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1 Experimental Procedures

1.1 General Experimental Information

Unless otherwise stated, all reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. Solvents were laboratory reagent grade. Petrol refers to the fraction of petroleum ether boiling in the range 40-60 °C. \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra were recorded on either a 400 MHz Varian 400 MR or Varian 500 MHz VNMRS spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million and referenced to residual solvent peaks (CDCl\(_3\): \(^1\)H δ 7.26 ppm, \(^{13}\)C δ 77.16 ppm; CD\(_3\)CN: \(^1\)H δ 1.94, \(^{13}\)C δ 1.32, 118.26 ppm, \(d_6\)-acetone: \(^1\)H δ 2.05 ppm; \(^{13}\)C δ 29.84, 206.26 ppm). Coupling constants (J) are reported in Hertz (Hz). Standard abbreviations indicating multiplicity were used as follows: m = multiplet, q = quartet, t = triplet, dt = double triplet, d = doublet, dd = double doublet, s = singlet, atd = apparent triple doublet. Microanalyses were performed at the Campbell Microanalytical Laboratory at the University of Otago. Electrospray ionisation mass spectra (ESI-MS) were collected on a Bruker micro-TOF-Q spectrometer. UV-visible absorption spectra were acquired with a Shimadzu UV-2600-UV-Vis spectrophotometer.

Safety Note: Whilst no problems were encountered during the course of this work, azide compounds are potentially explosive and appropriate precautions should be taken when working with them.
2 Synthetic Schemes for Ligands L1-4

The syntheses of L1 and L3, including all intermediates, are previously reported by us [1]. The synthetic schemes for the synthesis of L2 and L4 are shown below.

Scheme S1. Synthesis of L2. (i) [Pd(PPh3)2(Cl)]2, Cul, triethylamine/THF (1:3, v/v), RT, overnight; (ii) sodium azide, Cul, sodium ascorbate, TMEDA, EtOH/H2O (7:3 v/v), reflux, 7 hours; (iii) [Cu(CH3CN)4](PF6), TBTA, 1-octyne, toluene, reflux, 2 days.
Scheme S2: Synthesis of L4. (i) 2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol, [Pd(PPh₃)₂(Cl)₂], CuI, triethylamine/THF (1:3 v/v), RT, overnight; (ii) sodium azide, CuI, sodium ascorbate, TMEDA, ethanol/water (7:3), reflux, one hour; (iii) Cu(CH₃CN)₄PF₆, TBTA, toluene reflux, 2 days; (iv) NaOH, toluene, reflux 1 hour; (v) 1,1'-diiodoferrocene, [Pd(CH₃CN)₂(Cl)₂], CuI, [HP(t-Bu)₃]BF₄, diisopropylamine, microwave irradiation (200 W, 100 °C, 2 hours).
3 Experimental Procedures

3.1 2-(6-bromo-3-pyridinyl)ethynylferrocene

1-Ethynylferrocene (500 mg, 2.38 mmol) was dissolved in THF/TEA (20 mL, 1:1 v/v) and the solution was degassed (argon) for 10 minutes. 2-Bromo-5-iodopyridine (614 mg, 2.16 mmol), [Pd(CH3CN)2Cl2]2 (38 mg, 0.054 mmol) and CuI (41 mg, 0.216 mmol) were added and the mixture was stirred at RT under inert atmosphere overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH2Cl2 (50 mL) and washed with EDTA/NH4OH (aq) (0.1 M, 100 mL). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH2Cl2 (2 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (80 mL), dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography (silica gel, gradient 1:1 CH2Cl2/petrol to CH2Cl2 to 19:1 CH2Cl2/acetone) gave the product as an orange crystalline solid upon removal of solvent. Yield: 0.776 g, 98%. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) 8.45 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, Hd), 7.59 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H, He), 7.44 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, Hf), 4.53 (bs, 2H, Hc), 4.30 (bs, 2H, Hb), 4.26 (bs, 5H, Ha); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 152.2, 140.4, 140.3, 127.7, 120.6, 93.9, 81.4, 71.8, 70.3, 69.6, 64.1; HRESI-MS: (MeOH) m/z = 365.9575 (calc. for C17H12NFeBr 365.9577); Anal. calc. for C17H12NFeBr•0.2 acetone C, 55.98; H, 3.52; N, 3.71%. Found C, 55.98; H, 3.27; N, 3.41%.

