

# *Article* **Grain Refinement of a Powder Nickel-Base Superalloy Using Hot Deformation and Slow-Cooling**

# **Xianqiang Fan 1,2 [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3615-5170) Zhipeng Guo 1,2,\*, Xiaofeng Wang 3,4, Jie Yang 3,4 and Jinwen Zou 3,4**

- <sup>1</sup> School of Materials Science and Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China; fxq16@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn
- <sup>2</sup> Laboratory for Advanced Materials Processing Technology, Ministry of Education, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
- <sup>3</sup> AECC Beijing Institute of Aeronautical Materials, Beijing 100084, China; wangxiaofeng\_0404@163.com (X.W.); yangjient@163.com (J.Y.); jinwen\_zou@126.com (J.Z.)
- <sup>4</sup> Science and Technology on Advanced High Temperature Structural Materials Laboratory, Beijing Institute of Aeronautical Materials, Beijing 100084, China
- **\*** Correspondence: zhipeng\_guo@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-10-62788772

Received: 14 August 2018; Accepted: 11 October 2018; Published: 14 October 2018



**Abstract:** A pre-hot-deformation process was applied for a polycrystalline nickel-base superalloy to active deformation twins and dislocations, and subsequent slow cooling treatment was used to achieve grain refinement and microstructure homogenization. The microstructural evolution of the alloy was investigated, and the corresponding underlying mechanism was discussed. It was found that twinning mainly occurred in large grains during pre-hot-deformation owing to the stress concentration surrounding the large grains. High density dislocations were found in large grains, and the dislocation density increased approaching the grain boundary. The average grain size was refined from 30  $\mu$ m to 13  $\mu$ m after slow cooling with a standard deviation of grain size decreasing from 10.8 to 2.8, indicating a homogeneous microstructure. The grain refinement and microstructure homogenization during cooling process could be achieved via (i) static recrystallization (SRX), (ii) interaction of twin tips and  $\gamma'$  precipitates, and (iii) grain coarsening hindered by  $\gamma'$  precipitates in grain boundaries.

**Keywords:** nickel-base superalloy; hot deformation; cooling; twining; γ' precipitates

## **1. Introduction**

Polycrystalline nickel-based superalloys are widely used in the hot sections of modern aero-engines and gas turbines due to excellent properties including high strength, corrosion resistance, and fatigue resistance at elevated temperature [\[1–](#page-10-0)[5\]](#page-10-1). The mechanical property is controlled by grain structure formed during hot deformation [\[6–](#page-10-2)[8\]](#page-11-0) and the distribution of  $\gamma'$  precipitate achieved during the cooling process  $[9-11]$  $[9-11]$ . As high temperature industries are continuously requiring superalloy with even higher strength, superalloys with complex chemical composition containing heavy elements have been developed, which in turn has led to the difficulties of achieving segregation-free, homogenous superalloys via traditional ingot metallurgy [\[12\]](#page-11-3). The difficulties have been settled by employing hot isostatic pressing (HIP) followed by isothermal forging [\[12](#page-11-3)[,13\]](#page-11-4).

It is well known that the microstructure evolution during hot deformation is critical in determining the final microstructure. In particular, both dynamic recrystallization and twining play an important role for grain refinement and microstructure homogeneity [\[14,](#page-11-5)[15\]](#page-11-6), which in turn could improve the workability and mechanical properties for the alloy [\[16–](#page-11-7)[18\]](#page-11-8). During hot deformation before cooling, both the microstructure and the stress-strain evolution are highly dependent on the employed hot deformation parameters including temperature [\[19,](#page-11-9)[20\]](#page-11-10) and strain [\[19,](#page-11-9)[21,](#page-11-11)[22\]](#page-11-12).



Ning et al. [\[15\]](#page-11-6) found that the twin boundaries became the DRX preferred nucleation sites for a HIP superalloy FGH4096. Chen et al. [\[23\]](#page-11-13) studied the microstructural evolution and deformation features of a nickel-based superalloy and found that the evolution pattern of dislocation substructure was from high dislocation density to dislocation network and finally to subgrain to DRX grain. Kumar et al. [\[24\]](#page-11-14) studied the effect of strain rate on the microstructural evolution during hot deformation of a HIP nickel-base superalloy and found that high strain rate could promote the evolution of twin boundaries during hot deformation. Zhang et al. [\[25\]](#page-11-15) investigated the dynamic recrystallization behavior of a nickel–base alloy and found that the nucleation of DRX could also be activated by the twinning formation. Moreover, the strengthening effects associated with a high number of twin boundaries for alloys like steel, nickel, and copper have been reported in literatures [\[18,](#page-11-8)[26\]](#page-11-16). It is essential for nickel-based superalloys used in aero-engines, because the improvement of corrosion and fatigue resistance can be achieved via increasing twin boundary fraction without alteration of the chemical composition of the alloy. Previous works showed that compared with other high-angle grain boundaries, twin boundaries had low interfacial energy and acted as a barrier for dislocation slip during plastic deformation [\[27](#page-11-17)[,28\]](#page-11-18). Accordingly, the presence of the twin boundaries improved mechanical properties of the materials [\[29\]](#page-11-19).

