Cytotoxic Effects of Vicicitus globosus (Class Dictyochophyceae) and Chattonella marina (Class Raphidophyceae) on Rotifers and Other Microalgae

Fook Hoe Chang

National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research Ltd., P.O. Box 14-901 Kilbirnie, Wellington 6241, New Zealand; E-Mail: h.chang@niwa.co.nz; Tel.: +064-4-3860300; Fax: +064-4-3862153

Academic Editor: Daniel M. Alongi

Received: 1 April 2015 / Accepted: 27 May 2015 / Published: 2 June 2015

Abstract: Cultures of Vicicitus globosus (previously Chattonella globosa) and Chattonella marina, established during the 2010 fish kill event in Mahanga Bay, Wellington Harbour, are confirmed to be cytotoxic. The aggregate potency of lipophilic cell extracts of each species were evaluated using three species each of flagellates, dinoflagellates and diatoms, and a rotifer as test organisms. The cell extract of V. globosus destroyed cells of all nine microalgae in a matter of a few minutes to less than 15 min, while that of C. marina, destroyed all species over 10 to 30 min. The lipophilic extract of V. globosus caused partial disintegration of both theca wall and cytoplasm of cells of Alexandrium catenella in a matter of minutes. This effect, however, was not observed in cells of A. catenella exposed to that of C. marina. Tests conducted on rotifers showed similar fast-acting trends, with animals exposed to a cell extract of V. globosus died in a much shorter time (Lt50 = 80 min) than those exposed to that of C. marina (20 h).
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1. Introduction

Vicicitus globosus (Y. Hara and Chihara) F. H. Chang and Chattonella marina (Subrahmanyan) Y. Hara and Chihara are golden brown, heterokont flagellates. Previously, both species were assigned to the class Raphidophyceae. Recent phylogenetic analyses conducted by Chang et al. 2012 [1] indicated
that *V. globosus* is closely related to *Dictyocha speculum* Ehrenberg and *D. octonaria* Ehrenberg. Hence, this species has been transferred to the Class Dictyochophyceae.

In New Zealand, *Vicicitus globosus* (Figure 1A–C) has been recorded on many occasions in the Harauki Gulf, Wellington Harbour, and Marlborough Sounds ([1,2], MacKenzie pers. com.). This species has also been widely reported in the coastal waters of Japan [3,4], Southern China [5], South-East Asia, Australia, Canada [6], Greece [7], the far eastern coast of Russia [8] and Brazil [9]. Like *V. globosus*, *Chattonella marina* (Figure 1D) is also very widespread and has been found in New Zealand, Australia, Japan, Korea, India, Netherlands, Norway, Brazil, and the USA [2,6,10,11].

**Figure 1.** (A,B) vegetative cells, one showing a long flagellum (arrowhead) at the anterior end of the *Vicicitus globosus* cell; and (C) a mix of small, gamete-like cells and large multinucleate cells (arrowhead); (D) a range of eight different morphotypes of vegetative cells of *Chattonella marina* observed, from exponential to very late stationary growth phases. (scale bars of A, B = 10 μm, C = 60 μm; D = 10 μm).

Both *Vicicitus globosus* and *Chattonella marina* are ichthyotoxic. As *C. marina*, *C. antiqua* (Hada) Ono and *C. ovata* Y. Hara and Chihara are genetically indistinguishable from each other (e.g., [12–15]) they are considered to be conspecific. In Japan, blooms of both *Chattonella marina* and *V. globosus*, that have occurred in coastal waters, resulted in mortality of farmed and wild fish (e.g., [3,15–18]). Thus far, fish kills attributed to only *C. marina* blooms have been reported in South Australia [19], Norway [11],
and the Salton Sea of California, USA [10]. Fish killing events associated with build-up of either *V. globosus* or *C. marina*, however, have not yet been recorded in New Zealand.

*Chattonella marina* has been claimed to produce a fat-soluble toxin similar to brevetoxin (e.g., [20,21]). However, recent studies of Marshall *et al.* [19] and McNabb *et al.* [22] failed to detect the presence of significant quantities of this toxin in both the Australian and New Zealand strains. Nevertheless, this fat-soluble toxin has been suggested to be mainly responsible for the toxic effects of this species (e.g., [20,21,23]). Even though reactive oxygen species (ROS) were previously associated with fish mortalities (e.g., [24,25]), it was concluded by studies of Marshall *et al.* [19] that the polyunsaturated fatty acid eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) in the presence of superoxide can account for the high fish-killing potential. Based on a comparative toxicity study of both *C. marina* and *Karenia brevis*, Shen *et al.* [26], however, argued that ichthyotoxins, including brevetoxin and lipophilic compounds, such as free fatty acids and hemolysins, are unlikely to be the principal toxins causing fish deaths. Very little, however, is known about ichthyotoxicity and toxins of *V. globosus*.

