CSLC cells as compared to their control precursors (Figure 4B,C). This suggested that the silencing or overexpression of KRT19 could regulate cell migration in MDA-MB231 or KU-CSLC cells.
2.5. KRT19 Can Regulate Drug Resistance Capacity in Breast Cancer Cells

To further examine the function of KRT19 on drug sensitivity, we examined the expression of drug-resistance marker genes using RT-PCR analysis. The results showed that the knockdown of KRT19 significantly upregulated the expression of drug-resistance markers (ALDH1, ABCG2, ABCC1, and ABCB1), as compared to those of the control shRNA-infected MDA-MB231 cells (Figure 5A,B). We then checked the cell survival in the presence of several doses of doxorubicin (DOX), which is a well-known anti-cancer drug, in both MDA-MB231 and KU-CSLC cells, to assess drug resistance capability (Supplementary Figure S1). A specific dose (0.5 µM) was chosen for further study. The cell survival results in the presence of DOX (0.5 µM) showed that silencing of KRT19 significantly augmented cell survival, compared to those of scramble-infected MDA-MB231 cells (Figure 5C). We then assessed the expression of drug-resistance marker genes during KRT19 overexpression in KU-CSLCs. The RT-PCR analysis of drug-resistance markers revealed a significant downregulation in KRT19 overexpressing KU-CSLCs, when compared to their normal counterparts (Figure 5A,B). Subsequently, we checked drug-resistance capability in the presence of DOX, by overexpressing KRT19 in highly aggressive drug-resistant KU-CSLC cells. To determine drug-resistance capabilities, cell survival analysis was performed in the presence of DOX (0.5 µM) in both vector- and KRT19-overexpressing KU-CSLC cells. Cell survival was significantly suppressed in KRT19-overexpressing cells as compared to those of vector-infected KU-CSLC cells (Figure 5C), implying that KRT19 may regulate drug-sensitivity in highly drug-resistant breast cancer stem cell-like cells.
Figure 5. Silencing or overexpression of KRT19 strongly induces or attenuates cancer drug-resistance capacity in MDA-MB231 or KU-CSLC cells. (A,B) mRNA expression levels of multidrug-resistant (MDR) marker genes (ALDH1, ABCG2, ABCC1, and ABCB1) were analyzed in the indicated cells using RT-PCR analysis. The specific band intensity was measured using the scanning densitometry program in ImageJ and normalized to that of a housekeeping gene, GAPDH. * \( p < 0.05; ** \( p < 0.01; *** \( p < 0.001; (C,D) The effects of KRT19 silencing or overexpression on drug resistance was quantified by cell enumeration after 48 h of doxorubicin treatment (0.5 \( \mu \text{M}) in the indicated cells. Data are shown as percentages (%) of survived cells. *** \( p < 0.001.

2.6. KRT19 Regulates Cancer Stem Cell Reprogramming through p-GSK3β (Tyr216) and p-Src Signaling Pathway

As the KU-CSLC showed high ALDH1, CXCR4, and CD133 gene expression, and low KRT19 expression, we assessed the effect of KRT19 overexpression or knockdown in KU-CSLC or MDA-MB231 cells. The RT-PCR analysis revealed that the overexpression or knockdown of KRT19 could modulate ALDH1, CXCR4, and CD133 gene expression in KU-CSLC or MDA-MB231 cells (Figure 6A,B). We next aimed to explore the underlying mechanism by which KRT19 regulates cancer reprogramming. Although KRT19 regulates EGR1/PTEN/AKT, \( \beta \)-catenin/NUMB/NOTCH, and HER2/ERK/SP1 cascades [29,46,47], we focused on the AKT, GSK3β, ERK, Src, and JNK signaling pathway in this study, as these signaling pathways are crucially involved in cancer progression [52–56]. The WB analysis demonstrated that the phosphorylation of p-GSK3β (Tyr216) and p-Src levels were increased...
which is involved in iPSC (induced pluripotent stem cells) generation [23]. In addition, several key
KRT19 which is suggested that while the expression of p-AKT, p-GSK3β (Ser9), p-ERK, and p-JNK was unchanged (Figure 6C,D), which is suggested that KRT19 could regulate the cancer stem cell reprogramming by partially modulating the phosphorylation of GSK3β (Tyr216) and Src in breast cancer or cancer stem cells.