Figure S1: 1H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, CDCl3) of 2-(6-bromo-3-pyridinyl)ethynylferrocene.

Figure S2: 13C NMR (100 MHz, 298 K, CDCl3) of 2-(6-bromo-3-pyridinyl)ethynylferrocene.
3.2 2-(6-azido-3-pyridinyl)ethynylferrocene

To a degassed ethanol/water mixture (17 mL, 7:3) was added 2-(6-bromo-3-pyridinyl)ethynylferrocene (700 mg, 1.91 mmol), sodium azide (249 mg, 3.82 mmol), CuI (36.4 mg, 0.191 mmol), sodium ascorbate (28.4 mg, 0.143 mmol) and tetramethylethylenediamine (0.043 mL, 0.287 mmol) against a flow of argon. The mixture was refluxed under an inert atmosphere for 7 hours. The cooled mixture was diluted with water (100 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (80 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, gradient 1:1 CH₂Cl₂/petrol to CH₂Cl₂) to give an orange solid upon removal of solvent. Fractions containing the desired product also contained the respective amino functionalised product: 2-(6-amino-3-pyridinyl)ethynylferrocene. Alteration of reaction conditions and eluents during chromatography could not prevent the formation or effect the separation of this side product. The mixture of desired azide and the side product amine was used in the next step with the molar fraction of azide approximated from the ¹H NMR. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.91 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H, H₄), 7.97 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H, H₃), 7.69 (dd, J = 9.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H, H₂), 4.56 (t, J = 1.9 Hz, 2H, H₂'), 4.33 (t, J = 1.9 Hz, 2H, H₁), 4.27 (s, 5H, H₅).

Figure S 3: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, CDCl₃) of 2-(6-azido-3-pyridinyl)ethynylferrocene. Primed assignments correspond to equivalent environments in the amino side product.
3.3 1-(5-yl-Ethynyl-2-(4-hexyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)pyridine)ferrocene, L2

Figure S4: $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, 298K, CDCl$_3$) of 1-(5-yl-Ethynyl-2-(4-hexyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)pyridine)ferrocene.

Figure S5: $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, 298 K, CDCl$_3$) of 1-(5-yl-Ethynyl-2-(4-hexyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)pyridine)ferrocene.
3.4 4-(6-azidopyridin-3-yl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol

To a degassed ethanol/water mixture (60 mL, 7:3) was added 4-(6-bromopyridin-3-yl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol (1.85 g, 7.71 mmol), sodium azide (1.00 g, 15.4 mmol), Cul (0.147 g, 0.771 mmol), sodium ascorbate (0.076 g, 0.385 mmol) and TMEDA (0.134 g, 1.16 mmol) against a flow of argon. The mixture was refluxed under an inert atmosphere for one hour. The cooled mixture was diluted with water (100 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (4 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (80 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (deactivated (3% TEA in CH₂Cl₂) silica gel, 9:1 CH₂Cl₂/acetone) giving a white solid on removal of solvents. Yield: 1.56 g, 85%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.88 (dd, J = 1.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H, Hc), 7.98 (dd, J = 9.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H, He), 7.63 (dd, J = 9.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H, Hd), 1.66 (s, 6H, Ha); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 147.6, 135.2, 127.7, 115.5, 113.9, 98.9, 76.2, 65.7, 31.3; HR-ESI MS: (MeOH) m/z = 203.0932 (calc. for C₁₀H₁₁N₄O 203.0933), 225.0760 (calc. for C₁₀H₁₀N₄O∙Na 225.0752); Anal. calc. for C₁₀H₁₀N₄O∙acetone C, 59.94; H, 5.28; N, 26.20%. Found C, 59.69; H, 5.04; N, 26.35%.

Figure S6: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, 298K, CDCl₃) of 4-(6-azidopyridin-3-yl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol.

