Delannoy Numbers and Preferential Arrangements

Kwang-Wu Chen

Department of Mathematics, University of Taipei, Taipei 10048, Taiwan; kwchen@uTaipei.edu.tw or kwchen66@gmail.com
† Current address: No. 1, Ai-Guo West Road, Taipei 10048, Taiwan.

Received: 25 January 2019; Accepted: 2 March 2019; Published: 6 March 2019

Abstract: A preferential arrangement on \([n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\) is a ranking of the elements of \([n]\) where ties are allowed. The number of preferential arrangements on \([n]\) is denoted by \(r_n\). The Delannoy number \(D(m, n)\) is the number of lattice paths from \((0, 0)\) to \((m, n)\) in which only east \((1, 0)\), north \((0, 1)\), and northeast \((1, 1)\) steps are allowed. We establish a symmetric identity among the numbers \(r_n\) and \(D(p, q)\) by means of algebraic and combinatorial methods.
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1. Introduction

A preferential arrangement on \([n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\) is a ranking of the elements of \([n]\) where ties are allowed. We denote \(PA(n)\) as the set of all preferential arrangements on \([n]\). For example,

\[
PA(3) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 > 2 > 3, \quad 1 > 3 > 2, \quad 2 > 1 > 3, \quad 2 > 3 > 1, \quad 3 > 1 > 2, \\
3 > 2 > 1, \quad 1 = 2 > 3, \quad 3 > 1 = 2, \quad 1 = 3 > 2, \quad 2 > 1 = 3, \\
2 = 3 > 1, \quad 1 > 2 = 3, \quad 1 = 2 = 3 \end{array} \right\}.
\]

The number of \(PA(n)\) is denoted by \(r_n\). These numbers \(r_n\) are also called the Fubini numbers [1], the ordered Bell numbers [2] (Section 5.2), or surjection number [3] (p. 109). They count the number of weak orderings on a set of \(n\) elements. The term “preferential arrangement” was first introduced by Gross [4]. The explicit expression of \(r_n\) is usually given by [5] (Equation (13))

\[
r_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{k!} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right),
\]

where \(\left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right)\) is the Stirling numbers of the second kind. The recursive relation and the generating function

\[
r_n = \delta_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right) r_k,
\]

were first given by Cayley [6] in 1859. As a preferential arrangement is nothing else than a sequence of non-empty sets, this directly gives the generating function \(SEQ(SET_{\geq 1}(Z)) = 1/(2 - \exp(z))\), and this also explains the recurrence and the link with Stirling numbers (see e.g., [3] (p. 109)). Accordingly, this number \(r_n\) has been given various interpretations and has also been connected to a number of well-known combinatorial sequences [5,7–9].
The Delannoy number $D(m, n)$ is defined for nonnegative integers $m$ and $n$ by [1] (p. 81):

$$D(m, n) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } m \cdot n = 0, \\
D(m - 1, n) + D(m - 1, n - 1) + D(m, n - 1), & \text{if } m \cdot n \neq 0.
\end{cases}$$

The explicit expression of $D(m, n)$ and the generating function [1] are given by:

$$D(m, n) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} \binom{m+n-k}{m}, \quad \sum_{m,n\geq 0} D(m,n)x^m y^n = \frac{1}{1-x-y-xy}.$$

The significances of these numbers are explained in [10,11]. Recently, Sun [12] and Liu, Li, & Wang [13] investigated some congruences relations on them. Qi, Černanová, Shi, & Guo [14] established several explicit expressions, including determinantal expressions. Moreover, Delannoy numbers are related to some adic dynamical systems [15].

Based on some algebraic identities in Hoffman’s harmonic algebra, we obtained an interesting identity which connects the numbers $r_n$ and $D(m, n)$:

**Main Theorem.** For a pair of nonnegative integers $n$ and $m$, we have:

$$\sum_{p=0}^{m} \binom{m}{p} p! D(p, n) = \sum_{q=0}^{n} \binom{n}{q} (-1)^{n-q} \frac{1}{n!} r_{m+q},$$

where $\binom{n}{q}$ and $\binom{m}{p}$ are the Stirling numbers of the first kind and the second kind, respectively.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some algebraic preliminaries on Hoffman’s harmonic algebras and provide the basic identity which we will use. In Section 3, we prove the Main Theorem and also establish some more formulas. We introduce combinatorial viewpoints to approach the Main Theorem in the last section.

