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Thermal Behavior of Hydrated Iron Sulfate in Various Atmospheres
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Abstract: Iron sulfate, in particular FeSO\(_4\)·7H\(_2\)O, is derived from titanium dioxide production and the steel pickling process. Regarding TiO\(_2\) manufacturing, the amount of the resultant FeSO\(_4\)·7H\(_2\)O can be as high as 6 tons per ton of produced TiO\(_2\), leading to a huge amount of ferrous sulfate heptahydrate, which is considered an environmental and economic concern for the titanium dioxide industry in European countries. The present paper focuses on the thermal treatment of ferrous sulfate (heptahydrate and monohydrate) samples under different conditions. Nonisothermal thermogravimetric (TG) analysis was used to study the behavior of iron sulfate samples at temperatures of up to 1000 °C in Cl\(_2\) + O\(_2\), O\(_2\), and N\(_2\) atmospheres. Results showed that the dehydration of iron sulfate heptahydrate in nitrogen started at room temperature and resulted in iron sulfate tetrahydrate (FeSO\(_4\)·4H\(_2\)O). The ferrous sulfate monohydrate (FeSO\(_4\)·H\(_2\)O) was formed at temperatures close to 150 °C, while the anhydrous ferrous sulfate (FeSO\(_4\)) was obtained when the samples were heated in nitrogen at over 225 °C. The kinetic features of FeSO\(_4\) decomposition into Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) were revealed under isothermal conditions at temperatures ranging from 500 to 575 °C. The decomposition of iron sulfate was characterized by an apparent activation energy of around 250 kJ/mol, indicating a significant temperature effect on the decomposition process. The obtained powder iron oxide could be directed to the agglomerate unit of iron and the steelmaking process.
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1. Introduction

Titanium oxide (TiO\(_2\)) is manufactured from materials such as ilmenite, rutile, anatase, and slags using sulfate or chloride processes. The simplified schemes for the industrial processes that are currently used are presented in Figure 1 [1]. Ilmenite and titanium slags are the raw materials used for TiO\(_2\) manufacturing through the sulfate process. The ilmenite is digested in sulfuric acid, generating a solution that contains titanyl sulfate (TiOSO\(_4\)) and iron sulfate. The solution is treated with scrap iron to reduce the ferric ions into a ferrous state to avoid the precipitation of ferric hydroxide. The ferrous sulfate then crystallizes into FeSO\(_4\)·7H\(_2\)O (melanterite) and is separated from the liquor. Additional steps (see Figure 1) are necessary to obtain the TiO\(_2\) base pigment. Depending on the quality of the raw materials used in the TiO\(_2\) production, the amount of iron sulfate produced can reach up to 6 tons.
of FeSO₄·7H₂O per ton of produced TiO₂ when ilmenite is used as the raw material. The sulfate route, which is mainly used in the production of TiO₂ in European countries, generates a huge amount of wasted ferrous sulfate heptahydrate. On the contrary, North American countries produce TiO₂ through the chlorine route, the main steps of which are also shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Schematic representation of the main steps in titanium oxide manufacturing using sulfate and chloride processes, adapted from Reference [1].](image)

An extensive overview of the titanium metallurgical processes was recently conducted in Reference [2]. This report compares the main characteristics of the classical and emerging processes for TiO₂ manufacturing from economic and environmental viewpoints. As mentioned in earlier works [3,4], one drawback of the sulfate process is the amount of iron sulfate heptahydrate and the spent acid generated during TiO₂ production. Only a small part of the iron sulfate is reused, and the remaining part must be disposed of as waste. It must be noted that the amount of FeSO₄·7H₂O generated from the surface treatment of steel is decreasing due to the use of hydrochloric acid (HCl) instead of sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄).

Several investigations previously conducted in our laboratory were focused on the use of industrial iron sulfate for the synthesis of alkali ferrates [3,5,6]. The potassium ferrate synthesis (K₂FeO₄) from spent steel pickling liquid was also reported by Wei et al. [7]. A recent work applied the reductive decomposition reaction of iron sulfate with pyrite into Fe₅O₄ at a relatively low temperature [4].