Figure S7: ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, 298 K, CDCl₃) of 4-(6-azidopyridin-3-yl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol.
3.5 4-(6-(4-hexyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)pyridin-3-yl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol

4-(6-azidopyridin-3-yl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol (1.00 g, 4.95 mmol), [Cu(CH3CN)4](PF6) (0.369 g, 0.989 mmol), TBTA (0.495 g, 0.495 mmol) and 1-octyne (1.46 mL, 9.89 mmol) were added to degassed toluene (20 mL) against a flow of argon. The mixture was refluxed under an inert atmosphere for 48 hours. The cooled mixture was diluted with CH2Cl2 (100 mL) and EDTA/NH4OH(aq) solution (0.1 M, 150 mL) and stirred vigorously for 4 hours. The organic layer was separated and aqueous phase was extracted with CH2Cl2 (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 9:1 CH2Cl2/acetone) giving the product as an off white solid upon removal of solvents. Yield 1.17 g, 76%. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.50 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H, Hc), 8.28 (s, 1H, Hf), 8.14 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Hg), 7.89 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.2 Hz, 1H, Hh), 2.60 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, Hg), 1.73 (p, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, Hf), 1.40 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, Hi), 1.32 (m, 4H, Hj and Hk), 0.89 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 151.2, 149.3, 148.2, 141.7, 119.6, 118.3, 113.2, 98.2, 78.2, 65.8, 31.7, 31.5, 29.4, 29.0, 25.8, 22.7, 14.2; HR-ESI MS: (MeOH) m/z = 335.1818 (calc. for C18H24N4O Na 335.1842), 647.3742 (calc. for C36H48N8O2 Na 647.3798); Anal. calc. for C18H24N4O C, 69.20; H, 7.74; N, 17.93%. Found C, 69.19; H, 8.05; N, 18.32%.

Figure S8: 1H NMR (400 MHz, 298K, CDCl3) of 4-(6-(4-hexyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)pyridin-3-yl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol.

Figure S9: 13C NMR (100 MHz, 298 K, CDCl3) of 4-(6-azidopyridin-3-yl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol.
3.6 5-ethynyl-2-(4-hexyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)pyridine

4-(6-(4-hexyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)pyridin-3-yl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol (1.17 g, 3.74 mmol), and ground sodium hydroxide pellets (0.374 g, 9.34 mmol) were added to a round bottom flask, suspended in toluene (30 mL) and heated to reflux for 2.5 hours. The crude reaction mixture was allowed to cool before being filtered through Celite and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 9:1 CH₂Cl₂/acetone) giving a white solid upon removal of solvent. Yield: 0.830 g, 87%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.58 (dd, J = 2.2, 0.8 Hz, 1H, Hₗ), 8.29 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H, Hₖ), 8.17 (dd, J = 8.5, 0.9 Hz, 1H, Hₜ), 7.97 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Hₜ), 3.29 (s, 1H, Hₙ), 2.80 (dt, J = 7.5, 0.8 Hz, 2H, H₉), 1.73 (p, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, H₈), 1.45 – 1.37 (m, 2H, H₇), 1.35 – 1.29 (m, 4H, H₆ and H₅), 0.89 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, H₅); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 151.7, 149.3, 148.5, 148.2, 118.9, 118.3, 113.7, 79.6, 31.7, 29.3, 29.0, 25.8, 22.7, 14.2; IR (ATR): ν (cm⁻¹) 3189, 2956, 2921, 2855, 2104, 1587, 1485, 1475, 1434, 1223, 1039, 857; HR-ESI MS: (MeOH) m/z = 277.1415 (calc. for C₁₅H₁₈N₄∙Na 277.1424), 531.2910 (calc. for C₃₀H₃₆N₈∙Na 531.2961); Anal. calc. for C₁₅H₁₈N₄ C, 70.84; H, 7.13; N, 22.03%. Found C, 70.74; H, 7.12; N, 22.24%.

Figure S10: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, 298K, CDCl₃) of 5-ethynyl-2-(4-hexyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)pyridine.

Figure S11: ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, 298 K, CDCl₃) of 5-ethynyl-2-(4-hexyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)pyridine.
3.7 1,1'-Di-(5-yl-ethynyl-2-(4-hexyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)pyridine)ferrocene, L4

Figure S12: $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, CDCl$_3$) of 1,1'-Di-(5-yl-ethynyl-2-(1-hexyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)pyridine)ferrocene.

Figure S13: $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, 298 K, CDCl$_3$) of 1,1'-Di-(5-yl-ethynyl-2-(1-hexyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)pyridine)ferrocene.
3.8 \([L1Ru(bipy)_2](BF_4)_2\)

Figure S14: \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, \(d_6\)-acetone) of \([L1Ru(bipy)_2](BF_4)_2\).

