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Abstract: A dye-sensitised solar cell (DSSC) counter electrode (CE) plays a vital role in catalysing the conversion of triiodide (I_3^-) to iodide ions (I^-), thereby ensuring the completion of the repetitive cycle of electricity generation. The platinum CE, despite being the standard counter electrode in DSSCs, has drawbacks of platinum’s rarity and high cost. Platinum is an excellent redox catalyst, and consequently, it is the most sought-after metal for catalytic conversions. The huge demand for platinum in the automotive industry for vehicular catalytic converters, the pharmaceutical industry, and in oil refining, as well as other industries, has driven its price to unprecedented levels. The prohibitive price of platinum has caused newer thin film technologies, such as the DSSC which depends on the platinum CE, to be cost-ineffective, thus meaning they cannot compete with the better-established silicon-based solar cells. These problems have stagnated the development of the DSSC, which in turn has dampened larger commercialisation prospects for this thin film technology. With this in mind, this review paper focuses on recent progress in the research and development of alternative cost-effective materials to replace Pt-based CEs. Ternary alloys are amongst the possible alternatives that have been explored, yielding varied results. Alloys, especially ternary sulphides, selenides, and oxides, are attractive as alternatives as they are cheap and are easily fabricated. Ternary alloys also have a synergistic effect produced by the coexistence of two metal ions in a crystal structure, which is believed to induce greater catalytic capability, thus making them ideal cost-effective materials to replace the Pt CE in DSSCs. This review intends to highlight the performance of ternary alloy counter electrodes through the analysis of charge transfer resistance and power conversion efficiencies. Focus is also given to the restrictions and impediments to the attainment of higher power conversion efficiency in alternative CEs. The advances in fabrication of simple ternary alloys, as well as more advanced hierarchical nanostructured counter electrodes, are discussed here in detail. Results obtained to date indicate that the efficiencies of ternary alloy counter electrodes are still below that of the platinum counter electrode, and hence more research is required to enhance their efficiencies.
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1. Introduction

With the world relying mostly on nonrenewable energy sources, such as fossil fuels, which are rapidly depleting, the rising world population and unrelenting global economic development have necessitated the need for more energy sources. The conventional energy sources are not only depleting,
but are costly, and their use has significant adverse effects on the environment [1,2]. Therefore, only renewable energy sources can fill the current global energy demand whilst limiting environmental degradation [3,4]. Amongst the renewable energy sources, solar energy is the most promising since it is abundant on earth. Despite its allure, solar cells need to be low-cost and cost-competitive in order to compete with the conventional energy sources [5]. Silicon-based solar cells with efficiencies of over 20% are the most widely used solar cells. However, the tedious fabrication processes and costly processing of materials make this solar technology expensive. Hence, it is not viable for cost-competitive generation of electricity [6]. Research efforts aimed at reducing the cost of solar cells have led to the discovery of dye-sensitised solar cells (DSSCs). DSSCs are a low-cost thin film technology which has garnered research attention for over two decades and has considerable advantages, such as simple fabrication, good performance under diffuse light conditions, higher flexibility, environmental compatibility, and low cost, compared to other thin film technologies [7–9].

The DSSC is a thin film technology that is based on mimicking the natural light harvesting process of photosynthesis in plants. It was first introduced by Gratzel and O’Regan in 1991. DSSCs consist of three adjacent thin layers, as follows: (1) a wide-bandgap photoanode semiconductor film grown or coated on a conductive substrate, e.g., TiO$_2$; (2) a sensitisier, e.g., ruthenium bipyridyl derivatives (N719 or N3); (3) an electrolyte which is sandwiched between the sensitisier and counter electrode (CE), e.g., I$_3^-$ / I$^-$; and (4) a CE which is composed of an electrocatalyst deposited on a conducive substrate, e.g., fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) glass substrate [10]. DSSCs have had a certified efficiency of 14.7% for a cobalt-based electrolyte and modified FTO/Au/GNP (graphene nanoplatelets) electrode since their introduction in 1991 [11].

The commercialisation potential of DSSCs is also hampered by the presence of ruthenium in the dye sensitisers and platinum in the CE, with about 50–60% of the manufacturing cost of DSSCs arising from the dye sensitisers, CE, and substrates [12]. As a result, large-scale commercialisation prospects for the DSSC have been severely affected, leading to very expensive and uneconomical prices for electricity generation. In order for solar energy to be competitive as a leading electricity generator, the functionality of the numerous solar technologies including the DSSC will have to be improved. Possible improvements to the DSSC could be achieved through: (1) replacement of the liquid electrolyte; (2) development of a dye that can absorb light from the whole visible spectrum as well as the infrared region; (3) development of an inexpensive platinum-free counter electrode that has an efficiency surpassing that of the platinum-based DSSC [13]. However, this review focuses only on the progress attained so far in the development of alternative counter electrodes to the platinum-based CE, and in particular, ternary alloys. The review also details the components that constitute a typical DSSC as well as its operating procedure. The properties of different materials and reasons why they would make excellent replacements for the platinum counter electrode are also summarised.

2. Working Principle

Under illumination from the sun, sensitisier molecules (S) in the HOMO (highest occupied molecular orbital) of the dye sensitisier become excited and absorb photons of wavelength which corresponds to the energy difference between the HOMO and LUMO (lowest occupied molecular orbital) of the dye. The excited molecules (S*) move to the LUMO of the dye (I). These excited molecules then release photoelectrons (e$^-$) (II) which are transferred to the conduction band (CB) of the wide-bandgap semiconductor, leaving dye sensitisier holes (S$^+$) (III). The photoelectrons are transported through the semiconductor film to the conducive substrate, which in turn leads them to the CE via the external circuit (IV). At the CE, oxidised triiodide ions strip the photoelectrons, and thus they are reduced to iodide ions (V). Finally, reduced iodide ions donate electrons to the dye sensitisier holes, becoming oxidised (S$^+$) in the process, whilst at the same time (S$^+$) regenerating the dye molecules and completing the cycle of sunlight conversion into electricity (VI).

