As for mechanical stimuli, one report indicated that the highest conversion of SPs was 44% and the installation of PMα-CD improved the switching ability of SPs. The polymer exhibited repeatable acidochromism with almost complete conversion between the SP and MCH+ forms. Photoluminescence measurements were conducted and the acid-induced luminescence quenching of the polymer in the solution was observed, which stemmed from energy transfer from the PPE to MCH+ moieties. In the solid state, the quantum yield of ins-SP-PPE was more than twice that of the uninsulated polymer, which derived from the insulation effects. The acid-induced luminescence quenching was also observed in the solid state.
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1. Introduction

Spiropyrans (SPs), first reported by Fischer and Hirshberg in 1952 [1], have been of great interest due to their ability to switch forms in response to various external stimuli, such as light, mechanical force and acid [2,3]. For example, irradiation of an SP with UV light causes isomerization of the SP to a merocyanine (MC), involving changes in the π-conjugated system, via heterolytic C-O bond cleavage. Under acid stimuli, SPs are converted to protonated merocyanines (MCH+) (Scheme 1) [4]. Because of the color differences between SPs and MCs (MCH+), SPs have been widely applied in electronic devices [5,6], bioimaging [7], and chemical sensors [8].

![Scheme 1. Acid- and base-induced conversion between spiropyrans (SP) and protonated merocyanines (MCH+).](image_url)
The incorporation of SPs into the backbones of π-conjugated polymers to allow the switching of their optical and electronic properties through the conversion of the SP moieties to MC moieties under external stimuli has already been reported. Ng et al. first described the incorporation of an SP into a poly(p-phenylene ethynylene) (polyPPE) backbone in 2006 [9] and demonstrated that the SP moieties in the backbone were incompletely isomerized to MC moieties under UV irradiation. Incorporation of SPs into poly(p-phenylene) [10], poly(m,m,p-phenylene) [11] and polyfluorene [12] has also been reported and the responses of the polymers to UV irradiation or mechanical force have been discussed. However, the isomerization of SPs in conjugated polymers showed low conversion and/or limited reversibility. As for UV light stimuli, one report indicated that the conversion of SPs in poly(p-phenylene) was approximately 16% and the SPs exhibited irreversible isomerization [10]. As for mechanical stimuli, one report indicated that the highest conversion of SPs was 44% and precipitation was observed after the proportion of MC exceeded the amount, which might have been caused by the π-π interactions between the MC moieties causing the polymer chains to have low solubility [12]. While several limitations of the isomerization have been demonstrated in conjugated SP polymers, the isomerization behavior of SPs under acid stimuli has not been studied for conjugated polymers [13].

Our group has developed a method to suppress the π-π interactions between π-conjugated polymer chains using covalently linked permethylated α-cyclodextrins (PM α-CDs), which three-dimensionally insulate the π-conjugated main chains [14,15]. The insulation using PM α-CDs gave the π-conjugated polymers high solubility in organic solvents and high solid-state emission quantum yields because the insulation prevented the π-π interaction between the polymer chains [16,17]. We have incorporated external-stimuli-responsive moieties into various π-conjugated polymers to prepare materials with changeable optical properties [14,18]. Herein, we report the synthesis of an insulated polyPPE containing SP moieties in its backbone (ins-SP-PPE). The conversion behavior of the SPs in ins-SP-PPE and the change in the optical properties of ins-SP-PPE were also investigated under acid stimulus.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis of Ins-SP-PPE and Unins-SP-PPE

Copolymerization between SP monomer 4 and a derivative of PPE monomer 8 insulated with PM α-CDs was conducted via Cu-catalyzed Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition to give ins-SP-PPE [19]. Indolenium salt 1 and a derivative of salicylic aldehyde 2 were heated in the presence of piperidine to give SP 3, and subsequent deprotection of the trimethylsilyl group afforded SP monomer 4 (Scheme 2). Glaser coupling of the previously reported PPE 5 and subsequent hydrolysis of the acetamide gave uninsulated PPE monomer 7. Insulated monomer 8 was synthesized by the self-inclusion of 7 [15,20]. The quantitative insulation was confirmed by the characteristic downfield shift of the signals of the aromatic protons on the insulated PPE (Figure S14). Monomer 8 was kinetically isolated even in nonpolar solvents because the activation energy of the dethreading of 8 was high enough to prevent it from occurring [20]. Monomer 8 was copolymerized with 4 after the conversion of the amine groups on 8 to azide groups (Scheme 3). As shown in Figure 1, the gel-permeation chromatography (GPC) chart evidenced the formation of ins-SP-PPE with the consumption of the insulated PPE monomer 8. In order to remove oligomers, the crude ins-SP-PPE was treated with preparative GPC to give purified ins-SP-PPE ($M_w = 1.3 \times 10^5$, $M_n = 5.1 \times 10^4$). In addition, uninsulated PPE polymer unins-SP-PPE ($M_w = 4.2 \times 10^4$, $M_n = 3.0 \times 10^4$) was also synthesized from monomer 7 under the same conditions as those for the synthesis from 8 (Scheme 4). The lower $M_w$ and $M_n$ probably stemmed from the low solubility of uninsulated conjugated polymers caused by the π-π stacking between the polymer chains.
2.2. UV-Vis Titration with Acid and Base Stimuli

Scheme 2. Synthesis of SP monomer 4 and insulated (p-phenylene ethynylene) (PPE) monomer 8.