Figure S15: \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, 298 K, \(d_6\)-acetone) of \([L1Ru(bipy)_2](BF_4)_2\).
Figure S16: ESI-mass spectrum of $[L1Ru(bipy)_2](BF_4)_2$ (MeOH).
3.9  [L2Ru(bipy)$_2$](BF$_4$)$_2$

Figure S17: $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, $d_6$-acetone) of [L2Ru(bipy)$_2$](BF$_4$)$_2$.

Figure S18: $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, 298 K, CDCl$_3$) of [L2Ru(bipy)$_2$](BF$_4$)$_2$. 
Figure S19: ESI-mass spectrum of $[\text{L}_2\text{Ru}(\text{bipy})_2]\text{[BF}_4\text{]}_2$ (MeOH).
Figure S20: $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, $d_6$-acetone) of $[L_3\text{Ru(bipy)}_2]_2[\text{BF}_4]_4$. 
Figure S21: ESI-mass spectrum of \([\text{L3}(\text{Ru(bipy)}_2)](\text{BF}_4)_4\) (MeOH).
3.11 [L4(Ru(bipy)2)2](BF4)4

Figure S22: 1H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, d6-acetone) of [L4(Ru(bipy)2)2](BF4)4.
Figure S23: ESI-mass spectrum of $[L_4(Ru(bipy)_2)](BF_4)_4$ (MeOH).
[Ru(bipy)$_2$(Cl)$_2$] (30.0 mg, 0.062 mmol) and [AgBF$_4$] (26.5 mg, 0.136 mmol, 2.2 eq.) were combined in degassed CH$_3$CN and stirred in the dark at RT for two hours. The resulting suspension was filtered through Celite and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in the minimum volume of CH$_2$Cl$_2$, filtered through Celite again, and then precipitated via addition of diethyl ether. The orange powder was collected by vacuum filtration, rinsed with diethyl ether (2 x 5 mL), dried in air and then finally in a desiccator overnight. Yield: 25 mg (61%). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN) δ 9.26 (ddd, $J =$ 5.5, 1.6, 0.7 Hz, 2H, $H_b$), 8.47 (dt, $J =$ 8.0, 1.0 Hz, 2H, $H_e$), 8.32 (dt, $J =$ 8.2, 0.9 Hz, 2H, $H_i$), 8.23 (atd, $J =$ 7.9, 1.5 Hz, 2H, $H_d$), 7.90 (atd, $J =$ 7.9, 1.5 Hz, 2H, $H_g$), 7.80 (ddd, $J =$ 7.6, 5.6, 1.3 Hz, 2H, $H_h$), 7.54 (ddd, $J =$ 5.6, 1.5, 0.7 Hz, 2H, $H_i$), 7.21 (ddd, $J =$ 7.6, 5.6, 1.3 Hz, 2H, $H_i$), 2.22 (s, 6H, $H_a$); HR-ESI MS: (CH$_3$CN) $m/z =$ 225.0325 (calc. for C$_{20}$H$_{16}$N$_4$Ru(H$_2$O)$_2$), 225.0312, 474.0664 (calc. for C$_{20}$H$_{16}$N$_4$Ru(CH$_3$CN)F), 583.0952 (calc. for C$_{20}$H$_{16}$N$_4$Ru(CH$_3$CN)$_2$BF$_4$).
Figure S25: ESI-mass spectrum of \([\text{Ru(bipy)}_2(\text{MeOH})_2](\text{BF}_4)_2\) (MeOH/CH$_3$CN).
4 $^1$H DOSY NMR Spectra

Table S1: Molecular weights and diffusion coefficients as determined by $^1$H DOSY NMR (d$_6$-acetone, 500 MHz, 298 K) for L1Ru-L4Ru.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Molecular Weight (gmol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Diffusion Coefficient ($\times 10^{-10}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1Ru</td>
<td>1025</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2Ru</td>
<td>1025</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3Ru</td>
<td>1865</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4Ru</td>
<td>1865</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure S26: $^1$H DOSY NMR (d$_6$-acetone, 500 MHz, 298 K) of L1Ru.
Figure S27: $^1$H DOSY NMR (d$_6$-acetone, 500 MHz, 298 K) of L2Ru.

