Synthesis and Structural Characterization of a Series of One-Dimensional Heteronuclear Dirhodium-Silver Coordination Polymers
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Abstract: Herein, we describe the preparation of heteronuclear dirhodium-silver complexes by reaction between molecular Rh(II)-Rh(II) compounds [Rh₂(µ-O₂CR)₄L₂] (R = Me, Ph (1), CH₂OEt (2); L = solvent molecules) with paddlewheel structure and PPh₄[Ag(CN)₂]. One-dimensional coordination polymers of (PPh₄)ₙ[Rh₂(µ-O₂CR)₄Ag(CN)₂]ₙ (R = Me (3), Ph (4), CH₂OEt (5)) formula have been obtained by replacement of the two labile molecules in the axial positions of the paddlewheel structures by a [Ag(CN)₂]⁻ bridging unit. The crystal structures of 3–5 display a similar arrangement, having anionic chains with a wavy structure and bulky (PPh₄)⁺ cations placed between the chains. The presence of the (PPh₄)⁺ cations hinders the existence of intermolecular Ag−Ag interactions although several C-H⋯π interactions have been observed. A similar reaction between [Rh₂(µ-O₂CCMe₃)₄(HO₂CCMe₃)₂] and PPh₄[Ag(CN)₂] led to the molecular compound (PPh₄)₂[Rh₂(µ-O₂CCMe₃)₄[Ag(CN)₂]L₂] (6) by replacement of the axial HO₂CCMe₃ ligands by two [Ag(CN)₂]⁻ units. The trimethylacetate ligand increases the solubility of the complex during the crystallization favouring the formation of discrete heteronuclear species.

Keywords: dirhodium compounds; dicyanoargentate complexes; heteronuclear; one-dimensional; rhodium-silver chains; phenyl embrace

1. Introduction

In the chemistry of compounds with a direct metal-metal bond the dirhodium complexes play a prominent role because their properties span very different fields [1–3]. Many of the Rh₂ complexes adopt the paddlewheel structure shown in Figure 1. The majority of these compounds contain a diamagnetic Rh₂⁺⁺ core with a σ²π⁴δ⁴π⁴ electronic configuration leading to a net metal-metal bond order of one. Although dirhodium compounds have been extensively studied due to their potential applications in several fields such as catalysis [4–7] or biological or antitumoral activity [8–12], the variety of architectures in these type of complexes have also attracted a huge interest [13–19].

As the axial positions of the [Rh₂(µ-O₂CR)₄] (R = alkyl or aryl) are usually occupied, a great amount of molecular complexes of the type [Rh₂(µ-O₂CR)₄L₄] (L = monodentate donor
ligand; \( x = 1, 2 \) have been described [1–3,20–22]. Nevertheless, the Rh\(^{4+}\) unit presents in the tetracarboxylatodirhodium(II) complexes has been used to achieve a large number of coordination polymers. One-dimensional polymers have also been obtained using bridging ligands between the dirhodium units [16–19].

Heterometallic one-dimensional compounds containing \( \text{M}_2 \) units with direct metal-metal bonds and other transition metal complex are very useful as functional materials due to their versatile physical and chemical properties [23,24]. A very useful strategy to form heterometallic complexes has been developed by Petrukhina et al. [25] using the electrophilic tetrakis(trifluoracetato)dirhodium(II) complex, \([\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)_4]\). Thus, the reaction of \([\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)_4\text{OCMe}_2]_2\) with the tetranuclear species \([\text{Cu}_4(\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)_4]\) afforded a bidimensional coordination polymer of \([([\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)_4]_2\mu_2-\text{OCMe}_2[\text{Cu}_4(\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)_4])\) formula. In addition, several heterometallic Rh-Pt one-dimensional complexes have been described [26–30]. For example, \(([\text{Rh}_2]−[\text{Pt}_2]−[\text{Pt}_2])_n\) or \([\text{Rh}_2]−[\text{Pt}−\text{Cu}−\text{Pt}]\) polymers with dimetallic units joined by unbrided Pt-Rh metal-metal bonds have been obtained [26–31]. Moreover, a strategy to construct one-dimensional compounds from dirhodiumtetracarboxylato units, \([\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CR})_4]^+\) (\( \text{R} = \text{Me, Et} \)) using dicyanoaurate, \([\text{Au}^+\text{CN})_2]^−\) groups as linker has been developed by our research group [32].