The difference between the Fermi level of the photoelectrons in the photoanode and the iodide/triiodide redox potential in the electrolyte gives the circuit voltage ($V_{OC}$) [13–16]. Undesirable
The development of solid-state dye-sensitised solar cells (ssDSSCs) with solid hole conductors has particularly important since nanostructured materials are believed to behave in a different manner as compared to the parent bulk material [25]. The performance of the various ternary alloy CEs explored have sought to achieve the fabrication of a cell with an efficiency surpassing those of platinum counter electrode-based cells, whilst at the same time being a cheaper alternative. Several Pt-free CEs have been explored as possible replacements of Pt-based CEs [19–23]. Most of the materials that have been explored are good electrical conductors, although some of them do not exhibit any outstanding electrocatalytic ability [24]. All components of the cell work in sync to produce a well-functioning device. Since its invention, the DSSC has consistently underperformed, exhibiting extremely low power conversion efficiencies (PCEs); this has led to research focused on improving the individual components of the cell. The operational cycle can be summarised by the following Equations (1)–(6), and Figure 1 shows the operation of a DSSC.

\[
\begin{align*}
S + \text{hv} & \rightarrow S; \text{sunlight absorption} \quad (1) \\
S^* & \rightarrow S^+ + e^-; \text{electron injection into TiO}_2 \text{ conduction band} \quad (2) \\
S^+ + 3/2\text{I}^- & \rightarrow S + 1/2\text{I}_3^-; \text{dye regeneration} \quad (3) \\
1/2\text{I}_3^- + e^- & \rightarrow 3/2\text{I}^-; \text{regeneration reaction} \quad (4) \\
S^+ + 2e^- & \rightarrow S; \text{recombination between photoelectrons and holes} \quad (5) \\
\text{I}_3^- + 2e^- & \rightarrow 3\text{I}^-; \text{recombination between photoelectrons and oxidised electrolyte} \quad (6)
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 1. Illustration of the operation of a dye-sensitised cell (DSSC). HOMO: highest occupied molecular orbital; LUMO: lowest occupied molecular orbital. (hv—energy, \(E_{\text{CB}}\)—conduction band energy level, \(R\)—resistance, \(V_{\text{oc}}\)—open circuit voltage).

Processes also occur, such as recombination, which occurs between the photoelectrons and the holes (\(S^*\) or \(\text{I}_3^-\) (VII and VIII, respectively), which results in the loss of efficiency in DSSCs [17]. The operational cycle can be summarised by the following Equations (1)–(6), and Figure 1 shows the operation of a DSSC.
3. Counter Electrodes

The DSSC CE enables the reduction of the triiodide ion to iodide ions, as well as being a conduit for electron transfer from the external circuit back to the oxidised dye [26]. As such, platinum was the catalyst of choice in the first invented DSSC, mainly because it exhibits greater efficiency than all the other metals with respect to its triiodide reduction capability and electron conductivity. Despite being the standard CE, platinum is very expensive and rare, such that it makes the manufacturing of DSSCs become complex. In order to rid the cell of platinum metal, resources have been diverted towards substantial research in trying to develop alternatives which are cheaper, thereby driving down costs and stimulating larger commercialisation prospects. Amongst the possible candidates have been transition metals, metal sulphides, nitrides, polymers, and alloys [27–30]. This review focuses solely on the development of ternary alloy CEs.

3.1. Ternary Metal Sulphides

Ever since Gratzel invented the DSSC, platinum has been the catalyst of choice on the counter electrode to facilitate the rapid reduction of the triiodide ion [31]. Platinum, owing to its d shell composition, is unparalleled in terms of electron conductivity and catalytic ability, especially in reduction reactions [32]. However, platinum is also very expensive. In addition, its reserves in the Earth’s crust are limited, thus leading researchers to look for other cheaper alternatives whilst not sacrificing efficiency. When Gratzel fabricated a DSSC with a binary cobalt sulphide counter electrode on ITO/PEN (indium-doped tin oxide coated polyethylene naphthalene) films which produced a 6.5% power conversion efficiency (PCE), it led to widespread effort aimed at finding the right sulphide compound which could be as effective as platinum whilst withstanding corrosion from the iodine electrolyte.

Metal sulphides possess all the necessary requirements for good counter electrode activity, namely high electron conductivity and catalytic ability. Initial research undertaken to study the influence of sulphide counter electrodes on the DSSC efficiency was done on binary sulphide compounds, including CoS [33], NiS [34], MoS$_2$ [35], WS$_2$ [36], and FeS$_2$ [37]. Application of binary sulphide counter electrodes in DSSCs yielded varying results, as illustrated in Table 1. Amongst all the binary sulphides, CoS with a reduced graphene oxide (rGO) support yielded the best efficiency parameters, as shown in Table 1. Since cobalt and sulphur are cheap and easily accessible, CoS, if subjected to further improvement, would be deemed an ideal replacement for platinum in DSSC counter electrodes.

Various methods are being used to achieve higher efficiency for binary sulphide counter electrodes; these include the use of supporting materials which enhance conductivity as well as the synthesis of hierarchical nanostructured sulphides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Synthesis Method</th>
<th>$R_{ct}$/Ω·cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$R_s$/Ω·cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$/mA·cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$/V</th>
<th>$\eta$/%</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NiS$_2$</td>
<td>Chemical bath deposition</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>12.68</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiS$_2$</td>
<td>Solvothermal</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>17.66</td>
<td>14.11</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoS</td>
<td>Electrophoretic deposition</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>18.91</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoS</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>16.81</td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeS$_2$</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>12.08</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-rGO</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although efficiencies have inched higher, other researchers have resorted to fabricating ternary sulphide catalysts in the hopes of achieving higher efficiencies, or at the very least, equalling the platinum efficiency in counter electrodes. Ternary sulphides are believed to have more superior
catalytic ability and conductivity than binary sulphides. This is attributed to the synergistic effect produced by the coexistence of two metal ions in a crystal structure, which results in greater electron conductivity and catalytic ability. Nickel-cobalt sulphides have been the most explored amongst all ternary sulphides. Most of the synthesised ternary sulphides exhibit good counter electrode properties, characterised by low charge transfer and sheet resistances, high reduction current density, and high power conversion efficiency. Initially, researchers fabricated simple ternary compounds which could be employed as counter electrode catalysts in DSSCs.

Lin et al. [41] fabricated a highly transparent NiCo$_2$S$_4$-based counter electrode for use in a DSSC, which attained a PCE of 6.14%. Huang and associates [42] also synthesised a NiCo$_2$S$_4$-based counter electrode which produced an 8.1% efficiency. The differences observed in PCE values for the two counter electrodes could be due to a variety of reasons, such as synthesis methods and assembly methods of the DSSCs. Therefore, to singularly determine the effect of the counter electrode on the performance of the DSSC system, analysis of its catalytic ability and conductivity are necessary. Since the counter electrode is designed to assist the reduction of the triiodide ion, thereby helping in the completion of the regeneration cycle of the dye molecules, its catalytic capability can therefore be measured by the rate at which electrons are transferred from the counter electrode surface to the electrolyte.

