Landscapes have long been viewed as complex, synthetic entities reflecting the human imprint upon the land. Efforts have been made for a number of decades now to plan, manage, and use them on a “multi-functional” and sustainable basis, integrating all of their dimensions—ecological, economic, socio-cultural, historical, aesthetic, etc. (Wascher 2000 [1]; Wu 2013 [2]). Landscape scale approaches have been fundamental to the understanding of past and present cultural evolution and are now considered to be an appropriate spatial framework for the analysis of land systems sustainability (Buttimer 1998 [3]; Terkenli 2005 [4]). The methods and tools of landscape analysis and intervention have also greatly evolved since their early development in Europe and North America (Fairclough et al. 2018 [5]). Although significant progress has been made, there remain many issues which are understudied or not investigated at all, at least in a Mediterranean context, as local contexts greatly impact the applicability of landscape characterization and planning approaches.

This special volume in LAND focuses on the application of landscape theory and practice in the Eastern Mediterranean and mainly reports on the outcomes of an international conference held in Jordan in December 2015 upon the closure of the MEDSCAPES PROJECT (Vogiatzakis et al. 2015 [6]), with the title “International Conference on Landscapes of the Eastern Mediterranean: challenges, opportunities, prospects and accomplishments”. The focus of this volume constitutes a timely and growing area of research interest, not only because these landscapes have so far been understudied, but also because the Eastern Mediterranean region is a rich site of strikingly variegated, long-standing, multi-cultural human-environmental interactions (Braudel 1972 [7]; King et al. 1997 [8]; Grove and Rackham 2001 [9]; Lowenthal 2015 [10]). These interactions, resting on and taking shape through millennia of continuity in tradition, have been striving to adapt to technological advances, while currently juggling numerous multi-layered socio-economic and climate-environmental crises.

Landscape science and public awareness in Europe have been progressing in leaps and bounds. The challenges involved in landscape-related issues and fields are, nonetheless, still multiple, and refer to landscape stewardship and protection as well as to the development of comprehensive relevant theoretical and methodological approaches, in tandem with public sensitization and participatory governance, and in coordination with appropriate top-down planning and policy instruments. By definition (Council of Europe, 2000 [11]), landscape has long been established as the medium and product of human ways of relating to our surroundings. Significant inroads have been made in recent research endeavors towards almost all aspects of landscape analysis and interventions, including landscape ecology, rural sociology, and urban gentrification. For instance, the theory of landscape ecology, founded and described in the seminal work by Forman and Godron (1986) [12], set the scene...
for a paradigm shift in ecology from site-based to landscape-based processes. Although the landscape may appear to be a principally material or physical entity, it is experienced as a synthetic whole through living, traveling, or simply seeing it from afar, as illustrated by recent scientific perspectives, such as “interactive”, “enactive”, “embodied”, or “more-than-representational” geographies. The erroneous distinction between natural and cultural landscapes is still encountered: an artificial distinction, since, in the Mediterranean—and particularly the Eastern Mediterranean—very few areas free of human intervention remain (Vogiatzakis 2012 [13]; Vogiatzakis et al. 2008 [14]). Indeed, Mediterranean landscapes merit increased and more focused attention, on the basis of their historically continuous and heavy exposure to human impact (Lowenthal 2015 [10]), exacerbated, in recent decades, by conditions of strife and crisis.

The significance, then, of this task of applying conventional Western knowledge and practices to this part of the world first lies in filling a gap. The Eastern Mediterranean has been relatively lagging and in great need of such methods and spatial interventions vis-à-vis its landscapes, but finding itself increasingly at a crossroads where serious such efforts are starting to be undertaken. Meanwhile, it represents an especially fragile part of the earth, where cultural and environmental resource deterioration has been progressing at rapid rates (i.e. desertification, water depletion, cultural destruction, ecological impoverishment etc.). On the basis of the fragility of the Eastern Mediterranean region, due to its location, fragmentated and confined configuration, intricate geomorphology and tectonism, but also due to its vulnerability (i.e. to human use, through tourism, poor or lacking planning practices, overpopulation and heavy urbanization), this collective effort becomes quite timely. It is timely not only because of rampant environmental change, presenting a series of risks and hazards, especially when combined with detrimental human impact on the land; it becomes timely and useful also because of growing forces of change and recent socio-political upheaval in this part of the world (wars, socio-economic crises, geopolitical tensions). These trends call for new inroads in landscape risk assessment methodologies, in the context of landscape decision-making and support systems. Furthermore, new information and communication technologies, referring to and assisting almost all sectors of human activity, present ever-expanding arenas of human-landscape interactions. All of these methods, tools, and technologies are showing signs of being enthusiastically embraced by the societies of the region here under focus.

While the transfer of Western knowledge and practices to the Middle East has been more or less established, the MEDSCAPES project offered some interesting reverse possibilities and potential. In this regard, a promising example concerns public participation in landscape stewardship, through lessons acquired from the Arab-speaking world, namely the HIMA traditions. Participatory practices in landscape planning and management that we have begun to apply in the ‘Western’ world during the past few decades have been commonplace custom in the ‘Near-Eastern’ world for millennia.