The negative charge of anionic one-dimensional chains based on dirhodium compounds is, usually, compensated by alkali cations [32]. However, the use of a non-innocent counterion as tetraphenylphosphonium could give other possibilities of arrangement. Thus, it is known that in compounds containing tetrphenylphosphonium or triphenylphosphone groups the phenyl-phenyl interactions can combine to give several attractive supramolecular motifs. For example sextuple, quadruple, or double phenyl embraces have been observed [33–36]. This multiple phenyl embrace can determine the arrangement of the compound in the solid state and is very important in the develop and design of supramolecular structures [37–39].

Following the antecedents described above, we have prepared dirhodium-silver anionic one-dimensional coordination polymers of \([\text{PPh}_4)_n[\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CR})_4\text{Ag}(\text{CN})_2]_n\] (\( \text{R} = \text{Me (3)}, \text{Ph (4)}, \text{CH}_2\text{OEt (5)} \)) formula by reaction between discrete dinuclear building blocks with two labile ligands in the axial positions, \([\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CR})_4\text{L}_2]\) (\( \text{R} = \text{Me, Ph (1)}, \text{CH}_2\text{OEt (2)} \); \( \text{L} = \text{solvent molecules} \)) and \([\text{PPh}_4][\text{Ag}^+\text{CN})_2]\) (Figure 1). The same approach has also lead to a molecular compound of \([\text{PPh}_4][\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CCMe}_3)_3[\text{Ag}^+\text{CN})_2]_2\] (6) formula. The presence of intermolecular interactions including multiple phenyl embraces between the phenyl rings of the \( \text{PPh}_4^+ \) counterion have also been examined.

![Figure 1. Synthetic approach employed to prepare 1–6.](image-url)
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

$\text{PPh}_4[\text{Ag(CN)}_2]$ was prepared by mixing a solution of 0.2 mmol (0.04 g) of $\text{K[Ag(CN)}_2]$ in 4 mL of water with a solution of 0.2 mmol (0.08 g) of $\text{PPh}_4\text{Br}$ in 8 mL of water and stirring for 5 min in the absence of light. The white precipitate obtained was filtered off and washed with water (15 mL) and diethyl ether (10 mL). Yield: 0.08 g (80%). $[\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CCMe}_3)_4(\text{HO}_2\text{CCMe}_3)_2]$ was prepared following a published procedure [40,41]. The rest of the reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used as received without further purification.

2.2. Physical Measurements

Elemental analyses were carried out by the Microanalytical Services of the Universidad Complutense de Madrid. FTIR spectra were measured using a Perkin–Elmer Spectrum 100 with a universal ATR accessory in the 4000–6500 cm$^{-1}$ spectral range. Mass spectrometry measurements were carried out by the Mass Spectrometry Services of the Universidad Complutense de Madrid. Electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectra were recorded using an ion trap-Bruker Esquire-LC spectrometer. Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization-Time of Flight (MALDI-TOF) were carried out using a Bruker ULTRAFLEX spectrometer. NMR spectra were recorded at the NMR service of the Universidad Complutense de Madrid using a Bruker DPX 300 MHz-BACS-60 and a Bruker AV 500 MHz instrument.