**2. Some Preliminaries on Harmonic Algebras**

We summarize the algebraic setup introduced by Hoffman [16,17] as follows. Let us consider the coding of multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$, where $\alpha_i$ are positive integers and $\alpha_r > 1$, by words (that is, by monomials in non-commutative variables) over the alphabet $X = \{x, y\}$ by the rule

$$\alpha \mapsto x_\alpha = xy^{\alpha_1-1}xy^{\alpha_2-1} \cdots xy^{\alpha_r-1}.$$

The weight (or the degree) $|x_\alpha| := |\alpha|$ coincides with the total degree of the monomial $x_\alpha$, whereas the length (or the depth) $l(x_\alpha) := l(\alpha)$ is the degree with respect to the variable $x$.

Let $Q(X) = Q(x, y)$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra of polynomials in two non-commutative variables, which is graded by the degree (where each of the variables $x$ and $y$ is assumed to be of degree 1); we identify the algebra $Q(X)$ with the graded $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $\mathfrak{H}$ spanned by the monomials in the variables $x$ and $y$ ([16]).

We also introduce the graded $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces $\mathfrak{H}_1 = Q(1 \oplus xH)$ and $\mathfrak{H}_0 = Q(1 \oplus xHy)$, where $1$ denotes the unit (the empty word of weight 0 and length 0) of the algebra $Q(X)$. Then, the space $\mathfrak{H}_1$ can be regarded as the subalgebra of $Q(X)$ generated by the words $z_j = xy^{j-1}$.

Let us define a bilinear product $*$ (the stuffle product or harmonic product) on $\mathfrak{H}_1$ by the rules

$$1 * w = w * 1 = w, \quad (3)$$

for any word $w$, and

$$z_j u * z_k v = z_j (u * z_k v) + z_k (z_j u * v) + z_{j+k} (u * v), \quad (4)$$
for any words $u, v$, any letters $x_i = x$ or $y$ ($i = 1, 2$), and any generators $z_j, z_k$ of the subalgebra $\mathcal{S}^1_y$, and then extend the above rules to the whole subalgebra $\mathcal{S}^1_y$ by linearity. It is known that each of the above products is commutative and associative. We denote the algebra $(\mathcal{S}^1_y, +, \cdot)$ by $\mathcal{S}^1_y$, and we call it a harmonic algebra (or Hoffman’s harmonic algebra). For our convenience, we let

$$z_n \cdot \cdots \cdot z_n = z_n^k, \quad z_n \cdot z_n \cdot \cdots \cdot z_n = z_n^k, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{k}{(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r)} = \frac{k!}{k_1! k_2! \cdots k_r!}.$$  

Lemma 1. Let $n, k$ be positive integers. Then:

$$z_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r} = \sum_{|a|=k} \sum_{|\alpha| = r} \binom{k}{\alpha} z_{a_1} z_{a_2} \cdots z_{a_r},$$

where $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r)$ is a $r$-tuple of positive integers.

Proof. We use an induction on $k$ to prove Equation (5).

$$z_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r} = z_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r} = \sum_{|a|=k} \sum_{|\alpha| = r} \binom{k-1}{\alpha} z_{a_1} z_{a_2} \cdots z_{a_r} \cdot z_n.$$  

The above identity follows from the induction hypothesis. Since

$$z_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r} = \sum_{|a|=k} \sum_{|\alpha| = r} \binom{k-1}{\alpha} \left( z_{a_1} z_{a_2} \cdots z_{a_r} \cdot z_n + \sum_{|\alpha| = r} \binom{k-2}{\alpha} z_{a_1} z_{a_2} \cdots z_{a_r} \cdot z_n \right),$$

we have:

$$z_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r} = \sum_{|a|=k} \sum_{|\alpha| = r} \binom{k-1}{\alpha} \left( z_{a_1} z_{a_2} \cdots z_{a_r} \cdot z_n + \sum_{|\alpha| = r} \binom{k-2}{\alpha} z_{a_1} z_{a_2} \cdots z_{a_r} \cdot z_n \right).$$

