Abstract: This paper gives continuous dependence results for solutions of integer and fractional order, non-instantaneous impulsive differential equations with random impulse and junction points. The notion of the continuous dependence of solutions of these equations on the initial point is introduced. We prove some sufficient conditions that ensure the solutions to perturbed problems have a continuous dependence. Finally, we use numerical examples to demonstrate the obtained theoretical results.
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1. Introduction

Impulsive differential equations (IDEs) are applied in many fields, such as mechanical engineering, biology, and medical science. Generally speaking, there are two classes of impulsive equations. One is composed of instantaneous IDEs, for which the duration of the impulsive perturbation is very short compared to the entire evolution process, see for example, References [1,2]. The other class is composed of non-instantaneous IDEs, for which the impulsive action starts at a fixed point, and remains active over a period of time that may be related to the previous state.

Non-instantaneous IDEs were introduced in Reference [3] and address the shortcomings of instantaneous IDEs, which do not seem to describe some of the dynamics of evolution in pharmacotherapy. Wang and Fečkan [4] corrected non-instantaneous impulsive equations in Reference [3] and proposed new and generalized non-instantaneous IDEs by considering the impact from the previous system state. Wang [5] used the notion of a non-instantaneous impulsive operator to represent the solutions of linear problems, which are simplified from the model in Reference [4]. The existence and stability of solutions and control problems for these non-instantaneous IDEs, as well as inclusions have been studied in References [6–25]. Meanwhile, fractional differential equations provide an alternative model and are gaining much importance and attention. The qualitative theory of fractional differential equations was studied extensively in the literature; see References [26–33] and the references therein.

Recently, Dishlieva [34] studied a class of instantaneous IDEs with random impulsive effects and established sufficient conditions to ensure continuous dependence of the solutions. Motivated by
Reference [34], we investigate the continuous dependence of the solutions of the following first-order nonlinear differential equations with random non-instantaneous impulsive effects:

\[
\begin{cases}
x'(t) = f(t, x(t)), & t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i \in \mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \cdots \}, \\
x(t_{i}^+) = h_i(t, x(t_{i}^-)), & i \in \mathbb{N}^+ := \{1, 2, \cdots \}, \\
x(t) = h_i(t, x(t_{i}^-)), & t \in (t_i, s_i], \quad i \in \mathbb{N}^+, \\
x(0) = x_0,
\end{cases}
\]

(1)

and also of the fractional-order random non-instantaneous IDEs:

\[
\begin{cases}
^{C}D_{s_i}^a x(t) = f(t, x(t)), & t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a \in (0, 1), \\
x(t_{i}^+) = h_i(t, x(t_{i}^-)), & i \in \mathbb{N}^+, \\
x(t) = h_i(t, x(t_{i}^-)), & t \in (t_i, s_i], \quad i \in \mathbb{N}^+, \\
x(0) = x_0,
\end{cases}
\]

(2)

where \(^{C}D_{s_i}^a\) denotes the classical Caputo fractional derivative of order \(a\), by changing the lower limit \(s_i\), as in Reference [35]. The random impulse and junction points, \(t_i\) and \(s_i\), respectively, satisfy \(t_0 = s_0 = 0 < t_1 < s_1 < t_2 < \cdots < s_i < t_{i+1} < \cdots, t_i \to \infty\). The symbol \(x(t_{i}^+)\) and \(x(t_{i}^-)\) represent the right and left limits of \(x(t)\) at \(t = t_i\), respectively. In addition, we set \(x(t_{i}^-) = x(t_i)\). The function \(f : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) is continuous, and the function \(h_i : [t_i, s_i] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) is continuous for all \(i \in \mathbb{N}^+\). The piecewise continuous solutions of Equations (1) and (2) have been represented in Reference [14] [Equations (5) and (7), therein].

We also introduce the following related original and perturbed equations without impulses:

\[
\begin{cases}
x'(t) = f(t, x(t)), & t \in [s_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \\
x(s_i) = x_{s_i},
\end{cases}
\]

(3)

\[
\begin{cases}
x'(t) = f(t, x(t)), & t \in [s_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \\
x(s_i) = \tilde{x}_{s_i},
\end{cases}
\]

(4)

\[
\begin{cases}
^{C}D_{s_i}^a x(t) = f(t, x(t)), & t \in [s_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a \in (0, 1), \\
x(s_i) = x_{s_i},
\end{cases}
\]

(5)

and:

\[
\begin{cases}
^{C}D_{s_i}^a x(t) = f(t, x(t)), & t \in [s_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a \in (0, 1), \\
x(s_i) = \tilde{x}_{s_i},
\end{cases}
\]

(6)