**Figure 6.** KRT19 regulates cancer stem cell reprogramming through p-GSK3β (Tyr216) and p-Src signaling pathway. (A, B) mRNA expression levels of cancer stem cell markers (ALDH1, CXCR4, and CD133) were analyzed in the indicated cells while using RT-PCR analysis. The specific band intensity was measured using the scanning densitometry program in ImageJ and normalized to that of a housekeeping gene, GAPDH. **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; (C, D) Representative WB analysis of the AKT, GSK3β, ERK, Src, and JNK signaling proteins in indicated cells. The specific band intensity was measured by the scanning densitometry program in ImageJ and normalized to that of a total protein. **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

3. Discussion

CSCs (cancer stem cells) share several characteristics with typical stem cells, such as self-renewal and their undifferentiated and differentiated states. CSCs have been reported to originate from cancer cells with non–stemness properties, via a reprogramming mechanism that is very similar to that which is involved in iPSC (induced pluripotent stem cells) generation [23]. In addition, several key transcription factors, including NANOG, OCT4, KLF4, and SOX2, which induced iPSC and maintained the stemness properties of embryonic and somatic stem cells, have also been highly expressed in CSCs [8,57,58]. A number of recent studies have reported that cancer could be developed by the early termination of the reprogramming process in numerous tissues, through altered genetic and epigenetic regulation [7,8,23]. Thus, it could be inferred that teratoma formation from iPSCs is strongly associated with premature reprogramming, which is also important for the development of CSCs. In our current study, we have reported a relationship between KRT19 (cytokeratin 19)
We have demonstrated that OCT4 is a worthy solution in cancer treatment. Moreover, it is reported that OCT4 regulates breast cancer cell migration and EMT (epithelial to mesenchymal transition)-responsive gene expression. However, further study may elucidate the function of KRT19 in key regulatory pathways upon cancer reprogramming and drug sensitivity in various cancer stem cells.

KRT19, a cytokeratin protein, is reported to be differentially expressed in several cancers. It is highly expressed in breast, colon, liver, and intestine cancer, and it is associated with poor clinical outcomes in patients [36,40,44,45]. KRT19 expression, however, is also known to be negatively correlated with cancer progression in breast cancer stem cell-like cells and cell lines [46–48]. So far, the function of KRT19 in breast cancer still remains to be revealed. In this study, we found the downregulated KRT19 expression pattern in breast cancer tissue when compared to the adjacent normal tissue, which is an agreement with Oncomine database. Oncomine search, a freely accessible database, could make the research easier to correlate the experimental data with published genome-wide RNAseq data [59,60]. The KRT19 expression is reported to be correlated with cancer progression and prognosis [36,44–47]. Our data also evidences that KRT19 expression is significantly associated with clinical outcomes in breast cancer. In this current study, we also used patient-derived KU-CSLC cells, which have high drug-resistance, stemness, tumorigenic, and aggressive cancer stem cell-like cell properties, and a commercially available aggressive MDA-MB231 cell line to identify the role of KRT19 in cancer reprogramming and drug sensitivity. Our results also demonstrated that the expression of KRT19 was very low in highly aggressive KU-CSLC cells, when compared to those of MDA-MB231, which we previously reported on [46]. In this study, we further demonstrated that the knockdown of KRT19 in MDA-MB231 cells significantly induced cell proliferation and sphere formation, suggesting that KRT19 is a potent cancer suppressor gene. Moreover, the silencing of KRT19 augmented cell migration and drug-resistance of MDA-MB231, which means that the low expression of KRT19 produced an aggressive phenotype in cancer cells, as previously reported [40,46,47].

