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1. Introduction

Fractional differential operators describe mechanical and physical processes with historical memory and spatial global correlation and for the basic theory—see [1–3]. Results on existence, stability and controllability for differential equations with Caputo, Riemann–Liouville and Hilfer type fractional derivatives can be found, for example, in [4–19]. Caputo and Fabrizio [20] introduced a new nonlocal derivative without a singular kernel and Atangana and Nieto [21] studied the numerical approximation of this new fractional derivative and established a modified resistance loop capacitance (RLC) circuit model. Losada and Nieto [22] presented a fractional integral corresponding to the Caputo–Fabrizio fractional derivative and introduced Caputo–Fabrizio fractional differential equations and established existence and uniqueness results. Baleanu et al. [23] extended the study to Caputo–Fabrizio fractional integro-differential equations and obtained the approximate solution. Franc and Goufo [24] established a new Korteweg–de Vries–Burgers equation involving the Caputo–Fabrizio fractional derivative with no singular kernel and presented existence and uniqueness results and also gave numerical approximations.

Hyers–Ulam stability is a concept that provides an approximate solution for the exact solution in a simple form for differential equations. A Laplace transform method is applied to show the Hyers–Ulam stability for integer order differential equations in [25,26] and Wang and Li [27] adopted the idea and applied a Laplace transform method to show the Hyers–Ulam stability for fractional
order differential equations involving Caputo derivatives. There are many papers on differential equations involving fractional derivatives—see, for example, [28–36]. However, there are only a few papers on the Hyers–Ulam stability for differential equations with the Caputo–Fabrizio fractional derivative. In [37], Wang et al. offered the Ulam stability for the fractional differential equations with the Caputo derivative.

First, we recall the well-known Caputo fractional derivative [2] of order $\beta$, given by

$$ (D^\beta y)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_a^x \frac{f(s)}{(x-s)^\beta} ds, \ 0 < \beta < 1, $$

where $f \in C^1(a,b), b > a$. By changing the kernel $(x-s)^{-\beta}$ with the function $\exp(-\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}(x-s))$ and $\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)}$ by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(1-a^\beta)}}$, we obtain the new definition of fractional derivative without a singular kernel $(CFD^\beta y)(x)$—see Definition 1 for details.

In this paper, we study Hyers–Ulam stability and existence and uniqueness of solutions for the following Caputo–Fabrizio fractional derivative equations:

$$ (CFD^\alpha y)(x) - \lambda(CFD^\beta y)(x) = u(x), \ x \in [0, T], \ 0 < \alpha, \beta < 1, \quad (1) $$

and

$$ (CFD^\alpha y)(x) = f(x, y(x)), \ x \in [0, T], \ 0 < \alpha < 1, \quad (2) $$

where $(CFD^\gamma y)(\cdot)$ denotes the Caputo–Fabrizio derivative for $y$ with the order $0 < \gamma < 1$ (see Definition 1), $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $u : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ and $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ will be specified later.

The main contributions are as follows: we obtain a simple result to check whether the approximate solution is near the exact solution for linear Equation (1), which implies Hyers–Ulam stability and generalized Hyers–Ulam stability on the finite time interval. In addition, we establish sufficient conditions to guarantee the existence of solutions for nonlinear Equation (2) using Schaefer’s fixed point theorem (this improves the result in (Theorem 1, [22])). In addition, we establish sufficient conditions to guarantee the existence of solutions for nonlinear Equation (2) using the generalized Banach fixed point theorem. Based on the existence and uniqueness result, we prove the Hyers–Ulam stability of (2) via the Gronwall inequality.

2. Preliminaries

Let $C(I, \mathbb{R})$ be the Banach space of all continuous functions from $I$ into $\mathbb{R}$ with the norm $\|y\|_C := \sup\{|y(x)| : x \in I\}$.

**Definition 1** (see [22]). Let $0 < \alpha < 1, h \in C^1[0, b)$ and $b > 0$. The Caputo–Fabrizio fractional derivative for a function $h$ of order $\alpha$ is defined by

$$ (CFD^\alpha h)(\tau) = \frac{(2-\alpha)M(\alpha)}{2(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\tau \exp(-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}(\tau-x)) h'(x) dx, \ \tau \geq 0, $$

where $M(\alpha)$ is a normalization constant depending on $\alpha$. Note that $(CFD^\alpha)(h) = 0$ if and only if $h$ is a constant function.

**Definition 2** (see Definition 1, [22]). Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. The Caputo–Fabrizio fractional integral for a function $h$ of order $\alpha$ is defined by

$$ (CFI^\alpha h)(\tau) = \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(2-\alpha)M(\alpha)} h(\tau) + \frac{2\alpha}{(2-\alpha)M(\alpha)} \int_0^\tau h(x) dx, \ \tau \geq 0. $$
Theorem 1 (see \cite{20,22}). Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then,

$$L^{CF}[D^{\alpha} h(\tau)](s) = \frac{(2 - \alpha)M(\alpha)}{2(s + \alpha(1 - s))}(sL[h(\tau)](s) - h(0)), \ s > 0.$$  

Motivated by (Definition 2.3, \cite{37}), we introduce the following definition.