2.3. Crystallography

Single crystal X-ray diffraction measurements were carried out at room temperature using a Bruker Smart-CCD diffractometer with a Mo K$\alpha$ ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) radiation and a graphite monochromator. CCDC 1884924–1884932 contain the crystallographic data for the compounds described in this work. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif. A summary of some crystal and refinement data are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Crystal and refinement data for 1–2 and 3a.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha^{\circ}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta^{\circ}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma^{\circ}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu/\lambda^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{calc}/g\cdot cm^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu/mm^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R indices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$wR_2 = 0.1590$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$wR_2 = 0.1590$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_1 = 0.0494$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$GooF on F^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Crystal and refinement data for 3b and 4–6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3b</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C_{36}H_{36}AgCl_{4}N_{2}O_{2}PRh_{2}</td>
<td>C_{42}H_{40}AgN_{2}O_{2}PRh_{2}</td>
<td>C_{42}H_{46}AgN_{2}O_{2}PRh_{2}</td>
<td>C_{72}H_{75}Ag_{2}N_{2}O_{4}P_{2}Rh_{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fw</td>
<td>1111.13</td>
<td>1189.54</td>
<td>1117.48</td>
<td>1607.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>P2_{1}/c</td>
<td>Pnma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>13.1180(12)</td>
<td>12.2802(9)</td>
<td>13.1982(13)</td>
<td>22.016(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>13.6197(13)</td>
<td>13.3534(9)</td>
<td>22.201(2)</td>
<td>33.450(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
<td>13.6949(13)</td>
<td>17.3652(12)</td>
<td>16.9090(17)</td>
<td>11.691(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α°</td>
<td>87.033(2)</td>
<td>83.105(1)</td>
<td>111.788(2)</td>
<td>111.818(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β°</td>
<td>78.278(2)</td>
<td>83.373(1)</td>
<td>111.788(2)</td>
<td>111.818(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ°</td>
<td>79.928(2)</td>
<td>64.333(1)</td>
<td>111.788(2)</td>
<td>111.818(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/Å^{3}</td>
<td>2358.5(4)</td>
<td>2541.6(3)</td>
<td>4600.6(8)</td>
<td>8610(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d calc/g·cm^{-3}</td>
<td>1.565</td>
<td>1.554</td>
<td>1.613</td>
<td>1.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ/mm^{-1}</td>
<td>1.407</td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>1.225</td>
<td>0.907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R indices</td>
<td>wR₁ = 0.0396</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0587</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0379</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wR₂ = 0.1187</td>
<td>wR₂ = 0.1881</td>
<td>wR₂ = 0.1040</td>
<td>wR₂ = 0.1648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GooF on F^2</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>1.042</td>
<td>0.993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4. Synthesis

2.4.1. Synthesis of [Rh₂(μ-O₂CPh)₄(OEt₂)] [1a] and [Rh₂(μ-O₂CPh)₄(OCMe₂)] [1b]

Synthesis of [Rh₂(μ-O₂CPh)₄(OEt₂)] [1a]: A mixture of 0.90 mmol (0.40 g) of [Rh₂(μ-O₂CMe)₄] and benzoic acid (5 g) was refluxed for 30 min under nitrogen atmosphere. After cooling the reaction mixture, a dark green solid was obtained at the bottom of the flask while the walls of the flask were covered by sublimated acid. The acid was manually removed and the dark green solid was collected, milled and profusely washed with Et₂O/CCl₄ (1:1). Yield: 0.42 g (56%). Anal. Calc. (%) for 1a: C, 50.28; H, 3.96. Found (%): C, 50.02; H, 4.57. FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3069 w, 2973 w, 2878 w, 1601 s, 1565 s, 1495 s, 1434 m, 1410 s, 1367 m, 1265 m, 1235 s, 1116 s, 1030 m, 1009 m, 986 w, 894 w, 840 m, 732 s.

Synthesis of [Rh₂(μ-O₂CPh)₄(OCMe₂)] [1b]: A few crystals suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation of a solution of 1a in acetone.

2.4.2. Synthesis of [Rh₂(μ-O₂CCH₂OEt)₄(HO₂CCH₂OEt)] [2a] and [Rh₂(μ-O₂CCH₂OEt)₄(THF)] [2b and 2b']

Synthesis of [Rh₂(μ-O₂CCH₂OEt)₄(HO₂CCH₂OEt)] [2a]: 0.68 mmol (0.30 g) of [Rh₂(μ-O₂CMe)₄] in 28 mL of ethoxyacetic acid were stirred and heated at 150 °C for three hours under nitrogen atmosphere. The green solution obtained was concentrated to one third of its volume and 6 mL of diethyl ether and 30 mL of petroleum ether were added. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained after keeping the solution four days in the fridge. Yield: 0.34 g (60%). FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3450 w, 2979 w, 2874 w, 1672 w, 1600 s, 1434 m, 1410 s, 1367 m, 1326 s, 1265 m, 1235 m, 1116 s, 1030 m, 1009 m, 986 w, 894 w, 840 m, 732 s.