Determination of the rate of electron transfer or resistance to electron transfer can be achieved using several methods, which include cyclic voltammetry (CV), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), and Tafel polarisation. Two important values from CV analysis which describe the electrocatalytic capability of the counter electrode are the reduction current density and the peak-to-peak potential difference, $\Delta E_{PP}$. The higher the peak reduction current density, the greater the rate of reduction of the triiodide ion to iodide ions, whereas $\Delta E_{PP}$ signifies the amount of overpotential in the counter electrode. Generally, the standard electrochemical rate constant of a redox reaction is inversely proportional to $\Delta E_{PP}$, and as such, its value should be minimum. Analysis of the $\Delta E_{PP}$ values for the two sulphide counter electrodes showed that NiCo$_2$S$_4$-1, fabricated by Lin et al. [40], had lower over potential losses at 0.22 V, compared to 0.37 V for NiCo$_2$S$_4$-2, fabricated by Huang et al. [41]. Consequently, the rate of reduction for NiCo$_2$S$_4$-1 proceeded faster than for NiCo$_2$S$_4$-2. Since the reduction current was higher for NiCo$_2$S$_4$-1, this means that electrons encountered lower charge transfer resistance when this counter electrode was used. This is also observable in the values of the sheet resistance $R_s$ and charge transfer resistance $R_{ct}$ obtained from electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) analysis. PCE values for the NiCo$_2$S$_4$-1 and NiCo$_2$S$_4$-2 CEs show that despite having a lower $\Delta E_{PP}$ and $R_{ct}$, as well as a higher open circuit voltage, NiCo$_2$S$_4$-1 (NCS1) is not as efficient as NiCo$_2$S$_4$-2 (NCS2). This signifies that the overall power conversion efficiency values of a DSSC are merely a poor indicator of the effect of the counter electrode on the DSSC. The discrepancy in PCE values could be caused by a variety of reasons, including differences in how the DSSCs were assembled, different synthesis methods, and the poor functionality of the other components of the DSSC. As clearly seen in Table 2 the PCEs of NCS1 and NCS2 are still very low compared to that of platinum; consequently, current research has sought to fabricate sulphide counter electrodes with more highly modified structures that are believed to enhance catalytic capability.

To date, many NiCo$_2$S$_4$ nanostructured compounds have been synthesised. These include microspheres [43], nanocomposites [44], nanosheets [28], nanowires [45], and nanorods. Although most of the sulphide-based catalysts have been fabricated for use in capacitors, they have also recently found widespread use in DSSCs as counter electrodes. Nanomaterials possess many outstanding qualities, such as a large electroactive surface area, larger electrolyte infiltration area, high electron transfer rate, and good structural stability. These properties improve the catalytic capability of DSSC counter electrodes. Despite all the theoretical advantages outlined, some of the results obtained experimentally are very poor, suggesting the need for further research and perhaps implementation of better synthesis methods.
which is a 55% decline in resistance.

The DSSC assembled with the NiCo$_2$S$_4$/NiS, CuInS$_2$, and ZnS-CoS counter electrodes. The incorporation of NiS with NiCo$_2$S$_4$ to produce the NiCo$_2$S$_4$/NiS electrode improved the transfer of electrons from the counter electrode to the electrolyte. This was illustrated by the drop-in charge transfer resistance from 4 Ω for NiCo$_2$S$_4$ to 2.2 Ω for NiCo$_2$S$_4$/NiS, which is a 55% decline in resistance.

Of note, Liu et al. [45] fabricated a NiCo$_2$S$_4$ nanowire array through an ion exchange reaction. Liu et al. [45] achieved PCEs of 5.35% efficiency with the DSSC technology employing NiCo$_2$S$_4$ nanowire as a CE. This counter electrode produced a short current density of 14.30 mA/cm$^2$, compared to 13.72 mA/cm$^2$ for the platinum counter electrode. The higher short current density for the NiCo$_2$S$_4$ nanowire array was attributed to the high catalytic activity for I$_3^-$ reduction, which speeds up dye regeneration at the TiO$_2$ photoanode. Despite having a more sophisticated structure, the PCE for the NiCo$_2$S$_4$ nanowire counter electrode was actually lower than those of most binary sulphide counter electrodes. The DSSC assembled using the pure platinum counter electrode did not fare any better, with 5.92% efficiency, which is unusually low compared to other platinum counter electrodes. Therefore, since the two PCE values were very similar for the NiCo$_2$S$_4$ nanowire and the platinum counter electrode, experimental conditions as well as the means and ways of assembling the DSSCs should also be considered as efficiency determinants.

A better-functioning nanostructured NiCo$_2$S$_4$ counter electrode was developed by Huo et al. [43]. Together with associates, they synthesised flower-like nickel-cobalt sulphide microspheres modified with nickel sulphide. The NiCo$_2$S$_4$/NiS counter electrode was fabricated via a two-step hydrothermal method. In order to determine how the NiS support affected performance as well as compare its efficiency to platinum, separate NiCo$_2$S$_4$, NiS, and Pt counter electrodes were also developed. The DSSC assembled with the NiCo$_2$S$_4$/NiS counter electrode produced a modest 8.8% PCE.

CV analysis to determine its catalytic capability was characterised by a very low $\Delta E_{pp}$, suggesting a very high rate of reduction for the triiodide ion. Figure 2 depicts curves for the cyclic voltammetry and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy analysis. As expected, a very high reduction current density was produced, further supporting the notion of its excellent catalytic activity. Since the reduction current was high, accompanied by a low $\Delta E_{pp}$, the counter electrode was expected to exhibit very low sheet and charge transfer resistance. Charge transfer resistance values from EIS analysis illustrated the good catalytic qualities of the counter electrode, with $R_{ct}$ and $R_s$ values of 2.2 and 9.6 Ω, respectively. As clearly indicated in Table 2 the $R_{ct}$ and $R_s$ values for NiCo$_2$S$_4$/NiS were the lowest of the three platinum-free counter electrodes. The incorporation of NiS with NiCo$_2$S$_4$ to produce the NiCo$_2$S$_4$/NiS electrode improved the transfer of electrons from the counter electrode to the electrolyte. This was illustrated by the drop-in charge transfer resistance from 4 Ω for NiCo$_2$S$_4$ to 2.2 Ω for NiCo$_2$S$_4$/NiS, which is a 55% decline in resistance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Synthesis Method</th>
<th>$R_s$/Ω·cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$R_t$/Ω·cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$/mA·cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$/V</th>
<th>$\eta$/%</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NiCo$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCo$_2$S$_4$/NiS</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCo$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>Solvothermal</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>14.11</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td></td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO-NiCo$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>Solvothermal</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCo$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>Solvothermal</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>10.14</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCo$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0.699</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCo$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>Solvothermal</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>18.43</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCo$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>Vulcanisation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.17</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoNi$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuInS$_2$</td>
<td>Bath deposition</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>20.49</td>
<td>12.48</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCo$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>Solvothermal</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>17.17</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuCo$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>Coprecipitation</td>
<td>13.17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.04</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoS-CuS</td>
<td>Electrodeposition</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.09</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuS-NiS</td>
<td>Bath deposition</td>
<td>21.86</td>
<td>8.51</td>
<td>12.47</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiS/PANI/Ti</td>
<td>Electropolymerisation</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>14.56</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnS-CoS</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoS-NiS</td>
<td>Bath deposition</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of note, Liu et al. [45] achieved PCEs of 5.35% efficiency with the DSSC technology employing NiCo$_2$S$_4$ nanowire as a CE. This counter electrode produced a short current density of 14.30 mA/cm$^2$, compared to 13.72 mA/cm$^2$ for the platinum counter electrode. The higher short current density for the NiCo$_2$S$_4$ nanowire array was attributed to the high catalytic activity for I$_3^-$ reduction, which speeds up dye regeneration at the TiO$_2$ photoanode. Despite having a more sophisticated structure, the PCE for the NiCo$_2$S$_4$ nanowire counter electrode was actually lower than those of most binary sulphide counter electrodes. The DSSC assembled using the pure platinum counter electrode did not fare any better, with 5.92% efficiency, which is unusually low compared to other platinum counter electrodes. Therefore, since the two PCE values were very similar for the NiCo$_2$S$_4$ nanowire and the platinum counter electrode, experimental conditions as well as the means and ways of assembling the DSSCs should also be considered as efficiency determinants.