**Definition 3.** Let $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ and $u : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then, (1) is Hyers–Ulam stable if there exists $K > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for each solution $y \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ of (1),

$$|CFD^{\alpha}y(x) - \lambda CFD^{\beta}y(x) - u(x)| \leq \epsilon, \ \forall x \in [0, T],$$  

and there exists a solution $z \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ of (2) with

$$|y(x) - z(x)| \leq Ke, \ \forall x \in [0, T].$$

**Definition 4.** Let $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$, $\, u : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function and $G : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}_{+}$ be continuous functions. Then, (1) is generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stable with respect to $G$ if there exists a constant $c_{f,G} > 0$ such that for each solution $y \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ of (1),

$$|CFD^{\alpha}y(x) - \lambda CFD^{\beta}y(x) - u(x))| \leq G(x), \ \forall x \in [0, T],$$  

and there exists a solution $z \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ of (2) with

$$|y(x) - z(x)| \leq c_{f,G}G(x), \ \forall x \in [0, T].$$

**Definition 5.** Let $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then, (2) is Hyers–Ulam stable if there exists $K > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that for each solution $y \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ of (2),

$$|CFD^{\alpha}y(x) - f(x, y(x))| \leq \epsilon, \ \forall x \in [0, T],$$  

and there exists a solution $z \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ of (2) with

$$|y(x) - z(x)| \leq Ke, \ \forall x \in [0, T].$$

**Definition 6.** Let $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $G : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}_{+}$ be continuous functions. Then, (2) is generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stable with respect to $G$ if there exists a constant $c_{f,G} > 0$ such that, for each solution $y \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ of (2),

$$|CFD^{\alpha}y(x) - f(x, y(x))| \leq G(x), \ \forall x \in [0, T],$$  

and there exists a solution $z \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ of (2) with

$$|y(x) - z(x)| \leq c_{f,G}G(x), \ \forall x \in [0, T].$$

3. Stability Results for the Linear Equation

In this section, we study Hyers–Ulam and generalized Hyers–Ulam-Rassias stability of (1).

**Theorem 2.** Let $0 < \beta, \alpha < 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and $u(x)$ be a given real function on $[0, T]$. If a function $y : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the inequality

$$|(CFD^{\alpha}y)(x) - \lambda(CFD^{\beta}y)(x) - u(x)| \leq \epsilon$$  

(7)
where

\[ A = (1 - \beta)(2 - \alpha)M(\alpha) - \lambda(2 - \beta)M(\beta)(1 - \alpha), \]
\[ B = (2 - \alpha)M(\alpha)\beta - \lambda(2 - \beta)M(\beta)\alpha, \]
\[ C = (1 - \beta)(1 - \alpha), \]
\[ D = \alpha + \beta - 2\alpha\beta. \]

Proof. Let

\[ F(x) = (CF \Delta^\alpha y)(x) - \lambda(CF \Delta^\beta y)(x) - u(x), \quad x \in [0, T]. \] (10)

Taking the Laplace transform of (10) via Theorem 1, and we have

\[
\mathcal{L}\{F(x)\}(s) = \mathcal{L}\{(CF \Delta^\alpha y)(x) - \lambda(CF \Delta^\beta y)(x) - u(x)\}(s) \\
= \mathcal{L}\{(CF \Delta^\alpha y)(x)\}(s) - \lambda \mathcal{L}\{(CF \Delta^\beta y)(x)\}(s) - \mathcal{L}\{u(x)\}(s) \\
\quad + \left[ \frac{2(2 - \alpha)M(\alpha)}{2(s + \beta(1 - s))} - \lambda \frac{(2 - \beta)M(\beta)}{2(s + \beta(1 - s))} \right] y(0) - \mathcal{L}\{u(x)\}(s), \quad (11)
\]

where \( \mathcal{L}\{F\} \) denotes the Laplace transform of the function \( F \). From (11), one has

\[
\mathcal{L}\{y(x)\}(s) = \frac{1}{s} y(0) + \frac{2}{s} \left( \frac{AD - BC}{A^2} \frac{1}{s + \frac{B}{A}} + \frac{\alpha\beta}{B} \frac{1}{s + \frac{B}{A}} \right) \mathcal{L}\{u(x)\}(s) + \mathcal{L}\{F(x)\}(s), \quad (12)
\]

where \( A, B, C, D \) are defined as in (9).

