Transient Liquid Phase Bonding of Semi-Solid Metal 7075 Aluminum Alloy Using ZA27 Zinc Alloy Interlayer
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Abstract: Transient Liquid Phase Bonding (TLPB) process of semi-solid metal 7075 aluminum alloys (SSM7075) using 50 µm thick of ZA27 zinc alloys as interlayers for the experiment were carried out under bonding temperatures of 480 and 540 ºC and bonding times of 30, 60, 90 and 120 min respectively. In the bonding zone, the semi-solid state of ZA27 zinc alloy interlayers were diffused into the SSM7075 aluminum alloy. Examination of the bonding zone using Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) and Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) showed that the precipitation of the intermetallic compound of η(Zn–Al–Cu), β(Al2Mg3Zn5), T′(Zn10Al13Cu53) and MgZn2 were formed in the bonding zone. The better homogenized microstructure in the bonding zone was formed when increasing bonding time and bonding temperature. The highest bonding strength was recorded at 17.44 MPa and average hardness was at 87.67 HV with the bonding time of 120 min and temperature at 540 ºC. Statistically, the coefficient of determination analysis of bonding strength data was at 99.1%.
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1. Introduction

Transient Liquid Phase (TLB) Bonding is another interesting welding process. This is a diffusion bonding in the liquid stage [1] in which the bonding zone will partially become liquid due to the property of the materials which can be soldered at low melting temperature [2,3]. The advantages of this TLP bonding are lower bonding temperature, lower bonding pressure, easier surface preparation than solid-state diffusion bonding, and it can weld between materials with distinct chemical properties or dissimilar joint materials [4–6]. Moreover, this TLP bonding technique offers a better oxide destruction mechanism in bonding area traditional aluminum alloy welding process [7]. However, there are still some limitations for the TLP bonding process due to the complexity in welding and long bonding time. Generally, TLP bonding was used in high quality weld applications, such as sandwich materials or fuel tanks [8]. Aluminum alloy has often been used with this type of welding to produce a product. The 7075 aluminum alloy casting has been used in aircraft industries, automotive industries, and electronic industries [9]. And, the presence of microstructure in 7075 aluminum alloy was improved in order to increase the strength of mechanical properties. The Gas Induce Semi-Solid (GISS) process is another technique used to formed semi-solid metal 7075 aluminum alloy (SSM7075) [10]. So, finding a suitable welding process for semi-solid casting material is quite challenging because the main problem for the traditional SSM7075 aluminum alloy welding process is that oxide film can form in the bonding zone. However, the advantage of the TLP bonding process is a reduction in oxide...
film formation to support better diffusion by allowing the atoms to spread and interchange between materials in the liquid state [11]. Moreover, the TLP bonding process is protected by a well-controlled gas atmospheric chamber, which affects the mechanical properties of samples after welding [12]. The TLP bonding of SSM7075 aluminum alloy using ZA27 zinc alloy as filler metal can diffuse faster and more complete because Zn and Al is a main ingredient in ZA27 zinc alloy. On the other hand, SSM7075 aluminum alloy also has Al and Zn as main ingredients, in which the basis of chemical compositions are similar due to solvency of the eutectic phase and this will encourage successful TLP bonding.

The parameters for the TLP bonding process between SSM7075 aluminum alloy and ZA27 zinc alloy interlayer are bonding time, bonding temperature, bonding pressure, preparation surface sample, etc. In this experiment, we focus on the effect of eutectic formation and evaluate the macrostructure and microstructure on bonded joints, examined by scanning electron microscopy and mechanical properties tests.

2. Materials and Methods

The main concept idea in research is to diffuse two different states of samples SSM7075 aluminum alloy (solid state) and ZA27 zinc alloy (liquids state during welding). These two materials share similar compositions. This TLPB in argon atmosphere will minimize aluminium oxide (Al₂O₃) and zinc peroxide (ZnO₂) film formation which leads to better diffuse and to reduce the welding time of samples. Moreover, this is also a new welding technique for SSM7075 aluminum alloy semi-solid cast aluminum alloy.

