Selective Separation of Fluorite, Barite and Calcite with Valonea Extract and Sodium Fluosilicate as Depressants

Zijie Ren 1,*, Futao Yu 2, Huimin Gao 1, Zhijie Chen 1, Yongjun Peng 3 and Lingyun Liu 4

1 School of Resources and Environment Engineering, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan 430070, China; gaohuimin1958@126.com (H.G.); czjczj@whut.edu.cn (Z.C.)
2 Sichuan Province Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources Exploration and Development Chengdu Integrated Testing Center of Rocks and Ores, Chengdu 610084, China; yufutao9260163.com
3 School of Chemical Engineering, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia; yongjun.peng@uq.edu.au
4 School of Materials Science and Engineering, Anhui University of Science and Technology, Huainan 232001, China; lyunliu@163.com

* Correspondence: renzijie@whut.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-027-8788-2128

Academic Editor: William Skinner
Received: 20 December 2016; Accepted: 9 February 2017; Published: 16 February 2017

Abstract: Fluorite, barite and calcite are important industry minerals. However, they often co-exist, presenting difficulty in selectively separating them due to their similar surface properties. In this study, valonea extract and sodium fluosilicate were used as depressants to selectively separate them by flotation, with sodium oleate as the collector. The single mineral flotation results showed that valonea extract displayed the strongest depression on calcite, while sodium fluosilicate displayed the strongest depression on barite. These two depressants allowed selective separation of the three minerals through sequential flotation. The flotation of mixed minerals showed that 94% of the calcite was successfully depressed by the valonea extract, and 95% recovery of the fluorite was achieved in the subsequent flotation with sodium fluosilicate depressing barite. The different depressant–mineral interactions were investigated via electro-kinetic studies and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations using the Materials Studio 6.0 program. The valonea extract exhibited the strongest adsorption on the calcite surface, and sodium fluosilicate exhibited the strongest adsorption on the barite surface, which prevented oleate species from reacting with Ca²⁺ or Ba²⁺ surface sites. This study provides useful guidance for how to process fluorite, barite and calcite resources.
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1. Introduction

There are more than 30 million tons of fluorite and 25 million tons of barite resources in the Wulin Mountain area of China. Among them, about 90% of the fluorite is associated with barite, and the proportion of fluorite and barite in the ore is approximately 90%. Other impurity minerals consist of mainly calcite and silicate [1]. Large quantities of this type of ore exist in other places throughout the world. However, flotation separation of fluorite, barite and calcite is difficult, due to their similar surface properties and narrow differences in floatability [2].

Calcite and fluorite can be separated by the adsorption-washing-flotation method using sodium dodecyl sulphate as collector [3]. The acid-grade fluorite concentrates can be obtained with oleoylsarcosine as a collector and quebracho depressant at pH 8–9 from ores containing more than 6% calcite [4]. Giesekke and Harris found that fluorite could be floated using a single bubble-stream
were further ground to 100 µm. The −74 µm fraction was used in the flotation tests. The −74 µm fraction was removed in the case of fine particle entrainment. Some samples were further ground to −10 µm in an agate mortar and were used for zeta potential measurements. The mixed minerals were obtained by blending the pure fluorite, barite and calcite with a mass ratio of 45:45:10.

The chemical reagents used in this study included: analytical-grade sodium carbonate (Na2CO3, Hongguang Chemical Factory, Shanghai, China) and analytical-grade sulfuric acid (H2SO4, Dongda Chemical Co., Ltd., Kaifeng, China) for pH adjustment; analytical-grade hydrochloric acid (HCl, Xinyang, China) for the analysis of calcite content; analytical-grade sodium oleate as the collector and boric acid (H3BO3, Chinese medicine group chemical reagent Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) for the analysis of barite content; analytical-grade sodium fluosilicate (Zonghengxing Gongmao Co., Ltd., Tianjin, China); and technical-grade valonea extract from a valonea extract factory for depressants. Valonea extract was a polyphenol mixture that mainly consisted of different types of tannins [14].

The typical molecule structure of tannin in the valonea extract is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Typical molecule structure of tannin in valonea extract.
2.2. Flotation Experiment

Single mineral flotation tests were carried out in an RK/FGC flotation machine (Wuhan rock grinding equipment manufacture Co., Ltd., Wuhan, China) with a 30-mL cell at an impeller speed of 1960 rpm. The mineral suspension was prepared by adding 2.0 g of minerals to 30 mL of solution with a certain pH value, which was first adjusted by adding Na$_2$CO$_3$ or H$_2$SO$_4$. After the flotation reagent was added, the suspension was agitated for 2 min. The flotation lasted for 4 min before the products were collected, dried and weighed. The recovery was calculated based on the weight of the dried floating products divided by the feed solids.