A better-functioning nanostructured NiCo$_2$S$_4$ counter electrode was developed by Huo et al. [43]. Together with associates, they synthesised flower-like nickel-cobalt sulphide microspheres modified with nickel sulphide. The NiCo$_2$S$_4$/NiS counter electrode was fabricated via a two-step hydrothermal method. In order to determine how the NiS support affected performance as well as compare its efficiency to platinum, separate NiCo$_2$S$_4$, NiS, and Pt counter electrodes were also developed. The DSSC assembled with the NiCo$_2$S$_4$/NiS counter electrode produced a modest 8.8% PCE.

CV analysis to determine its catalytic capability was characterised by a very low $\Delta E_{pp}$, suggesting a very high rate of reduction for the triiodide ion. Figure 2 depicts curves for the cyclic voltammetry and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy analysis. As expected, a very high reduction current density was produced, further supporting the notion of its excellent catalytic activity. Since the reduction current was high, accompanied by a low $\Delta E_{pp}$, the counter electrode was expected to exhibit very low sheet and charge transfer resistance. Charge transfer resistance values from EIS analysis illustrated the good catalytic qualities of the counter electrode, with $R_{ct}$ and $R_s$ values of 2.2 and 9.6 Ω, respectively. As clearly indicated in Table 2 the $R_{ct}$ and $R_s$ values for NiCo$_2$S$_4$/NiS were the lowest of the three platinum-free counter electrodes. The incorporation of NiS with NiCo$_2$S$_4$ to produce the NiCo$_2$S$_4$/NiS electrode improved the transfer of electrons from the counter electrode to the electrolyte. This was illustrated by the drop-in charge transfer resistance from 4 Ω for NiCo$_2$S$_4$ to 2.2 Ω for NiCo$_2$S$_4$/NiS, which is a 55% decline in resistance.
The increased transfer of charge is attributed to the fact that NiS increases the specific surface area of the microspheres, and hence more electrolyte is in contact with the counter electrode. The platinum counter electrode, despite having the highest reduction current density, possesses a high $\Delta E_{PP}$ of 504 mV, as well as a higher charge transfer resistance of 5.2 $\Omega$. As a result, the DSSC with the NiCo$_2$S$_4$/NiS counter electrode performs better, with 8.8% PCE compared to 8.1% for platinum. As clearly shown above, the introduction of other materials could enhance the overall properties of the NiCo$_2$S$_4$ counter electrode. Most notably, carbon materials are known to be highly conductive as well as possessing a larger surface area of contact with the electrolyte. Table 3 illustrates some of the most commonly used carbon-based materials.

Table 3. Properties of various carbon-based materials. Reproduced with permission from Reference [26], Elsevier 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon nanotubes</td>
<td>High electrical conductivity, large surface area</td>
<td>Low quantities of defective sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphene</td>
<td>Remarkable carrier mobility, high electrical conductivity</td>
<td>Susceptibility to oxidative environments, low in defective sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon black</td>
<td>Numerous defective sites, high surface area-to-volume ratio</td>
<td>Low surface area, poor conductivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphite</td>
<td>Excellent conductivity and corrosion resistance</td>
<td>Low surface area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most commonly used carbon-based supporting materials include carbon nanotubes, carbon black, graphene, and graphite. Despite being touted as being highly conductive, carbon materials incorporated in DSSCs as counter electrodes have produced only moderate results, characterised by high sheet and charge transfer resistance. However, Kakiage et al. [11] reported a high efficiency of 14.7% for a graphene nanoplatelets (GNP)-based CE, which was higher than that of the Pt-based CE DSSC (13.8%). Carbon materials have, however, found more use in DSSCs as supports for other conducive materials. One such example where the introduction of a carbon-based material enhanced the properties of the counter electrode was in the work undertaken by Anuratha et al. [44]. In this work, Anuratha and associates undertook the fabrication of a NiCo$_2$S$_4$ counter electrode on a reduced graphene oxide support. The enhanced catalytic activity produced by the NiCo$_2$S$_4$-rGO counter electrode was attributed to the increased specific surface area of graphene oxide as well as its excellent electron conductivity. Anuratha et al. fabricated three counter electrodes via a one-step
solvothermal method with ratios of NiCo$_2$S$_4$ to rGO (reduced graphene oxide) equalling 1:0.3, 1:0.6, and 1:1. The reported synthesis procedure for the counter electrodes is outlined in Figure 3.

It was noted that the amount of graphene incorporated onto the counter electrode dictated the performance of the DSSC. The CV graph for rGO-NCS-2 produced the highest reduction current density, as shown in Figure 4a. Analysis of EIS data from Figure 4d shows that rGO-NCS-2 was the most catalytically active counter electrode, with the lowest charge transfer resistance of 0.37 $\Omega$.

The catalytic activity of NCS, characterised by a high $R_{ct}$ of 0.85 $\Omega$, indicates that the performance enhancement contributed by reduced graphene oxide was barely minimal. Furthermore, this work illustrates the importance of optimisation during counter electrode fabrication in order to attain the best possible performance.

