Preparation and Luminescence Properties of $\text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21}$ Long Persistent Phosphors Doped with Rare-Earth Elements
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Abstract: The phosphors of formula $\text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21}:\text{Eu}^{2+},\text{Dy}^{3+}$ were synthesized and studied in order to improve their properties. Their synthesis conditions were evaluated as a function of precursors, crucible composition, flux agents, dopants and temperatures. The samples were characterised by means of a systematic investigation through elemental, kinetic, mineralogical (both qualitative and quantitative), and morphological analysis. This study allows for a careful evaluation of the parameters that influence the formation and properties of $\text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21}:\text{Eu}^{2+},\text{Dy}^{3+}$ phosphors. As for the synthesis conditions, the use of $\text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3$, $\text{BaCO}_3$ and $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ as precursors was very important to reduce the temperature and time of synthesis. The reducing atmosphere produced with purified coal was cheaper and gave results similar to the more traditional gas mixture ($\text{H}_2/\text{N}_2$). At the end of this study, a phosphor with improved long persistent phosphorescence (LPP) characteristics was obtained with $\text{Ba}/\text{Si} = 0.7$, $\text{Eu}/\text{Si} = 2.8 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\text{Dy}/\text{Si} = 3.6 \times 10^{-3}$ following a 6 h-synthesis in a quartz crucible.
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1. Introduction

The term luminescence indicates the phenomenon of light emission from a material after the excitation of its electronic states by an external source [1,2]. Photoluminescence is the most widely occurring phenomenon, and it involves excitation by electromagnetic radiation. Depending on the material, photons can be emitted using the mechanism of fluorescence (light emission for less than $10^{-8}$ s) or phosphorescence (light emission for minutes or hours).

The materials which possess these characteristics are mostly inorganic compounds and are generally called phosphors [2–4].

In the last 30 years, other types of long persistent phosphorescence (LPP) [1–7] phosphors based on either alkali or alkaline-earth metal aluminates doped with rare earth ions or transition metals ions attracted much attention and were actively investigated [8]. In particular, special attention was given to strontium aluminates doped with Eu$^{2+}$ and Dy$^{3+}$, $\text{SrAl}_2\text{O}_4:\text{Eu}^{2+}/\text{Dy}^{3+}$ [9] and $\text{Sr}_4\text{Al}_{14}\text{O}_{25}:\text{Eu}^{2+}/\text{Dy}^{3+}$ [10,11], which are characterised by a strong emission, centred in the range of green-blue at 520 and 495 nm, respectively, and a phosphorescence that lasts overnight. These compounds possess partial solubility in water [12] and, consequently, require a protective coating [13–15]. Therefore, their limited outdoor applications, possible increase in production costs and the challenging employment of their emission colour led to a further search for different phosphors.

Alkaline-earth silicate phosphors, synthesized in the last few years [16–20], have garnered much attention for their better physico-chemical properties [21,22], their stability over time and their

lower synthesis temperature compared to those of aluminate-derived phosphors [18]. Moreover, these phosphors emit a wider range of light colours, like green [23], red [24], yellow [16] and white [25]. Unfortunately, the duration of their emission is lower compared to that of aluminate-derived phosphors; consequently, a lot of studies are aimed at improving this property. Yu Gong et al. [17] reported the synthesis of \( \text{Ba}_4(\text{Si}_3\text{O}_8)_2: \text{Eu}^{2+}, \text{Dy}^{3+} \) as an LPP phosphor, with high chemical stability and an emission of more than 24 h after light excitation at \( \lambda = 500–550 \text{ nm} \). Later, Pengjiu Wang et al. [18] reported the preparation of \( \text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21}: \text{Eu}^{2+}, \text{Dy}^{3+} \), that got a better luminescence characteristic due to its crystalline structure. This phosphor possesses sustained phosphorescence when activated by sunlight (\( \lambda = 473 \text{ nm} \)), with a lasting time beyond 16 h.

The LPP phosphors are studied by different researchers and their applications have increased from the civil uses (i.e., traditional displays, lighting, medicine, security) to a wide range of scientific fields, such as life sciences, biomedicine, clinical medicine, energy and environmental engineering [26–28].