In addition, we demonstrated that the expression of ALDH1, CXCR4, and CD133 was higher in KU-CSLCs than in the aggressive MDA-MB231 cell line. It is also reported that ALDH1, CXCR4, and CD133 are well-known markers of highly aggressive, drug-resistant, invasive, and cancer stem cell phenotypes [50,51], suggesting that our KU-CSLCs are highly aggressive and drug-resistant cancer stem cell-like cells. Recent studies indicate that the aberrant expression of ALDH1 contributes to cancer stem cell transformation from less aggressive cancer with the association of CD133 [61,62]. Moreover, CD133+ and CXCR4+ are reported to involve in cancer stem cell phenotype in various cancer types [63–65]. Thus, targeting ALDH1, CXCR4, and CD133 could provide us a possibility to target cancer stem cell population. We have demonstrated that KRT19 overexpression inhibited aggressiveness, including abnormal cell proliferation, sphere formation, migration, and drug resistance in KU-CSLCs. Moreover, key transcription factors comprising OCT4, KLF4, NANOG, and SOX2, which enhanced the iPSC generation and maintained the self-renewal properties in embryonic and somatic stem cells, have also been highly expressed in CSCs [8,57,58]. Previous studies also demonstrated that these transcription factors involved in cancer progression and associated with clinical outcomes [12–17,20–22]. Our data showed a corroboration evidence that overexpression/knockdown of KRT19 significantly altered the expression of OCT4, KLF4, NANOG, and SOX2 in KU-CSLC or MDA-MB231 cells. This implies that through OCT4, KLF4, NANOG, and SOX2 alteration, KRT19 regulates cancer stem cell reprogramming efficiency in KU-CSLCs.

Cancer metastasis is one of the crucial factors to develop cancer stem cell phenotype [66]. It is noted that stemness markers expression also regulates the metastasis properties in cancer cells [67–70]. Targeting cancer stem cell metastasis through the regulation of stemness markers would be the worthy solution in cancer treatment. Moreover, it is reported that OCT4 expression mediates cancer stem cell reprogramming in CD133+ lung cancer cells [71]. It also mediated drug resistance in prostate cancer. Other stem cell markers, in addition, contributed to cancer stem cell recurrence and
Our confirmative data showed that overexpression/knockdown of KRT19 significantly regulated the expression of EMT [76] and drug-resistance [77] markers in both KU-CSLC or MDA-MB231 cells, which may partially due to the regulation of stemness markers expression and imply a partial regulation of cancer phenotypes and sensitivity to potent anti-cancer drugs.

The pathologic reprogramming procedure in cancer is reported to be critical in regulating the CSC induction and cancer reappearance [78,79]. In our data, KRT19 not only regulates cancer stemness, metastasis, and drug resistance, but it also modulates the expression of stemness, metastatic, and drug-resistant markers. This phenomenon could mediate through the signaling pathways. It is reported that KRT19 regulates several signaling cascades, including PDGFRα/laminin B1/keratin 19, HER2/ERK/SP1, WNT/NUMB/NOTCH, EGR1/PTEN/AKT, etc. [37,40,41,46,47]. In this study, we have reported that KRT19 regulated the phosphorylation of Src and GSK3β at Tyr216, while the other signaling proteins, such as p-AKT, p-ERK, and p-JNK were unregulated. Src is reported to support ALDH1+ CSC phenotype and reprogramming in various cancer types, including breast cancer [80]. Moreover, Src is also involved in the regulation of invasion and migration in several cancer types [81,82] and the ablation of Src could alternate the CSC phenotype [83], which is corroborated with our data. Previous studies also reported that dysregulation of Src and GSK3β signaling could mediate cancer progression, metastasis, drug resistance, and ultimately transform into cancer stem cell phenotypes [83–86]. Factually, alterations in GSK-3 activity have been explored due to the changes in GSK-3α and GSK-3β phosphorylation at Ser21 and Ser9, respectively, by Akt activation [87]. But, the activation of GSK-3α and GSK-3β depends on the phosphorylation at Tyr-279 and Tyr-216 [88,89]. Moreover, a recent in vitro and in vivo study showed that targeting Src-mediated GSK3β phosphorylation at Tyr216 could inhibit prostate cancer progression [86]. Src is also essential for the wound healing and fibrotic events where p-GSK3β (Tyr216) played an important role in fibrotic events mean that Src kinase may modulate the GSK3β activity [86], which might be in agreement with our study that KRT19 mediated cancer stem cell reprogramming might be through the regulation of Src/GSK3β phosphorylation at Tyr216 in breast cancer. Hence, the function of KRT19 in cancer stem cell reprogramming and the drug-resistance pathway is remarkable (Figure 7), and it would be interesting to explore the underlying mechanisms in detail.