Figure S28: $^1$H DOSY NMR (d$_6$-acetone, 500 MHz, 298 K) of L3Ru.
Figure S29: $^1$H DOSY NMR ($d_2$-acetone, 500 MHz, 298 K) of L4Ru.
5 Electrochemistry

All cyclic voltammetry (CV) experiments were performed in solutions at 20 °C (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) with a concentration of 1 mM of electroactive analyte and 0.1 M NBu$_4$PF$_6$ as the supporting electrolyte. A three-electrode cell was used with Cypress Systems 1.4 mm diameter glassy carbon working, Ag/AgCl reference and platinum wire auxiliary electrodes. Voltammograms were recorded with the aid of a Powerlab/4sp computer-controlled potentiostat. Potentials for all complexes were referenced to the reversible formal potential (taken as $E^\circ = 0.00$ V) of the [Fc$^*$]/[0] redox couple of decamethylferrocene [2]. In the cases of L1-4, scans between -2.0 V and 1.7 V revealed no processes other than the ferrocenyl oxidation.

Figure S30: CV of L1 (black trace) with inset DPV (red trace) (1 mM CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution, 100 mVs$^{-1}$, Bu$_4$NPF$_6$).
Figure S31: CV of L2 (black trace) with inset DPV (red trace) (1 mM CH₂Cl₂ solution, 100 mV s⁻¹, Bu₄NPF₆).

Figure S32: CV of L3 (black trace) with inset DPV (red trace) (1 mM CH₂Cl₂ solution, 100 mV s⁻¹, Bu₄NPF₆).
Figure S33: CV of L4 (black trace) with inset DPV (red trace) (1 mM CH₂Cl₂ solution, 100 mVs⁻¹, Bu₄NPF₆).

Figure S34: CV of L₁Ru (black trace) with inset DPV (red trace) (1 mM CH₂Cl₂ solution, 100 mVs⁻¹, Bu₄NPF₆).
Figure S35: CV of L2Ru (black trace) with inset DPV (red trace) (1 mM CH2Cl2 solution, 100 mVs⁻¹, Bu4NPF6).
Figure S36: CV of L3Ru (black trace) with inset DPV (red trace) (1 mM CH₂Cl₂ solution, 100 mVs⁻¹, Bu₄NPF₆).

Figure S37: CV of L4Ru (black trace) with inset DPV (red trace) (1 mM CH₂Cl₂ solution, 100 mVs⁻¹, Bu₄NPF₆).
6 UV-Visible Spectra

Figure S38: UV-Visible spectra of ligands L1-4 recorded in dichloromethane solution (~10^{-5} molL^{-1}, 298 K).

Figure S39: UV-Visible spectra of complexes L1Ru-L4Ru recorded in dichloromethane solution (~10^{-5} molL^{-1}, 298 K).
Figure S40: UV-Visible spectra of complexes L1Ru-L4Ru recorded in acetonitrile solution (~10^{-5} molL^{-1}, 298 K).
All photochemical experiments were carried out in a custom built UV photochemical reactor (at the University of Otago, Dunedin, NZ), containing four UV-C lamps (Rayonet RPR-2537A, Southern New England Ultraviolet Co., Branford, CT) emitting monochromatic 254 nm radiation, arranged symmetrically around the perimeter of the photoreactor. Samples were suspended in the centre of the UV-bulb arrangement and an in-built fan was used to maintain a constant temperature of 35 °C during irradiation experiments. All samples for photo-ejection experiments were 2 mM in 0.7 mL of [D3]acetonitrile in an NMR tube.

Figure S41: Partial stacked 1H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CD3CN, 298 K), showing the photo-ejection of [Ru(bipy)2(CD3CN)2]2+ (blue dashed lines) from L1Ru (red dashed lines) over time under UV (254 nm) irradiation; a) 1H NMR of unirradiated L1Ru, b)-i) selected 1H NMR of L1Ru after 1-26 days of UV irradiation, and j) 1H NMR of independently synthesised [Ru(bipy)2(CH3CN)2](BF4)2. Green dashed lines indicate the most visible signals corresponding to intermediate species.
Figure S42: Partial stacked $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K), showing the photo-ejection of [Ru(bipy)$_2$(CD$_3$CN)$_2$]$^{2+}$ (blue dashed lines) from L2Ru (red dashed lines) over time under UV (254 nm) irradiation; a) $^1$H NMR of unirradiated L2Ru, b)-h) $^1$H NMR of L2Ru after 1-7 days of UV irradiation, and i) $^1$H NMR of independently synthesised [Ru(bipy)$_2$(CH$_3$CN)$_2$](BF$_4$)$_2$.