In the present study, we aimed to further improve the synthesis of \( \text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21}: \text{Eu}^{2+}, \text{Dy}^{3+} \) phosphors and theirs LPP characteristics.

On the basis of our previous experience [29], we carried out a careful evaluation of the effect of precursors, crucible composition, flux agents, dopants, time and temperatures used in the synthesis. The characterization through elemental, kinetic, mineralogical and morphological analysis will help establish the best experimental conditions to obtain this kind of phosphors with improved properties.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Synthesis

Barium silicate phosphors doped with \( \text{Eu}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Dy}^{3+} \) (\( \text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21}: \text{Eu}^{2+}/\text{Dy}^{3+} \)) were prepared through a solid-state reaction. The raw materials used consisted of barium carbonate (\( \text{BaCO}_3 \); Riedel-de Haën, Hannover, Germany, 99.0%) or barium chloride (\( \text{BaCl}_2 \); Carlo Erba, Cornaredo (MI), Italy, 99%), sodium metasilicate pentahydrate (\( \text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O} \); Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA, 95.0%) or silicon oxide (\( \text{SiO}_2 \); Aldrich, purum), dysprosium oxide (\( \text{Dy}_2\text{O}_3 \); Aldrich, 99.9%), europium oxide (\( \text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3 \); Aldrich, 99.9%), ammonium chloride (\( \text{NH}_4\text{Cl} \); Riedel-de Haën, 99.5%) and boric acid (\( \text{H}_3\text{BO}_3 \); Aldrich 99.8%). Some phosphors (\( \text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21}: \text{Eu}^{2+}/\text{Er}^{3+} \)) were also doped with \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) (\( \text{Er}_2\text{O}_3 \); Aldrich, 99.9%).

The reagents were weighed with an analytical balance (\( \pm 0.01 \text{ mg} \)) according to the stoichiometric composition of \( \text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21} \) and then mixed in an agate mortar; the mixture was dried at 100 °C for 2 h and successively put into a crucible. The reducing atmosphere was created with purified coal, which produces \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{CO} \) on burning; it is, in fact, well-known that this kind of atmosphere is cheaper and more efficient for the sintering process than the one obtained with a more traditional gas mixture (\( \text{H}_2/\text{N}_2 \)) [30]. The crucible with the mixture surrounded by coal was inserted inside a large alumina crucible, which was closed with a lid. The synthesis was carried out at 1100–1250 °C for 3–12 h, and the obtained material was then grounded in an agate mortar to obtain a fine powder used for the characterisation.

2.2. Characterisation

Mineralogical studies (phase identification and quantification) performed by XRPD (X-Ray Powder Diffraction) were carried out with a PANalytical X’Pert Pro Bragg-Brentano diffractometer (PANalytical, Malvern, UK), using Ni-filtered \( \text{Cu Kα} \) radiation (\( \lambda = 1.54060 \text{ Å} \)) with an X’Celerator detector. The patterns were taken over the diffraction angle range of \( 2θ = 5–55° \), with a time step of 50 s and a step size of 0.03° (angular step). In the case of quantitative phase analysis (QPA), the patterns were collected in the range of \( 2θ = 3–100° \), with a time step of 100 s and a step size of 0.03°. The QPA results were elaborated by means of the combined Rietveld-reference intensity ratio (RIR) method [31]. QPA refinements of the powder spectra were performed using the GSAS software [32], and its graphical interface EXPGUI [33]. The structural models for all phases were taken from the ICSD database [34]. The refined instrumental parameters were the Chebyshev polynomial background function and the
zero-shift. For each phase, the refined parameters consisted of the scale factor, unit-cell parameters, Gaussian and Lorentzian coefficients of the pseudo-Voigt peak-profile function, offset function for the correction of the peak asymmetry and sample-displacement correction.

Surface morphology and its composition were examined with a Scanning Electron Microscope (FEI Quanta 200, FeiCo., Abingdon, UK), equipped with an energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) instrument (INCA 350, Oxford Instrument, Abingdon, UK). EDS analyses were performed in quadruplicate for each examined agglomerate onto the surface; the result was a mean of the replicates and a standard deviation of 0.5%.