In this context, the present work dealt with the dehydration and the decomposition of various iron sulfate samples in oxidizing and neutral atmospheres. Thermogravimetric (TG) analysis was used as an appropriate method to continuously follow the reaction kinetics in the decomposition of FeSO₄ into iron oxide under isothermal conditions. The iron oxide obtained can be used as a raw material in the ironmaking sectors. Many recent reports are available on the reduction of iron-oxide-bearing materials from various known agents [8–16].

2. Materials and Methods

Several samples of the iron sulfate heptahydrate generated from industrial operators were collected, the physicochemical characterization of which has been previously given in Reference [3]. For this investigation, two samples of iron sulfate were selected. The first sample—iron sulfate monohydrate—was provided by an industrial operator and was named the IND sample. The second sample—LAB sample—was obtained through the two-step dehydration process of analytical-grade iron sulfate heptahydrate (FeSO₄·7H₂O) in a laboratory oven. The heating of the FeSO₄·7H₂O at about 60–70 °C led to the loss of 3 mol of water, resulting in the formation of FeSO₄·4H₂O. An increase in the temperature to about 150 °C provoked the dehydration of the iron sulfate tetrahydrate into FeSO₄·H₂O. However, in the presence of air, the oxidation of Fe(II) into Fe(III) may occur. Both the IND and LAB samples were subjected to a variety of analyses to determine their composition.

The total iron and Fe(II) contents of the samples were determined using chemical analysis. After sample digestion, the Fe(II) was determined using potassium dichromate titration. Table 1 gives...
the average values of iron in both the samples. The IND sample contained about 31% Fe, and the whole iron was in a divalent state. X-Ray diffraction (XRD) analysis only showed the presence of Fe\text{II}SO\text{4}·H\text{2}O in the crystallized phase. The total iron content of the LAB sample was 32.8% in which 14.8% was in a divalent state and 18.0% was in a trivalent state. In other words, in the 100\% Fe total LAB sample, about 45% was Fe(II) and about 55% was Fe(III). Concerning the results of XRD (see Table 1), they revealed the presence of Fe\text{II}SO\text{4}·H\text{2}O and Fe\text{III}SO\text{4}·OH in the LAB sample. This confirmed that the dehydration of FeSO\text{4}·4H\text{2}O into FeSO\text{4}·H\text{2}O and partial oxidation of Fe(II) to Fe(III) occurred by 150 °C.

**Table 1.** Results of chemical and XRD analysis of two iron sulfate samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Chemical Analysis (%)</th>
<th>XRD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe\text{total}</td>
<td>Fe(II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IND</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAB</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{1} By difference.

Experimental tests of thermogravimetric analysis were performed using a CAHN 1000 microbalance capable of resisting corrosive atmospheres to check the thermal behavior of FeSO\text{4}·7H\text{2}O under different atmospheres (Cl\text{2} + O\text{2}, Cl\text{2}, O\text{2}, and N\text{2}). Furthermore, a TG 2171 Cahn balance was used to study the dehydration/decomposition kinetics of iron sulfate samples under N\text{2} by simultaneous TG and differential thermal (DT) measurements. Solid reaction products were examined by X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy, and Mössbauer spectroscopy.

3. Results

3.1. Nonisothermal TG Analysis of FeSO\text{4}·7H\text{2}O under Different Atmospheres

The thermal behavior of a FeSO\text{4}·4H\text{2}O sample in various gaseous atmospheres (Cl\text{2} + O\text{2}, O\text{2}, and N\text{2}) was investigated by TG analysis utilizing nonisothermal conditions [3]. The results are drawn in Figure 2 as the evolution of the percent mass loss (% ML) of the sample versus temperature up to 300 °C. The calculated limits corresponding to different hydrated states of ferrous sulfate are also shown in Figure 2. Results of XRD and Mössbauer analyses [1] showed that FeSO\text{4}·4H\text{2}O, FeSO\text{4}·H\text{2}O, and FeSO\text{4} are the main crystallized phases in the solid product obtained at 75 °C, 150 °C and 300 °C, respectively, during the treatment of iron sulfate heptahydrate sample under nitrogen atmosphere. Conversely, the treatment of the sample under oxidizing atmosphere (Cl\text{2} + O\text{2}, O\text{2}) led to the transformation of Fe(II) into Fe(III), and the product obtained at 300 °C was mainly composed of FeSO\text{4}·OH.