Synthesis of [Rh₂(μ-O₂CCH₂OEt)₄(THF)] [2b and 2b']: 0.39 mmol (0.32 g) of compound 2a were dissolved in 4 mL of THF and 30 mL of petroleum ether. The resultant solution was kept in fridge for two days. Bluish green single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained. Yield: 0.16 g (54%). Anal. Calc. (%) for 2b – 2THF: C, 31.09; H, 4.57. Found (%): C, 30.04; H, 4.27. FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3450 w, 2978 w, 2876 w, 1600 s, 1436 m, 1410 s, 1367 m, 1327 s, 1266 m, 1191 s, 1082 s, 1028 m, 1010 m, 928 w, 895 w, 840 m, 733 s. ESI⁻, m/z = 618, [Rh₂(μ-O₂CCH₂OEt)₄]⁻ and ESI⁺, m/z = 1133. [Rh₄(μ-O₂CCH₂OEt)₄]⁺. 1H-NMR: (300 MHz, CDC₁₃, δ (ppm)): 3.96 (s, 8H), 3.44 (q, 8 H), 1.16 (t, 12 H). A careful observation of the crystals allows the distinction of two different crystallization habits: prisms (2b) and thin plates (2b').
2.4.3. Synthesis of \((\text{PPh}_4)_n\)[\(\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4\text{Ag(CN)}_2\)]\(_n\) (3a) and \(\{(\text{PPh}_4)[\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4\text{Ag(CN)}_2]_2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\}_n\) (3b)

Synthesis of \((\text{PPh}_4)_n\)[\(\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4\text{Ag(CN)}_2\)]\(_n\) (3a): A solution of 0.1 mmol (0.05 g) of \(\text{PPh}_4[\text{Ag(CN)}_2]\) in 8 mL of acetone was added to a solution of 0.1 mmol (0.044 g) of \(\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4\) in 10 mL of diethyl ether. The color changes immediately from green to greyish blue. After 24 h of stirring in absence of light, the pale violet precipitate was separated by filtration. Yield: 0.06 g (64%). Slow evaporation of a MeOH/acetone solution (1:1) of 3a at room temperature yielded single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction. Anal. Calcd. (%) for 3a: C, 43.38; H, 3.43; N, 2.98. Found (%): C, 42.50; H, 3.40; N, 3.04. FT-IR (cm\(^{-1}\)):

- 3075w, 2927m, 2972m, 2880m, 2154w, 1613s, 1485m, 1426s, 1410s, 1343m, 1192w, 1165w, 1108s, 1042m, 1024m, 997m, 759m, 721s, 689s. MALDI-MS: \(m/z = 601/619\), [\(\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4\text{Ag(CN)}_2\)]\(^{-}\). \(^1\)H-NMR: (500 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\), \(\delta\) (ppm)): 7.57 (m, 20H), 2.08 (s, 12H).

Synthesis of \(\{(\text{PPh}_4)[\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4\text{Ag(CN)}_2]_2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\}_n\) (3b): Slow diffusion of a 12 mL THF solution of \(\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4\) (0.16 mmol, 0.07 g) in a 8 mL solution of \(\text{PPh}_4[\text{Ag(CN)}_2]\) (0.16 mmol, 0.08 g) in dichloromethane yielded a few crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction after 1 day. Anal. Calcd. (%) for 3b–1CH2Cl2: C, 40.96; H, 3.34; N, 2.73. Found (%): C, 40.67; H, 3.51; N, 2.73.

2.4.4. Synthesis of \((\text{PPh}_4)_n[\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CPh})_4\text{Ag(CN)}_2]\)_n (4)

Compound 4 was obtained following a similar procedure to that employed to obtain 3a but using 0.1 mmol (0.084 g) of 1a instead of \(\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4\). Yield: 0.11 g (92%). Slow evaporation of a Et2O/acetone solution (1:1) at room temperature of 4 yielded single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction. Anal. Calcd. (% for 4): C, 54.52; H, 3.39; N, 2.35. Found (%): C, 53.83; H, 3.43; N, 2.43. FT-IR (cm\(^{-1}\)):

- 3062w, 2137w, 1603s, 1563s, 1493w, 1485w, 1438m, 1391s, 1173m, 1108s, 1070m, 1026m, 997m, 845m, 755m, 715s, 688s. ESI\(^{-}\), \(m/z = 716\), \(\text{[Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CPh})_4\text{CN}]^-\); 851, \(\text{[Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CPh})_4\text{Ag(CN)}_2]^-\); 1406, \(\text{[Rh}_4(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CPh})_8(\text{CN})]^-\). \(^1\)H-NMR: (500 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\), \(\delta\) (ppm)): 7.65 (s, 40H).