During the fish kill event of May 2010 in Wellington Harbour, New Zealand, *Heterosigma akashiwo* (Hada) Hada was found to dominate a multispecies bloom, with *Karenia concordia* Chang, *Vicicitus globosus* and *Pseudochattonella* cf. *verruculosa* as subdominant [2,27]. A very small number of *Chattonella marina* was also recorded after the multispecies blooms. Both *V. globosus* and *C. marina* were successfully cultured from the harbour during this period and provided an opportunity to evaluate the harmful effects of lipophilic cell extracts of these two species on a range of algal species and on rotifers.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cultures and Growth Conditions

A small number of both *Vicicitus globosus* and *Chattonella marina* cells were collected during the June 2010 multispecies bloom (temperature 13–14 °C) from Wellington Harbour (41°17′ S, 174°46′ E) [2]. Cells of each species was individually isolated, one at a time, using a fine capillary tube and transferred into a 24-well cell culture plate (Corning Inc., New York, USA) in GSe medium (salinity 31 ppt) [28] as described in Chang *et al.* [27]. The established, non-axenic isolates of both *V. globosus* and *C. marina* were maintained at 18 °C in a constant temperature room under cool white fluorescent light (160 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$).

2.2. Cell Extraction and Cytotoxicity Tests

Ten litres each of both *Vicicitus globosus* (strain NIWA 1008) and *Chattonella marina* (strain NIWA 1022) harvested in mid-log growth phase, were used for bioactive compound extraction. Since brevetoxins and free fatty acids are lipophilic compounds, these cultures were extracted with 2 L of dichloromethane/methanol (3:1, v/v) [29,30]. After the addition of these solvents, the cultures were left overnight to allow separation into two phases. The upper water soluble phase of each culture, separated subsequently from the lower dichloromethane phase using a separatory funnel, was discarded and further extracted twice with dichloromethane/methanol. The combined lower solvent-soluble phase was evaporated to dryness under vacuum. The dry residue of each species was dissolved in 2 mL of absolute methanol and stored at −20 °C for subsequent tests. The lipophilic extract of *V. globosus* contained
c. 6.4 × 10^7 cells while that of C. marina contained c. 7.3 × 10^7 cells. Crude toxin extracts of V. globosus and C. marina were designated as VgTx and CmTx, respectively.

Cytotoxicity tests were conducted on three species each of dinoflagellates, microflagellates and diatoms, and also on rotifers as described in Chang [29] and Chang and Gall [30] (Table 1). Duplicates of 2 mL of each algal culture in exponential growth phase were transferred into each individual well of the 24-well cell culture plate. Forty microliters of VgTx and CmTx, the lipophilic extract of a non-toxic Nannochloropsis sp. control, and absolute methanol blank, were separately mixed into 2 mL algal culture in each well.

Table 1. Cytotoxic effects of the lipophilic extracts of Vicicitus globosus (strain NIWA 1008) (VgTx) and Chattonella marina (strain NIWA 1022) (CmTx) on three species each of dinoflagellates, three classes of microflagellates, diatoms, and also on rotifers (Lt50 = time taken to kill half the number (50%) of the test organisms).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>VgTx</th>
<th>CmTx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class Dinophyceae</td>
<td><em>Alexandrium catenella</em></td>
<td>11 min</td>
<td>25 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Dictyochophyceae</td>
<td><em>Vicicitus globosus</em></td>
<td>7 min</td>
<td>30 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Raphidophyceae</td>
<td><em>Chattonella marina</em></td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>25 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Cryptophyceae</td>
<td><em>Rhodomonas sp.</em></td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>16 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Bacillariophyceae</td>
<td><em>Ditylum brightwellii</em></td>
<td>9 min</td>
<td>18 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Bacillariophyceae</td>
<td><em>Lauderia annulata</em></td>
<td>12 min</td>
<td>22 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Bacillariophyceae</td>
<td><em>Chaetoceros sp.</em></td>
<td>11 min</td>
<td>20 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Bacillariophyceae</td>
<td>Rotifers</td>
<td>80 min</td>
<td>20 h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For tests on rotifers, 60 μL of VgTx, CmTx, the algal and methanol blank controls were also separately added to duplicates of 2 ml rotifer culture (each contained about 20 individuals) in each well. The methanol concentration of either the control or lipophilic extract in each well never exceeded 2% (v/v), a concentration, which had previously been shown to have no effect on the assay [29]. In this study, the time taken for half of algal cells to lyse or rotifers to die (with destruction of internal structure) was recorded as lethal time 50% or Lt50.