Scheme 3. Polymerization of insulated spiropyran-containing poly(p-phenylene ethynylene) (ins-SP-PPE) using Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition.

Scheme 4. Polymerization of uninsulated (unsins)-SP-PPE using Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition.

Figure 1. Gel-permeation chromatography (GPC) analysis of monomer 8 (gray line) and crude ins-SP-PPE (red line) after polymerization.
2.2. UV-Vis Titration with Acid and Base Stimuli

Ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy titration was conducted to investigate the acidochromic properties of ins-SP-PPE and unins-SP-PPE. The acid stimuli were used because one report suggested that the acid stimuli were able to quantitatively convert SPs to MCH+ in the polymer backbone [13]. It was expected that ins-SP-PPE would be converted to ins-MCH+-PPE by an acid stimulus (Figure 2A). The UV-Vis spectrum of ins-SP-PPE in CH2Cl2 (2.0 × 10^-5 M) contained an absorbance peak at 362 nm, which was attributed to PPE (Figure 2B), and the addition of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) to the solution led to the appearance of a broad absorption at greater than 420 nm, which was attributed to MCH+ [4], with an isosbestic point at 333 nm. Additionally, the almost complete conversion of SP moieties in ins-SP-PPE was confirmed because the absorbance at 420 nm was saturated under the addition of more than 0.1 M TFA (Figure 2C). The formation of precipitation could be denied because any decrease of absorbance was not observed. Subsequently, to confirm the reversibility of this acid-induced conversion, triethylamine (TEA) was added into the solution as a base (Figure 2D), which resulted in the decrease of the absorption at greater than 420 nm, indicating that the conversion from the MCH+ form to the SP form occurred. The almost complete conversion of MCH+ to SP was also confirmed by the saturation of the absorbance. Similar acidochromic behaviors were observed in unins-SP-PPE (Figure S27), which probably derived from the high solubility of bulky side chains of PM α-CD in unins-SP-PPE or the low degree of polymerization of unins-SP-PPE. These results confirmed the acidochromism between ins-SP-PPE and ins-MCH+-PPE. This acidochromic behavior was repeatedly observed upon the addition of acid and base (Figure 2E).

Figure 2. Cont.
Figure 2. (A) Acid- and base-induced structural conversion between ins-SP-PPE and ins-MCH⁺-PPE. (B) Ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy spectra of ins-SP-PPE (2.0 × 10⁻⁵ M in CH₂Cl₂) upon addition of different amounts of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (0–0.2 M). (C) A change of normalized absorbance of ins-SP-PPE at 420 nm under the addition of TFA (0–0.2 M). (D) UV-Vis spectra of ins-SP-PPE (2.0 × 10⁻⁵ M in CH₂Cl₂) upon addition of different amounts of triethylamine (TEA) (0–0.2 M) following the TFA addition (0.2 M). (E) Repeatable switching of the absorbance of ins-SP-PPE (2.0 × 10⁻⁵ M in CH₂Cl₂) at 420 nm by the addition of TFA and TEA.

2.3. ¹H-NMR Study

A proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) study was conducted to investigate the acidochromic behavior of ins-SP-PPE. As shown in Figure 3A, the SP signals were observed at 6.98, 6.84, 6.62, and 5.79 ppm. The addition of TFA resulted in the downfield shift of these signals as clearly shown by a vinyl proton moiety (Figure 3B). This shift indicated the conversion of SP moieties to MCH⁺ ones, not to any other species because the similar shift was observed in the unsubstituted SP, 1',3',3'-trimethylspiro(2H-1-benzopyran-2,2'-indoline) (Figure S20). Similar phenomena were observed in unins-SP-PPE (Figure S23), which is consistent with the results of UV-Vis titration. The shifted signals of SP moieties in ins-SP-PPE was reset to the original position by adding an excess amount of TEA (Figure 3C), which confirmed the reversible conversion between SP and MCH⁺ moieties.

Figure 3. A proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H-NMR) spectra (aromatic region) of ins-SP-PPE before (A, black line) and after adding TFA (B, 0.04 M, red line) and then TEA (C, 0.08 M, blue line). Gray lines indicate the shift of signals deriving from vinyl protons of SP and MCH⁺.

2.4. Photoluminescence Study in the Solution and Solid State

Photoluminescence measurements were conducted to investigate the effects of the acid-induced SP conversion. A peak around 520 nm was observed in the emission spectrum of ins-SP-PPE in CH₂Cl₂ solution (2.0 × 10⁻⁵ M) (Figure 4A), which stemmed from the PPE chains. After the addition of TFA
to the solution, significant quenching of the fluorescence was observed. A similar phenomenon was previously reported by Jung et al. [8], which was attributed to fluorescence quenching of polythiophene via energy transfer between polythiophene and MC moieties. Accordingly, the quenching of ins-SP-PPE was probably caused by energy transfer from the PPE moieties to the MCH$^+$ moieties.