Figure 7. Schematic diagram demonstrating the KRT19-mediated modulation of cancer reprogramming.
4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Human Tissue Samples

Human breast tumor and normal tissue samples were collected from the Breast Cancer Center of Konkuk University Hospital with Institutional Review Board (IRB, KUH 1020003) approval. Breast tumor and normal tissues were collected from neoadjuvant chemotherapy (four cycles of preoperative Doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide)-treated stage III patients. The occupied tissues were rinsed with PBS (phosphate-buffered saline) and were incubated with type IV collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 20 min at room temperature (RT). A single-cell suspension was prepared by filtering the supernatant through a 100 µm cell strainer (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA, USA) and was cultured in DMEM (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS (fetal bovine serum; GE Healthcare Hyclone, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) and 1% P/S (100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin; GE Healthcare Hyclone) at 37 °C with 5% CO2. Unless stated otherwise, cells were cultured on the adherent tissue culture plates (Nunc). Cancer (C) and normal (N) tissue samples from five patients were used in the study.

4.2. Bioinformatics Analysis

Oncomine database (www.oncomine.org/) was used to obtain the KRT19 expression in breast cancer tissue and their normal tissues [59,60]. The fold change of KRT19 mRNA expression in breast cancer tissue when compared to their normal counterparts was obtained as the parameters of threshold p-value: $1 \times 10^{-4}$; fold change: 2; and, gene ranking in the top 10%.

Kaplan–Meier curves were obtained using the KMplot program (http://kmplot.com/analysis/) [90]. To analyze the clinical outcomes of KRT19 gene, the patient samples were split into two groups, according to the median gene expression of the probe of KRT19 (201650_at). The log-rank p-value and the hazard ratio with 95% confidence intervals was also calculated.

4.3. Cell Culture

MDA-MB231, a human breast cancer cell line, was acquired from ATCC (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA). KU-CSLCs (konkuk University-cancer stem cell-like cell; CD133high/CXCR4high/ALDH1high) were collected from breast tumor tissue specimens, which were derived from chemo-treated patients at the Breast Cancer Center, Konkuk University Hospital, Seoul. The study was performed with the consent of the Institutional Review Board (IRB, KUH 1020003). Cells (MDA-MB231 and KU-CSLCs) were cultured in either RPMI (Roswell Park Memorial Institute) 1640 or high glucose-DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) that was supplemented with 10% FBS (Hyclone) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Hyclone) at 5% CO2 and 37 °C in a humidified incubator. Short tandem repeat (STR) profiling was used for cell authentication and cells were tested by BioMycoX® Mycoplasma PCR Detection Kit for mycoplasma contamination detection (Cat. No. CS-D-25) (Cellsafe, Yeongtong-Gu, Suwon, Republic of Korea).

4.4. Total RNA Extraction and Reverse Transcriptase-PCR (RT-PCR) Analyses

For total RNA isolation, cells were harvested using a total RNA extraction kit (iNtRON Biotechnology, Jungwon-Gu, Seongnam-Si, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea) by following the manufacturer’s instructions. The concentration of total RNA was quantified using a Nanodrop (ND1000) (Nanodrop Technologies Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA). Afterward, cDNA was synthesized using 1–2 µg of total RNA with M-MLV reverse transcriptase (RTase) (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), following the manufacturer’s instructions.

4.5. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and Quantitative Real-Time-PCR (qRT-PCR)

After the cDNA synthesis, PCR analysis was carried out using rTaq Plus 5X PCR master mix (Elpis biotech, Seo-gu, Daejeon, Republic of Korea), following the manufacturer’s instructions. The primer
sequences that were used in this study are listed in Table 1. The PCR products were run on 1.5% agarose gels and imaged. The density of the PCR band was analyzed using ImageJ software. Quantitative real-time-PCR was performed using a thermal cycler (PTC-200) with a Chromo4 optical detector (MJ Research/BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) using Fast 2X SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Stockholm, Sweden). The housekeeping gene GAPDH was used as loading control, as described previously [46,91].