The former summand has $r + 1$ instances of $z_i$ and the latter summand has $r$ instances of $z_i$ in each summation. We rewrite the summation such that each summation has the same instances of $z_i$.

$$z_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r} = z_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r} = \sum_{|a|=k} \sum_{|\alpha| = r} \binom{k-1}{\alpha} \left( z_{a_1} z_{a_2} \cdots z_{a_r} \cdot z_n + \sum_{|\alpha| = r} \binom{k-2}{\alpha} z_{a_1} z_{a_2} \cdots z_{a_r} \cdot z_n \right).$$
We obtain the following interesting identity which connects \( r_n \) where

\[
\sum_{r=1}^{k-2} \left( \sum_{|a|=k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{(k-1)!}{a_1! \cdots a_i-1!(a_i-1)!a_{i+1}! \cdots a_{r+1}!} \right) z_{na_1} \cdots z_{na_{r+1}} \right)
\]

then we have the following identity:

\[
\sum_{r=1}^{k-2} \left( \sum_{|a|=k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{(k-1)!}{a_1! \cdots a_i-1!(a_i-1)!a_{i+1}! \cdots a_{r+1}!} \right) z_{na_1} \cdots z_{na_{r+1}} \right)
\]

Combining the first term \( z_{nk} \) and the last term \( k!z^k_{m} \), we get our conclusion. \( \square \)

We define a rational linear map: \( \zeta : \mathfrak{S}^0_k \to \mathbb{R} \) by \( \zeta(1) = 1 \) and

\[
\zeta(z_{a_1}z_{a_2} \cdots z_{a_r}) = \zeta(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r) := \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_r} k_1^{-a_1}k_2^{-a_2} \cdots k_r^{-a_r},
\]

where \( \zeta(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r) \) is the multiple zeta value (MZV) [18–20]. Since \( z_{a_1}z_{a_2} \cdots z_{a_r} \in \mathfrak{S}^0_k \), we have \( a_r \geq 2 \). Thus, this guarantees that \( \zeta(a_1, \ldots, a_r) \) is well-defined. Then, this map is an algebra homomorphism [21]: \( \zeta(w_1 \ast w_2) = \zeta(w_1)\zeta(w_2) \). If we apply this map to the result of the above lemma, then we have the following identity:

\[
\zeta(n)^k = \sum_{r=1}^{k-2} \left( \sum_{|a|=k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{(k-1)!}{a_1! \cdots a_i-1!(a_i-1)!a_{i+1}! \cdots a_{r+1}!} \right) z_{na_1} \cdots z_{na_{r+1}} \right)
\]

where \( n, k \) are positive integers and \( n \geq 2 \). This result was recently proved in [22] (Theorem 1.3) by another method. In fact, this result can be also obtained from [21] (Proposition 3) and [23] (Proposition 4), and it is also true for \( n = 1 \), provided one uses stuffle-regularized MZVs.

It is worth noting that \( \mathfrak{S}^1_k \) is isomorphic to the algebra \( \mathfrak{QSym} \) of quasi-symmetric functions, and \( \mathfrak{QSym} \) has the algebra \( \text{Sym} \) of symmetric functions as a subalgebra [24]. The well-known identity

\[
m_1^k = \sum_{\lambda \vdash k} \binom{k}{\lambda} m_\lambda
\]

of symmetric functions (which follows from the multinomial theorem), where \( m_\lambda \) is the monomial symmetric function corresponding to the partition \( \lambda \). We define a monomorphism \( \text{Sym} \to \mathfrak{S}^1_k \) sending \( m_1 \) to \( z_n \). We could use this map to send the above identity to Equation (5).

We use the result in Lemma 1 to get some relations between Delannoy numbers and preferential arrangements in the next section.