Beside hot deformation, the microstructural evolution during cooling after pre-hot-deformation is also essential for determining the final microstructure. Both SRX and  $\gamma'$  precipitation occurred during cooling after hot deformation. Dislocations formed via pre-hot-deformation promoted the precipitation of γ' during subsequent cooling [\[30](#page-12-0)[,31\]](#page-12-1). On the other hand, coherent γ' precipitates could hinder the motion of dislocations [\[32\]](#page-12-2) and slows the nucleation of the SRXed grains during cooling. However, the exact evolution pattern of microstructure during cooling process is far from clear, and very limited studies have been performed to study it.

The objective of the present work is to understand the mechanism of the microstructural evolution of a powder metallurgy superalloy during cooling process. The evolution of grain size and twin boundary was determined and correlated to the microstructure patterns. In present work, heavy deformation was applied at elevated temperatures prior to the slow cooling process to achieve fine and homogeneous grains, with particular attention paid to twining during pre-hot-deformation.

## **2. Materials and Methods**

## *2.1. Material*

The material used in this study is a polycrystalline nickel-based superalloy, namely, FGH96. The alloy was prepared using hot isostatic pressure (HIP) and then processed using a two-step method. The two-step method comprised hot deformation after HIP and a subsequent slow-cooling. The FGH96 powder was produced via argon atomization after melting the ingot using vacuum induction heating. The powder was then sealed into a stainless steel container and HIP consolidated at a temperature lower than the γ' solvus temperature. Table [1](#page-1-0) shows the nominal composition of the material.

**Table 1.** Chemical composition of FGH96 (wt.%).

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

	Cr Co	Mo W Al Ti Nb B Zr Ni				
	0.030 16.000 13.000 4.000 4.000 2.200 3.700 0.800 0.011 0.036 Bal.					

## *2.2. Pre-Hot-Deformation and Subsequent Cooling*

Four cylindrical rods of 9 mm in diameter and 14 mm in length were designated as specimen A, B, C, and D, respectively. These specimens were extracted from the billet after HIP for hot deformation. To eliminate the effect of  $\gamma'$  precipitate on hot deformation, specimen A, B, C, and D were rapidly heated to 1150 °C and holding for 30 min to dissolve all  $\gamma'$  precipitates [\[33\]](#page-12-3) prior to hot deformation

using a Gleeble 1500 thermal simulator system. Without any deformation, specimen A was used as a a strain rate of 0.01s<sup>−1</sup>. After dissolving *blank* control contrast group.

Specimens B and C were then compressed to a strain of 0.2 and 0.5, respectively, at 1150 °C with a strain rate of 0.01 s<sup>-1</sup>. After dissolving  $\gamma'$  precipitates, specimen D was first compressed to a strain of 0.5 at 1150 °C with a strain rate of 0.01 s<sup>-1</sup> and slowly cooled to 1000 °C at a cooling rate of 6 °C/min. To retain corresponding elevated temperature microstructure, all the samples were water quenched after hot deformation or cooling.

## 2.3. Microstructural Characterization (EBSD, 2001) and transmission of the electron back of the electron back o

The microstructure on the sectioning planes perpendicular to the loading axis was characterized using electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD, ZEISS Merlin, Heidenheim, Germany) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL 2100 F, Tokyo, Japan). The samples for EBSD analysis were prepared by grinding with a 4000 SiC paper and electrochemical polishing at 30 V for 20 s in the electrolyte of 20% hydrochloric and 80% methyl alcohol at room temperature. The EBSD scanning parameters were set as 2  $\mu$ m step length for 400  $\mu$ m  $\times$  400  $\mu$ m scanning area and 0.5  $\mu$ m step length for 80  $\mu$ m  $\times$  80  $\mu$ m scanning area. The specimens for TEM were mechanically polished to  $\sim80$  µm and further thinned by ion milling with a voltage of 6 kV. The thickness of the final thin TEM sample was about 100 nm–200 nm. The EBSD data was analyzed using Oxford HKL Channel 5 software (Oxford, UK).

## **3. Results**

#### *3.1. Mechanical Performance* Figure 1a shows a typical stress*-*strain curve of the hot deformation of specimen B to a strain of

Figure 1a shows a typical stress-st[ra](#page-2-0)in curve of the hot deformation of specimen B to a strain of 0.2 at a strain rate of 0.01 s $^{-1}$  at 1150 °C. It is clear to observe a steady stress after yielding at 68 MPa at ε = 0.02, which maintained even to a strain of 0.2, indicating the retention of dynamic equilibrium between working hardening and high-temperature softening. Figure [1b](#page-2-0) shows the stress-strain hot deformation curve of specimen C at 1150 °C to a strain of 0.5 at a strain rate of 0.01 s<sup>-1</sup>. After peaking at  $\sim$ 72 MPa, the curve of specimen C shows a narrow plateau followed by 14% drop at  $\varepsilon$  = 0.5, suggesting the occurrence of the overwhelming softening after strain of 0.2.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1. Typical stress-strain curve obtained at a strain rate of  $0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$  at  $1150 \text{ °C}$  to a strain of and (**b**) 0.5, respectively. (**a**) 0.2 and (**b**) 0.5, respectively.