2.4.5. Synthesis of \((\text{PPh}_4)_n[\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CCCH}_2\text{OEt})_4\text{Ag(CN)}_2]\)_n (5)

0.1 mmol (0.076 g) of 2b and 0.10 mmol (0.052 g) of \(\text{PPh}_4[\text{Ag(CN)}_2]\) were dissolved separately in 8 and 12 mL of THF, respectively. Both solutions were mixed and stirred at room temperature for 24 h giving a violet precipitate, which was filtered of and washed with THF. Yield: 0.07 g (63%). Single crystals of 5 suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow diffusion of diethyl ether over a solution of the compound in dichloromethane. Anal. Calcd. (% for 5): C, 45.14; H, 4.33; N, 2.51. Found (%): C, 44.97; H, 4.21; N, 2.31. FT-IR (cm\(^{-1}\)):

- 3075w, 2927m, 2972m, 2880m, 2154w, 1613s, 1485m, 1433s, 1408s, 1363m, 1320s, 1261m, 1163m, 1134s, 1107s, 1032m, 998m, 894w, 851s, 757m, 724s, 694s. ESI\(^{-}\), \(m/z = 619\), \(\text{[Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CCCH}_2\text{OEt})_4\)]^-; \(\text{ESI}^+\), \(m/z = 339\), [\(\text{PPh}_4\)]^+\(^+\). \(^1\)H-NMR: (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), \(\delta\) (ppm)): 7.81 (m, 20H), 3.85 (s, 8H), 3.31 (q, 8H), 1.05 (t, 12H).

2.4.6. Synthesis of \((\text{PPh}_4)_2[\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CCMe}_3)_4\text{Ag(CN)}_2]\)\(_2\) (6)

The synthetic procedure to obtain 6 is analogous to that of 3 using \([\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CCMe}_3)_4(\text{HO}_2\text{CCMe}_3)_2]\) (0.1 mmol, 0.08 g) instead of \(\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CMe})_4\). Yield: 0.065 g (81%). Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation at room temperature of a solution of 6 in an acetone/THF (1:1) mixture. Anal. Calcd. (% for 6): C, 53.75; H, 4.76; N, 3.48. Found (%): C, 52.86; H, 4.67; N, 3.41. FT-IR (cm\(^{-1}\)):

- 2961w, 2928w, 2921w, 2866w, 2135w, 1584s, 1482m, 1437m, 1411s, 1360s, 1221s, 1163w, 1109s, 1029w, 997m, 893m, 801w, 781w, 765m, 755m, 721s, 688s. ESI\(^{-}\), \(m/z = 769\), \(\text{[Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CCMe}_3)_4\text{Ag(CN)}_2]\)^-. \(^1\)H-NMR: (500 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\), \(\delta\) (ppm)): 7.84 (m, 40H), 0.89 (s, 36H).
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis and Spectroscopic Characterization

\[ \text{[Rh}_2(\mu-O_2CPh)_4(OEt)_2] \] (1a) was obtained by metathesis reaction of \([\text{Rh}_2(\mu-O_2CMe)_4]\) using melted benzoic acid as solvent. The recrystallization of 1a in acetone led to crystals of \([\text{Rh}_2(\mu-O_2CPh)_4(OCMe)_2]\) (1b). A similar reaction using ethoxycetic acid led to \([\text{Rh}_2(\mu-O_2CCCH_2OEt)_4(\text{HO}_2CCH_2OEt)]\) (2a). This compound was dissolved in THF and the solution was layered with petroleum ether. Crystals of \([\text{Rh}_2(\mu-O_2CCCH_2OEt)_4(\text{THF})]\) (2b and 2b') were obtained from this solution.