Figure S43: Partial stacked $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN, 298 K), showing the photo-ejection of [Ru(bipy)$_2$(CD$_3$CN)$_2$]$^{2+}$ (blue dashed lines) from L3Ru (red dashed lines) over time under UV (254 nm) irradiation; a) $^1$H NMR of unirradiated L3Ru, b)-i) selected $^1$H NMR of L3Ru after 1-26 days of UV irradiation, and j) $^1$H NMR of independently synthesised [Ru(bipy)$_2$(CH$_3$CN)$_2$](BF$_4$)$_2$. Green dashed lines indicate the most visible signals corresponding to intermediate species.
Figure S44: Partial stacked \(^1\)H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CD\(_3\)CN, 298 K), showing the photo-ejection of \([\text{Ru(bipy)}_2(\text{CD}_3\text{CN})_2]^{2+}\) (blue dashed lines) from \(\text{L}_4\text{Ru}\) (red dashed lines) over time under UV (254 nm) irradiation; a) \(^1\)H NMR of unirradiated \(\text{L}_4\text{Ru}\), b)-d) \(^1\)H NMR of \(\text{L}_4\text{Ru}\) after 1-3 days of UV irradiation, and e) \(^1\)H NMR of independently synthesised \([\text{Ru(bipy)}_2(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_2](\text{BF}_4)_2\).
8 Selected Thermal Re-coordination Experiment Attempt $^1$H NMR Data

Figure S45: Partial stacked $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) showing results of attempted coordination of L1 with [Ru(bipy)$_2$(CH$_3$CN)$_2$](BF$_4$)$_2$ in CD$_3$CN; a) $^1$H NMR shortly after combining L1 and [Ru(bipy)$_2$(CH$_3$CN)$_2$](BF$_4$)$_2$ (1:1 eq.) in CD$_3$CN; b) $^1$H NMR of the same mixture after heating at 80 °C for 24 hours in the dark; c) $^1$H NMR of [Ru(bipy)$_2$(CH$_3$CN)$_2$](BF$_4$)$_2$ in CD$_3$CN for comparison.

Figure S46: Partial stacked $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) showing results of attempted coordination of L2 with [Ru(bipy)$_2$(CH$_3$CN)$_2$](BF$_4$)$_2$ in CD$_3$CN; a) $^1$H NMR shortly after combining L2 and [Ru(bipy)$_2$(CH$_3$CN)$_2$](BF$_4$)$_2$ (1:1 eq.) in CD$_3$CN; b) $^1$H NMR of the same mixture after heating at 80 °C for 24 hours in the dark; c) $^1$H NMR of [Ru(bipy)$_2$(CH$_3$CN)$_2$](BF$_4$)$_2$ in CD$_3$CN for comparison.
Figure S47: Partial stacked ¹H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, d₆-acetone) showing results of coordination of L₁ with [Ru(bpy)₂(CH₃CN)₂]²⁺(BF₄)₂ in [D₆]acetone solution upon heating (65°C); a) Partial ¹H NMR of the mixture of L₁ and [Ru(bpy)₂(CH₃CN)₂]²⁺(BF₄)₂ recorded immediately after combining in [D₆]acetone (note the complicated set of signals are due to partial displacement of coordinated CH₃CN ligands by acetone; b) after heating for four hours; c) after heating for one day; d) after heating for two days; e) after heating for four days; f) after heating for six days; g) after heating for eight days; h) after heating for 10 days; i) after heating for 12 days; j) spectrum of L₁Ru.

Figure S48: Partial stacked ¹H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, d₆-acetone) showing results of coordination of L₂ with [Ru(bpy)₂(CH₃CN)₂]²⁺(BF₄)₂ in [D₆]acetone solution upon heating (65°C); a) Partial ¹H NMR of the mixture of L₂ and [Ru(bpy)₂(CH₃CN)₂]²⁺(BF₄)₂ recorded immediately after combining in [D₆]acetone (note the complicated set of signals are due to partial displacement of coordinated CH₃CN ligands by acetone; b) after heating for four hours; c) after heating for one day; d) after heating for two days; e) after heating for four days; f) after heating for six days; g) after heating for eight days; h) after heating for 10 days; i) after heating for 12 days; j) spectrum of L₂Ru.
Figure S49: Partial stacked $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) showing results of UV-irradiation of L1 in [D3]acetonitrile (2 mM) solution over time. Each spectrum was normalised to the residual acetonitrile solvent signal and showed a decrease in intensity of the signals of L1 over time, concomitant with loss of the orange colour of L1 in solution and the formation of brown precipitate in the NMR tube; a) Partial $^1$H NMR of L1 before irradiation; b) after one day of irradiation; c) after two days of irradiation; d) after three days of irradiation; e) after five days of irradiation.