# *3.2. Microstructural Evolution during Hot Deformation 3.2. Microstructural Evolution during Hot Deformation*

after hot deformation to a strain of 0, 0.2, and 0.5 at 1150 °C (with a strain rate of 0.01 s<sup>-1</sup>). After hot  $h_{\text{c}}$  deformation to a strain of 0.5, the microstructure ovelyed from coarse grains to finer and more deformation to a strain of 0.5, the microstructure evolved from coarse grains to finer and more<br>homogeneous twinning grains homogeneous twinning grains. homogeneous twinning grains.Figure [2](#page-3-0) shows the Euler maps and the corresponding grain size distribution of the samples

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** Euler angle map after the pre-hot-deformation with a strain of (**a**) 0, as blank control group, **(b)** 0.2, and **(c)** 0.5; **(d)** shows the large grains of A, B, and C extracted from  $(b, c)$ ;  $(e-g)$  are the corresponding grain size distributions of (**a**–**c**). **Figure 2.** Euler angle map after the pre-hot-deformation with a strain of (a) 0, as blank control group, (b) 0.2, and (c) 0.5; (d) shows the large grains of A, B, and C extracted from (b,c); (e–g) are the corresponding g

Figure [2b](#page-3-0),f shows that after hot deformation to a strain of 0.2, the average grain size was 25  $\mu$ m, i.e., a decrease by 13.8% from that of the as-HIP sample (see Figure [2a](#page-3-0),e). However, DRX grains is not exhibited in Figure [2b](#page-3-0), indicating the softening effect could be mainly attributed to dynamic recovery (DRV, i.e., the initial stage of DRX) before the strain approached 0.2. Except for grain A, which was one of the largest grains in Figure 2[b,](#page-3-0) almost no twins exhibited in Figure [2b](#page-3-0), indicating slipping was the deformation pattern in most grains before the strain of 0.2. Accordingly, the transition of deformation pattern from slipping to twinning occurred in large grains after hot deformation to a strain of 0.2.

The grains were further refined by applying a strain of 0.5, and the average grain size became 20  $\mu$ m, i.e., a 30.4% decrease compared with that of the as-HIP sample (see Figure 2c,g). Figure 2c shows that twins propagated significantly as the strain reached 0.5, and the fraction of twin boundary was determined to be 58% at a strain of 0.5. However, similar to the microstructure subjected to smaller strain, twins again mainly existed in large grains with increasing quantity (see F[ig](#page-3-0)ure 2c). Typical large grains labelled as A, B, and C in Figure 2b, c were depicted in Figure 2d for detailed observations. Furthermore, standard deviation of grain size was utilized to describe the degree of homogeneous of grain size. The standard deviation decreased from 10 in as-HIP sample to 7 for hot deformation to a strain of 0.5, indicating the grain size tended to become homogeneous during hot deformation. during hot deformation.

# *3.3. Microstructural Features after Slow Cooling 3.3. Microstructural Features after Slow Cooling*

Figure [3a](#page-4-0) shows the significant change of microstructure after slow cooling (cooling rate was Figure 3a shows the significant change of microstructure after slow cooling (cooling rate was 6 6 °C/min). Figure [3b](#page-4-0) shows that as cooling to 1000 °C the average grain size was further refined to 13 µm with a standard deviation of 2.8. Figure [3a](#page-4-0) was enlarged and shown in Figure [4b](#page-5-0) to achieve a μm with a standard deviation of 2.8. Figure 3a was enlarged and shown in Figure 4b to achieve a better observation of the microstructural details of the slow-cooled sample. More twins were activated better observation of the microstructural details of the slow-cooled sample. More twins were during slow cooling, because almost all refined grains had twins. Figure [4b](#page-5-0) shows a large number of ultra-fine grains (colored by pink) exhibited in grains  $C$  and  $D$  (in the Euler map), indicating the occurrence of continuous dynamic recrystallization (CDRX). For CDRX, new grains formed by the progressive rotation of subgrains, resulting in an increase of their misorientation angles, which usually occurred in the interior of grains  $[34]$ . The main feature of twin lamellae was that the twin boundaries passed through the entire grain during the cooling process. In other words, after slowly cooling to 1000 °C, there exhibited almost no twin tips inside the grains.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Figure 3. (a)** Euler angle map after cooling to 1000 °C with a cooling rate of 6 °C /min. (b) The grain size distribution of (**a**). size distribution of (**a**).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 4. Euler map after hot deformation at 1150 °C to a strain of (a) 0.5 and (b) cooling to 1000 °C with cooling rate of 6 °C /min.