2.1. Materials

The material used in this study was SSM7075 aluminum alloy, which contains aluminium, zinc and magnesium as the main ingredients and the chemical composition is shown in Table 1, with a melting point at 660 °C and tensile strength at 207.08 MPa (As cast). The SSM7075 aluminum alloy obtained from GISSCO company limited (Samut Sakhon, Thailand) was formed by Gas Induced Semi-Solid (GISS) Technique which is a semi-solid casting technique under casting temperature at 640 °C and nitrogen gas flowing through porous graphite for 10 s resulting in the grain structure forming as a globular shape. The microstructure of SSM7075 aluminum alloys includes α-aluminum matrix and MgZn₂ eutectic phase with the particle grain size at 20–25 µm. The filler metal used in this experiment was ZA27 zinc alloy, which contains aluminum and copper as the main ingredients. The chemical composition is shown in Table 1 and it has a melting point of 399 °C and tensile strength of 125 MPa.

2.2. Experimental Procedures

The SSM7075 aluminum alloy was prepared as a cylindrical shape with 12 mm in diameter and 45 mm long, and the size of ZA27 zinc alloy was prepared as a filler metal disk with 12 mm in diameter and 50 µm thick. This was used as an interlayer with butt-welded joints. The surface of the sample was polished by grinding surfaces with P400 grit SiC paper (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) and cleaned in acetone in order to eliminate the dirt. Then, ZA27 zinc alloy filler metal disk was kept in ethanol to prevent surface oxidation effects before starting the TLP bonding process. After the specimen preparation step, specimens were put onto the constant pressure side in the axial direction and the ZA27 zinc alloy filler metal disk was clamped in between SSM 7075 aluminum alloy. In the experiment, parameters used for bonding temperature in the chamber were set at 480 and 540 °C, bonding time at 30, 60, 90 and
120 min, bonding pressure constant at 3.4 MPa and these were performed in argon gas atmosphere at 6 L per minute to prevent oxygenation during TLP bonding process. The TLP bonding principle is shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. A schematic view of the principle TLP bonding process.](image)

### 2.3. Mechanical Testing and Metallurgy Analysis

The samples were taken to the lathe machine (Jet, La Vergne, TN, USA) for a bond strength test according to American Society for Testing of Materials standard (ASTM E8M-04). The bond strength test was performed under room temperature and was carried out at a crosshead speed at $1.67 \times 10^{-2}$ mm/s with universal testing machine brand LLOYD model EZ50 (MSI-Viking Gage, Duncan, SC, USA). Finally, vicker’s microhardness was conducted on Future-Tech model FM-700e (FUTURE-TECH CORP, Kanagawa, Japan). After TLP bonding, the samples were cut by a saw and polished by SiC paper at P320, P400, P600, P800, P1000 and P1200 grit respectively. Then, they were polished with alumina powder at 5, 3 and 1 µm, and finally etched with Keller’s reagent (ES Laboratory, Glendora, CA, USA). (The ingredients for Keller’s reagent, such as 190 mL H$_2$O, 5 mL HNO$_3$, 3 mL HCl, 2 mL HF and distilled water) to investigate the structure. The microstructure analysis was carried out by using a light optical microscope (Olympus model BH2-UMA) (Olympus Co., Ltd., Bangkok, Thailand) and quantitative chemical composition analysis was performed by an electron microscope (FEI-Quanta model 400) (ThermoFisher, Hillsboro, OR, USA).

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Results of Microstructure in Bonded Joint

TLP bonding of SSM7075 aluminum alloy used ZA27 zinc alloy interlayer; it was found that the bonding zone had diffused for the element Zn atom, which is a primary zinc alloy element from ZA27 due to high concentration. Figure 2 shows the microstructure in the bonding zone from a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) (Olympus Co., Ltd., Bangkok, Thailand) photograph with magnification power at 50x from bonding temperature at 480 °C and bonding time at 30 min. The results show diffusion of alloying elements of ZA27 zinc alloy to SSM7075 aluminum alloy; this is caused by the bonding temperature and bonding time. The lower bonding temperature and shorter bonding time they are, the larger voids are formed [13]. The voids that happened in the bonding zone induce elimination of incomplete welding. Moreover, voids are longitudinally parallel in the bonding zone. However, when bonding temperature and bonding time are appropriate, these voids will be eliminated with diffusion of atoms leading to homogenous in bonding zone. The bonding temperature is the main factor that affects the formation of the microstructure. It is noteworthy that in SSM7075 aluminum alloy, α-Al phase precipitation was observed. Likewise, MgZn$_2$ phase precipitation as
well, but it has a smaller particle appearance and distribution in the \( \alpha \)-Al phase. The diffusion of alloying element in ZA27 zinc alloy around the bonding zone shows the rapid diffusion of Zn element (\( \beta + \eta \)-phase) as shown in Figure 2a, which the formation of \( \beta + \eta \)-phase can be analyzed by binary Al-Zn equilibrium phase diagram shown in Figure 3. The microstructure shows that \( \beta + \eta \)-phase formation from bonding time and bonding temperature it resulted \( \beta(A_{12}Mg_{3}Zn_{3}) \) phase formation is supersaturated to \( \beta' \)-phase [14,15]. Meanwhile, the \( \eta(Zn–Al–Cu) \) phase merged to \( \beta \)-phase formation as well, by forming into \( \eta' \)-phase and gradually diffusing to the border of the voids leading to a slowly voids elimination mechanism shown in Figure 2b. However, after TLP bonding, we found a crystal of atoms changes and the shrinkage of ZA27 zinc alloy causes the cracks in bonding zone shown in Figure 2c, which affects the bonding strength properties at the joint area.