Table 1. Primer sequences for specific genes used for RT-PCR analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession No.</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward Primer (5′→3′)</th>
<th>Reverse Primer (5′→3′)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NM_000689.4</td>
<td>ALDH1</td>
<td>CTGCTGGCGACAATGGAGT</td>
<td>GTCAGCCAACCTGCAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_001008540.2</td>
<td>CXCR4</td>
<td>CGCCACCACAAGTCAAGAC</td>
<td>AACACAACACCACACACATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_001145852.1</td>
<td>CD133</td>
<td>CTGCCATGACTCTGCTGCTG</td>
<td>TGTCAGAGAGCATGCAAGTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_002046.5</td>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>AAACCTCACACATCTCCAG</td>
<td>CACAGTACAAAGTTGATGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_002276.4</td>
<td>KRT19</td>
<td>GCGAGCTAGAGTAGTACAGG</td>
<td>CGGAAGCTCATCTGCAGCCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_004827.2</td>
<td>ARBG2</td>
<td>TTATCCGTGGGTTGTCTGG</td>
<td>TCCTGTTGAGGGCTTCAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_004996.3</td>
<td>ABC1</td>
<td>GCTGGGTAAGGATGATGACT</td>
<td>CTGAGAAGCAGATGGAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_001348946.1</td>
<td>ABCB1</td>
<td>GAGGAAGACATGCAAGGTA</td>
<td>CTTGCGGATTATAGCAAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_00285966.1</td>
<td>OCT4</td>
<td>TCTCCAGCGACATCAAGCTC</td>
<td>CTCAGGGTGGCTTCCTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_002701.5</td>
<td>OCT4A</td>
<td>GTGGAAGCTGGAGGAACTG</td>
<td>CAAAGGCGCCGATCTCACAGTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_00285987.1</td>
<td>OCT4B</td>
<td>ATGCATGAGTCAGTGAACAG</td>
<td>CCTGGCAGCTGCTTCAAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_00289921.7</td>
<td>KLF4</td>
<td>GAATAGCAGAAGGACTACCC</td>
<td>TGGTGCTGAGGCTTCAAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_003106.3</td>
<td>SOX2</td>
<td>ACGAACCAGGCAAGGAGTAG</td>
<td>GCAAGAAGGCTTCTTGGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_002465.3</td>
<td>NANO6</td>
<td>ATACCTCAGGCTGACTACACA</td>
<td>CCGAGATGAGAGATCTTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_002467.4</td>
<td>C-MYC</td>
<td>TGGATGACTGCTGCTGCTG</td>
<td>TCCTGTCGGACATTCGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_001126118.1</td>
<td>PS3</td>
<td>GCCAAACACCCAGACTCTC</td>
<td>CTTGCGACAGCTTGGGCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_001314052.1</td>
<td>E-cadherin</td>
<td>GCCAATAAGCAAACGATTGCTG</td>
<td>TTTGCCGGATGACCTTCCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_001317185.1</td>
<td>OCLN</td>
<td>GCCAATAAGCAAACGATTGCTG</td>
<td>TTTGCCGGATGACCTTCCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM_000474.3</td>
<td>C-MYC</td>
<td>TGGATGACTGCTGCTGCTG</td>
<td>TCCTGTCGGACATTCGCTC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.6. In Vivo Tumorigenicity Analysis

For in vivo tumorigenicity analysis, five-month old female SCID (severe combined immunodeficiency) mice were used [92], which were obtained from Korean Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology (KRIIBB) (Republic of Korea). For generating the tumor, MDA-MB231 or KU-CSLCs cells (10^2, 10^3, or 10^4 cells) were inoculated subcutaneously into the lower flanks of the mice. The tumor formation and growth of the mice were monitored, and the mice were sacrificed at four weeks post-tumor generation. Subsequent tumor volumes and weights were calculated, as described previously [93]. During the study, mice were allocated into two groups, non-randomly, based on their body weight. Each group contained at least five mice. The mice were omitted if they died or did not generate any tumor. The investigator was blinded during the study for the allocation of the study. According to the institutional guidelines, the mice were cared for and treated with permission (KU16003).

4.7. Knockdown and Overexpression of KRT19

For short hairpin RNA (shRNA) knockdown assay, sense and antisense oligonucleotides for control (scramble), and KRT19 (shKRT19), as described in our previous report [46], containing BamHI and EcoRI restriction sites, were annealed using annealing buffer [100 mM NaCl, Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer (pH 8) (Sigma-Aldrich)]. Afterward, the annealed double-stranded oligonucleotides were cloned into the pGreenPuro lentiviral shRNA expression vector (System Biosciences, Mountain View, CA, USA) to construct shKRT19 or Scramble vectors.