A quantification of Si and Ba was performed with an ICP spectrometer (Perkin Elmer Optima 4200 DV, Waltham, MA, USA), while for Eu, Dy and Er, an ICP-MS spectrometer was applied (X Series, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The standard deviation for ICP results was lower than 1%, and the detection limit for ICP-MS shows was 0.05 pg/mL.

The afterglow decay was used to measure the luminous intensity with a luminance meter (Minolta CS-100A, Ramsey, NJ, USA). Following the procedure of P. Wang et al. [18], we excited each sample for 10 min with a Wood lamp (366 nm), after which the luminance was measured in a dark room (1 m was the distance between the sample and the Luminance Meter). The reproducibility determined was 0.005 cd/m². The instrument works in the range of 0.002–49.900 cd/m² with a sampling time of 0.4 s. The obtained decay curves were elaborated by means of a kinetic analysis with first, second and third-order functions.

The best fitting was evaluated by the calculation of deviation (D%), which is defined as the root mean square offset between the experimental and computed data through the use of first, second, or third-order decay equations [35]. In other words, these results were compared since the conditions used in the tests are always the same.

In our samples, the afterglow decay can be fitted with a second-order function:

\[ y(t) = y_0 + A_1e^{-t/\tau_1} + A_2e^{-t/\tau_2} \]

where \( y(t) \) is the luminance emission intensity at time \( t \) after switching off the excitation source, \( y_0 \) is the luminance emission at time zero, \( A_i \) is a time-invariant constant that represents the amplitude of luminescence intensity corresponding to the \( i \) decay component, while \( t_i \) is the corresponding decay time-constant. We used the values of \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \) to compare the behaviour of the differently synthesized phosphor; it was possible to use \( \tau_m \) for this purpose.

3. Results

We started from a reference phosphor \( \text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21}:\text{Eu}^{2+},\text{Dy}^{3+} \) [18], with these molar ratios: \( \text{Ba}/\text{Si} = 0.625, \text{Eu}/\text{Si} = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \) and \( \text{Dy}/\text{Si} = 11.25 \times 10^{-3} \). These were obtained from \( \text{BaCO}_3, \text{SiO}_2, \text{Dy}_2\text{O}_3, \text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3 \) and \( \text{H}_3\text{BO}_3 \) (2.5 wt.%) by treatment at 1250 °C for 10 h in a platinum crucible.

In order to perform an accurate comparison, we reproduced the synthesis of this phosphor in our laboratory and the product was named sample 1.

The sample synthesized with the same molar ratios and reagents and at the same annealing conditions but in a quartz crucible instead of platinum was named sample 2. Mineralogical studies confirm that in both cases, it is possible to obtain \( \text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21} \) as the dominant crystalline phase and the sample 1 maintains their long-persistent phosphorescence characteristics. Then, the synthesis of this phosphor was evaluated by varying the types and amounts of precursors, dopants, flux agents, crucibles type, temperature and time of annealing. For all samples, the reducing atmosphere was created with purified coal.

Each sample was obtained by changing the variables step by step; this will be discussed in the following paragraphs.

In Table 1, the compositions and synthesis conditions of the samples (A–H) are reported with respect to those of sample 1.
### Table 1. Theoretical molar ratios and synthesis conditions of phosphors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ba/Si</th>
<th>Eu/Si</th>
<th>Dy/Si</th>
<th>Er/Si</th>
<th>Flux Agent</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>2.5 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>11.25 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>H₃BO₃</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>2.5 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>11.25 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>H₃BO₃</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>2.5 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>11.25 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>H₃BO₃</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>2.8 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>3.6 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>2.8 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>3.6 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>H₃BO₃</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>2.8 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>3.6 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>H₃BO₃</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>2.8 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>3.6 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>2.8 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>3.6 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>2.8 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>3.6 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>2.8 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>3.6 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3.1. Effect of the Precursors