Finally, this undertaking highlights an area begging for further scientific exploration in terms of the long-standing historically-rooted connections and interactions between the peoples of the Eastern Mediterranean region, and continuously bearing fruit in terms of revealing its closely-knit common cultural character and trajectories. Just a few examples: Greece was enriched by Egypt and Phoenicia, Rome by Greece, Byzantium by the Balkans, Southeastern Europe by the Middle East, and so on. Most significantly, though, this collective task becomes very relevant because it attempts to elucidate and understand the very different ways in which indigenous populations of the Eastern Mediterranean have related to their surroundings through time and space, as well as their contemporary rich and variegated traditions and concepts of landscape and space.

This is broadly reflected also in the structure of this volume. The volume begins with the laying out of the cultural-historical context and significance of the project, proceeds to an analysis of Landscape Character Assessment (LCA) methodological applications and their outcomes, and closes with planning practices and tools as well as empirical lessons drawn from their implementation. In so doing, it places the discussion of specific landscapes in their socio-political and environmental contexts, applies geospatial analysis for landscape management but also reveals the role of people (stakeholders)
and societies in LCA and landscape planning. More specifically, Moraitis [15] sets the scene for tracing the roots to common traditions in the Arabic and Byzantine cultures; these cultures seem to have played a crucial role in the subsequent shaping of Eastern Mediterranean landscapes. In Cyprus, sacred landscapes of the Archaic and Hellenistic periods are accordingly discussed by Papantoniou and Vrionis [16] as constructs of religion, politics, identity, and memory, whose change through time has been a result of socio-political and economic transformation and adaptation to changing contexts and circumstances.

Transferring methodologies and concepts from one geographical context to another is not without challenges. LCA is such an example (Fairclough et al. 2018 [5]). LCA has a significant contribution to make as a spatial framework for the rekindled concept of “multi-functional landscapes”, referring to landscape provision of a range of functions, services, and human-derived benefits. Although the application of LCA in the Mediterranean region is on the increase (Vogiatzakis 2011 [17]), the paper by Griffiths [18] is perhaps the first of its kind to review the implementation and spread of LCA in the Eastern Mediterranean, effectively starting in Cyprus, before it was embraced by MEDSCAPES for a number of other case studies. Notwithstanding what may often be perceived as a uniform area (culturally, historically, physiographically), there are distinct differences between and within countries of the Eastern Mediterranean, as demonstrated in today’s landscapes. Therefore, it becomes difficult to make meaningful comparisons between areal units of analysis without reference to a common spatial framework. In their paper, Vogiatzakis and Manolaki [19] use such a framework to investigate the diversity and variability of mapped landscapes, in six case studies across four Eastern Mediterranean countries.

Socio-political processes, followed by ecological system responses in time, imprint their impacts on present-day landscapes. Not only agricultural intensification and land use abandonment, but also mass tourism and migration, constantly shape new landscapes in the Mediterranean. These changes have had an impact on resources and biodiversity—some demonstrated imminently, while others, like biodiversity loss, with a time lag effect (Lindborg and Eriksson 2004 [20]). Geospatial analysis has brought new perspectives to landscape studies, by allowing variable reconstructions of the past, and novel ways of representing the present and predicting the future (Vogiatzakis and Melis 2015 [6]). As exemplified herein by a case study from southern Italy (Statuto et al [21], this volume), the developed methodology can be used to provide insights into present landscape monitoring and management, by weaving changes of the past into decision-making processes.

Landscape meanings and messages are often within the eye of the beholder, a challenge that has accompanied all landscape-related disciplines from the start. Landscape users and stakeholders come from a variety of groups with different views and often conflicting interests, in the use of landscape as space and as resource. Stakeholders’ involvement in landscape assessment is of utmost importance and also advocated by the European Landscape Convention (Council of Europe 2000 [11]). The paper by Terkenli and Kavroudakis [22] in this issue assesses high-level landscape stakeholders’ perceptions and understandings of landscape-related issues, threats and problems in the Eastern Mediterranean through a purposive comparative research survey of four case studies: Cyprus, Greece, Jordan and Lebanon. The paper by Makhzoumi and Al-Sabbagh [23] further illustrates this perspective, by providing an example of stakeholders’ engagement in participatory planning processes in Lebanon.

Finally, planning applications of LCA have been widely employed in Europe over the last twenty years (Marušič and Jančič 1998 [24]; Wascher and Jongman 2003 [25]; Mürcher et al. 2010 [26]), but are still used to a limited degree in the Mediterranean (Loupa-Ramos and Pinto-Correia 2018 [27]). In this issue, Odeh et al. [28] apply landscape characterization to two case studies in Jordan and demonstrate ways of including the results into current land-use planning practices in the country. In addition, Trovato et al. [29] provide a landscape risk assessment method and landscape decision support system (LDSS), conducted on the regional scale of the Eastern Mediterranean basin. The study is an important attempt to assess and collate the landscape character of the region by using comparable indicators
to evaluate the risk of loss of landscape value in the Eastern Mediterranean. Furthermore, the LDSS enables users and stakeholders to build helpful scenarios in the planning process in selected areas.

Nonetheless, this volume sets out to fulfill its goals, subject to a series of delimitations, which only serve to open the ground and create space for much further and more in-depth future scientific exploration of Eastern Mediterranean landscapes, as well as ways, methods and tools to plan, manage and study them.
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