Denote any solution of Equations (1) or (2) by \(x(\cdot; 0, x_0) \in PC(J, \mathbb{R}) := \{x : J \to \mathbb{R} : x \in C([t_k, t_{k+1}]), k = 0, 1, \cdots\) and there exists \(x(t_k^+)\) and \(x(t_k^-)\), \(k = 1, 2, \cdots\) with \(x(t_k^-) = x(t_k)\}, where \(J = [0, \infty)\) and \(C([t_k, t_{k+1}]), \mathbb{R}\) denotes the space of all continuous functions from \((t_k, t_{k+1})\) into \(\mathbb{R}\). Additionally, denote any solution of Equations (3) or (5) by \(X(\cdot; s_i, x_{s_i}) \in C([s_i, t_{i+1}], \mathbb{R})\). For the interval \((t_i, s_i]\), we denote its solutions by \(X(\cdot; t_i, x(t_i^+))\). Then, the following relationship is valid:

\[
X(t; 0, x_0) = \begin{cases}
X(t; 0, x_0), & t \in [0, t_1]; \\
X(t; t_1, x(t_1^-)), & t \in (t_1, s_1]; \\
X(t; s_1, x_{s_1}), & t \in (s_1, t_2]; \\
\cdots.
\end{cases}
\]

(7)

The main objective of this article is to present the continuous dependence of solutions with respect to the initial condition when random impulse and junction points are incorporated in Equations (1) and (2). We will take notice of the fact that the location and the number of the impulse points and junction points are not determined in a finite time interval, and so, we can assume that the impulse and junction points are random.
The main contributions of this paper are two folds. We extend the concept and results in Reference [34] to random non-instantaneous impulsive cases by imposing different conditions on the nonlinear term. We also extend the continuous dependence of solutions of first-order non-instantaneous impulsive equations to fractional-order non-instantaneous impulsive equations.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives the relevant definitions and notions for the continuous dependence of solutions and contains the main results. Section 3 gives two examples to demonstrate the application of our results. In Section 4, conclusions are drawn.

2. Main Results

Based on Reference [12] (Definition 2.1, therein) and Reference [34] (Definition 2, therein), we give the following definitions for the continuous dependence of solutions.

Definition 1. The solution \( x(;0,x_0) \in PC(J,R) \) of Equations (1) or (2) depends continuously on the initial point \((0,x_0)\), if for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), \( T > 0 \), there exists a \( \delta = \delta(\varepsilon, T) > 0 \), such that for any \((0,\tilde{x}_0)\in[0,T] \times R\), and \( |\tilde{x}_0 - x_0| < \delta \), then:

\[
|x(t;0,\tilde{x}_0) - x(t;0,x_0)| < \varepsilon, \quad t \in [0,t_1] \bigcup (t_i,s_i) \bigcup (s_i,t_{i+1}], \quad i \in N^+.
\]

Definition 2. The solution \( X(;s_i,x_i) \in C([s_i,t_{i+1}],R) \) of Equations (3) and (5) depends uniformly and continuously on the initial point \((s_i,x_i)\), if for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), \( T > 0 \), there exists a \( \delta = \delta(\varepsilon, T) > 0 \), such that for any \((s_i,\tilde{x}_i)\in[0,T] \times R\), and \( |\tilde{x}_i - x_i| < \delta \), then:

\[
|X(t;s_i,\tilde{x}_i) - X(t;s_i,x_i)| < \varepsilon, \quad t \in [s_i,t_{i+1}], \quad i \in N^+.
\]

We introduce the following assumptions for further discussion:

- \( [H_1] \) The function \( f : J \times R \to R \) is continuous and \( h_i \in C([t_i,s_i] \times R,R), i \in N^+ \).
- \( [H_2] \) There exists an \( L_f > 0 \), such that \( |f(t,x) - f(t,y)| \leq L_f|x - y| \), for each \( t \in [t_i,s_i], i \in N^+ \), and for all \( x, y \in R \).
- \( [H_3] \) There exists a positive constant \( L_{h_i}, i \in N^+ \), such that \( |h_i(t,x) - h_i(t,y)| \leq L_{h_i}|x - y| \), for each \( t \in [t_i,s_i], i \in N^+ \), and for all \( x, y \in R \).
- \( [H_4] \) The solutions of Equations (3) or (5) depend uniformly and continuously on the initial point.
- \( [H_5] \) The functions \( h_i(t,x), i \in N^+ \), are uniformly bounded, i.e., for any \( i \in N^+ \), there exists an \( M > 0 \), for any \( x \in R, t \in J \), such that \( |h_i(t,x)| \leq M \).

Theorem 3. Assume that \( [H_1] - [H_3] \) are satisfied. Then the solution of Equation (1) depends continuously on the initial point \((0,x_0)\) at the random impulse and junction points.