At low graphene oxide levels, catalytic activity is still low, as characterised by the high $R_{ct}$ followed by a subsequent increase to the optimum point as rGO content increases. After the optimum point has been attained, the catalytic activity dwindles as more reduced graphene oxide is deposited on the counter electrode. Higher charge transfer resistance for reduced graphene oxide at 28.22 $\Omega$ implies that poor catalytic activity occurs at the counter electrode for the reduction of the triiodide ion. The stability of the synthesised counter electrodes was also measured for 20 cycles without any noticeable changes. As shown in Figure 4b–c, the shape of the cyclic voltammetry curve for NCS and rGO-NCS-2 remained virtually the same. Since photovoltaic cells normally function for a long time, it would be more ideal if the testing for stability was done for a larger number of cycles or for a lengthier period in order to ascertain their stability.
Other notable suitable sulphide counter electrodes that have been developed to replace platinum include CoNi$_2$S$_4$ [46] and CuInS$_2$ [47]. Shi et al. [46] hydrothermally fabricated three nanostructured CoNi$_2$S$_4$ counter electrodes with different film deposition width. The most effective amongst these counter electrodes produced an efficiency of 4.61%. At lower film width, the counter electrode exhibited poor catalytic ability, and hence its cyclic voltammetry curve only had redox peaks at the positive potentials. This counter electrode consequently experienced high charge transfer resistance. The other two electrodes showed minimal charge transfer resistance characterised by low $R_{ct}$ values. When more substrate is deposited above the optimal level of thickness, the charge transfer resistance begins to rise. Evaluation of charge transfer resistance values shows that the highest efficiency is attainable when the amount of substrate deposited on the FTO is optimal. The thicker the film of substrate deposited on the FTO, the more the resistance it encounters, whereas the lower the film thickness, the poor the catalytic capability it exhibits.

Using liquid impregnation and carbonisation, Wang et al. [47] fabricated carbonised eggshell membranes (ESM) loaded with CuInS$_2$ nanocrystals. Photovoltaic parameters for the developed sample were rather low, with the power conversion efficiency for this counter electrode equalling 5.79%. Resistances to electron movement were obtained at 9.65 $\Omega$ and 20.49 $\Omega$ for $R_s$ and $R_{ct}$, respectively. Since the two resistance values were high and coupled with a low PCE, CuInS$_2$ would not be an ideal counter electrode. Development of quantum dot-sensitised solar cells (QDSSCs) has also emancipated the fabrication of counter electrodes. Givalou et al. [50] synthesised novel cobalt-copper sulphide (CoS-CuS) counter electrodes for use in QDSSCs. The novel sulphide counter electrode produced a 5.03% efficiency.

Kim et al. [51] also fabricated a CuS-NiS composite counter electrode for use in QDSSCs. The developed counter electrode exhibited low power conversion efficiency, at 4.19%. Table 2 outlines the fabricated counter electrodes.
the performance parameters for some of the ternary sulphide counter electrodes that have been developed to date. It is evident that the most actively used methods of synthesis for ternary sulphides are hydrothermal and solvothermal synthesis. Efficiency parameters of all the sulphide counter electrodes in Table 2 are very low compared to platinum. The best-performing ternary sulphide was fabricated in a complicated three-step process, which would be very tedious for any would-be manufacturer to adopt.

Furthermore, ternary sulphides alone do not seem to possess adequate catalytic capability, and hence they require supports to enhance their catalytic ability. These supports, at times, lead to reduced catalytic activity if the thickness of the deposited film is excessive, which leads to increased sheet resistance [55]. Thus, one of the effective ways to obtain high efficiency parameters in dye-sensitised solar cells is through optimisation of the developed counter electrodes to determine the required width of film to be deposited. Considering that sulphides are abundant and easily accessible, more research is required to develop truly efficient ternary sulphide counter electrodes that can parallel platinum’s capability. It is also particularly important that the most ideal method of synthesis be used to increase the probability of producing the required counter electrode that can exhibit the requisite properties to the fullest.

The continual fabrication of ternary sulphide CEs with efficiencies lower than the platinum counter electrode has led researchers to delve into the development of ternary transition metal alloys. Since platinum group metals have been proven to be catalytically superior in redox reactions, they could be incorporated with other transition metal elements that offer specific qualities which are needed by the counter electrode. These CEs could potentially function better than ternary sulphides, since each individual element has a specific contribution to the system. Development of these ternary alloys and their performance is discussed in the next subchapter.

3.2. Ternary Transition Metal Alloys

Transition metal elements have been known to partake in catalytic reactions with increased vigor than other elements in the periodic table. The use of platinum as the standard counter electrode catalyst for iodine reduction was borne from its ability to be one of the most effective reducing agents [56]. Transition metal elements, especially those with lone pairs of electrons in their d orbitals, can easily partake in redox reactions with a minimal supply of energy. As outlined earlier, researchers sought to synthesise binary metallic alloys as replacements for platinum in DSSC counter electrodes. Although most of the binary alloys have performed well, their efficiency is still far below that of a pure platinum metal CE [57,58]. However, what can be deduced so far from the work undertaken to fabricate binary metallic alloys is that the synergistic effect of the two metals creates an environment which facilitates faster charge transfer between the counter electrode and the electrolyte. Thus, in search of materials that can offer parallel performance to platinum, the fabrication of ternary metallic alloys has been extensively explored. Figure 5 shows the most commonly used transition metal elements for ternary alloy fabrication. The most commonly used elements reside in Group VIII to X. These elements have lone pairs of electrons as well as empty d orbitals, making for easy electron transfer in redox reactions.

Yang et al. [59] produced a branching NiCuPt alloy counter electrode which exhibited modest performance parameters. The NiCuPt alloy was synthesised via a three-step process, which included: (1) the electrodeposition of Ni on ZnO microrod templates, (2) the growth of Cu on the developed Ni microtubes, and (3) the galvanic displacement of Zn by Pt. Cyclic voltammetry analysis of the developed counter electrode illustrated a high reduction current density, thus good electrocatalytic activity could be expected. Furthermore, it was noted that the current density produced was dependent on the amount of galvanic displacement time the counter electrode experienced. Peak current density optimally rises to a maximum of 14.42 A within 2 h and subsequently declines for any further elongation of galvanic displacement time. The current density pattern produced in this work can be attributed to the fact that at low displacement times, not enough deposition of NiCuPt occurs. Also, after 3 h displacement time, the thickness of the deposited film becomes inhibitive to the flow of
electrons, hence high $R_{ct}$ values should be expected as well as low PCE. Charge transfer resistance values from EIS analysis depict the same pattern, with NiCuPt after 2 h displacement (NiCuPt-2 h) having the least resistance. Subsequently, NiCuPt-2 h yields a modest 9.66% PCE, compared to 8.22% for NiCuPt-15 min. As clearly visible from this work, optimisation is essential in order to obtain the best-functioning counter electrode. Yang et al. [60] also developed a PtNiCo alloy counter electrode which displayed significant electrocatalytic capability. This counter electrode was developed via a three-step hydrothermal process similar to that illustrated in Reference [60]. Figure 6 shows SEM images of the developed PtNiCo alloy.
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**Figure 5.** Periodic table illustration of the most commonly used transition metals for ternary alloy counter electrode (CE) fabrication.
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**Figure 6.** SEM images of (a) ZnO microrods, (b) Ni microtubes, (c) Co nanosheets, and (d,e) PtNiCo alloy. Reproduced with permission from Reference [61], Elsevier 2016.