As revealed by Mössbauer analysis, the product resulting from the treatment of FeSO\text{4}·7H\text{2}O in N\text{2} at 150 °C was composed of Fe(II) in totality, while the product generated by the treatment in Cl\text{2} + O\text{2} contained iron, mostly in a three-valent state. To observe the reactivity of iron sulfate toward O\text{2} and Cl\text{2} + O\text{2}, TG tests were performed at temperatures up to 1000 °C, and the corresponding data is plotted in Figure 3. As can be seen, the curves for both oxidizing gas mixtures have roughly similar shapes for temperatures up to 675 °C. This observation suggests that chlorine reacted with the sample only after the decomposition of iron sulfate into ferric oxide (hematite), producing ferric chloride (FeCl\text{3}) as a final reaction product [3]. The kinetics of the reaction of Fe\text{2}O\text{3} with Cl\text{2} and Cl\text{2} + O\text{2} were further discussed in earlier articles [17,18].

The treatment of FeSO\text{4}·7H\text{2}O in nitrogen at different heating rates was followed by DT analysis, and a data summary is given in Table 2. These results, combined with those of TG analysis and XRD analysis, show that the sequence of sample transformation was the following: FeSO\text{4}·7H\text{2}O→FeSO\text{4}·4H\text{2}O→FeSO\text{4}·H\text{2}O→FeSO\text{4}→Fe\text{2}O\text{3}.
Figure 2. Thermogravimetric (TG) analysis of a FeSO₄·7H₂O sample under different atmospheres.

Figure 3. TG analysis of a FeSO₄·7H₂O sample in O₂ and Cl₂ + O₂ atmospheres.

Table 2. Endothermic peaks (°C) revealed by differential thermal (DT) analysis for the treatment of FeSO₄·7H₂O under nitrogen at various heating rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heating Rate, °C/min</th>
<th>Possible Reaction Steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>FeSO₄·7H₂O → FeSO₄·4H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>FeSO₄·4H₂O → FeSO₄·H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>227</td>
<td>FeSO₄·H₂O → FeSO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>653</td>
<td>FeSO₄ → Fe₂O₃</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Nonisothermal TG Analysis of FeSO₄·H₂O under Nitrogen

Nonisothermal TG tests up to 1000 °C in nitrogen were performed for both IND and LAB samples. The furnace heating rates were fixed at 2.5 and 20.0 °C/min, and the data is plotted as the evolution of the % ML as a function of temperature. Figure 4 shows the results for the IND sample. The % ML obtained between 200 and 400 °C corresponds to the dehydration of FeSO₄·H₂O...
into FeSO₄. The theoretical % ML for the dehydration of iron sulfate monohydrate into iron sulfate (dashed horizontal line) matches well with the experimental % ML of this sample. As shown in Figure 5, the behavior of the LAB sample seems to be somewhat different when compared with the IND sample. Only 7% ML was observed at temperatures less than or equal to 400 °C. This could be attributed to the dehydration of FeSO₄·H₂O into FeSO₄. The continuous mass loss of the LAB sample between 400 and 550 °C was probably due to the transformation of FeSO₄·OH into ferric oxysulfate (Fe₂O(4SO₄)₂). The decomposition of iron sulfates producing ferric oxides takes place at temperatures higher than 575 °C, and the curve shapes for both samples seem to be similar. The XRD analysis of the decomposition product showed the presence of Fe₂O₃ in the main crystallized phase.