Our first aim was to find precursors of silicon and barium that could react faster and at lower temperatures in contrast to those used for sample 1. The combined use of Na₂SiO₃ and BaCO₃ as sources of silicon and barium produces a glassy compound instead of a crystalline one, probably due to the low fusion temperature of Na₂SiO₃ (T = 1088 °C). To avoid this problem and help the formation of a crystalline phase, we decided to use H₂SiO₃ instead of Na₂SiO₃ [17]. H₂SiO₃ was obtained by reaction between NH₄Cl and Na₂SiO₃:

\[
\text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3 + 2\text{NH}_4\text{Cl} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{SiO}_3 + 2\text{NH}_3 (↑) + 2\text{NaCl}
\]

To confirm the correctness of this procedure, we carried out a mineralogical study [36] on the mixture with all precursors (BaCO₃, Na₂SiO₃, NH₄Cl, Eu₂O₃ and Dy₂O₃) before the heat treatment, and the results (Table S1, Supplementary Materials) indicated the presence of NaCl, BaCO₃ and the absence of any foreign crystalline phase. Furthermore, the presence of NaCl is also useful as a flux agent for the synthesis.

We have tried other reagents with the following aims:

a. to reduce reagents number: using BaCl₂ instead of NH₄Cl and BaCO₃. The mineralogical results indicate that even at high temperature (1200 °C) and for a long time (12 h), the final product showed the presence of some residual reagents. The reaction was not complete in terms of the formation of Ba₅Si₈O₂₁ and displayed a weak afterglow luminescence with respect to the reference (Figure S1, Supplementary Materials).

b. to use a low expensive reagent: using SiO₂ instead of Na₂SiO₃, and H₃BO₃ as a flux agent instead of NaCl. The afterglow was good, but the reaction required a high temperature (1250 °C) and a long synthesis time (10 h) (Figure 1, sample 2).

Consequently, we decided to always use H₂SiO₃ (from NH₄Cl and Na₂SiO₃) and BaCO₃ as sources of silicon and barium, respectively.
3.2. Effect of Crucible and Ba/Si Molar Ratio

In this case, our aim was to find cheaper and more versatile crucible materials and replace platinum. We tried crucibles consisting of different materials: platinum, alumina, boron nitride and quartz. We decided to discard platinum because of the lack of reproducibility of the photoluminescent properties, which is probably due to chemical interactions between the crucible and its reagents. Similarly, for the alumina crucible, the precursors interacted with the crucible and, in fact, from mineralogical analysis, the presence of a barium aluminate phase (Ba$_2$Al$_2$O$_5$) was identified (Figure S2, Supplementary Materials). Boron nitride also interacts with the reagents, making it difficult to remove the mixture from the crucible.

Generally, in the case of the quartz crucible, the mineralogical analysis indicates the formation of competitive crystalline phases for the formation of Ba$_5$Si$_8$O$_{21}$; these phases, BaSi$_2$O$_5$ and Ba$_4$Si$_6$O$_{16}$, are in agreement with the earlier reported phase diagrams of the system BaO-SiO$_2$ [37]. In particular, the presence of BaSi$_2$O$_5$ and its Ba/Si molar ratio of 0.5, indicate a probable interaction with the quartz crucible and a consequent increase of the amount of Si inside the phosphor. To obtain the optimal Ba/Si molar ratio required for the formation of Ba$_5$Si$_8$O$_{21}$, we increased the amount of BaCO$_3$. Different Ba/Si molar ratios were tested (0.65, 0.66, 0.7, 0.75 and 1), and the most promising results was 0.7.

Consequently, we decided to use the crucible of quartz in all the samples.

3.3. Effect of Flux Agents and of Eu/Si, Dy/Si Molar Ratios

On the basis of the previous considerations, the interesting results were obtained with a molar ratio Ba/Si = 0.7 with H$_2$SiO$_3$ and BaCO$_3$ as precursors, synthesized in a quartz crucible. Starting from these parameters, we prepared a sample with the same type and amount of flux agent as in sample 1 (sample A), and sample B was prepared with NaCl (10 wt.%) instead of H$_3$BO$_3$ (2.5 wt.%). NaCl was obtained by the reaction of NH$_4$Cl and Na$_2$SiO$_3$ (see Section 3.1).