Proof. Let \( \varepsilon \) and \( T \) be two arbitrary positive constants and \( \Omega = \{t_1,s_1,t_2,s_2,\cdots\} \) be an arbitrary set of impulse and junction points. Note that if \( t_i \to \infty \) as \( i \to \infty \), then for any selection of the set of impulse points and junction points, there exists \( k \in N \) such that \( s_k < T \leq t_k+1 \), i.e., there exists at most \( k \) impulse points and at most \( k \) junction points belonging to the interval \([0,T]\). Without loss of generality, we assume that \( T = t_k+1 \).

We divide the proof into several cases.

Case 1. For the interval \((s_k,t_{k+1})\), the solutions of Equations (3) and (4) are given in Reference [14]:

\[
X(t;s_k,x_{s_k}) = x_{s_k} + \int_{s_k}^t f(s,X(s;s_k,x_{s_k}))ds,
\]

and:

\[
X(t;s_k,\tilde{x}_{s_k}) = \tilde{x}_{s_k} + \int_{s_k}^t f(s,\tilde{X}(s;s_k,\tilde{x}_{s_k}))ds.
\]
Assume that there exists a $\delta_{k+1} = \delta_{k+1}(\epsilon, T)$, where $0 < \delta_{k+1} < \epsilon$ and $|\delta_{k+1}| < \delta_{k+1}$, linking Equations (8) and (9), we get:

$$
|X(t; s_k, \hat{x}_k) - X(t; s_k, x_k)|
\leq |\hat{x}_k - x_k| + L_f \int_{s_k}^{t} |X(s; s_k, \hat{x}_k) - X(s; s_k, x_k)| ds
\leq \delta_{k+1} + L_f \int_{s_k}^{t} |X(s; s_k, \hat{x}_k) - X(s; s_k, x_k)| ds.
$$

(10)

From Reference [36] (Theorem 1.1, therein), we get:

$$
|X(t; s_k, \hat{x}_k) - X(t; s_k, x_k)| \leq \delta_{k+1} e^{L_f(t-k-s_k)}. 
$$

Since $0 < \delta_{k+1} < \epsilon$, we have:

$$
|X(t; s_k, \hat{x}_k) - X(t; s_k, x_k)| < \epsilon, \quad t \in (s_k, t_{k+1}].
$$

Case 2. For the interval $(t_k, s_k]$, we have the following expression of the solutions, respectively:

$$
X(t; t_k, x(t^+_k)) = h_k(t, x(t^+_k)) \quad \text{and} \quad X(t; t_k, \tilde{x}(t_k)) = h_k(t, \tilde{x}(t_k)).
$$

Assume there exists $\delta_{k+1} < \delta_{k+1}$, where $|\tilde{x}(t^+_k) - x(t^+_k)| < \delta_{k+1}$, then we have:

$$
|X(t; t_k, \tilde{x}(t^+_k)) - X(t; t_k, x(t^+_k))| \leq \delta_{k+1} e^{L_f(t_k-s_k)} < \epsilon, \quad t \in (t_k, s_k].
$$

(11)

For $(s_k-1, t_k]$, similar to Equation (10), we assume there exists a $\delta_{k-1} < \frac{\delta_{k+1}}{L_h(k-1)}$. Then we obtain:

$$
|X(t; s_{k-1}, \tilde{x}_{s_{k-1}}) - X(t; s_{k-1}, x_{s_{k-1}})| \leq \delta_{k-1} e^{L_f(s_{k-1}-s_k)} < \epsilon, \quad t \in (s_{k-1}, t_k].
$$

(12)

For $t = t_k$, we get:

$$
|X(t_k; s_{k-1}, \tilde{x}_{s_{k-1}}) - X(t_k; s_{k-1}, x_{s_{k-1}})| < \frac{\delta_{k+1}}{L_h},
$$

and:

$$
|h_k(t_k, \tilde{x}(t^+_k)) - h_k(t_k, x(t^+_k))| < \frac{\delta_{k+1}}{L_h} < \delta_{k+1}.
$$

Therefore, Equation (11) becomes:

$$
|X(t; t_k, \tilde{x}(t^+_k)) - X(t; t_k, x(t^+_k))| < \delta_{k+1} e^{L_f(t_k-s_k)} < \epsilon, \quad t \in (t_k, s_k].
$$

(13)

From the procedure of Equations (12) and (13), we finally establish the following facts:

For the interval $(t_1, s_1]$, if there is a $\delta_{11} < \delta_{12}$, where $|\tilde{x}(t^+_1) - x(t^+_1)| < \delta_{11}$, then:

$$
|X(t; t_1, \tilde{x}(t^+_1)) - X(t; t_1, x(t^+_1))| \leq L_h |\tilde{x}(t^+_1) - x(t^+_1)|
$$