Set

\[
y_a(x) = y(0) + \frac{2}{A} u(x) + 2 \left( \frac{AD - BC}{A^2} - \frac{\alpha\beta}{B} \right) \int_0^x \exp(-\frac{B}{A}t)u(x-t)dt + \frac{2\alpha\beta}{B} \int_0^x u(x-t)dt. \quad (13)
\]

Taking the Laplace transform of (13), one has

\[
\mathcal{L}\{y_a(x)\}(s) = \frac{1}{s} y(0) + \frac{2}{A} \mathcal{L}\{u(x)\}(s) + 2 \left( \frac{AD - BC}{A^2} - \frac{\alpha\beta}{B} \right) \frac{1}{s + \frac{B}{A}} \mathcal{L}\{u(x)\}(s) + \frac{2\alpha\beta}{B} \frac{1}{s + \frac{B}{A}} \mathcal{L}\{u(x)\}(s), \quad (14)
\]

Note that

\[
\mathcal{L}\{(CF \Delta^\alpha y_a)(x) - \lambda(CF \Delta^\beta y_a)(x)\}(s) = \frac{2(2 - \alpha)M(\alpha)(s + \beta(1 - s)) - \lambda(2 - \beta)M(\beta)(s + \alpha(1 - s))}{2(s + \alpha(1 - s))(s + \beta(1 - s))} \mathcal{L}\{y_a(x)\}(s) - y(0). \quad (15)
\]
Substituting (14) into (15), we obtain
\[
\mathcal{L}\{(CFD^\alpha y_a)(x) - \lambda(CFD^\beta y_a)(x)\}(s) = \mathcal{L}\{u(x)\},
\]
which yields that \(y_a(x)\) is a solution of Equation (1) since \(\mathcal{L}\) is one-to-one. From (12) and (14), we have
\[
\mathcal{L}\{y(x) - y_a(x)\}(s) = 2\left(\frac{C}{A} + \frac{AD - BC}{A^2} \frac{1}{s + \frac{B}{A}} + \frac{\alpha \beta}{B} \frac{1}{s} - \frac{\alpha \beta}{B} \frac{1}{s + \frac{B}{A}}\right)\mathcal{L}\{F(x)\}.
\]
This implies that
\[
y(x) - y_a(x) = 2\frac{C}{A} F(x) + 2\left(\frac{AD - BC}{A^2} - \frac{\alpha \beta}{B}\right)(\exp(-\frac{B}{A}x) * F(x)) + 2\frac{\alpha \beta}{B}(1 * F(x)),
\]
so
\[
|y(x) - y_a(x)| = 2\frac{C}{A} |F(x)| + 2\left|\frac{AD - BC}{A^2} - \frac{\alpha \beta}{B}\right| |\exp(-\frac{B}{A}x) * F(x)| + 2\left|\frac{\alpha \beta}{B}\right| |1 * F(x)|
\leq 2\frac{C}{A} |F(x)| + 2\left|\frac{AD - BC}{A^2} - \frac{\alpha \beta}{B}\right| \int_0^\infty |\exp(-\frac{B}{A}t)| |F(x-t)| dt + 2\left|\frac{\alpha \beta}{B}\right| \int_0^\infty |F(x-t)| dt
\leq 2\frac{C}{A} |F(x)| + 2\left|\frac{AD - BC}{A^2} - \frac{\alpha \beta}{B}\right| \int_0^\infty \max\{1, \exp(-\frac{B}{A}t)\} dt + 2\left|\frac{\alpha \beta}{B}\right| \int_0^\infty \max\{1, \exp(-\frac{B}{A}t)\} dt + 2\left|\frac{\alpha \beta}{B}\right| |\exp(-\frac{B}{A}T)|
\leq 2\frac{C}{A} |x + 2\left|\frac{AD - BC}{A^2} - \frac{\alpha \beta}{B}\right| \max\{1, \exp(-\frac{B}{A}T)\} dt + 2\left|\frac{\alpha \beta}{B}\right| |\exp(-\frac{B}{A}T)|
\]
The proof is complete. \(\square\)

**Remark 1.** If \(T < \infty\), then (1) is Hyers–Ulam stable with the constant
\[
K = 2\frac{C}{A} + 2\left|\frac{AD - BC}{A^2} - \frac{\alpha \beta}{B}\right| \max\{1, \exp(-\frac{B}{A}T)\} T + 2\left|\frac{\alpha \beta}{B}\right| |T|.
\]

**Remark 2.** Let \(0 < \beta, \alpha < 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\), and \(u(x)\) be a given real function on \([0, T]\). If a function \(y : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}\) satisfies the inequality
\[
|(CFD^\alpha y)(x) - \lambda(CFD^\beta y)(x) - u(x)| \leq G(x),
\]
then
\[
|F(x)| \leq G(x)
\]
for each \(x \in [0, T]\) and some function \(G(x) > 0\), where \(F\) is defined in (10).