3. Preferential Arrangements and Delannoy Numbers

The definition of the stuffle product \( \ast \) indicates that the stuffle product of two multiple zeta values of depth \( m \) and \( n \) will produce \( D(m, n) \) numbers of multiple zeta values ([25]). We will give another proof using a combinatorial approach in the next section.

The Delannoy number \( D(m, n) \) can be viewed as the number of lattice paths from \((0, 0)\) to \((m, n)\) in which only east \((1, 0)\), north \((0, 1)\), and northeast \((1, 1)\) steps are allowed. The lattice paths described here are called Delannoy paths which give an alternative characterization of the stuffle product.

By counting the number of multiple zeta values in Equation (5) produced from the stuffle product, we obtain the following interesting identity which connects \( r_n \) and \( D(m, n) \).
Proposition 1. For a pair of nonnegative integers \( n \) and \( k \), we have

\[
    r_{n+k} = \sum_{p=0}^{n} \sum_{q=0}^{k} \left( \begin{array}{c} k \\ p \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ q \end{array} \right) p!q!D(p,q).
\]

where \( \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ p \end{array} \right) \) are the Stirling numbers of the second kind.

Proof. There are

\[
    \sum_{r=1}^{k} \sum_{a_i \geq 0} \binom{k}{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r}
\]

terms in the right-hand side of Equation (5). Since \( \sum_{a_i \geq 0} \binom{k}{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r} = r^k \), we have

\[
    \sum_{a_i \geq 0} \binom{k}{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r} = \sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^{r-j} \binom{k}{r} j^k
\]

by the inclusion–exclusion principle. By [26] (Equation (6.19)),

\[
    \sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^{r-j} \binom{k}{r} j^k = r! \binom{k}{r},
\]

we can write the above number as

\[
    \sum_{a_i \geq 0} \binom{k}{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r} = r! \binom{k}{r}. \tag{7}
\]

For \( 1 \leq \ell < k \),

\[
    \sum_{r=1}^{k} \sum_{|a| = \ell} \binom{k}{a} \prod_{i=1}^{r} z_{n_1} z_{n_2} \cdots z_{n_r} \\
    = \prod_{p=1}^{\ell} \sum_{|a| = \ell} \binom{\ell}{a} \prod_{i=1}^{r} z_{n_1} z_{n_2} \cdots z_{n_r} + \sum_{q=1}^{k-\ell} \sum_{|a| = k-\ell} \binom{k-\ell}{a} \prod_{i=1}^{r} z_{n_1} z_{n_2} \cdots z_{n_r}.
\]

Since the stuffle product of two MZVs of depth \( p \) and \( q \) produces \( D(p,q) \) numbers of MZVs, we count the numbers of MZVs in the above identity, and then we have:

\[
    \sum_{r=1}^{k} \sum_{|a| = \ell} \binom{k}{a} D(p,q).
\]

Combining Equations (1) and (7) and the special values of the Stirling numbers of the second kind at zeros, i.e., \( \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = 0 \) whenever \( n > 0 \), we conclude the following result:

\[
    r_{n+k} = \sum_{p=0}^{n} \sum_{q=0}^{k} \left( \begin{array}{c} k \\ p \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ q \end{array} \right) p!q!D(p,q).
\]

This completes our proof. \( \Box \)
Our Main Theorem is just the Stirling inversion applied to Equation (6).

**Main Theorem.** For a pair of nonnegative integers \( n \) and \( m \), we have:

\[
\sum_{p=0}^{m} \binom{m}{p} p! D(p, n) = \sum_{q=0}^{n} \binom{n}{q} (-1)^{n-q} n! r_{m+q},
\]

where \([n]_q\) and \([m]_p\) are the Stirling numbers of the first kind and the second kind, respectively.