3. Results

3.1. Effects of Lipophilic Extracts of Vicicitus globosus and Chattonella marina on Microalgal Cells

When exposed to VgTx all nine algal species, representing five algal classes, showed a relatively short Lt50 (<15 min) (Table 1); cells of all nine species tested (including those having protoplasts maintained within the silicate cell walls), were destroyed by lysis caused by VgTx. Moreover, VgTx
appeared to be able to destroy cells of all three classes of microflagellates, namely Dictyochophyceae, Raphidophyceae and Cryptophyceae, faster than those of dinoflagellates and diatoms. Algal cells exposed to CmTx, however, did not respond as fast as that of VgTx. With the exception of diatoms, the Lt50 of CmTx was generally more than double (16–30 min) that of VgTx. Cells of all nine algal species, when exposed to either lipophilic extract of the non-toxic *Nannochloropsis* sp. control or the methanol blank, remained intact and healthy throughout the tests.

During the course of toxicological tests, only two species, *Alexandrium catenella* and *Chattonella marina*, showed distinct responses to VgTx and CmTx. In the following descriptions of specific response of each species to VgTx and CmTx were separately presented here.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** Light micrographs of *Alexandrium catenella* cells exposed to (A), methanol in the control experiment for 24 h; (B), lipophilic extract of *Vicicitus globosus* (VgTx) for 2 min, showing part of the cellulose armoured plate being ‘dissolved’ leaving a hole (arrowhead) in the cell wall; (C), VgTx for 11 min, showing almost half of each cell in the 2-cell chain being “dissolved” away; (D), lipophilic extract of *Chattonella marina* (CmTx) for 10 min, showing the enlarged prooplast being released from the theca; (E), CmTx for 20 min, showing the disintegrated, enlarged prooplast. (scale bars from A to E = 5 μm).

3.1.1. *Alexandrium catenella*

A small number of *A. catenella* cells in the test culture generally existed in a two-cell chain (Figure 2A). Within 30 s of being exposed to VgTx, flagella of each *A. catenella* cell disintegrated, and then the two cells in the chain became detached. Within 5 min a portion of the armoured plate with cytoplasm of the thecate form started to “dissolve” away, leaving behind a big “hole” on each cell (Figure 2B). In the next
couple of minutes, some of the partially disintegrated cells broke up into two or more pieces (Figure 2C). Approximately 50% of cells were destroyed within 11 min.

In contrast, armoured plates of all *A. catenella* cells exposed to CmTx remained virtually intact. In the first few minutes the protoplasts held within the armoured plates rounded up; the enlarged protoplasts eventually pushed their way out of the cellulose cell walls (Figure 2D). At this point a very small number of cells with intact flagella still maintained their mobility. In 25 min, approx. 50% of the detached protoplasts became disintegrated (Figure 2E).

3.1.2. *Chattonella marina*

When exposed to VgTx most of the ovoid shaped *Chattonella marina* cells (Figure 3A) responded by rounding up in the first 2–3 min (Figure 3B). In the next few seconds a large amount of cellular material discharged from the cell. Eventually, the cell collapsed leaving a cloud of cellular material surrounding the lysed cell (Figure 3C). Approximately 50% of *C. marina* cells were destroyed within 10 min of being exposed to VgTx.

![Image of Chattonella marina cells exposed to different treatments](image)

**Figure 3.** Light micrographs of *Chattonella marina* cells exposed to (A), methanol in the control experiment for 10 h, showing a healthy cell; (B), lipophilic extract of *Vicicittus globosus* (VgTx) for 2 min, showing large amount of cellular materials being discharged from the cell; (C), VgTx for 5 min, showing the collapsed cell surrounded by a cloud of cellular material; (D), lipophilic extract of *Chattonella marina* (CmTx) for c. 12 min, showing a little of cellular materials being released from the cell; (E), CmTx for 20 min, showing the collapse of a disintegrated cell. (scale bars from A to E = 5 μm).

The response of *Chattonella marina* exposed to CmTx, however, differed slightly from those of VgTx. About 15% of cells rounded up in the first 20 min (Figure 3D). As with cells treated with VgTx,
a small amount of cellular material discharged from the cell. About 50% of these cells lysed in 25 min with not very much of cellular materials being found outside the cells (Figure 3E).

3.2. Cytotoxicity on Rotifers

Rotifers exposed to either the lipophilic extract of non-toxic *Nannochloropsis* sp. or methanol blank remained healthy throughout the tests (Figure 4A). When 2 mL of rotifer culture in the culture well was spiked with 60 μL of VgTx, within 10 min, individual animals became motionless, and in c. 80 min, half of them died (with internal structure destroyed and cellular materials discharged from the dead individual) (Figure 4B). In separate tests, 50% of rotifers exposed to 60 μL of CmTx died in c. 20 h (Figure 4C).