From Theorem 2, then there exists a solution \(y_a : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}\) of (1) such that
\[
y(x) - y_a(x) = 2\frac{C}{A} F(x) + 2\left(\frac{AD - BC}{A^2} - \frac{\alpha \beta}{B}\right)(\exp(-\frac{B}{A}x) * F(x)) + 2\left|\frac{\alpha \beta}{B}\right| (1 * F(x)),
\]
and
\[
|y(x) - y_0(x)| \leq 2 \left( \frac{C}{A} |F(x)| + 2 \left| \frac{AD - BC}{A^2} - \frac{a\beta}{B} \right| \exp\left( -\frac{B}{A} x \right) * F(x) + 2 \left| \frac{a\beta}{B} \right| 1 + F(x) \right)
\]
\[
\leq 2 \left( \frac{C}{A} ||F(x)|| + 2 \left| \frac{AD - BC}{A^2} - \frac{a\beta}{B} \right| \max\{1, \exp\left( -\frac{B}{A} T \right)\} \int_0^T F(x-t)dt + 2 \left| \frac{a\beta}{B} \right| ||F(x)|| \right)
\]
\[
\leq 2 \left[ \frac{C}{A} + \left| \frac{AD - BC}{A^2} - \frac{a\beta}{B} \right| \max\{1, \exp\left( -\frac{B}{A} T \right)\} + \left| \frac{a\beta}{B} \right| \right] G(x)
\]
provided that
\[
\int_0^T F(t)dt \leq F(x)
\]
for any \( x \in [0, T] \), where \( F \) is defined in (10) and \( A, B, C, D \) are defined as in (9). Thus, (2) is generalized Hyers–Ulam stable with respect to \( G \) on \([0, T]\).

4. Existence and Stability Results for the Nonlinear Equation

We introduce the following conditions:

[A1]: \( f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is continuous.

[A2]: There exists a \( k_f > 0 \) such that
\[
|f(x, y) - f(x, g)| \leq k_f |y - g|, \quad \forall y, g \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in [0, T].
\]

[A3]: There exists a constant \( L > 0 \) such that
\[
f(x, y) \leq L(1 + |y|)
\]
for each \( x \in [0, T] \) and all \( y \in \mathbb{R} \).

Let \( a_a = \frac{2(1-a)}{x-a} M(x), b_a = \frac{2a}{x-a} M(x), y(0) = y_0 \) and \( C_0 = -a_a f(0, y_0) + y_0 \).

**Theorem 3.** Let \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \). Assume that [A1] and [A2] hold. If \( a_a k_f < 1 \), then (2) with \( y(0) = y_0 \) has a unique solution.

**Proof.** Consider \( P : C([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \) as follows:
\[
(Py)(x) = C_0 + a_a f(x, y(x)) + b_a \int_0^x f(s, y(s))ds.
\]
Note \( P \) is well defined because of [A1]. For all \( y_1, y_2 \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \) and all \( x \in [0, T] \), using [A2], we have
\[
|(Py_1)(x) - (Py_2)(x)| \leq a_a |f(x, y_1(x)) - f(x, y_2(x))| + b_a \int_0^x |f(s, y_1(s)) - f(s, y_2(s))|ds
\]
\[
\leq a_a k_f |y_1(x) - y_2(x)| + b_a \int_0^x k_f |y_1(s) - y_2(s)|ds
\]
\[
= a_a k_f \|y_1 - y_2\| + b_a k_f x \|y_1 - y_2\|c.
\]
Denote $C_n = \frac{m!}{(n-1)!m!}$. Next,
\[
\|(P^2y_1)(x) - (P^2y_2)(x)\| \\
\leq a_n|f(x, (Py_1)(x)) - f(x, (Py_2)(x))| + b_a \int_0^x |f(s, (Py_1)(s)) - f(x, (Py_2)(x))|ds \\
\leq a_n k_f |Py_1(x) - Py_2(x)| + b_a \int_0^x k_f |Py_1(s) - Py_2(s)|ds \\
\leq a_n k_f (a_n k_f)\|y_1 - y_2\|C + b_a k_f |y_1 - y_2|C \\
+ b_a k_f \int_0^x (a_n k_f)\|y_1 - y_2\|C + b_a k_f |y_1 - y_2|C)ds \\
\leq \left( k_f a_a \right)^2 + 2k_f a_a (k_f a_a) \left( \frac{(k_f a_a)^2}{2!} \right)\|y_1 - y_2\|C \\
= \sum_{i=0}^{2} \frac{C_i}{2!} (k_f a_a)^{2-i}(k_f a_a)^i \|y_1 - y_2\|C.
\]

For any $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, suppose the following inequality hold
\[
\|(P^m y_1)(x) - (P^m y_2)(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{C_i}{i!} (k_f a_a)^{m-i}(k_f a_a)^i \|y_1 - y_2\|C.
\]