# **4. Discussion 4. Discussion**

# *4.1. Twined Grains and Twining Mechanism during Hot Deformation 4.1. Twined Grains and Twining Mechanism during Hot Deformation*

 $\mathcal{S}$  is the main deformation are the main deformation mechanisms in all  $\mathcal{S}$  is the main deformation of deformation of  $\mathcal{S}$  is the main deformation of deformation of deformation of deformation of deformation o pattern is mainly determined by two factors, i.e., Schmid factor (*SF*) and critical resolved shear stress (*GBC*) (*CRSS*), whose relation is (*CRSS*), whose relation is Slipping and twining are the main deformation mechanisms in alloys. Selection of deformation

$$
SF = \cos \lambda \cos \varphi \tag{1}
$$

$$
CRSS = SF\sigma
$$
 (2)

in which  $\sigma$  is the loading stress,  $\lambda$  is the angle between the load axis and slipping direction, and  $\varphi$ is the angle between the load axis and the normal of the slip plane. The compression direction was  $\,$ perpendicular to the observation plane; accordingly, the Schmid factor for the twelve fcc slip systems in grain A was calculated and listed in Table [2.](#page-5-1)

Slip	(111)			(111)			(111)			(111)		
System	[101]	[011]				$[1\overline{1}0]$ $[011]$ $[10\overline{1}]$ $[101]$ $[110]$		$[01\overline{1}]$	$[101]$	$[011]$	$[110]$	
Schmid factor	0.24	0.33	0.08	0.08	0.33	0.24	0.49	0.16	0.33	0.49	0.33	0.16

<span id="page-5-1"></span>Table 2. Schmid factor of the twelve fcc slip systems for grain A.

factor of 0.49, very close to the upper limit of 0.5. Accordingly, if loading stress was the only applied factor of 0.49, very close to the upper limit of 0.5. Accordingly, if loading stress was the only applied stress on grain A, the slip deformation should be the only deformation mode, and no twining occurred. However, several parallel twins were exhibited in grain A (see Figure 2d). The twinning deformation However, several parallel twins were exhibited in grain A (see Figure [2d](#page-3-0)). The twinning deformation must be attributed to microstructure heterogeneity, which could lead to high stress concentrations. The magnitude of stress concentration and the twining deformation varied with the microstructure The magnitude of stress concentration and the twining deformation varied with the microstructure<br>heterogeneity, including grain size distribution and misorientation of grain boundaries between adjac[en](#page-6-0)t grains. Figure 5 shows plastic strain distribution after hot deformation to a strain of 0.5. The strain in the specific grain boundaries was much larger than that of the intragranular region. The larger stress concentration promoted twining in [th](#page-3-0)ese regions. It can be seen from Figure 2b,c It is shown that  $[10\overline{1}](111)$  and  $[101](1\overline{11})$  were the easiest starting slip systems with a Schmid <span id="page-6-0"></span>that twins mainly existed in large grains. This is because that large grains were more easily subjected to stress concentration due to longer grain boundaries. Once the local shear stress exceeded certain critical value, the twinning formation occurred.



**Figure 5.** Strain distribution after hot deformation to a strain of 0.5 at a strain rate of 0.01 s<sup>-1</sup> at 1150 °C.

# *4.2. The Mechanism of the Microstructural Evolution during Cooling Process 4.2. The Mechanism of the Microstructural Evolution during Cooling Process*

Grain refinement and homogenization, as well as twinning propagation, were achieved during Grain refinement and homogenization, as well as twinning propagation, were achieved during cooling. To understand the underlying mechanism driving this microstructural evolution, TEM images cooling. were obtained to exhibit the microstructure of specimen C and D.

#### $\frac{1}{2}$ 4.2.1. The Role of Static Recrystallization (SRX) in Grain Refinement

pre-hot-deformation to a strain of 0.5. Figure [6c](#page-7-0)–e shows that dislocation density experienced a r<br>gradual increase approaching the grain boundary. This can be understood by investigating the facts that deformation occurred more significantly near grain boundaries and dislocation migrated toward grain boundaries during hot deformation. However, Figures [6b](#page-7-0) and [6e](#page-7-0) shows that grain II, with a diameter of 2.5  $\mu$ m that was much smaller than its average grain size as well as that of grain I, barely exhibited any dislocation. The significant difference of dislocation density led to a significant energy difference between the two adjacent grains. Generally, grain boundary bulging is associated with strain-induced grain boundary migration (SIGBM) [\[35\]](#page-12-5), which is driven by the energy difference between the adjacent grains. In this respect, the boundary of those two grains was the preferred nucleation site for static recrystallization during subsequent cooling. From Figure [6e](#page-7-0), it can be seen that there was a dislocation-free region, i.e., subgrain, behind the grain boundary bulging, which was the SPY pucki with a redius [26].  $\frac{1}{2}$  i.e., subsets of graph, which was a discussed which was a discussed which was a discussed with was a discussed with a reduce  $\frac{1}{2}$  of  $\frac{1}{2}$  or  $\frac{1}{2}$  or  $\frac{1}{2}$  or the SRX nuclei with a radius [36]: the SRX nuclei with a radius [\[36\]](#page-12-6): Figure [6](#page-7-0) shows the initial stage of microstructural evolution during cooling process after

$$
R = -\frac{2\gamma}{\Delta E_V} \tag{3}
$$

 $\hat{\phi}$  in which  $\gamma$  is grain boundary energy inhibiting the bulging of the grain boundary and  $\Delta E_V$  is the strain energy of the deformed region acting as a driving force for SRX process. The subgrain could bulge into and is the subgrain could bulge into the matrix as a new grain when the strain energy of the deformed matrix was large enough to overcome the boundary energy of the SRX grain. It is well known that nucleation of SRX often initiated from the pre-existing boundaries and gradually expanded high-strain energy grain by absorbing dislocations. The subgrain depicted in Figure [6e](#page-7-0) bulged into a region with the highest dislocation density, implying<br>. the transformation of the large grain into plenty of SRX grains during the subsequent cooling process.