In sample B, the principal phase was Ba$_4$Si$_6$O$_{16}$ (45 wt.%) and the secondary phases were Ba$_4$Si$_6$O$_{16}$ (15 wt.%) and BaSi$_2$O$_5$ (10 wt.%). Contrary to that, in sample A, the principal phase was Ba$_4$Si$_6$O$_{16}$ (44 wt.%), while Ba$_5$Si$_8$O$_{21}$ (20 wt.%) and BaSi$_2$O$_5$ (15 wt.%) were the secondary ones. The H$_3$BO$_3$ use (sample A) lead to the dominant formation of Ba$_4$Si$_6$O$_{16}$, which reduced the afterglow.
luminescence. In fact, the initial emission of sample B ($A_1 = 109 \text{ mcd/m}^2$) was higher than that of A ($A_1 = 93 \text{ mcd/m}^2$) (Table 2).

**Table 2. Luminescence kinetic analysis results.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$A_1$ (mcd/m$^2$)</th>
<th>$t_1$ (s)</th>
<th>$A_1 \times t_1$ (%)</th>
<th>$A_2$ (mcd/m$^2$)</th>
<th>$t_2$ (s)</th>
<th>$A_2 \times t_2$ (%)</th>
<th>$t_m$ (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3759</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7056</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9602</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>4904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4431</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6358</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4393</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4847</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3004</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To improve the reaction yield, different amounts of $\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3$ and dopant molar ratios were tested (samples C, D). Samples C and D have the same molar ratios and were synthesized at the same conditions, but they differ by flux agents and contain, respectively, NaCl and $\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3$ (10 wt.%).

Mineralogical analysis (Table S2, Supplementary Materials) allowed us to identify different crystalline phases. Due to pattern complexity (Figure 1), a qualitative study that refers to the diffraction peaks intensity is not sufficient to quantify the amount of each phase even from a semi-quantitative point of view. In fact, sometimes, there are peaks positioned very close to each other or overlapped (e.g., $d = 3.73 \text{ Å}$ and $d = 3.74 \text{ Å}$ respectively for $\text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21}$ and $\text{Ba}_4\text{Si}_6\text{O}_{16}$) and, also, preferential orientation can appear ($d = 6.88 \text{ Å}$ for $\text{Ba}_2\text{Si}_3\text{O}_{21}$).

Consequently, using the Rietveld method in order to perform a quantitative phase analysis (QPA) gives us more accurate results (Table 3); it indicated whether $\text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21}$ is the principal crystalline phase and also demonstrated other competitive crystalline phases besides the amorphous phase.

**Table 3. QPA (wt.% ± 1) obtained from XRPD analysis.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Ba}_5\text{Si}<em>8\text{O}</em>{21}$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Ba}_4\text{Si}<em>6\text{O}</em>{16}$</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{BaSi}_2\text{O}_5$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amorphous</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21}$ is the principal crystalline phase in both cases, with 59 wt.% for sample C and 76 wt.% for sample D. $\text{BaSi}_2\text{O}_5$ is a secondary phase presented at 13 and 7 wt.%, respectively, for samples C and D. Sample C also contains $\text{Ba}_4\text{Si}_6\text{O}_{16}$ (8 wt.%).

The lower number of competitive phases in sample D makes it possible to suppose that $\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3$ could be the best flux agent. However, the luminescence decay curves (Figure 2) and the initial emission ($A_1$) (Table 2) demonstrates that sample C has a better performance than sample D. Comparing with samples 1 and 2, we observe a higher value of $A_1$ for C: $180 \text{ mcd/m}^2$ for C, compared with 133 and 94 mcd/m$^2$, respectively, for 1 and 2.
which has the brightest initial emission, but with the shortest afterglow time.

were tested, and the most promising ones are reported in Table 1.

phosphorescence, as one can see from the luminescence emission and kinetic analysis results (Table 2).

part of the phosphors, the afterglow decay process is the same, except for sample E (doped with Er

quantity of amorphous phase (27 wt.%), and this could explain the worst values in the luminescence

decay curves and kinetic parameters (Figure 3, Table 2).

formation of the desired crystalline phase responsible for photoluminescence.

Higher temperatures or longer thermal treatment times improved both the solid-state reaction and the

3.4. Effect of Heat Treatment

Therefore, we can suppose that H$_2$BO$_3$ (used in the sample D, 1 and 2) somehow inhibits the emission intensity in the samples.