The hexagonal ZnO microrods in Figure 6a have an average diameter of 1.5 μm. The dissolution of the ZnO microrods in sulphuric acid and subsequent addition of Ni microspheres (Figure 6b) and Co nanosheets (Figure 6c) results in the formation of the PtNiCo alloy shown in Figure 6d,e. The developed PtNiCo alloy can be described as consisting of PtCo nanosheets and PtNi nanorods. The developed counter electrode exhibited a PCE of 8.85%. CV analysis of PtNiCo produced two pairs of redox peaks with peak current density of 12.24 mA·cm$^{-2}$. The $\Delta E_{pp}$ produced was also low, signifying that the rate of the reduction reaction was very high. Consequently, charge transfer between the counter electrode and the electrolyte was exceptionally fast, with resistance equalling a low 0.04 Ω, compared to 0.75 Ω for platinum.
Yang et al. [61] fabricated ternary platinum alloy counter electrodes of the composition Pt-M-Ni, where M = Co, Fe, and Pd. The three fabricated electrodes exhibited modest power conversion efficiencies, as shown here in Table 4. The three developed counter electrodes were described as having dense stacked structures. Pt-Co-Ni was homogeneously distributed on FTO, whereas the other two CEs experienced agglomeration. As a result, Pt-Co-Ni possessed lower charge transfer resistance and higher PCE since it had more active sites for electrolyte adsorption. Figure 7 depicts the morphological structure of the three counter electrodes. CV analysis of the three developed counter electrodes yielded high reduction current densities in the order Pt-Co-Ni > Pt-Pd-Ni > Pt-Fe-Ni. \( \Delta E_{pp} \) values increased in the order Pt-Fe-Ni < Pt-Pd-Ni < Pt-Co-Ni. A measure of the impediment to charge transfer from the counter electrode to the electrolyte yielded a low \( R_{ct} \) for all, in the order Pt-Co-Ni < Pt-Pd-Ni < Pt-Fe-Ni < PtCo < PtPd < PtFe < Pt.

**Figure 7.** SEM images of ternary Pt alloy CEs. (a,b) Pt-Co-Ni, (c,d) Pt-Pd-Ni, (e,f) Pt-Fe-Ni. (Images a,c,e obtained at 5 \( \mu \)m while b,d,f were observed at 500 nm) Reproduced with permission from Reference [61], Elsevier 2016.

As clearly shown in Table 4, ternary alloy counter electrodes possess lower resistances to the movement of electrons in the DSSC as compared to ternary sulphide counter electrodes, as described in Table 3; consequently, their power conversion efficiencies have been reported to be higher. For example, the greater efficiency of Pt-Co-Ni compared to Pt-Pd-Ni was attributed to the matching of work functions to the redox potential of the electrolyte, leading to satisfactory catalytic activity. Matching work functions of alloy CEs are believed to induce partial electron transfer to the near surface of Pt from the transition metal atoms, leading to weakened binding energy between electrons and nucleus. These weakened electrons participate in the triiodide reduction. Impressive electrocatalytic capability and rapid electron transfer between the counter electrode and electrolyte are vital in minimising recombination reactions, thereby enhancing the overall DSSC efficiency.

Other researchers have sought to introduce nonmetallic polyaniline with binary alloy systems, since polyaniline exhibits high electrical conductivity. Polyaniline (PANI) being an electron donor,
has the potential to be an excellent counter electrode material. Although the photovoltaic parameters from DSSCs employing PANI as the counter electrode are poor, its excellent electrical properties are ideal for a support material. Since PANI also exhibits good optical transparency, it can be utilised to construct bifacial DSSCs, which have the capability of generating electricity on either side. Yu et al. [62] developed platinum alloys decorated with polyaniline. Yu et al. [62] reported three counter electrodes, namely PANI/MoPt, PANI/CoPt, and PANI/PdPt. The fabricated DSSC devices based on these three counter electrodes had efficiencies of 8.08, 7.06, and 6.83%, respectively. In order to validate whether PANI invigorates the function of the counter electrode, photovoltaic parameters for pristine PANI were also obtained. CV measurements of the four fabricated counter electrode systems yielded the highest peak current density for PANI/CoPt, with PANI possessing the lowest current density. Charge transfer resistance from the EIS analysis followed a similar trend, with PANI/CoPt possessing the lowest resistance, whilst PANI was the most inhibitive to electron flow. The excellent catalytic capability of PANI/CoPt was attributed to the similarity between its work function and that of I/I$_3$. The greater the difference in work function values, the greater the resistance encountered by electrons when transferring from the counter electrode to the electrolyte.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Synthesis Method</th>
<th>$R_{ct}$/Ω·cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$R_s$/Ω·cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$/mA·cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$/V</th>
<th>$\eta$/%</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NiCuPt-2 h</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>0.446</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>9.66</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCuPt-15 min</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.30</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNiCo</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.10</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANI/CoPt</td>
<td>e/c deposition</td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANI/PdPt</td>
<td>e/c deposition</td>
<td>8.551</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>15.41</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANI/MoPt</td>
<td>e/c deposition</td>
<td>9.750</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>15.19</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtCoNi</td>
<td>Cyclic voltammetry</td>
<td>0.660</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.01</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>8.71</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtPdNi</td>
<td>Cyclic voltammetry</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.34</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtFeNi</td>
<td>Cyclic voltammetry</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.02</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuFePt</td>
<td>Displacement reaction</td>
<td>9.540</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.94</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuCoPt</td>
<td>Displacement reaction</td>
<td>19.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuNiPt</td>
<td>Displacement reaction</td>
<td>26.21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.84</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 illustrates the wide range of synthesis methods that have been explored in ternary transition alloy fabrication. Hydrothermal synthesis of NiCuPt [59] yielded the least charge transfer resistance, and hence produced the highest power conversion efficiency. The effects of morphology on the properties of the counter electrode are shown in Figure 6, adopted from Reference [61]. Since the reduction process involves the adsorption of I$^-_3$ on the counter electrode interface, its surface area and morphology must be ideal so as to offer the maximum number of catalytically active sites, thereby enhancing the reaction speed. Despite high efficiency parameters, the continued use of platinum on counter electrodes defeats the purpose of trying to reduce costs and increase mass production. Most of the ternary metallic alloys were fabricated via tedious numerous-step processes, which would be ideal for laboratory-scale operations, but highly reprehensive for large-scale production. As outlined earlier, charge transfer from the counter electrode to the electrolyte is dependent on how their work functions match. Since the work function of the CE is dependent on the alloy, it is important that the metals constituting the alloy have matching work functions whilst also complimenting each other. Ideally, the design of ternary metal alloys should be such that the three metals complement each other. For the best-performing NiCuPt CE, platinum, being the most active, would be tasked with increasing the speed of triiodide reduction. Hence, the remaining two metals, copper and nickel, should offer the other prerequisite requirements; that is, being either highly conductive, thus increasing stability in the iodine electrolyte, or increasing the surface area of contact. Further research is, however, required to determine the effects of these metals on the outlined properties. Currently, no results have been made available on this topic.
3.3. Ternary Selenides and Oxides