Then,
\[
\|(P^{m+1} y_1)(x) - (P^{m+1} y_2)(x)\| \\
\leq a_n|f(x, (P^m y_1)(x)) - f(x, (P^m y_2)(x))| + b_a \int_0^x |f(x, (P^m y_1)(s)) - f(x, (P^m y_2)(s))|ds \\
\leq \left( k_f a_a \sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{C_i}{i!} (k_f a_a)^{m-i}(k_f a_a)^i \right) + k_f a_a \int_0^x \sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{C_i}{i!} (k_f a_a)^{m-i}(k_f a_a)^i ds \\
= \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} \frac{C_i}{i!} (k_f a_a)^{m+1-i}(k_f a_a)^i \|y_1 - y_2\|C \\
\leq S(m)\|y_1 - y_2\|C.
\]

where $S(m) := \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} \frac{C_i}{i!} (k_f a_a)^{m+1-i}(k_f a_a)^i$. Thus, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$,
\[
\|(P^{m+1} y_1) - (P^{m+1} y_2)\|C \leq S(m)\|y_1 - y_2\|C.
\]

From the condition $k_f a_a < 1$ via (Theorem 2.9, [38]), one has $S(m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that for any large enough $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $S(m) < 1$. Thus, $P^m$ is a contraction mapping. As a result, $P$ has a fixed point. Thus, (2) with $y(0) = y_0$ has a unique solution. This proof is complete. \(\Box\)

**Remark 3.** In (Theorem 1, [22]), an existence and uniqueness result for (2) with $y(0) = y_0$ is established by imposing a uniformly Lipschitz condition and applying Banach’s fixed point theorem with the condition $a_n k_f + b_a T k_f < 1$, where $k_f$ denotes the Lipschitz constant. Here, we use the generalized Banach fixed point theorem and we weaken the condition $a_n k_f + b_a T k_f < 1$ in (Theorem 1, [22]) to $a_n k_f < 1$.

Next, we show that the existence of solutions for (2) via Schaefer’s fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Assume that [A1] and [A3] hold. If $a_n L < 1$, then (2) with $y(0) = y_0$ has at least one solution.

**Proof.** Consider $P$ as in (17). We divide our proof into several steps.

\textbf{Proof.} Consider $P$ as in (17). We divide our proof into several steps.
Step 1. $P$ is continuous.
Let $y_n$ be a sequence such that $y_n \to y$ in $C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$. For all $x \in [0, T]$, we get
\[
|Py_n(x) - Py(x)| = |a_n f(x, y_n(x)) + b_n \int_0^x f(s, y_n(s))ds - a_n f(x, y(x)) - b_n \int_0^x f(s, y(s))ds|
\]
\[
\leq a_n |f(x, y_n(x)) - f(x, y(x))| + b_n |\int_0^x f(s, y_n(s))ds - \int_0^x f(s, y(s))ds|
\]
\[
\leq a_n |f(x, y_n(x)) - f(x, y(x))| + b_n \int_0^x |f(s, y_n(s)) - f(s, y(s))|ds.
\]
This shows that $P$ is continuous since $\|y_n - y\|_C \to 0$ when $n \to \infty$.

Step 2. $P$ maps bounded sets into bounded sets of $C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$.
Indeed, we prove that for all $r > 0$, there exists a $k > 0$ such that for every $y \in B_r = \{y \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}) : \|y\|_C \leq r\}$, we have $\|Py\|_C \leq k$. In fact, for any $x \in [0, T]$, from [A3], we have
\[
|Py(x)| \leq |C_0| + a_n |f(x, y(x))| + b_n \int_0^x |f(s, y(s))|ds
\]
\[
\leq |C_0| + a_n L(1 + |y|) + b_n L \int_0^x (1 + |y(s)|)|ds
\]
\[
\leq |C_0| + a_n L(1 + \|y\|_C) + b_n TL(1 + \|y\|_C)
\]
\[
\leq |C_0| + a_n L(1 + r) + b_n TL(1 + r)
\]
\[
= |C_0| + (a_n + b_n T)L(1 + r),
\]
which implies that
\[
\|Py\| \leq |C_0| + (a_n + b_n T)L(1 + r) := k.
\]

Step 3. $P$ maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets in $C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$.
Let $x_1, x_2 \in [0, T]$, with $0 \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq T, y \in B_r$. From [A3], we have
\[
|Py(x_1) - Py(x_2)|
\]
\[
= |a_n f(x_1, y(x_1)) + b_n \int_0^{x_1} f(s, y(s))ds - a_n f(x_2, y(x_2)) - b_n \int_0^{x_2} f(s, y(s))ds|
\]
\[
\leq a_n |f(x_1, y(x_1)) - f(x_2, y(x_2))| + b_n |\int_0^{x_1} f(s, y(s))ds - \int_0^{x_2} f(s, y(s))ds|
\]
\[
\leq a_n |f(x_1, y(x_1)) - f(x_2, y(x_2))| + a_n |f(x_1, y(x_2)) - f(x_2, y(x_2))| + b_n \int_0^{x_2} |f(s, y(s))|ds
\]
\[
\leq a_n |f(x_1, y(x_1)) - f(x_2, y(x_2))| + a_n |f(x_1, y(x_2)) - f(x_2, y(x_2))| + b_n L(1 + r)(x_2 - x_1).
\]
Then, as $x_1$ approaches $x_2$, the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero (because of [A1]) as $x_1 \to x_2$. Thus, $P$ is equicontinuous.