The syntheses of the one-dimensional coordination polymers, (PPh₄)[Rh₂(μ-O₂CR)₄Ag(CN)]₂ (R = Me (3a), Ph (4), CH₂OEt (5)) and the non-polymeric compound, (PPh₄)₂[Rh₂(μ-O₂CMe₃)₄[Ag(CN)]₂] (6) were carried out by stirring for 24 h a solution of the corresponding starting \([\text{Rh}_2(\mu-O_2CR)_4L_2]\) compound with 1 equivalent of PPh₄[Ag(CN)]₂ at room temperature. An appropriate solvent or solvent mixture was employed depending on the solubility of the starting dirhodium complex. Thus, a diethyl ether solution of the dirhodium compound and an acetone solution of the silver complex were used in the synthesis of 3a, 4 and 6 while a THF solution of both reactants was used for 5. Layering a THF solution of \([\text{Rh}_2(\mu-O_2CMe)_4]\) on top of a dichloromethane solution of PPh₄[Ag(CN)]₂ led to the formation of \([(\text{PPh}_4)[\text{Rh}_2(\mu-O_2CMe)_4\text{Ag(CN)}_2]2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\)] (3b). The same synthetic conditions employed to prepare 3a and 4 gave rise to 6, whose structure is not polymeric (see below).

Good elemental analyses were obtained for most of the complexes. However, in the case of compound 2a no satisfactory elemental analyses have been obtained and for compounds 2b and 3a a difference >0.5 between the calculated and experimental values is observed. The quick loss of the solvent molecules not strongly bonded to the axial positions or the presence of a small impurity of \([\text{Rh}_2(\mu-O_2CR)_4\text{solvent}]_2\) in 2a and 3a could explain these discrepancies. This hypothesis is compatible with the NMR spectra of these compounds that do not show additional bands due to impurities.

The IR spectra of 1–6 display bands corresponding to the symmetric and antisymmetric stretching modes of the carboxylate groups: ν(COO)ₓ (1613–1584 cm⁻¹) and ν(COO)ᵧ (1433–1391 cm⁻¹). The C–H stretching bands of the aliphatic groups appear below 3000 cm⁻¹ while those of the aromatic groups of the carboxylate ligands or the (PPh₄)⁺ cations appear above 3000 cm⁻¹. Moreover, a ν(C≡N) band is observed in the 2135–2157 cm⁻¹ range in the spectra of 3–6.

The ¹H-NMR spectrum of 1a measured in acetone-d₆ shows a multiplet structure at 7.57 ppm because of the 20 aromatic protons of its four PhCO₂⁻ ligands. The spectrum also shows a triplet and a quartet signal at 1.11 and 3.40 ppm, respectively, corresponding to the signals of two Et₂O molecules coordinated to the axial positions of the dirhodium units. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of 4 measured in DMSO-d₆ only displays a multiplet signal centred at 7.65 ppm corresponding to the 40 aromatic protons of the PhCO₂⁻ ligands and (PPh₄)⁺ cations.

The ¹H-NMR spectra of 2b and 5 measured in CDCl₃ display a singlet (3.96 ppm for 2b and 3.85 ppm for 5), a quartet (3.44 for 2b and 3.31 ppm for 5) and a triplet (1.16 for 2b and 1.05 ppm for 5) due to the protons of the four EtOCH₂CO₂⁻ ligands. The spectrum of 5 also displays a multiplet signal centred a 7.81 ppm corresponding to the aromatic signals of the (PPh₄)⁺ cations.

The ¹H-NMR spectra of 3 and 6 measured in DMSO-d₆ display a singlet at 2.08 ppm and 0.89 ppm, respectively. These signals correspond to the protons of the methyl groups of the MeCO₂⁻ ligands of 3 and the Me₃CCO₂⁻ ligands of 6. Both spectra also show a multiplet signal that corresponds to the aromatic protons of the (PPh₄)⁺ cations. This multiplet is observed at 7.57 and 7.84 ppm for 3a and 6, respectively. The integration of these signals is consistent with the presence of one and two (PPh₄)⁺ cations per formula for 3 and 6, respectively.

3.2. Crystal Structures

The crystal structures of complexes 1b, 2a, 2b, 2b’, 3a, 3b, 4, 5 and 6 have been determined using the data obtained by single crystal X-ray diffraction measurements. The structure of all the compounds contains two rhodium atoms bridged by four carboxylate ligands with a typical
paddlewheel arrangement. The axial positions are occupied by solvent molecules in the case of 1–2 and [Ag(CN)₂]⁻ ions in the case of 3–6. The metal-metal distances are in the 2.381–2.413 Å range in complexes 1–6 and are typical values of a single Rh-Rh bond (2.35–2.45 Å) [2].