(14)

For the interval $[0, t_1]$, we assume there is a $\delta_{01} < \frac{\delta_{11}}{L_{t_1} e^{L_{t_1} t_1}}$, where $|\tilde{x}_0 - x_0| < \delta_{01}$. Thus, we obtain:

$$
|X(t; 0, \tilde{x}_0) - X(t; 0, x_0)| \leq \delta_{01} e^{L_{t_1} t_1} < \delta_{11} \frac{\delta_{11}}{L_{t_1}} < \epsilon, \quad t \in [0, t_1].
$$

(15)

For $t = t_1$, we get:

$$
|X(t_1; 0, \tilde{x}_0) - X(t_1; 0, x_0)| < \frac{\delta_{11}}{L_{t_1}}.
$$
Therefore, we have:

$$|h_1(t_1, x(t_1^-)) - h_1(t_1, x(t_1^+))| < L_{h_1} \frac{\delta_{11}}{L_{h_1}} < \delta_{11}. \quad (16)$$

Thus, Equation (14) becomes:

$$|X(t; t_1, \tilde{x}(t_1^-)) - X(t; t_1, x(t_1^+))| < \delta_{11} < \delta_{12} < \epsilon, \quad t \in (t_1, s_1]. \quad (17)$$

Next, we notice that:

$$\delta_{01} = \delta_{01}(\epsilon, T, \delta_{11}),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\delta_{k-1,k} = \delta_{k-1,k}(\epsilon, T, \delta_{kk}),$$

$$\delta_{kk} = \delta_{kk}(\epsilon, T, \delta_{k,k+1}),$$

$$\delta_{k,k+1} = \delta_{k,k+1}(\epsilon, T).$$

Therefore, we have \(\delta_{01} = \delta_{01}(\epsilon, T)\). Considering Equations (7) and (15), we obtain, for any \(\epsilon > 0\), there exists a \(\delta_{01} > 0\), where \(|\tilde{x}_0 - x_0| < \delta_{01}\), thus:

$$|x(t; 0, \tilde{x}_0) - x(t; 0, x_0)| < \epsilon, \quad t \in [0, t_1], \quad (18)$$

and from Equation (16) we get:

$$|h_1(t_1, \tilde{x}(t_1^-)) - h_1(t_1, x(t_1^+))| = |\tilde{x}(t_1^-) - x(t_1^+)| < \delta_{11}.$$

Taking into account Equations (17) and (7), we find that:

$$|x(t; 0, \tilde{x}_0) - x(t; 0, x_0)| < \epsilon, \quad t \in (t_1, s_1], \quad (19)$$

and:

$$|h_1(s_1, \tilde{x}(t_1^-)) - h_1(s_1, x(t_1^-))| = |\tilde{x}_{s_1} - x_{s_1}| < \delta_{12}.$$

According to Equation (7) again, we obtain:

$$|x(t; 0, \tilde{x}_0) - x(t; 0, x_0)| < \epsilon, \quad t \in (s_1, t_2].$$

Similarly, we achieve the following conclusion:

$$|x(t; 0, \tilde{x}_0) - x(t; 0, x_0)| < \epsilon, \quad t \in (t_k, s_k], \quad (20)$$

and:

$$|h_k(s_k, \tilde{x}(t_k^-)) - h_k(s_k, x(t_k^-))| = |\tilde{x}_{s_k} - x_{s_k}| < \delta_{k,k+1}.$$

Then, it is further determined:

$$|x(t; 0, \tilde{x}_0) - x(t; 0, x_0)| < \epsilon, \quad t \in (s_k, t_{k+1}]. \quad (21)$$

By Equations (18)–(21), we get that for any \(\epsilon > 0\) and \(\tilde{x}_0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}\), there exists a \(\delta > 0\), where \(|\tilde{x}_0 - x_0| < \delta\), such that \(|x(t; 0, \tilde{x}_0) - x(t; 0, x_0)| < \epsilon\) for \(t \in [0, t_1] \cup (t_1, s_1] \cup (s_1, t_{i+1}], i = 1, 2, \cdots, k\), where \(\delta = \delta_{01}(\epsilon, T)\). By Definition 1, the proof is completed. \(\Box\)
Theorem 4. Suppose that \(|H_1| - |H_2|\) are satisfied. Then the solution of Equation (2) depends continuously on the initial point \((0, x_0)\) for random impulse and junction points.