![Figure 2](image1.png)

**Figure 2.** Microstructure of the cross-section of the joint bonded by SEM from bonding temperature at 480 °C and bonding time at 30 min, (a) the right border between ZA27 interlayer and SSM7075; (b) the middle area of ZA27; (c) the left border between SSM7075 and ZA27 with void.

![Figure 3](image2.png)

**Figure 3.** Binary Al-Zn equilibrium phase diagram.

It is noteworthy that the TLP bonding process can easily reduce the number of \( Al_2O_3 \) and \( ZnO_2 \) films per area [7]. These two factors—bonding temperature and bonding time—generate a higher bonding temperature reaching 480 °C, which is over the melting point of ZA27 zinc alloy. This is above the liquidus line (evaluated by the binary Al-Zn equilibrium phase diagram shown in Figure 3) and
turn ZA27 zinc alloy into liquid state. The atom in liquid status has more activation energy than the
atom in solid status which allows atoms to move easily. The diffusion rate follows Fick’s second law of
diffusion, as shown in Equation (1).

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial x^2}$$  (1)

where $\frac{\partial c}{\partial t}$ is the change in the solute concentration (wt %) with the time (second) at a given position
in the substrates, representing isothermal solidification rate; $D$ is the diffusion coefficient; and $\frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial x^2}$
is the change in concentration gradient with distance [16]. Another factor is caused by the protection
with argon gas atmosphere during TLP bonding. The formation of oxide film during TLP bonding
was from the incorporation of oxygen to metals and resulted in poor quality TLP bonding samples.
Therefore, argon gas will eliminate the oxygen reaction leading to the high efficacy of the joints.

Figure 4 shows the microstructure in the bonding zone with SEM photograph at 50X magnification
power from bonding temperature at 540 °C and bonding time at 120 min. The increase in bonding
temperature and bonding time clearly affects the microstructural changes. The dispersion of ZA27 zinc
alloy in the bonding zone became wider, which shows that atoms of Zn elements are able to move and
diffuse further, when compared to a bonding temperature at 480 °C. The joint microstructure in the
bonding zone completely formed, as shown in Figure 4a. The void was eliminated and remained in very
small quantities, as shown in Figure 4c, which is a good tendency for tensile strength. It is noteworthy
that at high bonding temperature in SSM7075 aluminum alloy (around the bonding zone), Zn elements
were recrystalized forming $T'(Zn_{10}Al_{35}Cu_{55})$ phase (black particles are $T'$-phase) [14,15]. The increase
of bonding temperature and bonding time affect the precipitation of the MgZn$_2$ phase, resulting in
smaller particles and more excellent distribution, as shown in Figure 4b. Moreover, the MgZn$_2$ phase
in SSM7075 aluminum alloy combined with $\beta+$-$\eta$-phase led to MgZn$_2$ and $\beta+$-$\eta$-phase in liquids status
allowing atoms to freely move.