The analysis of the Rh-O distances in 1b, 2a, 2b and 2b', shows that the Rh-Oaxial bond (average distance of 2.038 Å) is considerably stronger than the Rh-Oaxial bond (average distance of 2.311 Å). Thus, these compounds are good starting materials to prepare coordination polymers because the solvent molecules placed in the axial positions can be easily replaced by bridging ligands connecting dirhodium units.

CH····π interactions and π-π stacking are observed in the structure of 1b (See Figure S1). However, no strong intermolecular interactions are observed in the crystal structures of 2a, 2b and 2b'. Additionally, intramolecular hydrogen bonds are observed between the hydrogen atom of the carboxylic acid of the EtOCH₂CO₂H ligands coordinated to the axial positions and the O4 of the EtOCH₂CO₂⁻ ligands in the equatorial positions in the structure of 2a (Figure 2). The C-O distances in the carboxylic acid of the axial EtOCH₂CO₂H are 1.237 and 1.309 Å, corresponding to a double and single bond, respectively. This fact indicates that the axial ligands of 2a are protonated and that the oxidation state of this paddlewheel unit is Rh₂⁴⁺. The two axial EtOCH₂CO₂H molecules of 2a are easily replaced by two THF molecules by dissolving the compound in a THF/petroleum ether mixture. Interestingly, this compound crystallized giving two different crystal structures (2b and 2b') (Table 1).

![Figure 2](image-url)  
**Figure 2.** Representation of the paddlewheel unit of 2a (50% probability ellipsoids) showing the intramolecular hydrogen bonds (blue line) present in this compound. Rhodium: green; oxygen: red; carbon: grey; hydrogen: white.

The crystal structure of 3a, 3b, 4 and 5 is formed by neutral dirhodium paddlewheel units that are coordinated to the nitrogen atom of [Ag(CN)₂]⁻ bridging units forming anionic one-dimensional coordination polymers. The Rh-N distances are very similar in these complexes being in the 2.200–2.233 Å range. These distances are also similar to those observed in analogous gold derivatives [32].

The Rh-Ag-Rh angles in the chains of compounds 3a and 3b are very similar, being 176.08° and 178.25°, respectively. However, the values of Rh-N-C angles show a greater difference being 175.91 and 177.45° for 3a and 171.33 and 170.60° for 3b (Figure 3 and Figure S2). The average O-Rh-Rh-O torsion angles are 1.10° and 0.95°, respectively. The chains are placed parallel to each other. Each chain is surrounded by four other chains in the structure of 3a while the structure of 3b is formed by pairs of chains being surrounded by four pairs of chains (Figure 4). The (PPh₅)⁺ cations in the structure of 3a and the (PPh₅)⁺ cations and dichloromethane molecules in the structure of 3b are placed between
The packing does not allow the existence of Ag-Ag interactions between chains. Thus, the closest interchain Ag-Ag distances are 8.681 and 8.169 Å in 3a and 8.976 Å in 3b.

**Figure 3.** View of the chains that form 3a along the a axis in a 2 × 2 × 2 cell packing. Rhodium: green; oxygen: red; carbon: dark grey; nitrogen: purple; silver: pale grey. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