We can conclude that $P$ is completely continuous from Step 1–Step 3 with the Arzela–Ascoli theorem.

Step 4. A priori bounds.
Now, we show that the set $E(P) = \{y \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}) : y = \lambda Py \text{ for some } \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$ is bounded.
Let $y \in E(P)$. Then, $y = \lambda Py$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. For each $x \in [0, T]$, we have
\[ |y(x)| \leq |C_0| + a_\alpha |f(x, y(x))| + b_\alpha \int_0^x |f(s, y(s))| \, ds \]
\[ \leq |C_0| + a_\alpha L (1 + |y(x)|) + b_\alpha L \int_0^x (1 + |y(s)|) \, ds \]
\[ \leq K + a_\alpha |y(x)| + b_\alpha L \int_0^x |y(s)| \, ds \quad (K = |C_0| + a_\alpha L + b_\alpha LT). \]

Using the condition \( 1 - a_\alpha L > 0 \), one has
\[ |y(x)| \leq \frac{K}{1 - a_\alpha L} + \frac{b_\alpha L}{1 - a_\alpha L} \int_0^x |y(s)| \, ds, \]
and Gronwall’s inequality yields
\[ |y(x)| \leq \frac{K}{1 - a_\alpha L} \exp \left( \frac{b_\alpha L T}{1 - a_\alpha L} \right) < \infty. \]

Then, the set \( E(P) \) is bounded.

Schaefer’s fixed point theorem guarantees that \( P \) has a fixed point, which is a solution of (2). The proof is finished. \( \square \)

In the following, we consider (2) and (6) to discuss the generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability. We need the following condition.

\[ [A4] : \text{Let } G \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}_+) \text{ be an increasing function and there exists } \lambda_G > 0 \text{ such that} \]
\[ \int_0^x G(s) \, ds \leq \lambda_G G(x), \quad \forall x \in [0, T]. \]

**Theorem 5.** Assumptions \([A1], [A2] \text{ and } [A4]\) hold. If \( a_\alpha k_f < 1 \), then (2) is generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable with respect to \( G \) on \([0, T]\) (\( T < \infty \)).

**Proof.** Let \( g \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \) be a solution of (6). From Theorem 3,
\[
\begin{cases}
\text{C}^\alpha \mathcal{D}^{\alpha} y(x) = f(x, y(x)), & 0 < \alpha < 1, \ t \in [0, T), \\
y(0) = C_0,
\end{cases}
\quad (18)
\]
has the unique solution
\[ y(x) = C_0 + a_\alpha f(x, y(x)) + b_\alpha \int_0^x f(s, y(s)) \, ds, \quad x \in [0, T]. \]

From (6), we have
\[ |g(x) - C_0 - a_\alpha f(x, g(x)) - b_\alpha \int_0^x f(s, g(s)) \, ds| \leq a_\alpha G(x) + b_\alpha \int_0^x G(s) \, ds \]
\[ \leq (a_\alpha + b_\alpha \lambda_G) G(x), \quad x \in [0, T]. \]
Thus,

\[
|g(x) - y(x)| \\
\leq |g(x) - C_0 - a_\alpha f(x, y(x)) - b_\alpha \int_0^x f(s, y(s))\,ds| \\
\leq |g(x) - C_0 - a_\alpha f(x, g(x)) - b_\alpha \int_0^x f(s, g(s))\,ds| \\
\quad + a_\alpha f(x, y(x)) + b_\alpha \int_a^x f(s, y(s))\,ds - a_\alpha f(x, y(x)) - b_\alpha \int_0^x f(s, y(s))\,ds| \\
\leq |g(x) - C_0 - a_\alpha f(x, g(x)) - b_\alpha \int_0^x f(s, g(s))\,ds| \\
\quad + a_\alpha |f(x, y(x)) - f(x, g(x))| + b_\alpha \int_0^x |f(s, y(s)) - f(s, g(s))|\,ds \\
\leq (a_\alpha + b_\alpha \lambda G)G(x) + a_\alpha k_f |y(x) - g(x)| + b_\alpha k_f \int_0^x |y(s) - g(s)|\,ds.
\]

Note that \(a_\alpha k_f \leq 1\), and so,

\[
|y(x) - g(x)| \leq \frac{(a_\alpha + b_\alpha \lambda G)G(x)}{1 - a_\alpha k_f} + \frac{b_\alpha k_f}{1 - a_\alpha k_f} \int_0^x |y(s) - g(s)|\,ds.
\]

From Gronwall’s inequality, we have

\[
|y(x) - g(x)| \leq \left[\frac{(a_\alpha + b_\alpha \lambda G)}{1 - a_\alpha k_f}\exp(x)\right] G(x), \quad x \in [0, T]. \tag{19}
\]

Set \(K^* = \frac{a_\alpha + b_\alpha \lambda G}{1 - a_\alpha k_f}\exp(T)\). Note that one has

\[
|y(x) - g(x)| \leq K^* G(x), \quad x \in [0, T].
\]

From Definition 6, (2) is generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable with respect to \(G\) on \([0, T]\). The proof is complete. \(\square\)

5. Examples

In this section, two examples are given to illustrate our main results.