Figure S50: Partial stacked $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) showing results of UV-irradiation of L2 in [D3]acetonitrile (2 mM) solution over time. Each spectrum was normalised to the residual acetonitrile solvent signal and showed a decrease in intensity of the signals of L2 over time, concomitant with loss of the orange colour of L2 in solution and the formation of brown precipitate in the NMR tube; a) Partial $^1$H NMR of L2 before irradiation; b) after one day of irradiation; c) after two days of irradiation; d) after three days of irradiation; e) after five days of irradiation.
9 X-ray Crystallography Data

9.1 L2 (P21/c)

CCDC #: 1857651. Slow evaporation of a concentrated CH₂Cl₂/methanol solution of L₂, resulted in the formation of orange rectangular plate crystals of L₂. X-ray data were collected at 100 K on an Agilent Technologies Supernova system using Cu Kα radiation with exposures over 1.0°, and data were treated using CrysAlisPro software [3]. The structure was solved using SHELXT and weighted full-matrix refinement on F₂ was carried out using SHELXL-97 [4] both running within the OLEX2-v1.2.9 package [5]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms attached to carbons were placed in calculated positions and refined using a riding model. The structure was solved in the primitive space group P2₁/c and refined to an R1 value of 8.1%. The asymmetric unit contains one of the ligand L₂ (figure S51).

![Mercury ellipsoid plot of the asymmetric unit of L₂. Ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. Colour scheme: carbon grey, hydrogen white, iron orange-red, nitrogen lavender.](image-url)
Table S2: Crystallographic data for L2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Empirical formula</th>
<th>C$<em>{25}$H$</em>{26}$FeN$_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>438.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature/K</td>
<td>100.01(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P2$_1$/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>20.6936(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>5.6569(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
<td>20.5530(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α/°</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β/°</td>
<td>117.608(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ/°</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume/Å$^3$</td>
<td>2132.0(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (calc.) g/cm$^3$</td>
<td>1.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption correction /mm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>5.808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>920.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size/mm$^3$</td>
<td>0.371 × 0.148 × 0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>CuKα (λ = 1.54184)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta range for data collection/°</td>
<td>8.61 to 149.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index ranges</td>
<td>-25 ≤ h ≤ 25, -5 ≤ k ≤ 6, -24 ≤ l ≤ 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected</td>
<td>20320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent reflections</td>
<td>4289 [R$<em>{int}$ = 0.0713, R$</em>{sigma}$ = 0.0443]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/restraints/parameters</td>
<td>4289/0/272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on F$^2$</td>
<td>1.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indexes [I&gt;2σ (I)]</td>
<td>R$_1$ = 0.0808, wR$_2$ = 0.2077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indexes [all data]</td>
<td>R$_1$ = 0.0874, wR$_2$ = 0.2132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å$^{-3}$</td>
<td>2.60/-0.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.2 \( \text{L4 (P\text{I})} \)

CCDC #: 1857652. Slow evaporation of a concentrated \( \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{methanol} \) solution of \( \text{L4} \), resulted in the formation of orange rectangular plate crystals of \( \text{L4} \). X-ray data were collected at 100 K on an Agilent Technologies Supernova system using Cu K\( \alpha \) radiation with exposures over 1.0”, and data were treated using CrysAlisPro software [3]. The structure was solved using SHELXS and weighted full-matrix refinement on \( F^2 \) was carried out using SHELXL-97 [4] both running within the OLEX2-1.2 package [5]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms attached to carbons were placed in calculated positions and refined using a riding model. The structure was solved in the primitive space group \( P\text{I} \) and refined to an R1 value of 4.9%. The asymmetric unit contains one of the ligand \( \text{L4} \) (figure S52).

Figure S52: Mercury ellipsoid plot of the asymmetric unit of \( \text{L4} \). Ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. Colour scheme: carbon grey, hydrogen white, iron orange-red, nitrogen blue.