![Emission spectra of ins-SP-PPE upon adding different amounts of TFA (0–0.2 M).](image)

**Figure 4.** (A) Emission spectra of ins-SP-PPE upon adding different amounts of TFA (0–0.2 M). The solution of ins-SP-PPE was excited at 362 nm. Photographs under UV irradiation at 365 nm of (B) ins-SP-PPE and unins-SP-PPE films on a glass substrate and (C) fluorescence quenching of ins-SP-PPE before (right side) and after (left side) dipping in aqueous 0.1 M HCl for 10 s. The double-headed arrow marks the dipped region of the film.

The luminescence properties of the polymers and the acid responsiveness of ins-SP-PPE in the solid state were also examined. Films of ins-SP-PPE and unins-SP-PPE were prepared on glass substrates by drop-casting their solutions. Under irradiation with UV light (365 nm), the film of ins-SP-PPE exhibited stronger emission than that of unins-SP-PPE (Figure 4B). The quantum yields of ins-SP-PPE and unins-SP-PPE in the solid state were 5.4% and 2.4%, respectively. The fact that the quantum yield of the insuolated polymer was more than twice that of the uninsulated one was attributed to the suppression of the $\pi-\pi$ interaction between the conjugated polymers. Furthermore, dipping the ins-SP-PPE film into 0.1 M HCl aqueous solution for 10 s resulted in the quenching of luminescence only in the dipped region (Figure 4C). As mentioned above, this was because of energy transfer between the $\pi$-conjugated backbone and MCH$^+$ moieties.

3. Materials and Methods

The general remarks, the synthetic procedures of monomer 4, 8 and unins-SP-PPE, and the preparation methods of the films of ins-SP-PPE and unins-SP-PPE are available in the Supplementary Materials.

*Synthesis of Ins-SP-PPE*

To a solution of PPE monomer 8 (45 mg, 16 $\mu$mol, 1.0 eq.) in dehydrated acetonitrile (1.6 mL), tert-butyl nitrite (37 $\mu$L, 0.31 mmol, 20 eq.) and trimethylsilyl azide (62 $\mu$L, 0.47 mmol, 30 eq.) were added at 0 $^\circ$C and then stirred for 2 h at room temperature. After the reaction, the solvent was evaporated in vacuum. The residue was diluted with chloroform and H$_2$O and extracted with chloroform and diethyl ether. The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO$_4$, filtrated, and evaporated in vacuum to afford the product as a yellow solid. Without further purification, the mixture of the solid, 4 (5.3 mg, 16 $\mu$mol, 1.0 eq.), sodium ascorbate (19 mg, 94 $\mu$mol, 6.0 eq.), and CuSO$_4$·5H$_2$O (24 mg, 94 $\mu$mol, 6.0 eq.) in H$_2$O (391 $\mu$L) and tBuOH (391 $\mu$L) was stirred overnight at room temperature. After the reaction, the mixture was diluted with chloroform and saturated aqueous NH$_4$Cl solution and extracted with chloroform. The combined layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO$_3$, dried over MgSO$_4$, filtrated, and evaporated in vacuum. To remove the oligomers, the residue was purified by preparative GPC with chloroform as the eluent to afford
product ins-SP-PPE as a yellow solid (22 mg, 43%, $M_w = 1.3 \times 10^5$, $M_n = 5.1 \times 10^4$, PDI = 2.5); $^1$H-NMR (500 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 8.18–8.08 (m, 6H, triazole–H, ArH), 7.74–7.58 (m, 14H, ArH), 6.98 (d, $J = 10.0$ Hz, 1H, –CH=CH–), 6.82 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.63 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 5.79 (d, $J = 9.6$ Hz, 1H, –CH=CH–), 5.13–2.97 (m, 18H, –CH–H, OCH$_3$), 2.82 (s, 3H, N–CH$_3$), 1.40 (s, 3H, gem–CH$_3$), 1.25 (s, 3H, gem–CH$_3$).

4. Conclusions

An insulated π-conjugated polymer, ins-SP-PPE, was synthesized by copolymerization of SP monomer 4 and insulated PPE monomer 8 via Cu-catalyzed Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition. UV-Vis titrations revealed that the polymer exhibited repeatable acidochromism and the SP moieties in the polymer were almost completely converted to MCH$^+$ ones. $^1$H-NMR study supported the fact that the SP moieties in the polymer converted to MCH$^+$ moieties, not to any other species. In addition, the acid-induced luminescence quenching of ins-SP-PPE was observed in the solution and solid state. We will further investigate the chromic properties of ins-SP-PPE induced by other stimuli.

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary Materials, including general remarks, synthetic procedures, NMR spectra, charts of GPC, UV-Vis spectra, emission spectra, and a photograph of a polymer film, are available online.
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