Accordi[ng](#page-7-0) to Figure 6a, plenty of dislocations accumulated in the twins after hot deformation to a strain of 0.5. Since the slip transfer across the twin boundaries was extremely difficult due to low interface energy [\[37–](#page-12-7)[39\]](#page-12-8), the dislocation accumulation at twin boundaries eventually transferred the  $\,$ twin boundaries into high angle grain boundaries (HAGBs) [\[37\]](#page-12-7), which improved grain refinement. Besides, twins could further induce high dislocation density in large grains and make large grains become the preferred sites for SRX grain bulging. Accordingly, grain refinement and homogenization were achieved simultaneously after cooling. were achieved simultaneously after cooling.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Figure 6. TEM images of FGH96 alloy after hot deformation at 1150 °C to a strain of 0.5; (a) dislocation distribution inside the twins; (b) two different size grains (grain I and grain II); (c) dislocation distribution inside the grain Ι; (**d**) dislocation distribution close to grain Ι boundary; (**e**) is a distribution inside the grain I; (**d**) dislocation distribution close to grain I boundary; (**e**) is a magnification of the region in (**b**) marked by red rectangular. magnification of the region in (**b**) marked by red rectangular.

#### 4.2.2. Contributions from Interaction of Twin Tips and  $\gamma'$  Precipitate

The growth of  $\gamma'$  precipitates, together with the propagation of twin boundaries during slow cooling, led to the interaction of  $\gamma'$  precipitates and twin tips. The amount of the twin boundaries increased as cooling to 1000 ◦C (see Figure [4\)](#page-5-0), indicating that there was a driving force for the propagation and extending of twin boundaries during the slowly cooling (at a cooling rate of  $6 °C/s$ ). It is well known that a high number of twin boundaries can significantly improve the mechanical properties of nickel-base alloy [\[40](#page-12-9)[,41\]](#page-12-10). This is of importance for nickel-base superalloy, since it can improve the mechanical properties without altering the chemical composition of the alloy.

The interaction of twin boundaries and  $\gamma'$  precipitates played an important role in the grain refinement during slowly cooling. As shown in Figure [7a](#page-8-0), the twin tip and the  $\gamma'$  precipitate met in the interior of a grain, and the twin tip was unable to overpass the  $\gamma'$  precipitate, indicating that  $\gamma'$ precipitate could act as barrier for twin tip extending. Besides, it can be seen from Figure [7a](#page-8-0) that a dark contrast strip marked by red arrow existed in the vicinity of the  $\gamma/\gamma'$  interface, indicating the rotation of the γ' precipitate due to intensive interaction between the twin tip and the γ' precipitate. The concomitant rotation of precipitate during cooling continuously changed the orientation of existing  $\gamma/\gamma'$  interface, which eventually transformed into mobile HAGBs and further improved the grain refinement.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Figure 7. TEM images of FGH96 alloy after cooling to 1000  $\rm{^{\circ}C}$  with cooling rate of 6  $\rm{^{\circ}C/min}$  (a) the interaction of the twin tip and the γ' precipitate; (**b**) the interaction of the dislocation and γ' interaction of the twin tip and the γ' precipitate; (**b**) the interaction of the dislocation and γ' precipitate; precipitate; (**c**) a magnification of the region in (**b**) marked by red rectangular. (**c**) a magnification of the region in (**b**) marked by red rectangular.

Twin tips ended up at those newly formed HAGBs, leading to the absence of twin tips inside the grains (see Figure [4b](#page-5-0)). Accordingly, except for twin's direct extending to the pre-existing grain boundaries, the rotation of the  $\gamma'$  precipitate induced by the interaction of twin tip and  $\gamma'$  precipitate also contributed to the absence of twin tips inside the grains. Because the stress concentration was generally observed at twin tips [\[42\]](#page-12-11), which was harmful for the stable mechanical properties of the alloy, the absence of the twin tips enhanced the stable workability of the alloy.

Figure [7b](#page-8-0) shows the interaction of the dislocation and the  $\gamma'$  precipitate at the cooling process. The magnification of the red rectangular region of Figure [7b](#page-8-0) enabled one to observe several parallel dislocations pileup around the  $\gamma/\gamma'$  interface. Accordingly, it is believed that  $\gamma'$  precipitate could generate more dislocations due to its interaction with dislocation during slow cooling.