Proof. Let \(\varepsilon\) and \(T\) be two arbitrary positive constants like in Theorem 3. We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1. For the interval \((s_k, t_{k+1})\), the representation of the solutions of Equations (5) and (6) are given by [14]:

\[
X(t; s_k, x_{s_k}) = x_{s_k} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(k)} \int_{s_k}^{t} (t - s)^{k-1} f(s, X(s; s_k, x_{s_k})) ds,
\]

and:

\[
X(t; s_k, \bar{x}_{s_k}) = \bar{x}_{s_k} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(k)} \int_{s_k}^{t} (t - s)^{k-1} f(s, X(s; s_k, \bar{x}_{s_k})) ds.
\]

Assume that there exists a \(\delta_{k,k+1} = \delta_{k,k+1}(\varepsilon, T)\), \(0 < \delta_{k,k+1} < \varepsilon\), where \(|\bar{x}_{s_k} - x_{s_k}| < \delta_{k,k+1}\), then from Equations (22) and (23), we have:

\[
|X(t; s_k, \bar{x}_{s_k}) - X(t; s_k, x_{s_k})|
\leq |\bar{x}_{s_k} - x_{s_k}| + \frac{L_1}{\Gamma(k)} \int_{s_k}^{t} (t - s)^{k-1} |X(s; s_k, \bar{x}_{s_k}) - X(s; s_k, x_{s_k})| ds
\leq \delta_{k,k+1} + \frac{L_1}{\Gamma(k)} \int_{s_k}^{t} (t - s)^{k-1} |X(s; s_k, \bar{x}_{s_k}) - X(s; s_k, x_{s_k})| ds.
\]

Using Reference [37] (Corollary 2, therein), we get:

\[
|X(t; s_k, \bar{x}_{s_k}) - X(t; s_k, x_{s_k})| \leq \delta_{k,k+1} E_k(\varepsilon, T),
\]

where \(E_k\) is the standard Mittag–Leffler function [35] defined as:

\[
E_k(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(k+1)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.
\]

Owing to \(0 < \delta_{k,k+1} < \varepsilon\), one can get:

\[
|X(t; s_k, \bar{x}_{s_k}) - X(t; s_k, x_{s_k})| < \varepsilon, \quad t \in (s_k, t_{k+1}].
\]

Case 2. From the interval \((t_k, s_k]\), the expression of the solutions are given by:

\[
X(t; t_k, x(t_k^+)) = h_k(t, x(t_k^-)) \quad \text{and} \quad X(t; t_k, \bar{x}(t_k)) = h_k(t, \bar{x}(t_k^-)).
\]

Assume that there exists a \(\delta_{kk} < \delta_{k,k+1}\), where \(|\bar{x}(t_k^+) - x(t_k^+)| < \delta_{kk}\), then we have:

\[
|X(t; t_k, \bar{x}(t_k^+)) - X(t; t_k, x(t_k^+))| \leq L_{h_k} |\bar{x}(t_k^+) - x(t_k^+) |. \tag{24}
\]

Similar to the above procedure, for the interval \((s_{k-1}, t_k]\), one has:

\[
|X(t; s_{k-1}, \bar{x}(s_{k-1})) - X(t; s_{k-1}, x(s_{k-1}))| \leq \delta_{k-1,k} E_k(\varepsilon, T).
\]

Since \(\delta_{k-1,k} < \frac{\delta_{kk}}{L_{h_k} E_k(\varepsilon, T)}\), one can obtain:

\[
|X(t; s_{k-1}, \bar{x}(s_{k-1})) - X(t; s_{k-1}, x(s_{k-1}))| < \frac{\delta_{kk}}{L_{h_k}} < \varepsilon, \quad t \in (s_{k-1}, t_k].
\]
For $t = t_k$, we get:
\[
|X(t_k; s_{k-1}, \tilde{x}_{k-1}) - X(t_k; s_{k-1}, x_{s_{k-1}})| < \frac{\delta_{kk}}{L_h k},
\]
and then:
\[
|g_k(t_k, x(t_k^+)) - g_k(t_k, x(t_k^-))| < L_h \frac{\delta_{kk}}{L_h} < \delta_{kk}.
\]
Therefore, (24) becomes:
\[
|X(t; t_k, \tilde{x}(t_k^+)) - X(t; t_k, x(t_k^-))| < \delta_{kk} < \epsilon.
\]
From the above, one can deduce that for the interval $(t_1, s_1]$, there exists a $\delta_{11} < \delta_{12}$, and if $|\tilde{x}(t_1^-) - x(t_1^-)| < \delta_{11}$, then:
\[
|X(t; t_1, x(t_1^+)) - X(t; t_1, x(t_1^-))| \leq L_h |\tilde{x}(t_1^-) - x(t_1^-)|.
\]
For $[0, t_1]$, there is $\delta_{01} < \frac{\delta_{11}}{L_h}$, if $|\tilde{x}_0 - x_0| < \delta_{01}$, then:
\[
|X(t; 0, \tilde{x}_0) - X(t; 0, x_0)| \leq \delta_{01} E_0(L_i t_1) < \frac{\delta_{11}}{L_h} < \epsilon, t \in [0, t_1].
\]
Thus, for $t = t_1$, we have:
\[
|X(t_1; 0, \tilde{x}_0) - X(t_1; 0, x_0)| < \frac{\delta_{11}}{L_h},
\]
and then:
\[
|h_1(t_1, \tilde{x}(t_1)) - h_1(t_1, x(t_1))| < \delta_{11}.
\]
and:
\[
|X(t; t_1, x(t_1^+)) - X(t; t_1, x(t_1^-))| < \delta_{11} < \delta_{12} < \epsilon, t \in (t_1, s_1].
\]