![Figure 4](image_url). Light micrographs of rotifers exposed to (A), methanol in the control experiment for 24 h, showing a healthy individual; (B), lipophilic extract of *Vicicitus globosus* (VgTx) for c. 80 min, showing cellular materials being discharged from the dead individual (arrowhead); (C), lipophilic extract of *Chattonella marina* (CmTx) for c. 20 h, showing cellular materials being discharged from the dead individual (arrowhead). (scale bars from A to C = 100 μm).

4. Discussion

In this study lipophilic extracts of *Vicicitus globosus* and *Chattonella marina* were found to cause destruction and death of both algal cells and rotifers in a relatively short time, confirming that lipophilic extracts of both species are cytotoxic to all test organisms. In terms of potency, the lipophilic extract of *V. globosus* appeared to act faster than that of *C. marina*. Nevertheless, both toxins are more like those of *Karenia concordia* Chang and Ryan and *K. brevisulcata* (Chang) Gert Hansen and Moestrup, which are fast-acting (cultured under the same conditions and causing algal cell destruction in tens of minutes), and less like that of *K. mikimotoi* (Miyake and Kominami ex Oda) Gert Hansen and Moestrup (killed in matters of hours) [29].

It is quite clear that toxins produced by *Vicicitus globosus* and *Chattonella marina* killed by acting on the membrane of cells, indicating that these lipophilic extracts are hemolytic cytotoxins. These observations are consistent with those made by Dafni and Shilo [31], Gentien and Azul [32], Deeds [33], Place et al. [34], and Chang [29] on several other marine life-killing algae (e.g., *Prymnesium parvum*, *Karlodinium veneficum* (Ballatine) J. Larsen (previously *K. micrum*), *Karenia concordia*, *K. brevisulcata* and *K. mikimotoi*). These hemolytic cytotoxins are thought to change the permeability of the cell membrane
to a range of ions leading to cell death through swelling and eventually osmotic lysis of cells [31,33–35]. Lipophilic extract produced by *Vicicitus globosus*, however, also killed by partially “dissolving” both the armoured plate and cytoplasm of the thecate *Alexandrium catenella* cell in a relatively short time and, thus, resembled the toxin produced by *K. concordia* (KcTx) [29]. Apparently this effect differs from hemolytic cytotoxins (including CmTx) that cause only osmotic lysis of cells. It is, therefore, likely that the unique action of VgTx also involved an undiscovered process reminiscent of KcTx.

Lipophilic extracts of *Vicicitus globosus* and *Chattonella marina* might contain not only the principal toxins that have already been characterised but also other known or unknown bioactive compounds. Hence observations made in this study could be an aggregate response of each species to the toxins produced by both *V. globosus* and *C. marina*. For example, the Japanese strain of *C. marina* was reported to produce a fat-soluble toxin similar to brevetoxin (e.g., [21,22]), while that of the Australian and New Zealand strains of *C. marina*, had virtually no brevetoxin [19,22]. Moreover, the Australian strain also had high levels of reactive oxygen radicals and free polyunsaturated fatty acids (FFAs) (no data on the New Zealand strain) [19]. As very few studies were conducted on *V. globosus* (probably due to lack of viable cultures), not much is known about the toxins and other bioactive compounds produced by this species. The only piece of information on *V. globosus*, as with both the Australian and New Zealand strains of *C. marina*, is that it does not produce brevetoxin (chloroform extract of the New Zealand *V. globosus* analysed by A.J. Bourdelais at the University of North Carolina at Wilmington, USA, showed negative results). Given that the distinct action of VgTx against thecal plates of *Alexandrium catenella*, observed in this study, is not known to be typical of the FFA’s or any of the known toxins, the involvement of an, as yet, unknown lipophilic bioactive compounds cannot be ruled out.

In conclusion, this study confirmed that lipophilic extracts of both the New Zealand strains of *Vicicitus globosus* and *Chattonella marina*, reminiscent of their overseas counterparts, are cytotoxic to all the organisms tested. Even though both VgTx and CmTx are considered to be fast-acting, the former appeared to destroy algal cells and kill rotifers a little faster than that of the latter. Moreover VgTx destroyed not only the cellulose-armoured plates of *Alexandrium catenella*, but also cytoplasm of the cell. No such response, however, was observed when testing with CmTx, reflecting a difference in the chemical nature of both the active compounds produced by *V. globosus* and *C. marina*.
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