For convenience in calculating, we suppose that \(M(\cdot)\) in Definition 2 is the roots of the following equation:

\[
\frac{2(1 - \cdot)}{(2 - \cdot)M(\cdot)} + \frac{2}{(2 - \cdot)M(\cdot)} = 1.
\]

Then, one can derive an explicit formula \(M(\alpha) = \frac{2}{2 - \alpha}\) and \(M(\beta) = \frac{2}{2 - \beta}\) (see (p. 89, [22])).

**Example 1.** Consider

\[
(C^\alpha D^\frac{1}{2} y)(x) - \frac{1}{3}(C^\beta D^\frac{3}{2} y)(x) = \frac{2}{3} e^x + \frac{1}{3} e^{-2x} - \frac{2}{3}, \quad x \in [0, T]. \tag{20}
\]

Set \(\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \beta = \frac{2}{3}\), \(u(x) = \frac{2}{3} e^x + \frac{1}{3} e^{-2x} - \frac{2}{3}\) and \(\lambda = \frac{1}{3}\). From (Definition 1, [22]), \(M(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{4}{3}\) and \(M(\frac{2}{3}) = \frac{3}{2}\).
Let \( y_1(x) = e^x \), and we have
\[
(CF \mathbb{D}^\frac{1}{2} y_1)(x) = 2 \int_0^x e^{-x} e^t dt = e^x - e^{-x},
\]
\[
(CF \mathbb{D}^\frac{3}{2} y_1)(x) = 3 \int_0^x e^{-2(x-t)} e^t dt = e^x - e^{-2x}.
\]
Choose \( \varepsilon = \frac{2}{3} \). Note \( y_1(x) = e^x \) satisfies
\[
| (CF \mathbb{D}^\frac{1}{2} y_1)(x) - \frac{1}{3} (CF \mathbb{D}^\frac{3}{2} y_1)(x) - \frac{2}{3} e^x - \frac{1}{3} e^{-2x} + \frac{2}{3}| = \left| e^x - e^{-x} - \frac{2}{3} e^x + \frac{1}{3} e^{-2x} - \frac{2}{3} e^x - \frac{1}{3} e^{-2x} + \frac{2}{3} \right| = \frac{2}{3} - \varepsilon \leq \frac{2}{3}.
\]
Note \( y_1(0) = 1 \) and with the formulas of \( A, B, C, D \) in (9) and (13), we obtain an exact solution of Equation (1) as
\[
y_a(x) = y(0) + 2 \frac{C}{A} u(x) + 2 \left( \frac{AD - BC}{A^2} - \frac{\alpha \beta}{B} \right) \int_0^x \exp(-\frac{B}{A} t) u(x-t) dt + 2 \frac{\alpha \beta}{B} \int_0^x u(x-t) dt = 1 + \frac{2}{3} e^x - \frac{1}{3} e^{-2x} - \frac{2}{3} e^x - \frac{2}{3} e^{-2x} - \frac{4}{9} x + \frac{4}{27} \int_0^x (e^{x-t} + e^{-2(x-t)} - 1) dt + \frac{4}{27} \int_0^x (e^{x-t} + e^{-2(x-t)} - 1) dt = e^x + \frac{4}{27} + \frac{5}{27} e^{-3x} - \frac{2}{3} e^{-2x} - \frac{4}{9} x.
\]
Clearly,
\[
| y_1(x) - y_a(x) | = | e^x + \frac{4}{27} + \frac{5}{27} e^{-3x} - \frac{2}{3} e^{-2x} - \frac{4}{9} x - e^x | = \left| \frac{4}{27} + \frac{5}{27} e^{-3x} - \frac{2}{3} e^{-2x} - \frac{4}{9} x \right| \leq \left| \frac{4}{27} - \frac{4}{9} x \right| \leq \frac{2}{3} + \frac{8}{9} x = (1 + \frac{4}{3} x)^\frac{2}{3}.
\]
Note in Theorem 2 (see Remark 1) that we have \( K = 2 \left( \frac{C}{A} \right) + 2 \left( \frac{AD - BC}{A^2} - \frac{\alpha \beta}{B} \right) \max \{1, \exp(-\frac{B}{A} T)\} T + 2 \frac{\alpha \beta}{B} |T| \leq 1 + \frac{4}{3} T \) and \( \varepsilon = \frac{2}{3} \). Thus, Equation (20) is Hyers–Ulam stable when \( T < \infty \).