**Proof.** For any pair of sequences, \( f_n \) and \( g_n \), if they are related by

\[
g_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left( \frac{n}{k} \right) f_k,
\]

then, they have an inversion formula given by [26]

\[
f_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left( \frac{n}{k} \right) (-1)^{n-k} g_k. \tag{8}
\]

We apply this inversion formula to Equation (6) with \( g_q = r_{m+q}, f_q = \sum_{p=0}^{m} \binom{m}{p} p! D(p, q) \). Then, we have:

\[
\sum_{p=0}^{m} \binom{m}{p} p! D(p, n) = \sum_{q=0}^{n} \binom{n}{q} (-1)^{n-q} n! r_{m+q}.
\]

This completes our proof. \( \square \)

Applying the inversion formula Equation (8) again to the above identity, we have

\[
(-1)^{n+m} m! D(n, m) = \sum_{p=0}^{m} \sum_{q=0}^{n} \binom{n}{q} \binom{m}{p} (-1)^{p+q} r_{p+q}. \tag{9}
\]

If we set \( k = 0 \) in Equation (6), we get the original formula, Equation (1). Moreover, if we set \( k = 1 \) in Equation (6) and use the fact \( D(1, n) = 2n + 1 \), then we have the following identity (see [5] (Equation (29))):

\[
r_{n+1} = \sum_{q=0}^{n} \binom{n}{q} q! (2q + 1) = \sum_{q=0}^{n} \binom{n}{q} q! (2(q + 1) - 1) = 2s_n - r_n,
\]

where the number \( s_n \) is defined by:

\[
s_n = \sum_{q=0}^{n} \binom{n}{q} (q + 1)!
\]

called “barred preferential arrangements of \( n \) elements”, introduced by Pippenger [5]. \( s_n \) is the number of ways of ranking \([n]\), with ties allowed, and with a "bar" that may be placed above all the elements of \([n]\), between two equivalence classes of tied members, or below all the members. Some relations between \( s_n \) and \( r_n \) were derived in [5] [Equations (24), (28), and (29)].

### 4. Combinatorial Approach

In this section, we give a combinatorial approach to prove Proposition 1. First, we connect monomials \( z_{k_1} z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_l} \) in \( \delta^l_k \) to a preferential arrangement.

**Proposition 2.** For positive integers \( n_1, \ldots, n_k \), the product \( z_{n_1} * z_{n_2} * \cdots * z_{n_k} \) is a sum of \( r_k \) monomials, including \( \binom{k}{p} p! \) monomials of length \( p \) for \( 1 \leq p \leq k \).
Proof. We associate any monomials $z_{k_1}z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_n}$ in the product $z_{n_1} \ast z_{n_2} \ast \cdots \ast z_{n_t}$ to a preferential arrangement on $[k]$ as follows. If the factor $z_{n_j}$ appears before the factor $z_{n_i}$ in a monomial, then it means that $i > j$. If the factor $z_{n_j+n_i}$ appears in a monomial, then it means that $i = j$. For example, the monomial $z_{n_2}z_{n_1+n_4}z_{n_3}$ means that the preferential arrangement is $2 > 1 = 4 > 3$.

From the stuffle product rule in Equation (4) we know that if $u, v$ are any possible words,

$$z_{n_i}u \ast z_{n_i}v = z_{n_i}(u \ast z_{n_i}v) + z_{n_i}(z_{n_i}u \ast v) + z_{n_i+n_i}(u \ast v).$$

The corresponding result gives us all situations of Candidate $j$ and Candidate $\ell$ if we rank $j$ and $\ell$: the term $z_{n_i}(u \ast z_{n_i}v)$ means $j = \ell$, the term $z_{n_i}(z_{n_i}u \ast v)$ means $\ell > j$, and the term $z_{n_i+n_i}(u \ast v)$ means $j > \ell$. By mathematical induction, one can assert the following result: The product of $z_{n_1} \ast z_{n_2} \ast \cdots \ast z_{n_t}$ produces a sum of monomials, with each monomial corresponding to a possible preferential arrangement in $[k]$. That is to say, we can regard $z_{n_1} \ast z_{n_2} \ast \cdots \ast z_{n_t}$ as ranking the elements $1, 2, \ldots, k$ where ties are allowed.

Therefore, the product $z_{n_1} \ast z_{n_2} \ast \cdots \ast z_{n_t}$ is a sum of $r_k$ monomials, including $\{p\}_p!$ monomials of length $p$ for $1 \leq p \leq k$ (see Equation (1)).