Metal selenides and oxides do possess high catalytic activity and good thermal properties, as well as being cheap materials [64]. Jiang et al. [65] fabricated a cobalt–nickel-based ternary selenide, Co$_x$Ni$_{1-x}$Se, with different cobalt and nickel ratios using the solvothermal method. The $x$ values ranged from 0 to 1, namely 0, 0.32, 0.42, 0.52, 0.74, and 1, respectively. Figure 8a shows the CV curves of the reported synthesised counter electrodes. As can clearly be seen, Co$_{0.42}$Ni$_{0.58}$Se possessed the largest reduction current density, signifying a higher triiodide reduction intensity when it is used. $\Delta E_{pp}$ was also the lowest for Co$_{0.42}$Ni$_{0.58}$Se, meaning that it had a faster rate of reaction amongst all the fabricated counter electrodes. The higher electrocatalytic ability of CoNiSe was attributed to the optimised synergistic effect between Co and Ni ions. To further elucidate the electrocatalytic capability of selenide counter electrodes, EIS measurements were taken, in which Co$_{0.42}$Ni$_{0.58}$Se had the least charge transfer resistance at 2.95 $\Omega$. Its sheet resistance $R_s$ was also observed to be 21.49 $\Omega$, which was far higher than 14.78 $\Omega$ for platinum. This proves that platinum functions as a better electrical conductor than Co$_{0.42}$Ni$_{0.58}$Se. Despite its impressive electrocatalytic ability, Co$_{0.42}$Ni$_{0.58}$Se had a poor 6.15% power conversion efficiency. Figure 8b illustrates the Nyquist plots for the synthesised counter electrodes.
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**Figure 8.** (a) CV and (b) EIS analysis for Pt, NiSe, Co$_{0.32}$Ni$_{0.68}$Se, and Co$_{0.42}$Ni$_{0.58}$Se electrodes. Reproduced with permission from Reference [65], Elsevier 2017.

Other researchers have been successful in synthesising selenide counter electrodes with higher power conversion efficiencies. Shao et al. [66] developed ternary NiCoSe hollow microspheres which could be excellent counter electrodes in DSSC. Shao et al. [66] fabricated four NiCoSe counter electrodes at varying temperatures via the hydrothermal method. The compositions of the four developed counter electrodes are outlined in Table 5. From the CV curves obtained, NiCoSe-180 °C had the highest reduction current density as well as the lowest peak-to-peak difference. Charge transfer resistance from EIS analysis proved NiCoSe-180 °C was catalytically superior compared to other counter electrodes, with 1.27 $\Omega$ resistance. Consequently, NiCoSe-180 °C possessed the highest power conversion efficiency at 9.04%. From Table 5, it can clearly be seen that catalytic performance increases as temperature increases up to 180 °C and subsequently decreases for any further increase in temperature. At temperatures below 180 °C, the formation of irregular hollow spheres occurs, whereas at temperatures above 200 °C, the hollow structures collapse and deform due to the sustained high temperatures.

At temperatures $\approx$ 180 °C, the formation of regular hollow structures composed of homogeneous rough nanoparticles occurs, which provide effective transport pathways for the rapid transportation of electrons and ions, leading to enhanced electrochemical kinetics. Figure 9 illustrates how the shape of microspheres vary with temperature. Table 5 illustrates how the amount of cobalt present in each counter electrode determines its catalytic prowess.
These values signify that NiCo efficiencies obtained using this method are still very low, thus making the process less attractive. A composite rather than carbon black would produce satisfactory results. Counter electrode is still below the standard required to replace the platinum counter electrode. Further charge transfer resistance, compared to 1.8 $\Omega$ for platinum, was 1.27 $\Omega$ for the ternary oxide nanoflowers. Analysis of the effect of hydrothermal reaction time on cell efficiency shows that for shorter reaction periods, the power conversion efficiency was relatively weak, whereas after 12 h reaction time, the PCE was 8.48%. Thus, efficiency increases with an increase in reaction time. In order to improve the function of the NiCo counter electrode, Wang et al. [68] explored the combination of the ternary oxide with carbon black. This counter electrode achieved an efficiency of 6.27% to 7.38% for the platinum counter electrode. EIS analysis of the counter electrode yielded a 2.2 $\Omega$ charge transfer resistance, compared to 1.8 $\Omega$ for platinum. The NiCoO$_4$/carbon black composite counter electrode is still below the standard required to replace the platinum counter electrode. Further research is required to improve its efficiency. Perhaps the inclusion of carbon nanotubes in this composite rather than carbon black would produce satisfactory results.

Table 5. Variation of the atomic percentages of Ni, Co, and Se with charge transfer resistance and power conversion efficiency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CE</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Se</th>
<th>$R_{ct}/\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\eta/%$</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NiCoSe-140 °C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>30.41</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCoSe-160 °C</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>33.22</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCoSe-180 °C</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>51.93</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCoSe-200 °C</td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td>31.76</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The power conversion efficiency trend for the four electrodes mirrors the trend in cobalt composition. Efforts to replace platinum with ternary oxides have also been explored. Du et al. [67] hydrothermally fabricated NiCoO$_4$ for application in dye-sensitised solar cell counter electrodes. In this work, through morphology manipulation, Du and associates developed and optimised new structures with more open channels, thereby facilitating easier electron and ion movement. The newly developed structures were nanoflowers, nanosheets, and nanorods. Power conversion efficiencies for the three developed structures equalled 8.48, 3.57, and 6.48%, respectively. Charge transfer and sheet resistance were, as expected, the lowest for NiCoO$_4$ nanoflowers, at 0.35 and 4.94 $\Omega$, respectively. These values signify that NiCoO$_4$ nanoflowers have excellent reduction capability for triiodide ions as well as good conductivity. Analysis of the effect of hydrothermal reaction time on cell efficiency shows that for shorter reaction periods, the power conversion efficiency was relatively weak, whereas after 12 h reaction time, the PCE was 8.48%. Thus, efficiency increases with an increase in reaction time. In order to improve the function of the NiCoO$_4$ counter electrodes, Wang et al. [68] explored the combination of the ternary oxide with carbon black. This counter electrode achieved an efficiency of 6.27% to 7.38% for the platinum counter electrode. EIS analysis of the counter electrode yielded a 2.2 $\Omega$ charge transfer resistance, compared to 1.8 $\Omega$ for platinum. The NiCoO$_4$/carbon black composite counter electrode is still below the standard required to replace the platinum counter electrode. Further research is required to improve its efficiency. Perhaps the inclusion of carbon nanotubes in this composite rather than carbon black would produce satisfactory results.