The mixed mineral flotation tests were carried out in the same flotation machine with a 70-mL cell. The mineral suspension was prepared by adding 5.0 g of minerals to 70 mL of solution with a certain pH value. The agitation and flotation time were also 2 min and 4 min, respectively. The calcite content of the flotation material was calculated after dissolving the material with concentrated hydrochloric acid. The barite content was obtained by dissolving fluorite with concentrated hydrochloric acid and 40 g/L boric acid.

2.3. Zeta Potential Measurement

Before standing for 2 h, mineral suspensions containing 0.1% solids and a given flotation reagent concentration were conditioned in an ultrasonic bath for 2 min. The zeta potentials of the upper suspended solids at different pH values were conducted at 20$^\circ$C using a 90 Plus Particle Size Analyzer (Brookhaven Instruments Corporation, Holtsville, NY, USA).

2.4. Molecular Dynamics Simulations

The calculations were performed in the framework of the MD, using the Material Studio 6.0 (MS) package. First, the Cambridge Sequential Total Energy Package (CASTEP) module included in the MS software was adopted to optimize the crystal structures of fluorite, barite and calcite. By comparing different parameters to be optimized, the best optimization parameters were as follows: a function was modified with Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation (PBEsol GGA); the k-point set was $3 \times 3 \times 4$; the self-consistent-field (SCF) tolerance was $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ eV/atom; and the custom energy cut-off was 300 eV. The lattice optimization results are shown in Table 2. It is encouraging to note that the simulation agreed well with the experimental results analysed from X-ray experiments. Then, 2D periodic surface cells were created from the unit cells of fluorite, barite and calcite at the cleavage plane (111), (001) and (104), respectively. The optimized surface slab was extended to a periodic super lattice of approximately 20 Å × 20 Å with a certain vacuum thickness of 30 Å [15].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minerals</th>
<th>Lattice Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fluorite</td>
<td>$a = 5.463 \text{Å}, b = 5.463 \text{Å}, c = 5.463 \text{Å}, \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barite</td>
<td>$a = 8.881 \text{Å}, b = 5.454 \text{Å}, c = 7.156 \text{Å}, \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>$a = 4.989 \text{Å}, b = 4.989 \text{Å}, c = 17.062 \text{Å}, \alpha = \beta = 90^\circ, \gamma = 120^\circ$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Second, the tannin, sodium fluosilicate and water molecule were optimized using the DMol3 module. The optimization parameters are as follows: the quality was medium, and the functional
was a local spin density approximation with the Perdew–Wang correlation (LDA/PWC). A symmetry calculation was performed.

Finally, the DISCOVER module was employed to calculate adsorption energies. Tannin, the main component of valonea extract, and sodium fluosilicate were used as adsorbates. The optimized adsorbate molecules were placed on the top mineral surface, while the bottom-most layers were kept frozen. The initial geometry of the mineral surface-adsorbate molecule complex was created with the help of molecular graphics tools. First, the geometry optimization of the system of reagent-mineral was conducted using a Smart Minimizer with the COMPASS force field [16], and the atom-based cut-off method was employed for calculating both the van der Waals and electrostatic interactions (Coulombic) [15]. The atom-based cut-offs were used with a 9.5 Å cut-off distance, which was less than half of the length of the simulation cell [15,17] with a spline width of 1.0 Å and a buffer width of 0.5 Å. Because the configuration obtained with this method was only one of the possible adsorption modes, approximately 20 starting conformations were assessed in order to locate the minimum energy conformation of the molecule on the mineral surface. The most stable configuration of mineral surface-surfactant complex with the highest negative total energy was chosen for further MD simulations, and the coordinates of surface atoms were constrained during the MD simulations with the adsorbates being free [15].

In the current formulation, it was difficult to account for the effect of aqueous environments on mineral-reagent interactions [18]. Solvent (water) molecules were not included in the simulations, but the interaction energy of water molecules for each mineral surface was computed and compared with the corresponding adsorbate-mineral interaction energy [15].