## 4.2.3. Grain Coarsening Inhibited by  $\gamma'$  Precipitates in Grain Boundaries

Comparing with nucleation in the grains,  $\gamma'$  precipitates preferred to nucleate and grow in the grain boundaries, since grain boundary was a fast channel for the diffusion of  $\gamma'$  forming elements [\[43\]](#page-12-12). More importantly, precipitates in grain boundaries played an important role in inhibiting grain coarsening [\[26\]](#page-11-16). Figure [8](#page-9-0) shows that the morphology of  $\gamma'$  precipitates in grain boundaries was highly influenced by the orientation of grain boundaries and was significantly different to the dendritic  $\gamma'$ precipitates in the interior of grains. Besides, it is clear from Figure [8](#page-9-0) that the boundaries were not only the grain boundaries but also the phase boundaries, since  $\gamma'$  precipitates could only exist in one of the two adjacent grains because of their coherent nature with the matrix. As a result, the phase boundary energy could also exist in the grain boundaries, which acted to inhibit the motion of the boundaries and improve the grain refinement during cooling process. Additionally, the long strip shape of  $\gamma'$  precipitates, which increased the length of the phase boundaries, was an advantage for inhibiting grain coarsening and improving grain refinement.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

**Figure 8.** TEM images of FGH96 alloy (after cooling to 1000 °C with a cooling rate of 6 °C/min) exhibit **Figure 8.** TEM images of FGH96 alloy (after cooling to 1000 ◦C with a cooling rate of 6 ◦C/min) exhibit γ' precipitates in grain boundaries (marked by red dot circles) (**a**) the γ' precipitate at the curving γ' precipitates in grain boundaries (marked by red dot circles) (**a**) the γ' precipitate at the curving grain grain boundary; (**b**) the γ' precipitate at the straight grain boundary. boundary; (**b**) the γ' precipitate at the straight grain boundary.

# **5. Conclusion 5. Conclusion**

The microstructural evolution of a polycrystalline nickel-base superalloy during pre-hot-deformation and subsequent slowly cooling was investigated. Grain refinement and homogeneous microstructure were achieved, and the underlying mechanism for these transitions was discussed. The conclusions can be drawn as follows:

(1) At 1150  $\degree$ C, the steady flow stress occurred under a strain of 0.2 due to an excellent balance of work hardening and DRV/DRX softening, whereas a 14% decrease of stress occurred at the strain of 0.5.

(2) After pre-hot-deformation, it was found that twins mainly existed in large grains due to stress concentration. Twins hindered the motion of the dislocations during pre-hot-deformation, resulting in high dislocation density in large grains in which twinning occurred easily.

(3) When cooling to 1000  $\degree$ C, the twin boundaries propagated through the entire grain, showing the absence of the twin tips inside the grains after cooling, whereas, in the initial stage of cooling, i.e., pre-hot-deformation to a strain of 0.5, plenty of twin tips were exhibited in the grains. In addition, the interaction of dislocations and  $\gamma'$  precipitates in the course of cooling could generate pileup dislocations.

(4) The grain refinement during cooling process could be realized via (i) the occurrence of static recrystallization at grain boundaries by transforming large grains into plenty of small SRXed grains, (ii) the interaction of twin tips and  $\gamma'$  precipitates by rotating  $\gamma'$  precipitates to generate high angle grain boundaries, and (iii) coarse and irregular  $\gamma'$  precipitates existing in the grain boundaries by hindering the coarsening of grains.

(5) Different from the intragranular  $\gamma'$  precipitates with dendritic shape, the morphology of  $γ'$  precipitates in grain boundaries (GB) was determined by orientation of GB, and the size of  $γ'$ precipitates was much larger than intragranular γ' precipitates due to the high diffusion rate of γ' forming elements in GB. Because of coherent nature with the matrix,  $\gamma'$  precipitates in GB could only exist in one of the two adjacent grains.

Grain refinement using the pre-hot deformation and subsequent cooling in this study could lead to a large amount of grain boundaries, including twin boundaries and high angle grain boundaries, which effectively hinder the motion of dislocations, thereby increasing the strength and corrosion resistance of the superalloy.

**Author Contributions:** Formal analysis, X.F.; Project administration, Z.G.; Resources, X.W., J.Y. and J.Z.; Supervision, Z.G.; Writing—original draft, X.F.; Writing—review & editing, Z.G.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank the Aeronautical Science Foundation of China (20144060182) and the Tsinghua University Initiative Scientific Research Program (20151080370) for their financial support.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## **References**