Table 6 illustrates the dominance of the hydrothermal method in ternary alloy fabrication. Efficiencies obtained using this method are still very low, thus making the process less attractive.

Figure 9. SEM images of NiCoSe-140 °C (a,b), NiCoSe-160 °C (c,d), NiCoSe-180 °C (e,f), and NiCoSe-200 °C (g,h,i). Reproduced with permission from Reference [66], Elsevier 2017.
since it is very expensive. Further research is required to elevate the efficiencies of ternary selenides and oxides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Synthesis Method</th>
<th>$R_{ct}$/Ω·cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$R_{s}$/Ω·cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$/mA·cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$/V</th>
<th>η/%</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co$<em>{0.42}$Ni$</em>{0.58}$Se</td>
<td>Solvothermal</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>22.46</td>
<td>14.79</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCo$_2$O$_4$ nanoflowers</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>17.01</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCo$_2$O$_4$ nanosheets</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCo$_2$O$_4$ nanorods</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCo$_2$O$_4$ Hydrothermal</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCoSe-180 °C</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>17.78</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCoSe-140 °C</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>14.74</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCoSe-160 °C</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>16.55</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCoSe-200 °C</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>17.74</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effect of the price of platinum on the large-scale use of the DSSC technology is clearly illustrated in Table 7. The price of platinum per gram is substantially higher than for any other substance, except carbon nanotubes. Platinum’s high price, which is always fluctuating because of widespread demand, would not be sustainable for CE manufacture, and consequently, the DSSC technology could not compete with silicon-based solar cells as the best solar energy generation technology. Table 7 also illustrates the quandary associated with carbon nanotubes, where the advanced nature and infancy of their development makes them equally expensive for use in DSSCs. Therefore, compatible solutions to eliminate the high-cost factor of platinum would be to employ cheaper sulphides or metal alloys such as NiCo or PtRu, which consist of limited amounts of platinum. To date, most of the platinum-free counter electrodes that have been developed have performed at lower efficiencies compared to the platinum counter electrode. The most vital factors determining catalytic activity are the morphology and specific area of the catalyst. As such, the development of catalysts on carbon supports, such as carbon black or graphene, which offer higher specific area will improve catalytic performance. Anuratha et al. [44] developed a rGO-NiCo$_2$S$_4$ which had a higher PCE at 8.15%, compared to 7.37% for NiCo$_2$S$_4$. Furthermore, the atomic distribution in higher metallic alloys has a great influence on its catalytic activity. Taking PdNiCo as an example, the more catalytically active palladium should be distributed in such a manner as to have greater contact with the electrolyte, thereby influencing its catalytic ability. One possible way of achieving this is through synthesis of alloys with a core-shell structure, where the more active metal comprises the shell, or in hollow structures, which guarantee a high specific surface area-to-volume ratio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>Price $$/g (Sigma Aldrich)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiS</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>5.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon nanotubes</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCo</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoS</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeS</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon black</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtRu</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. Stability

One of the most critical prerequisites for the practical applicability of dye-sensitised solar cells is their long-term stability. Since DSSCs are required to operate in often harsh weather conditions, it is vital that they are able to withstand all these conditions. The most significant stability concerns
associated with DSSCs include dissociation of the thin catalyst film on the CE and corrosion of the iodine electrolyte, as well as leakage of the electrolyte. Stability of the CE is determined by cyclic voltammetry through evaluation of changes in reduction current density and peak potential difference. Since the peak potential difference between the oxidation and reduction peaks in CV analysis gives an indication of electrocatalytic ability, any changes in that peak potential difference can be evaluated to give insight as to its effect on electrocatalytic ability.

In order to ascertain CE stability, Yang et al. [59] carried out 100 stacked CVs for two alloys, namely NiCuPt-2 h and NiCuPt-15 min. Deteriorating catalytic activity in NiCuPt-15 min resulted in a 49.5% decline in reduction current density from 12.79 to 6.92 mA·cm\(^{-2}\), whilst NiCuPt-2 h did not undergo any reduction current decline. Figure 10a,c illustrates the results of the cyclic voltammetry evaluation for the two counter electrodes. Evaluation of the long-term stability of the assembled DSSC was achieved through alternatively irradiating (100 mW·cm\(^{-2}\)) and darkening (0 mW·cm\(^{-2}\)) the cell at 25 s intervals. In this work, Yang et al. [59] observed that photocurrent density increased during irradiation, signifying higher conductivity and catalytic activity at the CE. Under persistent irradiation for 2000 s, current density for NiCuPt-2 h decreased from 14.87 to 13.45 mA·cm\(^{-2}\). Figure 11 shows the results of the stability analysis for the assembled DSSC.
Yang et al. [60] also probed the stability of the PtNiCo alloy. After 10 CV cycles, the PtNiCo displayed only a 2.6% decrease in current density, compared to 9.4% for the pure platinum CE. Figure 12 shows the current density stability of the two CEs at 100 mW cm\(^{-2}\) irradiation. The platinum counter electrode subsequently underwent a 0.89% current density reduction. As clearly illustrated, most of the CEs developed for use in DSSCs displayed excellent stability in shorter stability testing periods, whereas when exposed to prolonged periods of testing, electrocatalytic capability subsequently declined. Thus, in order to ascertain how stable CEs are, it is vital that stability tests be undertaken for longer time periods.

5. Conclusions

The dye-sensitised solar cell remains one of the most promising photovoltaic technologies to be invented. However, inadequacies associated with the cost of manufacture as well as limited photo to current conversion efficiency have stifled the widespread use of the dye-sensitised solar cell. Existence of the ruthenium-based dye and the platinum counter electrode influences the cost...
of the dye-sensitised solar cell. Numerous alternatives to the platinum counter electrode have been explored, producing varied power conversion efficiencies. This review paper has shown that ternary transition alloys produced the best catalytic performances, with efficiencies of 9.66%, 8.85%, and 8.71% for NiCuPt, PtNiCo, and PtCoNi, respectively, compared to ternary metal sulphides, selenides, and oxides, with moderate efficiencies of 8.8%, 8.72%, and 8.48% for NiCoS\(_4\)/NiS, NiCoSe-200 °C, and NiCo\(_2\)O\(_4\) nanoflowers, respectively. Ternary sulphides, selenides, and oxides have also shown great promise. Moreover, the easy access and affordability of ternary sulphides makes them ideal candidates for counter electrode electrocatalysts. Lower efficiencies in sulphides were shown to be greatly improved in the presence of ideal supports. Overall, more research is required to produce truly effective platinum-free counter electrodes, and understanding of the effect of particle size, composition, and shape on performance should be gained. Furthermore, enhancement of fabrication techniques and optimisation of the developed electrocatalysts are vital for the development of efficient and cost-effective dye-sensitised solar cells.
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