However, the $\alpha$-$Al$ phase received high activation energy and also caused $\alpha$-$Al$ grains to grow
bigger as shown in Figure 4a. Also, such similar result has been mentioned in hua Zhu, Y (2004) [17].
Meanwhile, $\alpha$-$Al$ phase formation is supersaturated to $\alpha'$-phase and the combined $\beta'$+$\eta'$-phase
is supersaturated (grey particles) as shown in Figure 4c. Moreover, the right bonding time and
bonding temperature also help the voids disappear. Figure 4b shows that, for all combined phases as
intermetallic compounds, MgZn$_2$ phase inserted into $\alpha'$-phase and the $\beta'$+$\eta'$-phase distributed around
the bonding zone. These phases supersaturated and completed, leading to good hardness properties.
The mechanism of phase formation in the bonding zone is $\alpha$-$Al$+MgZn$_2$+$\beta+$-$\eta$-phase formation,

![Figure 4. Microstructure of the cross-section of the joint bonded by SEM from bonding temperature
at 540 °C and bonding time at 120 min, (a) the right border between ZA27 interlayer and SSM7075;
(b) the middle area of ZA27; (c) the left border between SSM7075 and ZA27.](image)
and then, isothermal solidification to $\alpha' + \text{MgZn}_2(\text{precipitation}) + \beta' + \eta'$-phase [17]. It must be noted that TLP bonding of SSM7075 aluminum alloy with ZA27 zinc alloy interlayer can speed up the welding process because Zn and Al elements are similar main ingredients in both materials. Thus, these affect the diffusion and movement of atoms from higher concentrations to lower concentrations of the elements.

3.2. Results of Particles in the Bonded Joint by EDX-Ray Spectroscopy

The micrographic mapping images of the cross-section of the joint bonded by Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) from bonding temperature at 540 °C and bonding time at 120 min are shown in Figure 5. The results show the distribution of the elements, which are well distributed in the bonding zone and are relevant to the bonding temperature [18]. According to the data from the binary phase diagram in Figure 3, all elements were melted and allowed both $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{ZnO}_2$ to freely move to the inner layer and to randomly mix with other elements. This distributing pattern can reduce the number of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{ZnO}_2$ layers clumped in one area, which also promotes the strength of the welded zone.

**Figure 5.** The micrographic mapping image of the cross-section of the joint bonded by EDX-Ray spectroscopy. (a)–(h) represent the location of each element dispersed around the welding zone by using different filters. These pictures are proportionally the same size as the unfiltered picture on the top. (a) the present of carbon element around welded area; (b) the present of oxygen element around welded area; (c) the present of aluminium element around welded area; (d) the present of chromium element around welded area; (e) the present of manganese element around welded area; (f) the present of iron element around welded area; (g) the present of copper element around welded area; (h) the present of zinc element around welded area; (i) the original picture of welded area without filters.
The Cu and Zn elements have precipitated at high temperatures, as shown in Figure 5g,h. However, the oxide formed by the insertion of moisture will hinder the spread of the elements. It is noteworthy that the oxides are generally distributed along the length of the interface and bonding zone, as shown in Figure 5b. The diffusion mechanism starts to diffuse from the higher concentration to the lower concentration [19], which is evaluated by substituted C elements shown in Figure 5a. The atom of C elements can insert into the aluminium matrix. Likewise, the Cr, Mn and Fe elements can precipitate at a high temperature, resulting in good diffusion behavior, as shown in Figure 5d–f. After quantitative analysis in the bonding zone, it was found that high concentration of the Al elements was at 72.10 wt %. The results are similarly found that the concentration of elements in the bonding zone came from the alloy elements of welding materials. The Zn elements at 12.20 wt %, the C elements at 11.30 wt %, the O elements at 2.30 wt %, and the Cu elements at 1.00 wt % are respectively shown in Figure 6. However, for bonding temperature as a result of the mixed-element formation of the intermetallic compound, this significantly increased the mechanical properties. The elements will be transformed in Guinier-Preston (GP) zones (The GP zones are the meta-stable phases or precipitates) [17]. Thus, it can be seen that the bonding time and bonding temperature can influence the TLP bonding process of SSM7075 aluminum alloy using ZA27 zinc alloy interlayer.