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>1. Reed, R.C. *The Superalloys: Fundamentals and Applications*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2006.
- 2. Alexandre, F.; Deyber, S.; Pineau, A. Modelling the optimum grain size on the low cycle fatigue life of a Ni based superalloy in the presence of two possible crack initiation sites. *Scr. Mater.* **2004**, *50*, 25–30. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2003.09.043)
- 3. Mitchell, R.J.; Lemsky, J.A.; Ramanathan, R.; Li, H.Y.; Perkins, K.M.; Connor, L.D. Process Development and Microstructure and Mechanical Property Evaluation of a Dual Microstructure Heat Treated Advanced Nickel Disc Alloy. *Superalloys* **2008**, 347–356.
- 4. May, J.R.; Hardy, M.C.; Bache, M.R.; Kaylor, D.D. Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of an Advanced Nickel-Based Superalloy in the as-HIP Form. *Adv. Mater. Res.* **2011**, *278*, 265–270. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.278.265)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>5. Jácome, L.A.; Nörtershäuser, P.; Somsen, C.; Dlouhý, A.; Eggeler, G. On the nature of  $\gamma'$  phase cutting and its effect on high temperature and low stress creep anisotropy of Ni-base single crystal superalloys. *Acta Mater.* **2014**, *69*, 246–264. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2014.01.021)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>6. Zhang, H.; Zhang, K.; Lu, Z.; Zhao, C.; Yang, X. Hot deformation behavior and processing map of a γ 0 -hardened nickel-based superalloy. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **2014**, *60*, 1–8. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2014.03.015)
- 7. He, G.; Tan, L.; Liu, F.; Huang, L.; Huang, Z.; Jiang, L. Strain Amount Dependent Grain Size and Orientation Developments during Hot Compression of a Polycrystalline Nickel Based Superalloy. *Materials* **2017**, *10*, 161. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ma10020161) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28772514)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>8. Liu, Y.-X.; Lin, Y.C.; Zhou, Y. 2D cellular automaton simulation of hot deformation behavior in a Ni-based superalloy under varying thermal-mechanical conditions. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **2017**, *691*, 88–99. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2017.03.039)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>9. Babu, S.S.; Miller, M.K.; Vitek, J.M. Characterization of the microstructure evolution in a nickel base superalloy during continuous cooling conditions. *Acta Mater.* **2001**, *49*, 4149–4160. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1359-6454(01)00314-7)
- 10. Rene, R.; Miroslava, S.; Mihaela, A.; Gerald, K.; Peter, P.; Ernst, K.; Rene, R.; Gerald, K.; Peter, P. Multimodal size distribution of gamma prime precipitates during continous cooling of UDIMET 720 Li. *Acta Mater.* **2009**, *57*, 5739–5747.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>11. Chen, Y.Q.; Francis, E.; Robson, J.; Preuss, M.; Haigh, S.J. Compositional variations for small-scale gamma prime (γ 0 ) precipitates formed at different cooling rates in an advanced Ni-based superalloy. *Acta Mater.* **2015**, *85*, 199–206. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2014.11.009)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>12. Donachie, M.J.; Donachie, S.J. *Superalloys: A Technical Guide*, 2nd ed.; ASM International: Almere, The Netherlands, 2002.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>13. Pollock, T.M.; Tin, S. Nickel-based superalloys for advanced turbine engines: Chemistry, microstructure and properties. *J. Propul. Power* **2006**, *22*, 361–374. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.2514/1.18239)
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>14. Yan, C.K.; Feng, A.H.; Qu, S.J.; Cao, G.J.; Sun, J.L.; Shen, J.; Chen, D.L. Dynamic recrystallization of titanium: Effect of pre-activated twinning at cryogenic temperature. *Acta Mater.* **2018**, *154*, 311–324. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2018.05.057)
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>15. Ning, Y.; Yao, Z.; Fu, M.W.; Guo, H. Dynamic recrystallization of the hot isostatically pressed P/M superalloy FGH4096 in hot working process. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **2010**, *527*, 6968–6974. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2010.07.018)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>16. Wang, X.; Brünger, E.; Gottstein, G. The role of twinning during dynamic recrystallization in alloy 800H. *Scr. Mater.* **2002**, *46*, 875–880. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1359-6462(02)00072-6)
- 17. Zhao, P.; Wang, Y.; Niezgoda, S.R. Microstructural and micromechanical evolution during dynamic recrystallization. *Int. J. Plast.* **2018**, *100*, 52–68. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijplas.2017.09.009)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>18. Watanabe, T. Grain boundary engineering: Historical perspective and future prospects. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2011**, *46*, 4095–4115. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10853-011-5393-z)
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>19. Mostafa, A.; Shahriari, D.; Rubio, I.P.; Brailovski, V.; Jahazi, M.; Medraj, M. Hot compression behavior and microstructure of selectively laser-melted IN718 alloy. *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* **2018**, *96*, 371–385. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00170-017-1522-4)
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>20. Kumar, S.S.S.; Raghu, T.; Bhattacharjee, P.P.; Rao, G.A.; Borah, U. Work hardening characteristics and microstructural evolution during hot deformation of a nickel superalloy at moderate strain rates. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2017**, *709*, 394–409. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2017.03.158)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>21. Babu, K.A.; Mandal, S.; Athreya, C.N.; Shakthipriya, B.; Sarma, V.S. Hot deformation characteristics and processing map of a phosphorous modified super austenitic stainless steel. *Mater. Des.* **2017**, *115*, 262–275. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2016.11.054)
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>22. Konkova, T.; Rahimi, S.; Mironov, S.; Baker, T.N. Effect of strain level on the evolution of microstructure in a recently developed AD730 nickel based superalloy during hot forging. *Mater. Charact.* **2018**, *139*, 437–445. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matchar.2018.03.027)