**Example 2.** We consider the following fractional problem:
\[
(CF \mathbb{D}^\frac{1}{2} y)(x) = \frac{e^{-2x}}{1 + e^x} \frac{|y|}{1 + |y|}, \quad x \in [0, 2],
\]
and the inequality
\[
\left| (CF \mathbb{D}^\frac{1}{2} y)(x) - \frac{e^{-2x}}{1 + e^x} \frac{|y|}{1 + |y|} \right| \leq G(x), \quad x \in [0, 2].
\]
Set $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, $T = 2$ and $f(x, y) = \frac{e^{-2x}}{1 + e^x} = \frac{|y|}{1 + |y|}$, $(x, y) \in [0, 2] \times \mathbb{R}$. Clearly, [A1] holds. Then, $M(\frac{1}{3}) = \frac{4}{9}$, $a_\frac{1}{3} = \frac{24}{25}$, $b_\frac{1}{3} = \frac{12}{25}$. Let $G(x) = e^x \in C([0, 2], \mathbb{R})$ and $\int_0^x G(s)ds = \int_0^x e^sds = e^x - 1 \leq e^x$. Here, $\lambda_G = 1 > 0$.

For any $x \in [0, 2]$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| = \frac{e^{-2x}}{1 + e^x} \frac{|y_1|}{1 + |y_1|} - \frac{|y_2|}{1 + |y_2|} \leq \frac{e^{-2x}|y_1 - y_2|}{(1 + e^x)(1 + |y_1|)(1 + |y_2|)} \leq \frac{e^{-2x}}{2}|y_1 - y_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}|y_1 - y_2|.$$

For all $x \in [0, 2]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(x, y)| = \frac{e^{-2x}}{1 + e^x} \frac{|y|}{1 + |y|} \leq \frac{e^{-2x}}{2} |y| \leq \frac{1}{2} |y| \leq \frac{1}{2} (1 + |y|).$$

Thus, [A2] and [A3] hold.

Set $L = \frac{1}{2} = k_f$. Then $a_\frac{1}{3}k_f = \frac{24}{25} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{12}{25} < 1$. From Theorem 3, (21) has a unique solution. Thus, all the assumptions in Theorem 4 are satisfied, so our results can be applied to (21).

Let $g \in C([0, 2], \mathbb{R})$ be a solution of (22). We have

$$\left| (CF^\frac{1}{3} g)(x) - f(x, g(x)) \right| = \left| (CF^\frac{1}{3} g)(x) - \frac{e^{-2x}}{1 + e^x} \frac{|g|}{1 + |g|} \right| \leq G(x), \ x \in [0, 2]. \quad (23)$$

From Theorem 3, we see (21) with $y(0) = C_0$ has the unique solution

$$y(x) = C_0 + a_\frac{1}{3} f(x, y(x)) + b_\frac{1}{3} \int_0^x f(s, y(s))ds = C_0 + \frac{24}{25} \frac{e^{-2x}}{1 + e^x} \frac{|y|}{1 + |y|} + \frac{12}{25} \frac{1}{1 + e^x} \frac{e^{-2x}}{1 + |y|} \int_0^x |y| ds.$$

Applying the fractional integrating operator $CF I^a(\cdot)$ on both sides of (23), we have

$$\left| g(x) - C_0 - a_\frac{1}{3} f(x, g(x)) - b_\frac{1}{3} \int_0^x f(s, g(s))ds \right| \leq a_\frac{1}{3} G(x) + b_\frac{1}{3} \int_0^x G(s)ds \leq (a_\frac{1}{3} + b_\frac{1}{3} \lambda_G) G(x), \ x \in [0, 2].$$

In addition,

$$|y(x) - g(x)| \leq \frac{(a_\frac{1}{3} + b_\frac{1}{3} \lambda_G)}{1 - a_\frac{1}{3} k_f} \left[ \exp(x) \right] G(x), \ x \in [0, 2].$$

Set $K^* = \frac{a_\frac{1}{3} + b_\frac{1}{3} \lambda_G}{1 - a_\frac{1}{3} k_f} \left[ \exp(2) \right] = \frac{24 + 12 \times 1}{1 - \frac{24}{25} \times \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{36e^2}{15}$. Note that one has

$$|y(x) - g(x)| \leq K^* G(x), \ x \in [0, 2].$$

6. Conclusions

By applying the well-known Gronwall inequality and fixed point theorems, we obtain the Hyers–Ulam stability of linear and semilinear Caputo–Fabrizio fractional differential equations. Existence and uniqueness theorems of solution are established. In a forthcoming work, we shall consider the impulsive Cauchy problem with Caputo–Fabrizio fractional derivative.
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