For the overexpression of KRT19, first, its complete coding sequence (CDS) was cloned into the pGEM®-T Easy vector (Promega) using the T vector primers, which were designed by the primer3 program (http://primer3.ut.ee/). The complete CDS without the stop codon was further cloned into the pCDH-EF1-MCS-T2A-copGFP (pCDH) lentiviral vector (System Biosciences) containing XbaI and EcoRI restriction sites, to construct the KRT19-oe vector, with the empty pCDH vector used as a control.
The primers that were used for the T Easy vector and pCDH vector clone were described in our previous report [46].

For lentivirus production, human HEK293T cells were transfected with viral packaging plasmids (i.e., RRE and REV) and the specific lentiviral expression vector using the calcium phosphate standard transfection protocol. Viruses were collected and were concentrated using an ultracentrifuge (Optima L-90K, Beckman Coulter Inc., Brea, CA, USA) at 48 h post incubation, at 5% CO₂ and 37 °C. Either scrambled or shKRT19 lentiviruses were then infected to MDA-MB231 cells, while KU-CSLCs were infected with either control (vector) or KRT19-overexpressing (KRT19-oe) lentiviruses and were incubated overnight at 5% CO₂ and 37 °C. Afterward, the virus-containing media was changed and cells were maintained with normal growth media. To produce stably expressing cells, ~8.0 × 10⁸ IU/mL viral particles were incubated with cells. To calculate virus titer, we used the following equation, titer in IU/mL = [(number cells at starting time) × (dilution factor) × (percent of infection)/(volume of virus solution added, expressed in mL)], as described previously [94]. The <95% virus-infected GFP-expressing cells were used for the future study, and knockdown or overexpression was confirmed by RT-PCR and WB analyses.

4.8. Western Blotting (WB) Analysis

For WB analysis, cells were harvested using cell lysis buffer [100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol (Amresco, Solon, OH, USA), 50 mM sodium fluoride (Sigma-Aldrich), 1 mM sodium orthovanadate (Sigma-Aldrich), 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonfonyl fluoride (PMSF; Sigma-Aldrich), and 1 mM p-nitrophenyl phosphate (Sigma-Aldrich)], and the lysates were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C. For protein quantification, Bradford protein assay reagent (BioRad) was used, and the quantified proteins were separated either 10 or 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). The separated proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad, Philadelphia, PA, USA). Afterward, the membranes were stained with 1× Ponceau staining for 5 min, and then blocked with 5% skimmed milk in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) for 1 h. The membranes were then incubated with primary antibodies against KRT19 (SC-53258), AKT (SC-1619), phospho (p)-AKT (SC-16646-R), GSK3β (SC-9166), p-GSK3β-Ser9 (SC-11757), ERK (SC-153), p-ERK (SC-7383), c-Src (SC-18), JNK (SC-7345), p-JNK (SC-6254), actin (SC-1616) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA) p-Src (2101S) (Cell signaling, Danvers, MA, USA), and p-GSK3β-Tyr216 (05-413) (Merck Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA), overnight at 4 °C on a shaker. After overnight incubation, the membranes were washed with TBST for 30 min and were then incubated with appropriate secondary antibodies, including anti-mouse (SC-2005), -goat (SC-2020), or -rabbit (SC-2004) IgGs tagged with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) for 2 h at room temperature (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). After washing the membranes, protein expressions were detected on X-ray films using an enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) kit (Amersham Bioscience, Piscataway, NJ, USA), as described previously [46,91,95]. To detect the expression of KRT19, we exposed the X-ray films for alternative time points (Alt. expos.) on the membranes, which are shown in Figure 3A.

4.9. Cell Proliferation Analysis

For cell proliferation analysis, cells (2 × 10⁴ cells/well) were cultured in 12-well dishes. Cells were cultured for four days; every 24 h, the cells were trypsinized and enumerated three times using a hemocytometer, as described previously [46,96].