![EDX-Ray analysis for distribution of elements.](image)

3.3. Results of Bonding Strength of Joint

Figure 7 shows the joint bonding strengths for the relationship between bonding temperature and bonding time. All samples in this experiment were cut at the bonded joint and the comparison of bonding strengths found that the increase of bonding temperature and bonding time tended to make better bonding strength. For example, at a bonding temperature of 540 °C and bonding time of 120 min, an average bonding strength value was 17.44 MPa. On the other hand, lower bonding temperature and bonding time caused lower bond strength. The void after the TLP bonding process caused different bonding strengths. Moreover, the crack or shrinkage at the liquid state of Zn, Al and other element could result in lower bonding strength [20]. The bonding temperature of 480 °C and bonding time of 30 min resulted in lower bonding strength value at 2.27 MPa, in which the joint efficiency was lower than the base metal of SSM7075 aluminium alloys (207.08 MPa) or base metal ZA27 zinc alloy (125.22 MPa). However, when the bonding time increases, it leads to the addition of bonding strength explicitly [21]. The bonding time from 60 to 120 min with the bonding temperature of 480 °C significantly increased bonding strength, which were 5.54, 8.95 and 9.28 MPa, respectively. It was also found that when bonding time and bonding temperature increased, the formation of the complete
intermetallic compounds were observed, which were \( \eta (Zn–Al–Cu) \), \( \beta (Al_{12}Mg_3Zn_3) \), \( T'(Zn_{10}Al_{35}Cu_{55}) \) and \( MgZn_2 \) phase especially in the high bonding strength area [14,15]. For the bonding temperature at 540 °C and bonding time from 30 to 90 min, it can be seen that the average bonding strengths were 2.31, 5.61 and 11.93 MPa respectively. It is noteworthy that the bonding time from 60 min or more significantly increased bonding strength. This is because the diffusion mechanism and activating thermal energy led to increases and a better bonding area. The TLP bonding process is a good bonding technique for the surface oxide film elimination when compared to other traditional diffusion bonding processes. For the elimination of surface oxide film there is a huge need for a diffusion process because it will affect the mechanism of atomic motion. The surface oxide film is a problem for many researchers. Therefore, the argon atmospheric chamber for this type of diffusion bonding has significant implications in solving these problems [12].

![Figure 7. Bonding strength with TLP bonding of SSM 7075 aluminum alloy using ZA27 zinc alloy Interlayer.](image)

### 3.4. Results of Vickers Hardness

Figure 8 shows microhardness profiles (0.2 mm away from the bonding zone). The results show that hardness values from the bonding zone are higher than the hardness values of base materials (as cast). This is because the increasing thermal temperature during the TLP bonding process allowed elements to precipitate and become an intermetallic compound. The hardening mechanism from the \( MgZn_2 \) phase received activating energy and caused atoms to move and dissolve, yielding the improved microstructure of materials. The higher bonding time and bonding temperature potentially generated higher hardness and reduced defects [22]. For example, bonding time at 120 min and bonding temperature at 540 °C resulted in the average hardness of 87.67 HV, as shown in Figure 8b, which was the highest hardness property among all conditions. Moreover, higher bonding time and bonding temperature in the TLP bonding process can eliminate the oxidation process, as a result of a semi-solid state of ZA27 zinc alloy during the TLP bonding process. The long bonding time affects the precipitation of elements to become a complete-intermetallic compound. Al, Zn, Cu, Cr, Mg and Fe were interchanged or exchanged and subsequently formed various types of intermetallic compounds such as \( \eta (Zn–Al–Cu) \), \( \beta (Al_{12}Mg_3Zn_3) \), \( T'(Zn_{10}Al_{35}Cu_{55}) \) and \( MgZn_2 \) phase [14,15]. On the other hand, lower bonding time and bonding temperature do not support hardness properties [2]. For example, bonding time at 30 and 60 min with bonding temperature at 480 °C caused the average hardness values at 73.04 and at 76.33 HV, as shown in Figure 8a. The hardness values were roughly the same in all the areas at 480 °C. On the other hand, it is noteworthy that high hardness was found in the bonding zone with bonding temperature at 540 °C when compared with other regions. Therefore, this may yield some benefits to other intense-use application. This is because the ZA27 zinc alloys were in a semi-solid state and they have good solubility in the liquid state, which well diffuses to other atoms.
Thus, recrystallization of the microstructure in the bonding zone or other areas can be the result from the bonding time and bonding temperature from the TLP bonding process.

![Microhardness profile](image)

**Figure 8.** Microhardness profile at the bonding zone with bonding times of 30, 60, 90 and 120 min and bonding temperature at (a) 480 °C and (b) 540 °C.