Similar to Theorem 3, we reach the conclusion. □

**Theorem 5.** Assume that $[H_1]$, $[H_4]$, and $[H_5]$ are satisfied. The solution of Equation (1) depends continuously on the initial point $(0, x_0)$ at the random impulse and junction points provided that $2M \leq |\tilde{x}_0 - x_0|$.

**Proof.** We divide proof into several steps.

Step 1. According to $[H_4]$, assume there is a $\delta_{k+1} = \delta_{k+1}(\epsilon, T)$, where $0 < \delta_{k+1} < \epsilon$, if $|\tilde{x}_k - x_k| < \delta_{k+1}$, then:
\[
|X(t; s_k, \tilde{x}_k) - X(t; s_k, x_k)| < \epsilon, t \in (s_k, t_{k+1}].
\]

Step 2. For the interval $(t_k, s_k]$, according to $[H_5]$, we obtain:
\[
|X(t; t_k, \tilde{x}(t_k^+)) - X(t; t_k, x(t_k^+))| = |h_k(t, \tilde{x}(t_k^-)) - h_k(t, x(t_k^-))| \\
\leq 2M \leq |\tilde{x}_k - x_k| < \delta_{k+1} < \epsilon, t \in (t_k, s_k].
\]

For $t = s_k$, we get:
\[
|h_k(s_k, \tilde{x}(t_k^-)) - h_k(s_k, x(t_k^-))| < \delta_{k+1}.
\]

Step 3. In this step, we check the continuity of the solutions in the interval $(s_{k-1}, t_k]$. From Equation (25), we assume there exists a $\delta_{k-1,k}$ depending on $\epsilon, T, \delta_{k,k+1}$. For brevity, we denote...
\[ \delta_{k-1,k} = \delta_{k-1,k}(\varepsilon, T, \delta_{k,k+1}), \] where \( 0 < \delta_{k-1,k} < \varepsilon. \] If \( |\tilde{x}_{k-1} - x_{k-1}| < \delta_{k-1,k}, \) then by \([H_4]\) we deduce that:

\[ |X(t; s_{k-1}, \tilde{x}_{k-1}) - X(t; s_{k-1}, x_{k-1})| < \varepsilon, \quad t \in (s_{k-1}, t_k]. \]

Step 4. For \((t_{k-1}, s_{k-1})\), we get:

\[ |X(t; t_{k-1}, \tilde{x}(t_{k-1}^+)) - X(t; t_{k-1}, x(t_{k-1}^-))| = |h_{k-1}(t, \tilde{x}(t_{k-1}^-)) - h_{k-1}(t, x(t_{k-1}^-))| \leq 2M \leq |\tilde{x}_{s_{k-1}} - x_{s_{k-1}}| < \delta_{k-1,k} < \varepsilon, \quad t \in (t_{k-1}, s_{k-1}]. \]

For \(t = s_{k-1}\), we have:

\[ |h_{k-1}(s_{k-1}, \tilde{x}(t_{k-1}^-)) - h_{k-1}(s_{k-1}, x(t_{k-1}^-))| < \delta_{k-1,k}. \]

Similar to the above steps, we have the following general results.

Step 2k. For the interval \((t_1, s_1]\), it follows that:

\[ |X(t; t_1, \tilde{x}(t_1)) - X(t; t_1, x(t_1))| = |h_1(t, \tilde{x}(t_1)) - h_1(t, x(t_1))| < \delta_{12} < \varepsilon, \quad t \in (t_1, s_1]. \]

For \(t = s_1\), we have:

\[ |h_1(s_1, \tilde{x}(t_1^-)) - h_1(s_1, x(t_1^-))| < \delta_{12}. \quad (26) \]

Step 2k + 1. For \([0, t_1]\), assume there exists a \(\delta_{01} = \delta_{01}(\varepsilon, T, \delta_{12})\), where \(0 < \delta_{01} < \varepsilon\), if \(|\tilde{x}_0 - x_0| < \varepsilon\), then:

\[ |X(t; 0, \tilde{x}_0) - X(t; 0, x_0)| < \varepsilon, \quad t \in [0, t_1]. \]

Finally, we notice that:

\[ \delta_{01} = \delta_{01}(\varepsilon, T, \delta_{12}), \]

\[ \delta_{k-1,k} = \delta_{k-1,k}(\varepsilon, T, \delta_{k,k+1}), \]

\[ \delta_{k,k+1} = \delta_{k,k+1}(\varepsilon, T). \]

Therefore, we have \(\delta_{01} = \delta_{01}(\varepsilon, T)\).