We also prepared a sample with both flux agents, but the final result was an amorphous compound that did not show any phosphorescence.

These results indicate that, in our conditions, NaCl is the best flux agent.

For all studied samples, similarly to Y. Gong et al. [17] and P. Wang et al. [18], we used Eu$^{2+}$ as emission source and Dy$^{3+}$ to enhance the afterglow emission; different molar ratios (Eu/Si, Dy/Si) were tested, and the most promising ones are reported in Table 1.

Furthermore, as seen from P. Wang et al. [38], we tried using Er$^{3+}$ instead of Dy$^{3+}$.

We compared the luminescence emission and kinetic analysis of sample C (doped with Eu$^{2+}$, Dy$^{3+}$) and sample E (doped with Eu$^{2+}$, Er$^{3+}$) (Table 2). The initial emission $A_1$ is higher for sample E: 213 against 180 mcd/m$^2$. Unfortunately though, it has got a very short afterglow decay: $\tau_2 = 494$ s compared to $\tau_2 = 6358$ s of sample C.

We synthesised the samples with both Er$^{3+}$ and Dy$^{3+}$; the elements were added in the same molar ratios X/Si (X = Er or Dy) = 2 × 10$^{-3}$, 3.5 × 10$^{-3}$ and 3.6 × 10$^{-3}$. In all cases, the initial emission was not comparable with sample E, while $\tau_2$ remained similar to one of the samples with only Eu$^{2+}$ and Dy$^{3+}$. Consequently, we decided to use only Eu$^{2+}$ and Dy$^{3+}$ as dopants.

The parameters reported in Table 2 indicated that the contribution to the total photon emission of the fast decay $A_1 \times \tau_1$ (%) is lower, as compared to the slow decay component $A_2 \times \tau_2$ (%). The fast and slow decay components correspond to 10 and 90%, respectively. This suggests that, for the major part of the phosphors, the afterglow decay process is the same, except for sample E (doped with Er$^{3+}$), which has the brightest initial emission, but with the shortest afterglow time.

3.4. Effect of Heat Treatment

The synthesis conditions, in addition to raw material selection, play a crucial role in the process. Higher temperatures or longer thermal treatment times improved both the solid-state reaction and the formation of the desired crystalline phase responsible for photoluminescence.

The most promising synthesis was carried out at 1100 °C, instead of 1250 °C used for the reference sample 1.

We tested different synthesis times: 12 h for sample F, 6 h for sample C, 4 h for sample G and 3 h for sample H.

From QPA (Table 3), we can see that the principal phase is Ba$_5$Si$_6$O$_{21}$ in all the samples.

Sample G got the higher amount (66 wt.%) of Ba$_5$Si$_6$O$_{21}$, but sample C (59 wt.%) possesses better phosphorescence, as one can see from the luminescence emission and kinetic analysis results (Table 2).

The secondary phases amounts are similar in samples F, G and H. Sample H presents the greatest quantity of amorphous phase (27 wt.%), and this could explain the worst values in the luminescence decay curves and kinetic parameters (Figure 3, Table 2).
Figure 3. Decay curves of samples C (blue) and H (red). The upper inset showed the log-log plot.

For all samples, we also performed a morphological/compositional evaluation. The morphology was similar in all cases, the agglomerates are well-defined and they are in agreement with the amorphous phase amount. Mapping performed by EDS analysis reveals the presence of the constituent elements Ba, Si, O, Eu and Dy homogeneously distributed over the grain surface. An element mapping was performed as well for the surface and, in the case of sample C (Figure 4), the regular element distributions are evidently compatible with the formation of a doped barium silicate compound.

Figure 4. Cont.
The suitable dopants are Eu$^{2+}$ and Dy$^{3+}$, and they must be taken in ratio of Eu/Si = 2.8 × 10$^{-3}$ and Dy/Si = 3.6 × 10$^{-3}$. The best flux agent is NaCl, obtained directly from the reaction reported in Section 3.1 between NH$_4$Cl and Na$_2$SiO$_3$. Finally, the optimal thermal treatment conditions are 6 h at 1100 °C and the quartz crucible is the most appropriate.