MD simulations were conducted with the COMPASS force field. The settings were the same as the geometry optimization procedure. All simulations were performed at constant volume and temperature (NVT). The time step was 1.0 fs, and the total run length was 300 ps. Finally, the interaction energy was calculated using the following equation [18,19]:

$$E_i = E_t - (E_m + E_r)$$

where $E_t$, $E_m$ and $E_r$ are the total energy of the optimized reagent-mineral complex, surface cluster and reagent molecule, respectively [17]. More negative magnitudes of interaction energy ($E_i$) correspond to more favourable interactions between the mineral surface and the depressants. The magnitude of $E_i$ is thus an excellent measure of the relative efficiency of interaction of different collectors with minerals [20].

### 3. Results and Discussions

#### 3.1. Single Mineral Flotation

The fatty acid type collectors, such as oleic acid and sodium oleate, are widely used in the flotation of fluorite, barite and calcite [21–24]. Flotation recovery of these three minerals with different sodium oleate dosages at pH = 7 are presented in Figure 2. The flotation recovery of barite was the highest, and that of calcite was the lowest. Increasing collector dosage boosted flotation recovery of the three pure minerals. While the recovery of barite and fluorite was more than 90% at all levels of collector addition, a significant increase in the recovery of calcite was observed (from 50% to over 90%), with increasing the sodium oleate dosage from $2 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/L to $10 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/L. Thus, the lower concentration of sodium oleate benefited the removal of calcite from fluorite and barite, by keeping the calcite in the tailings.

The solubility products of fluorite and barite are $4 \times 10^{-11}$ and $1 \times 10^{-10}$, respectively, which are relatively low. Therefore, the dissolved Ca or Ba ions from the mineral surfaces in the pulp would be limited, and their effect on consuming the collector (sodium oleate) was minimal. Consequently,
the added sodium oleate can easily form precipitates of calcium oleate or barium oleate on the mineral surfaces, rendering the mineral surface hydrophobic and contributing to enhanced flotation recovery. However, the solubility product of calcite is $1 \times 10^{-8}$, which is much higher than those of fluorite and barite. A large amount of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ dissolved from the calcite may react with the added sodium oleate to generate an insoluble substance in the pulp, which reduced the added available oleate ions adsorbing on, and enhancing the hydrophobicity of, the calcite. As a result, more sodium oleates were needed for improving the flotation recovery of calcite [24].

![Figure 2. Effects of sodium oleate dosage on flotation recovery (pH = 7).](image2)

3.1.2. Effects of pH Value on Flotation Behaviour

The flotation results at different pH values are given in Figure 3. The flotation recovery of calcite was lowest at pH = 7. For the fluorite, in the range of 6–9, the flotation recoveries were over 90%. That of barite at pH 6–7 was also higher than 90%; however, there was no floated barite when the pH was 5, because the IEPs (isoelectric points) of barite are located at pH 3.1. The flotation recoveries of fluorite and barite at pH = 7 were both more than 90%, but that of calcite was about 80%, which was beneficial to the removal of calcite from fluorite and barite.

![Figure 3. Effects of pH value on flotation recovery (sodium oleate dosage = $4 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/L).](image3)

3.1.3. Effects of Valonea Extract Dosage on Flotation Behaviour

The flotation recoveries of three minerals with valonea extract are shown in Figure 4. With the increase in valonea extract dosage, the flotation recoveries of all three minerals decreased. Obviously, the depressing effect on calcite flotation was the strongest, and calcite was completely depressed at
a dosage of more than $4 \times 10^{-4}$ g/L. Therefore, the separation of calcite with fluorite and barite can be achieved by floating fluorite and barite with the addition of more than $4 \times 10^{-4}$ g/L valonea extract.

Figure 4. Effects of valonea extract dosage on flotation recovery (sodium oleate dosage = $4 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/L, pH = 7).

3.1.4. Effects of Sodium Fluosilicate Dosage on Flotation Behaviour

The flotation recoveries of three minerals with sodium fluosilicate are given in Figure 5. When the sodium fluosilicate concentration changed from 0 to $4 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/L, there were small variations in the flotation recoveries of fluorite and calcite, all of which were nearly higher than 80%. However, the barite was strongly depressed when more than $2 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/L sodium fluosilicate was present. When the sodium fluosilicate concentration was $4 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/L, no barite floated. As a result, the separation of barite from fluorite and calcite can be achieved by adding more than $3 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/L sodium fluosilicate.