Now we apply the above results to the impulse case.

Step 1’. Based on Equation (7) and Step 2k + 1, we obtain for any \(\varepsilon > 0\), there exists a \(\delta_{01} > 0\), such that if \(|\tilde{x}_0 - x_0| < \delta_{01}\), then:

\[ |x(t; 0, \tilde{x}_0) - x(t; 0, x_0)| < \varepsilon, \quad t \in [0, t_1]. \]

Step 2’. Using Equation (7) and taking Step 2k into account, we find that:

\[ |x(t; 0, \tilde{x}_0) - x(t; 0, x_0)| < \varepsilon, \quad t \in (t_1, s_1]. \]

From Equation (26), we have:

\[ |h_1(s_1, \tilde{x}(t_1^-)) - h_1(s_1, x(t_1^-))| = |\tilde{x}_{s_1} - x_{s_1}| < \delta_{12}. \]

Step 3’. According to Equation (7) and Step 2k − 1, it follows that:

\[ |x(t; 0, \tilde{x}_0) - x(t; 0, x_0)| < \varepsilon, \quad t \in (s_1, t_2]. \]
Step 4’. Again by Equation (7) and considering Step 2k − 2, we have:

\[ |x(t; 0, \bar{x}_0) − x(t; 0, x_0)| < \varepsilon, \ t \in (t_2, s_2], \]

and:

\[ |h_2(s_2, \bar{x}(t_2^\prime)) - h_2(s_2, x(t_2^\prime))| = |\bar{x}_{s_2} - x_{s_2}| < \delta_{23}. \]

Similarly, we arrive at:

Step (2k)’. \[ |x(t; 0, \bar{x}_0) − x(t; 0, x_0)| < \varepsilon, \ t \in (t_k, s_k]. \]

Thus, from Equation (25), we get:

\[ |h_k(s_k, \bar{x}(t_k^\prime)) - h_k(s_k, x(t_k^\prime))| = |\bar{x}_{s_k} - x_{s_k}| < \delta_{k,k+1}. \]

Step (2k + 1)’. \[ |x(t; 0, \bar{x}_0) − x(t; 0, x_0)| < \varepsilon, \ t \in (s_k, t_{k+1}]. \]

From Step 1’ to Step (2k + 1)’, we get that for any \( \varepsilon > 0, \) \( \bar{x}_0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \) there exists a \( \delta > 0, \) such that if \( |\bar{x}_0 - x_0| < \delta, \) then \( |x(t; 0, \bar{x}_0) − x(t; 0, x_0)| < \varepsilon, \) for \( t \in [0, t_1] \cup (t_i, s_i] \cup (s_i, t_{i+1}], i = 1, 2, \cdots, k, \) and \( \delta = \delta_0(\varepsilon, T). \)

By repeating the same proof procedure in Theorem 5, we have the result:

Remark 6. Assume that \( [H_1], \ [H_4], \) and \( [H_5] \) are satisfied. Then the solution of Equation (2) depends continuously on the initial point \((0, x_0)\) at the random impulse and junction points, provided that \( 2M \leq |\bar{x}_{s_k} - x_{s_k}|. \)

3. Numerical Examples

Let \( \varepsilon \) and \( T \) be two arbitrary positive constants.

Example 7. Consider:

\[
\begin{align*}
x'(t) &= ax(t), \quad t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}], i \in \mathbb{N}, \ a > 0, \\
x(t_i^+) &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi} \cos \sqrt{t|x(t_i^-)|}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}^+, \\
x(t) &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi} \cos \sqrt{t|x(t_i^-)|}, \quad t \in (t_i, s_i], i \in \mathbb{N}^+, \\
x(0) &= x_0.
\end{align*}
\]