### 3.5. Analysis of Bonding Strength Data Using Statistical Method Analysis of Model Accuracy

General full factorial design was used in this experiment. Bonding time and bonding temperature are two factors that unequally affected the results of samples shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bonding time (min)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonding temperature (degree celsius)</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Factors and levels in experiments.

After statistical data analysis of general full factorial design model [23–25], the results of bonding strength were analyzed in 3 aspects: (1) normal distribution analysis, (2) randomized distribution analysis and (3) variance analysis around zero, as shown in Figure 9. Thus, the results from this experiment are eligible for further analysis.
According to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) analysis shown in Table 3, it was found that both bonding time and bonding temperature directly affected the bonding strength with $R^2 = 99.01\%$. As shown in Figure 10, it was found that when the bonding time was increased from 30 to 60 min, there was no bonding strength difference in both bonding temperature conditions. However, when the bonding time was increased from 60 to 90 min, the value of bonding strength at 540 °C was a little higher than what was at 480 °C. Interestingly, when the bonding time was increased from 90 to 120 min, the value of bonding strength at 540 °C was a lot higher than what was at 480 °C. Besides, it was noticed that the value of bonding strength at 480 °C started to flatten out after 90 min of bonding time.

Table 3. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) analysis for bonding strength test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Adj SS</th>
<th>Adj MS</th>
<th>F-Value</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bonding Time</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>438.976</td>
<td>146.325</td>
<td>424.36</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonding Temp</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47.489</td>
<td>47.489</td>
<td>137.72</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Btim × Btem</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>65.853</td>
<td>21.951</td>
<td>63.66</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.517</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>557.835</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$S = 0.587$ ; $R$-$Sq = 99.01\%$; $R$-$Sq$ (adj) = 98.58\%.

As shown in Figure 11, it was found that when the bonding time was increased from 30 min to 120 min, the bonding strength also increased. Likewise, when the bonding temperature was increased from 480 °C to 540 °C, the bonding strength also increased.
Figure 10. The effect of two factors that influence the bonding strength.

Figure 11. The effect of two main factors to the bonding strength.

The amount of heat that occurred during the welding process directly affects the bonding strength. This heat is caused from previously mentioned factors which can be classified into 3 stages. For the first stage, the amounts of heat that occurred during 30 to 60 min of bonding time was roughly the same. For the second stage, during 60 to 90 min of bonding time, the amount of heat at bonding temperature 540 °C was a little higher than at 480 °C. For the last stage, there was the highest difference in the amount of heat that occurred during 90 min to 120 min of bonding time. Therefore, it can be clearly concluded and formulate an equation for bonding strength regression shown in Equation (2).

\[
\text{Bonding strength} = -25.52 + 0.1269 \times \text{bonding time} + 0.0469 \times \text{Bonding temperature} \tag{2}
\]

4. Conclusions

In this work, the joining of similar SSM7075 aluminium alloys was achieved by TLP bonding process using ZA27 zinc alloy as an interlayer. To evaluate the results achieved, it is concluded that:

The different bonding time and different bonding temperature in the TLP bonding process can influence the microstructure recrystallization process in the bonding zone, which triggers the precipitation process and the formation of intermetallic compounds- η(Zn–Al–Cu), β(Al_2Mg_2Zn_3), T'(Zn_{10}Al_{35}Cu_{55}) and MgZn_2 phase respectively. The different intermetallic compounds directly affect the mechanical property with an average maximum bonding strength value at 17.44 MPa for bonding.
temperature at 540 °C and bonding time for 120 min. Meanwhile, average hardness value was 87.67 HV from the same parameters. Moreover, according to statistical data analysis of the general full factorial design model, the coefficient of determination for the bonding strength result was 99.1%. The estimated bonding strength values and the relationship between bonding time and bonding temperature can be expressed as: fitted bonding strength = −25.52 + 0.1269; bonding time + 0.0469 bonding temperature.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.M.; Methodology, C.M.; Software, C.M.; Formal Analysis, C.M. and S.C.; Investigation, Y.D.; Data Curation, C.M.; Writing-Original Draft Preparation, C.M.; Writing-Review & Editing, C.M. and D.M.; Supervision, Y.D.; Project Administration, C.M.; Funding Acquisition, C.M.

Funding: This research was funded by National Research Council of Thailand grant number 06/2560.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Department of Engineering, Faculty of Industrial Technology, Songkhla Rajabhat University and Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Rajamangala University of Technology Srivijaya University in Thailand.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References


© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).