Figure 5. Effects of sodium fluosilicate dosage on flotation recovery (sodium oleate dosage = $4 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/L, pH = 7).

Other studies reported in literature had similar conclusions. Yu found that with an increase in the sodium fluosilicate dosage, the flotation recoveries of fluorite and calcite remained nearly unchanged, which means that they could not be completely depressed by sodium fluosilicate [25]. In a study of evaluating the effects of sodium fluosilicate on the flotation of bastnasite, barite, fluorite and calcite, Wang found that the depressing effect on barite was the strongest [26].

Not only barite, but also silicate minerals could be markedly depressed by sodium fluosilicate [27,28]. In the flotation of rutile ore [29] and iron-ore tailings [30], sodium fluosilicate was used to depress
silicate minerals. Thus, in the selective separation of fluorite, barite and calcite with sodium fluosilicate, the silicate minerals, such as quartz and feldspar, can also be depressed.

3.2. Flotation Experiment of Mixed Minerals

According to the above results, these three minerals in the mixture could be separated by flotation, as described in the flowsheet (Figure 6): bulk floating fluorite and barite by depressing calcite and then floating the fluorite in the collected froth product by depressing the barite. The flotation results are summarized in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Grade (%)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CaCO₃</td>
<td>CaF₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite products</td>
<td>28.21</td>
<td>33.26</td>
<td>42.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorite products</td>
<td>32.36</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>94.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barite products</td>
<td>39.43</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>6.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed minerals</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Table 3, after bulk flotation of fluorite and barite with valonea extract to depress calcite, 93.83% of the calcite remained in the tailing. Obviously, the depressing effect to calcite by valonea extract in the bulk flotation was striking. The content of CaF₂ and BaSO₄ in the calcite product was 42.03% and 24.71%, respectively. This was attributed to the fact that fluorite and barite could also be depressed slightly by valonea extract (Figure 4), but they could be reduced by several stages of scavenging the flotation tailings. At the same time, the recovery of fluorite and barite could be further increased after the scavenged concentrate was recycled.

The obtained froth product was further processed to float fluorite while depressing barite, by adding sodium fluosilicate. The CaF₂ grade of the fluorite product reached 94.71%. While the CaCO₃ recovery to the fluorite product was 5.38% (i.e., to the froth), its recovery to the barite product was only 0.79% (i.e., to the tailings). In other words, most calcite minerals appearing in the froth after the bulk flotation were also re-floated to the froth. This finding can be explained by the fact that when the sodium fluosilicate concentration was $3 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/L, approximately 90% of the calcite responded to flotation (Figure 5). The collected fluorite product will be able to meet the standard of acid-grade fluorite (CaF₂ grade >97%) after several stages of cleaning with valonea extract to depress the calcite and sodium fluosilicate to depress the barite.
The BaSO₄ grade of the barite product was 93.47%, and it can be used as a heavy loader for directly drilling. A higher quality barite product for the chemical industry can be obtained after a few stages of scavenging flotation.

3.3. Zeta Potential Measurement Results

In this study, the zeta potentials of fluorite, calcite and barite minerals in the absence and presence of sodium fluosilicate or valonea extract were measured. As plotted in Figures 7–9, in the absence of any reagent, IEPs of fluorite, calcite and barite are located at pH 6.4, pH 5.3 and pH 3.1, respectively, in agreement with the literature data [24].

![Figure 7](image7.png)
**Figure 7.** Effect of the addition of sodium fluosilicate (a) and valonea extract (b) on the zeta potential of fluorite (sodium fluosilicate dosage = 3 × 10⁻⁵ mol/L, valonea extract dosage = 4 × 10⁻⁴ g/L).

![Figure 8](image8.png)
**Figure 8.** Effect of addition of sodium fluosilicate (a) and valonea extract (b) on the zeta potential of calcite (sodium fluosilicate dosage = 3 × 10⁻⁵ mol/L, valonea extract dosage = 4 × 10⁻⁴ g/L).