Figure S53: Mercury ellipsoid plot of \( \text{L4} \) showing the centroid–centroid distance of the two pyridine rings.
Table S3: Crystallographic data for L4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Empirical formula</th>
<th>C_{40}H_{42}FeN_{8}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>690.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature/K</td>
<td>100.00(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>5.6064(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>14.7229(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
<td>21.6649(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α/°</td>
<td>75.417(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β/°</td>
<td>85.830(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ/°</td>
<td>84.863(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume/Å³</td>
<td>1721.38(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (calc.) g/cm³</td>
<td>1.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption correction /mm⁻¹</td>
<td>3.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>728.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size/mm³</td>
<td>0.218 × 0.086 × 0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>CuKα (λ = 1.54184)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta range for data collection/°</td>
<td>6.598 to 148.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index ranges</td>
<td>-6 ≤ h ≤ 6, -18 ≤ k ≤ 18, -26 ≤ l ≤ 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected</td>
<td>31319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent reflections</td>
<td>6849 [R_{int} = 0.0711, R_{sigma} = 0.0527]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/restraints/parameters</td>
<td>6849/0/444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on F²</td>
<td>1.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indexes [I&gt;2σ (I)]</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0490, wR₂ = 0.1196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indexes [all data]</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0575, wR₂ = 0.1243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å⁻³</td>
<td>0.44/-0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10 Computational Information

Computational modelling was performed with the Gaussian 09 software package [6] using the CAM-B3LYP [7] functional and 6-31G(d) basis set [8] for all atoms besides ruthenium. The ruthenium metal centres used a LanL2DZ effective core potential [9-11] (ECP) to approximate the computationally intensive core electrons. A DMF solvent field was implemented using an integral equation formalism polarisable continuum model (IEF-PCM) self-consistent reaction field (SCRF) [12] with the default solvent parameters provided by Gaussian. This methodology has been effective for modelling Cu(I) bipy-ferrocene systems [13-15] and gave similar results for ruthenium(II) here. Simulated Raman spectra were generated for these optimised structures with GaussSum v2.2 software [16], with a scaling factor of 0.95. Mean absolute deviations (MADs) between experimental and calculated Raman vibrational energies were calculated using well established methods [17]. These were 9 and 11 cm⁻¹ for L3Ru and L4Ru, respectively, and indicate that the ground state structure is well modelled. The open structures (dihedral angle (α) ≈ ±180°) for complexes L3Ru and L4Ru were optimised and showed no negative frequencies, indicating that a local minimum was achieved. Using these optimised structures a number of intermediate structures were generated by changing the dihedral angle to ±140°, ±110° and ±80° and the energies at these dihedral angles were calculated using single point calculations. Using the open structures as zero points, the energy differences for the various dihedral angles have been evaluated and are shown in Figures S51-58.

![Figure S54: Calculated energies of the various dihedral angles for a) L3Ru and b) L4Ru. The structures circled in red are shown in Table S3 for reference.](image)

Raman Details

FT-Raman spectra were collected on powder samples using a Bruker MultiRam spectrometer. The excitation source was a Nd:YAG laser with an excitation wavelength of 1064 nm. Raman photons were measured with a liquid nitrogen-cooled D418T germanium detector. Spectra were collected with 128-256 scans, with laser power of 5-50 mW and spectral resolution of 4 cm⁻¹.
Figure S55: Side view of calculated structure of $(\Delta,\Delta)$-L3Ru with dihedral = 80°.

Figure S56: Top view of calculated structure of $(\Delta,\Delta)$-L3Ru with dihedral = 80°.
Figure S57: Side view of optimised structure of (Δ,Δ)-L3Ru with dihedral ≈ 170°.

Figure S58: Top view of optimised structure of (Δ,Δ)-L3Ru with dihedral ≈ 170°.
Figure S59: Side view of calculated structure of \((\Delta,\Delta)-4\text{Ru}\) with dihedral = 80°.

Figure S60: Top view of calculated structure of \((\Delta,\Delta)-4\text{Ru}\) with dihedral = 80°.
Figure S61: Side view of optimised structure of $(\Delta,\Delta)$-L4Ru with dihedral ≈ 173°.

Figure S62: Top view of optimised structure of $(\Delta,\Delta)$-L4Ru with dihedral ≈ 173°.
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