Then the solution of Equation (27) can be analytically determined, namely:

\[
x(t) = \begin{cases} 
  e^{at}x_0, & \text{for } t \in [s_0, t_1], \\
  \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi} \cos \sqrt{t|x(t_i^-)|}, & \text{for } t \in (t_1, s_1], \\
  \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi} \cos \sqrt{s_1|x(t_1^-)|e^{a(t-s_1)}}, & \text{for } t \in (s_1, t_2], \\
  \vdots \\
  \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi} \cos \sqrt{t|x(t_{m-1}^-)|}, & \text{for } t \in (t_{m-1}, s_m], \\
  \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi} \cos \sqrt{s_m|x(t_{m-1}^-)|e^{a(t-s_m)}}, & \text{for } t \in (s_m, t_{m+1}], \\
  \vdots 
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( f(t, x) = ax \) and \( h_i(t, x) = \cos \sqrt{t|x|}. \) Note that \( h_i \in C([t_i, s_i] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \) \( i \in \mathbb{N}^+. \) For any \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \) \( |f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq a|x - y| \) and \( |h_i(t, x) - h_i(t, y)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi} |x - y|. \) Set \( L_f = a \) and \( L_{h_i} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}. \) So, \([H_1] - [H_3]\) all hold. Therefore, all the assumptions in Theorem 3 are satisfied.

From Definition 2, choosing \( \delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}, \) we find that the solution of Equation (27) without impulses satisfies uniform and continuous dependence on the initial point. Choosing \( 2M \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}, \) then \( h_i(t, x) \) are uniformly bounded. Thus, the conditions \([H_1], [H_4],\) and \([H_5]\) hold, and all the assumptions of Theorem 5 are satisfied.
The solutions of Equation (27) and the corresponding perturbation problem (with \( x_0 = 1, \tilde{x}_0 = 1.3, T = 1, a = \frac{1}{2}, \epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \)) are shown in Figure 1.

\[
\frac{C}{D} t x(t) = ax(t), \quad t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a > 0,
\]
\[
x(t_i^+) = \frac{\epsilon}{2E_1(\alpha t^2)} (\cos \sqrt{t_i|x(t_i^-)|}), \quad i \in \mathbb{N}^+,
\]
\[
x(t) = \frac{\epsilon}{2E_2(\alpha t^2)} (\cos \sqrt{t|x(t^-)|}), \quad t \in (t_i, s_{i+1}], \quad i \in \mathbb{N}^+,
\]
\[
x(0) = x_0.
\]

Like Equation (27), let \( f(t, x) = ax \), and \( h_i(t, x) = \frac{\epsilon}{2E_1(\alpha t^2)} (\cos \sqrt{t|x|}) \). Choosing \( L_f = a, L_{h_i} = \frac{\epsilon}{2E_2(\alpha t^2)} \), therefore, \([H_1] - [H_5]\) hold. Then, one can obtain the solution of Equation (29), namely:

\[
x(t) = \begin{cases} 
  x_0 E_1(\alpha t^2), & for \ t \in (s_0, t_1], \\
  \frac{\epsilon}{2E_1(\alpha t^2)} \cos \sqrt{t|x(t^-)|}, & for \ t \in (t_1, s_1], \\
  \frac{\epsilon}{2E_2(\alpha t^2)} \cos \sqrt{s_1|x(t_1^-)|E_1(\alpha(t-s_1)^2)}, & for \ t \in (s_1, t_2], \\
  \vdots \\
  \frac{\epsilon}{2E_1(\alpha t^2)} \cos \sqrt{s_{m-1}|x(t_{m-1}^-)|E_1(\alpha(t-s_{m-1})^2)}, & for \ t \in (s_{m-1}, t_m], \\
  \frac{\epsilon}{2E_2(\alpha t^2)} \cos \sqrt{s_m|x(t_m^-)|E_1(\alpha(t-s_m)^2)}, & for \ t \in (s_m, t_{m+1}], \\
  \vdots 
\end{cases}
\]
From Definition 2, choosing \( \delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{E_2(aT^2)} \), we get that the solution of Equation (29) without impulses satisfies uniform and continuous dependence on the initial point. Choosing \( 2M \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{E_2(aT^2)} \), then the \( h_i(t, x) \) are uniformly bounded. Thus, the conditions [\( H_1 \)], [\( H_4 \)], and [\( H_5 \)] hold, and all the assumptions in Remark 6 are satisfied.

The solutions of Equation (29) and the corresponding perturbation problem (with \( x_0 = 1, \tilde{x}_0 = 1.3, T = \frac{3}{2}, a = \frac{1}{5}, \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \)) are shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** The blue line denotes the solution of Equation (29) and the red line denotes the corresponding perturbation problem.

**Remark 9.** Equations (27) and (29) are called non-instantaneous impulsive logistic models, which are motivated from the instantaneous impulsive logistic equations. For more details of the models, one can refer to Reference [12] (Section 4, therein).

**4. Conclusions**

In this paper, we presented the continuous dependence of the solutions to first order non-instantaneous IDEs with random impulse and junction points. Then, we extended the results to study the same problem for fractional order cases. The backward checking approach [34] (from the last subinterval to the first subinterval) is extended to differential and algebra equations and is used to prove the main results. The approach is different from Reference [13].
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