Using these synthesis variables, we fabricated the best phosphor (sample C).
The reason for this choice comes from the combination of two factors: (i) \( \text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21} \) as the main crystalline phase and (ii) the best characteristics in terms of luminescence. In fact, compared to sample D (76 wt.% of \( \text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21} \)), even if sample C has a lower amount of \( \text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21} \) (59% wt.% due to its incomplete crystallization and the preferred orientation of some peaks), its luminescence characteristics are better. Table 2 and Figure 2 indicate a significant difference for the value of the initial emission: \( A_1 \) of 180 mcd/m\(^2\) and 18 mcd/m\(^2\) for C and D, respectively. Furthermore, its luminescence characteristics are also higher than those of the reference sample (samples 1): \( A_1 \) of 180 mcd/m\(^2\) and 133 mcd/m\(^2\) for C and 1, respectively (Table 2 and Figure 5). Sample C is better than sample E, which is comparable for the amount of \( \text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21} \) and for \( A_1 \), but the \( \tau_2 \) value of which is much lower (Table 2). Finally, if we compare sample C with samples F, G, and H, even if we have a similar amount of \( \text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21} \), they have a lower \( A_1 \) value (Table 2).

![Figure 5. Decay curves of samples C (blue), 1 (red) and 2 (yellow). The upper inset showed the log-log plot.](image)

### 5. Conclusions

In this study, we revealed the possibility to improve the characteristics of known phosphor \( \text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21}:\text{Eu}^{2+},\text{Dy}^{3+} \). To optimize the process and identify the correct parameters, it was necessary to select an appropriate procedure, as derived by experimental analysis. The variations of precursors, crucible composition, flux agents, dopants, time and temperatures of the treatment strongly influence the LPP characteristics.

Our best synthesis procedure must consider the following parameters:

- use of purified coal instead of \( \text{N}_2/\text{H}_2 \) as a source of reducing atmosphere, which permits much more disposable and cheaper facilities.
- precursors such as \( \text{BaCO}_3 \), \( \text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3 \), \( \text{NH}_4\text{Cl} \) and an economic flux agent such as \( \text{NaCl} \) (directly obtained during the synthesis).

Therefore, the data obtained in this study indicate that it is possible to prepare a \( \text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21}:\text{Eu}^{2+},\text{Dy}^{3+} \) phosphor with improved LPP characteristics: \( A_1 \) of 180 mcd/m\(^2\) respect to 133 mcd/m\(^2\), which is the reference.

This phosphor was obtained from \( \text{Ba}/\text{Si} = 0.7 \), \( \text{Eu}/\text{Si} = 2.8 \times 10^{-3} \), and \( \text{Dy}/\text{Si} = 3.6 \times 10^{-3} \), from \( \text{BaCO}_3 \), \( \text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3 \), \( \text{NH}_4\text{Cl}, \text{Dy}_2\text{O}_3 \), and \( \text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3 \) at 1100 °C after 6 h of synthesis in a quartz crucible.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/12/1/183/s1, Table S1: Most important peaks of \( \text{NaCl}, \text{BaCO}_3 \) in the sample C before the heat treatment compared with the reference data; Table S2: Most important peaks of \( \text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21}, \text{Ba}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{21}^{16+}, \text{BaSi}_2\text{O}_5 \) compared with the reference data; Figure S1: XRD of sample synthesised with \( \text{SiO}_2, \text{BaCl}_2 \) as precursor with molar ratio \( \text{Ba}/\text{Si} = 0.625; \text{H}_3\text{BO}_3 \).
as flux agent; Eu₂O₃, Dy₂O₃ as dopants with molar ratios Eu/Si = 2.8 × 10⁻³ and Dy/Si = 3.6 × 10⁻³. Thermal treatment conditions are 1200 °C for 12 h; Figure S2. XRD of sample synthesised with Na₂SiO₃, BaCO₃ and NH₄Cl as precursor with molar ratio Ba/Si = 0.7; Eu₂O₃, Dy₂O₃ as dopants with molar ratios Eu/Si = 2.8 × 10⁻³ and Dy/Si = 3.6 × 10⁻³. Thermal treatment conditions are 1100 °C for 12 h.
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