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>23. Chen, X.-M.; Lin, Y.C.; Chen, M.-S.; Li, H.-B.; Wen, D.-X.; Zhang, J.-L.; He, M. Microstructural evolution of a nickel-based superalloy during hot deformation. *Mater. Des.* **2015**, *77*, 41–49. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2015.04.004)
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>24. Kumar, S.S.S.; Raghu, T.; Bhattacharjee, P.P.; Rao, G.A.; Borah, U. Strain rate dependent microstructural evolution during hot deformation of a hot isostatically processed nickel base superalloy. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2016**, *681*, 28–42. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2016.04.185)
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>25. Zhang, H.; Zhang, K.; Jiang, S.; Zhou, H.; Zhao, C.; Yang, X. Dynamic recrystallization behavior of a γ 0 -hardened nickel-based superalloy during hot deformation. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2015**, *623*, 374–385. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2014.11.056)
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>26. Song, K.; Aindow, M. Grain growth and particle pinning in a model Ni-based superalloy. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **2008**, *479*, 365–372. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2007.09.055)
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>27. Lv, X.Z.; Zhang, J.X.; Harada, H. Twin-dislocation and twin–twin interactions during cyclic deformation of a nickel-base single crystal TMS-82 superalloy. *Int. J. Fatigue* **2014**, *66*, 246–251. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2014.04.012)
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>28. Zhang, H.; Zhang, K.; Zhou, H.; Lu, Z.; Zhao, C.; Yang, X. Effect of strain rate on microstructure evolution of a nickel-based superalloy during hot deformation. *Mater. Des.* **2015**, *80*, 51–62. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2015.05.004)
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>29. Sangid, M.D.; Ezaz, T.; Sehitoglu, H.; Robertson, I.M. Energy of slip transmission and nucleation at grain boundaries. *Acta Mater.* **2011**, *59*, 283–296. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2010.09.032)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>30. Suave, L.M.; Cormier, J.; Villechaise, P.; Soula, A.; Hervier, Z.; Bertheau, D.; Laigo, J. Microstructural Evolutions During Thermal Aging of Alloy 625: Impact of Temperature and Forming Process. *Metall. Mater. Trans. A* **2014**, *45*, 2963–2982. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11661-014-2256-7)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>31. Mei, Y.; Liu, Y.; Liu, C.; Li, C.; Yu, L.; Guo, Q.; Li, H. Effects of cold rolling on the precipitation kinetics and the morphology evolution of intermediate phases in Inconel 718 alloy. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2015**, *649*, 949–960. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2015.07.149)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>32. Yang, H.; Li, Z.; Huang, M. Modeling dislocation cutting the precipitate in nickel-based single crystal superalloy via the discrete dislocation dynamics with SISF dissociation scheme. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **2013**, *75*, 52–59. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2013.02.026)
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>33. Fan, X.; Guo, Z.; Wang, X.; Yang, J.; Zou, J. Morphology evolution of  $\gamma'$  precipitates in a powder metallurgy Ni-base superalloy. *Mater. Charact.* **2018**, *139*, 382–389. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matchar.2018.02.038)
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>34. Gourdet, S.; Montheillet, F. An experimental study of the recrystallization mechanism during hot deformation of aluminium. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **2000**, *283*, 274–288. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0921-5093(00)00733-4)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>35. Shimizu, I. Theories and applicability of grain size piezometers: The role of dynamic recrystallization mechanisms. *J. Struct. Geol.* **2008**, *30*, 899–917. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2008.03.004)
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>36. Cram, D.G.; Zurob, H.S.; Brechet, Y.J.M.; Hutchinson, C.R. Modelling discontinuous dynamic recrystallization using a physically based model for nucleation. *Acta Mater.* **2009**, *57*, 5218–5228. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2009.07.024)
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>37. Jin, Z.H.; Gumbsch, P.; Ma, E.; Albe, K.; Lu, K.; Hahn, H.; Gleiter, H. The interaction mechanism of screw dislocations with coherent twin boundaries in different face-centred cubic metals. *Scr. Mater.* **2006**, *54*, 1163–1168. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2005.11.072)
- 38. Jin, Z.H.; Gumbsch, P.; Albe, K.; Ma, E.; Lu, K.; Gleiter, H.; Hahn, H. Interactions between non-screw lattice dislocations and coherent twin boundaries in face-centered cubic metals. *Acta Mater.* **2008**, *56*, 1126–1135. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2007.11.020)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>39. Detrois, M.; Rotella, J.; Goetz, R.L.; Helmink, R.C.; Tin, S. Grain boundary engineering of powder processed Ni-base superalloy RR1000: Influence of the deformation parameters. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **2015**, *627*, 95–105. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2014.12.112)
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>40. Lehockey, E.M.; Limoges, D.; Palumbo, G.; Sklarchuk, J.; Tomantschger, K.; Vincze, A. On improving the corrosion and growth resistance of positive Pb-acid battery grids by grain boundary engineering. *J. Power Sources* **1999**, *78*, 79–83. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7753(99)00015-4)
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>41. Lin, P.; Palumbo, G.; Erb, U.; Aust, K.T. Influence of grain boundary character distribution on sensitization and intergranular corrosion of alloy 600. *Scr. Metall. Mater.* **1995**, *33*, 1387–1392. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0956-716X(95)00420-Z)
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>42. Abdolvand, H.; Wilkinson, A.J. Assessment of residual stress fields at deformation twin tips and the surrounding environments. *Acta Mater.* **2016**, *105*, 219–231. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2015.11.036)
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>43. Ruoff, A.L.; Balluffi, R.W. Strain-Enhanced Diffusion in Metals. II. Dislocation and Grain-Boundary Short-Circuiting Models. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1963**, *34*, 1848–1853. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1729698)



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.).