![Figure 4. TG analysis in N₂ of the IND sample.](image)

![Figure 5. TG analysis in N₂ of the LAB sample.](image)

Based on these TG results, the decomposition kinetics in nitrogen atmosphere of both iron sulfate samples into iron oxide were studied under isothermal conditions at temperatures higher than or equal to 500 °C.

3.3. Isothermal Decomposition of FeSO₄·H₂O Samples

This decomposition process was tested at low temperatures (between 500 and 575 °C) to minimize the iron sulfate decomposition during the nonisothermal temperature rise. Thus, the initial temperature increased linearly (heating rate = 5.0 °C/min) up to a fixed value, then the temperature remained...
constant, and the decomposition extent followed a function of time. A typical example of experimental conditions and results is given in Figure 6. The temperature profile was programmed to increase up to 560 °C; from this point, the decomposition rate (% ML vs. time) was measured at 560 °C. It should be noted that the % ML observed during nonisothermal test (≤12% ML) corresponds to the dehydration step of FeSO₄·H₂O to FeSO₄.

![Figure 6](image_url)  
**Figure 6.** Typical example of TG analysis results of iron sulfate decomposition.

The behavior of both IND and LAB samples under isothermal treatment was monitored in order to check the eventual impact of Fe(III) sulfate on the transformation kinetics. The results obtained from the treatment of the IND sample in nitrogen are represented in Figure 7. About 50 h were necessary for the half decomposition of iron sulfate at 500 °C, while full decomposition was achieved in less than 7 h at 575 °C. This result seems to indicate that the decomposition process depends highly on the temperature.

![Figure 7](image_url)  
**Figure 7.** Evolution of the percent mass loss (% ML) as a function of time during the isothermal treatment of an industrial sample under nitrogen between 500 and 575 °C.

Experimental results corresponding to the treatment of LAB sample are illustrated in Figure 8 as % ML versus time for temperatures ranging from 500 to 575 °C. The isothermal part (≥12% ML) of the curves has a similar shape to that observed in the IND sample. When the temperature was increased from 500 to 575 °C, the initial decomposition rate was multiplied by a factor of about 26.
Arrhenius diagrams for both samples were established in order to evaluate the temperature effect on the initial decomposition rate of iron sulfates. The mean decomposition rate was calculated by linearization of the isothermal data corresponding to 12.5% ≤ ML ≤ 22.5%. As shown in Figure 9, the decomposition rate of the IND sample was higher than that for the LAB sample over the complete range of temperatures. The values of the apparent activation energy for the treatment of industrial and laboratory sample were about 262 and 238 kJ/mol, respectively, showing the strong effect of temperature on the decomposition process of iron sulfate between 500 and 575 °C. A similar value of apparent activation energy (average value of 244 kJ/mol) was obtained in the work reported by Huang et al. [4].

The reaction product generated by the thermal treatment of iron sulfates was mainly composed of pure iron (III) oxide. Such a material, in powder state, must be agglomerated before use for pig iron production and/or for more valuable end uses, such as high-grade pigments for cosmetics.
4. Conclusions

The dehydration of iron sulfate heptahydrate (FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O) in nitrogen occurred through at least three steps: FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O → FeSO$_4$·4H$_2$O → FeSO$_4$·H$_2$O → FeSO$_4$. Complete dehydration of FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O occurred at a temperature lower than 300 °C. The treatment of FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O under oxidizing atmosphere led to the formation of Fe$^{III}$SO$_4$·OH as a final stable product at 300 °C.

The decomposition of iron sulfates (FeSO$_4$ and Fe$^{III}$SO$_4$·OH) generating ferric oxide, in nonisothermal conditions, started at T > 500 °C, and the final temperature of their full decomposition depended on the heating rate in the furnace.

The isothermal decomposition of selected iron sulfate samples was strongly affected by temperature as it proceeded with a value of apparent activation energy of 250 kJ/mol between 500 and 575 °C. The obtained iron oxide could be used as an appropriate raw material in the ferrous metallurgy sector and/or for more noble end uses.
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