![Figure 9](image9.png)
**Figure 9.** Effect of addition of sodium fluosilicate (a) and valonea extract (b) on the zeta potential of barite (sodium fluosilicate dosage = 3 × 10⁻⁵ mol/L, valonea extract dosage = 4 × 10⁻⁴ g/L).
After adding depressants, zeta potentials of the three tested minerals became more negatively charged, suggesting their adsorption on the mineral surfaces to change the surface potentials. As shown in Figure 1, the tannin was negatively charged when ionized. The ionization and hydrolysis of sodium fluosilicate were as follows \[31\]:

\[ \text{Na}_2\text{SiF}_6 = 2\text{Na}^+ + \text{SiF}_6^{2-} \]  
\[ \text{SiF}_6^{2-} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} = 4\text{H}^+ + 6\text{F}^- + \text{H}_2\text{SiO}_3 \]  

With the breakage of fluorite, barite or calcite crystals, Ca\(^{2+}\) or Ba\(^{2+}\) was exposed on the mineral surfaces \[26\]. Consequently, the (absolute) value of the zeta potential decreased after the adsorption of negatively-charged tannin or SiF\(_6^{2-}\) or F\(^-\) to the positive metallic site of the mineral surface, forming surface precipitates of CaSiF\(_6\) and BaSiF\(_6\) or CaF\(_2\) and BaF\(_2\).

Figure 7 shows that in the range of pH 6–9, the addition of sodium fluosilicate and valonea extract causes a decrease in (absolute) zeta potential of fluorite by 4–8 mV and approximately 15 mV, respectively, suggesting a much stronger adsorption and reaction of valonea extract than sodium fluosilicate on the mineral surface. As a result, less oleate, which was also negatively charged, was adsorbed on the mineral surface, leading to less hydrophobicity of the solids in the presence of valonea extract. This finding matched well with the flotation results shown in Figures 4 and 5, where fluorite recovery was higher in the presence of sodium fluosilicate than that of valonea extract, at the same pulp pH.

As plotted in Figure 8, the addition of sodium fluosilicate or valonea extract causes (absolute) zeta potential reduction for calcite minerals at pH 6–9, with a severer decrease in (absolute) zeta potential by valonea extract. The obtained results could explain why the calcite recovery was depressed by adding valonea extract (Figure 4). On the other hand, in the same pH range, the addition of sodium fluosilicate causes a larger reduction in the (absolute) zeta potential of barite minerals (Figure 9) than the addition of valonea extract. Based on the trend in Figure 8, a higher barite recovery would be expected in the presence of valonea extract than that in the presence of sodium fluosilicate, which was the case as shown in Figures 4 and 5.

### 3.4. Computation of Interaction Energy by MD Simulations

MD simulations are able to describe the crystal structure specificity of depressant molecules with different minerals. The computed interaction energies of tannin and sodium fluosilicate molecules with fluorite (111), barite (001) and calcite (104) surfaces are compared in Table 4, and the final adsorption configurations of depressants with minerals are shown in Figure 10.

**Table 4.** A comparison of computed interaction energies (kcal/mol) of adsorbate molecules on mineral surfaces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbate</th>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Fluorite</th>
<th>Barite</th>
<th>Calcite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tannin</td>
<td>–897.38</td>
<td>–1910.45</td>
<td>–3169.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium fluosilicate</td>
<td>–81.74</td>
<td>–92.46</td>
<td>–86.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The valonea extract and sodium fluosilicate depress these minerals by preventing oleate species from reacting with the Ca\(^{2+}\) or Ba\(^{2+}\) surface sites. Consequently, the depressing effect of valonea extract on calcite was the strongest, and the depressing effect of sodium fluosilicate on barite was the strongest.

### 4. Conclusions

The separation of calcite from fluorite and barite in a mixed mineral pulp can be achieved by floating fluorite and barite, with \(>4 \times 10^{-4}\) g/L valonea extract to depress calcite flotation, leaving the calcite in the tailings. The separation of barite from fluorite (and calcite) can be realized by adding \(>3 \times 10^{-5}\) mol/L sodium fluosilicate to depress the barite and to float fluorite. This developed flotation separation process exhibits a great potential for industrial application.

The valonea extract and sodium fluosilicate depress these minerals by preventing oleate species from reacting with Ca\(^{2+}\) or Ba\(^{2+}\) surface sites. Adsorption of tannin molecule in the valonea extract is the highest on the calcite surface, and sodium fluosilicate adsorption is the highest on the barite surface.

---

**Figure 10.** The optimized adsorption models of depressants on mineral surface: (a) tannin on fluorite surface; (b) sodium fluosilicate on fluorite surface; (c) tannin on barite surface; (d) sodium fluosilicate on barite surface; (e) tannin on calcite surface; (f) sodium fluosilicate on calcite surface.
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