4.10. Sphere Formation Assay

For the analysis of sphere formation, Cells (4 × 10⁴ cells/well) were cultured in 35 mm non-coated dishes with SM (sphere-formation medium: serum-free DMEM/F12 supplemented with 10 µg/mL insulin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 0.4% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma-Aldrich), B27-supplement (1:50; Invitrogen), and 20 ng/mL epidermal growth factor (EGF; Sigma-Aldrich)).
After five days of culture, Spheres from MDA-MB-231 and KU-CSLCs were collected and stained with crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich). The stained spheres were photographed using a Nikon Eclipse TE2000-U microscope (Nikon Instruments Inc., Melville, NY, USA) prior to colony enumerating, as described previously [46].

4.11. Wound-Healing/Migration Assay

For wound-healing/migration analysis, 1 × 10^6 cells were seeded in 60-mm cell culture dishes and were grown to ~90% confluence. Prior to the analysis, the cells were treated with mitomycin C (10 µg/mL) for 3–4 h at 5% CO₂ and 37 °C, to prevent cell growth. After that, the cell monolayer was scratched with a 200 µL pipette tip to create a wound. Subsequently, the cells were washed three times with 1× PBS and images of the wound were taken using a Nikon Eclipse TE2000-U microscope (Nikon Instruments Inc.) at the indicated time-points (0, 12, and 24 h), as described previously [46,97].


For the analysis of drug-resistance, cells (1 × 10^5 cells/well) were cultured in 12-well cell culture dishes and were cultured overnight at 5% CO₂ and 37 °C. Afterward, cells were incubated with various doses of doxorubicin (DOX; 0.01, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, and 1 µM, respectively) and were cultured for another 48 h. After 48 h incubation, cells were counted or medium was exchanged with a fresh medium containing EZ-Cytox (Daeil Lab Service, Seoul, Korea) and was incubated for an additional 3–4 h at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm by using a microplate reader Bio-Rad x-Mark™ spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad). The results were represented as a percentage (%) of surviving cells, as described previously [46,98].

4.13. Statistical Analyses

For statistical analysis, all of the experiments were independently undertaken at least three times. Data were presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) using Microsoft Excel 2010 software and statistical analyses were performed by ANOVA with a Bonferroni adjustment for comparing treated vs. control group by GraphPad InStat V. 3.0 software (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). Results were considered to be statistically significant for p-values < 0.05.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our current study has revealed that there is an inverse relationship between the expression of KRT19 and breast cancer progression. We showed that KRT19 was downregulated in highly aggressive patient-derived KU-CSLCs, and that the overexpression of KRT19 could inhibit aggressiveness, including cell proliferation, sphere formation, migration, and drug resistance (overviewed in Figure 7), by suppressing the expression of the genes associated with these phenotypes. Moreover, after the knockdown of KRT19 in relatively high KRT19 expressing cells, MDA-MB231 induces cell proliferation, sphere formation, migration, and drug resistance and their responsive gene expression. In addition, we demonstrated that the overexpression or knockdown of KRT19 regulated cancer stem cell reprogramming by modulating the expression of cancer stem cell markers (ALDH1, CXCR4, and CD133) as well as the level of p-Src and p-GSK3β (Tyr216). Thus, our data suggest that KRT19 might function as a promising tumor suppressor gene for targeting highly aggressive patient-derived ALDH1-high, CXCR4-high, and CD133-high breast cancer stem cell-like cells, and could enable cancer cell reprogramming to less aggressive and more drug-sensitive cells.
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Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KRT19</td>
<td>cytokeratin 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPSC</td>
<td>induced pluripotent stem cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>pluripotent stem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSC</td>
<td>cancer stem cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KU-CSLC</td>
<td>konkuk University-cancer stem cell-like cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRT19-oe</td>
<td>keratin 19-overexpression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF</td>
<td>Transcription factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qRT-PCR</td>
<td>quantitative real-time-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shRNA</td>
<td>short hairpin RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shKRT19</td>
<td>short hairpin keratin19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCID</td>
<td>severe combined immunodeficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB</td>
<td>western blot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMT</td>
<td>epithelial to mesenchymal transition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET</td>
<td>mesenchymal to epithelial transition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOX</td>
<td>doxorubicin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STR</td>
<td>short tandem repeat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-PAGE</td>
<td>sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBS</td>
<td>tris-buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>phosphate-buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBST</td>
<td>tris-buffered saline Tween 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRP</td>
<td>horseradish peroxidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECL</td>
<td>enhanced chemiluminescence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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