Secondly, we connect a monomial in a stuffle product to a Delannoy path.

**Proposition 3.** If $u, v$ are monomials in $s_j^1$ of lengths $n$ and $m$ respectively, then $u \ast v$ is a sum of $D(n, m)$ monomials.

**Proof.** We write the monomials $u$ and $v$ in $s_j^1$ as:

$$u = z_{a_1}z_{a_2} \cdots z_{a_n}, \quad v = z_{b_1}z_{b_2} \cdots z_{b_m}.$$

We associate any monomial $z_{k_1}z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_n}$ in the product $u \ast v$ to a Delannoy path from $(0, 0)$ to $(n, m)$ as follows. If $z_{k_i} = z_{a_j}$, then we move from the standing point to the next point by the direction $(0, 1)$. If $z_{k_i} = z_{b_{\ell}}$, then we move from the standing point to the next point by the direction $(1, 0)$. The path begins at the point $(0, 0)$, then it follows the directions corresponding to $z_{k_1}, z_{k_2}, \ldots, z_{k_n}$.

From the stuffle product rule in Equation (4), we know that

$$z_{a_1}z_{a_2} \cdots z_{a_n} \ast z_{b_1}z_{b_2} \cdots z_{b_m} = z_{a_1}(z_{a_2} \cdots z_{a_n} \ast z_{b_1}z_{b_2} \cdots z_{b_m}) + z_{b_1}(z_{a_1}z_{a_2} \cdots z_{a_n} \ast z_{b_2} \cdots z_{b_m}) + z_{a_1+b_1}(z_{a_2} \cdots z_{a_n} \ast z_{b_2} \cdots z_{b_m}).$$

This indicates that there are three possible directions beginning from the point $(0, 0)$ to the next point. The first term begins with $z_{a_1}$, i.e., we move to the next point $(1, 0)$; the second term begins with $z_{b_1}$, i.e., we move to the next point $(0, 1)$; or the third term begins with $z_{a_1+b_1}$, i.e., we move to the next point $(1, 1)$. Since the number of the remaining points are less than $(n + 1)(m + 1)$, we use the induction hypothesis to conclude the following result.

The product of $z_{a_1}z_{a_2} \cdots z_{a_n} \ast z_{b_1}z_{b_2} \cdots z_{b_m}$ produces a sum of monomials, where each monomial corresponds to a possible Delannoy path from $(0, 0)$ to $(n, m)$. Hence, the number of the monomials is $D(n, m)$.

Now we give another proof of the Proposition 1. Consider the following product

$$z_{a_1} \ast z_{a_2} \ast \cdots \ast z_{a_n} \ast z_{b_1} \ast z_{b_2} \ast \cdots \ast z_{b_k}.$$

By Proposition 2 there are $r_{n+k}$ monomials in this product. Also, the factor $z_{a_1} \ast z_{a_2} \ast \cdots \ast z_{a_n}$ has $\{p\}!$ monomials of length $p$ for $1 \leq p \leq n$, and the factor $z_{b_1} \ast z_{b_2} \ast \cdots \ast z_{b_k}$ has $\{q\}!$ monomials of length $q$ for $1 \leq q \leq k$. 
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By Proposition 3 the product $u * v$ is a sum of $D(p, q)$ monomials, where the monomial $u$ is from the product $z_{a_1} * z_{a_2} * \cdots * z_{a_n}$ with length $p$, and the monomial $v$ is from the product $z_{b_1} * z_{b_2} * \cdots * z_{b_k}$ with length $q$. Thus, we have

$$\sum_{p=0}^{k} \sum_{q=0}^{n} \binom{k}{p} \binom{n}{q} p! q! D(p, q)$$

monomials. By combining these two results, we have the desired identity.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan, R.O.C. grant number MOST 107-2115-M-845-003.

**Acknowledgments:** The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for some useful comments, especially on the combinatorial viewpoints.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The author declares no conflict of interest.

**References**


6. Cayley, A. On the analytical forms called trees. II. *Philos. Mag.* 1859, 18, 374–378. [CrossRef]


© 2019 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).