**Figure 4.** View of the chains that form 3a along the b axis in a 3 × 3 × 3 cell packing (left). View of the chains that form 3b along the a axis in a 3 × 3 × 3 cell packing (right). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Compounds 4 and 5 are also built by anionic chains that are formed by [Rh$_2$(μ-O$_2$CPh)$_4$Ag(CN)$_2$]$^{-}$ and [Rh$_2$(μ-O$_2$CCH$_2$OEt)$_4$Ag(CN)$_2$]$^{-}$ monomers, respectively (Figure 5). The average O-Rh-Rh-O torsion angles are 1.00° and 2.17°, respectively. The Rh-Ag-Rh angle is 166.95° in complex 4 and 176.67° in complex 5 while the Rh-N-C angles are 165.29° and 172.97° in the case of 4 and 163.96° and 165.46° in the case of 5. As a result of these parameters, the wavy structure of these two polymers is more pronounced than that of 3a and 3b. The chains are packed in a parallel disposition in both cases (Figures S5 and S6) with the (PPh$_4$)$^+$ cations placed between chains (Figures S7 and S8) and the shortest intermolecular Ag-Ag distances are 10.687 Å in 4 and 8.459 Å in 5.
The analysis of the distances between the \((\text{PPh}_4)^+\) cations in the structures of \(3\text{a}, 3\text{b}, 4\) and \(5\) shows that there is no concerted multiple phenyl embraces similar to those described by Dance and Scudder [33]. Nevertheless, in \(3\text{a}\) the shortest \(\text{P} \cdots \text{P}\) distance is 8.284 Å and there are crossed \(\text{C-H} \cdots \pi\) interactions (3.520 and 3.740 Å) between phenyl rings of the pair of \((\text{PPh}_4)^+\) cations (Figure S9, top). These pairs are situated at 8.788 Å giving pseudo-chains (Figure S9, bottom). Similar interactions have been observed in \(3\text{b}\) and \(5\) (Figures S10 and S12) with \(\text{P} \cdots \text{P}\) distances of 8.232 and 8.388 Å (for \(3\text{b}\)) and 8.562 and 8.734 Å (for \(5\)). The distances of the possible \(\text{C-H} \cdots \pi\) interactions are at 3.194 Å for \(3\text{b}\) and 3.160 Å for \(5\). Interestingly, the closest \((\text{PPh}_4)^+\) cations in \(4\) are at 10.387 Å with no significant interactions between them (Figure S11) but \(\text{C-H} \cdots \pi\) interactions between \((\text{PPh}_4)^+\) cations and \([\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}2\text{CPh})_4\text{Ag(CN)}_2]^-\) units have been found (Figure S14).

Significant cation-anion interactions have been found only in compound \(4\). In the other compounds, the interactions between the pair of cations are very important to determine their crystal structure.

The structure of \(6\) is formed by discrete \([\text{Rh}_2(\mu-\text{O}2\text{CCMe}_3)_4\text{Ag(CN)}_2]_2^-\) (Figure 6) and \((\text{PPh}_4)^+\) units. This compound was prepared following the same synthetic conditions employed to prepare \(3\text{a}\) and \(4\) whose structure is polymeric. One would expect that the formation of a coordination polymer should be more thermodynamically favourable. However, a polymer could not be obtained in this case despite of the efforts made. The formation of a molecular compound instead of a coordination polymer should be related with a more efficient packing than that of a potential coordination polymer made of the same building blocks. The analysis of the crystal structure of \(6\) did not reveal any strong dirhodium-\((\text{PPh}_4)^+\) interaction but \(\text{C-H} \cdots \pi\) interactions are observed involving the closest \((\text{PPh}_4)^+\) cations in the structure. Particularly, this pair of cations displays two nearly vertex-to-face intermolecular interactions at 2.860 and 2.939 Å (Figure 7 and Figure S13). Moreover, we hypothesize that the higher solubility of the trimethylacetato derivative in acetone causes the complex to remain longer in the solution during the crystallization favouring the formation of \(6\) instead of a coordination polymer. A similar reasoning has been used to explain the molecular nature of \([\text{Ru}_2\text{Cl}(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CCMe}_3)_4(\text{OH}_2)]\) [42] and the polymeric structure found in \([\text{Ru}_2\text{Cl}(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CPh})_4]\) and \([\text{Ru}_2\text{Cl}(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CMMe}_3)_4]\) [43,44]. Interestingly, the ethoxycacetate ligand gives the polymeric \(5\) whereas in the diruthenium complexes, this ligand leads to \([\text{Ru}_2\text{Cl}(\mu-\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_2\text{OEt})_4]\), which contains both polymeric and molecular fragments [45]. These data suggest a strong influence of the solvent and the nature of the equatorial ligand in the molecular or polymeric nature on the paddlewheel dinuclear complexes.
The branched trimethylacetate ligand, which favours the solubility of the starting dirhodium complex, leads to the discrete heteronuclear complex (PPh$_4$)$_2${Rh$_2$(μ-O$_2$CCMe$_3$)$_4$[Ag(CN)$_2$]$_2$}$^{2-}$ units that form 6.

Figure 6. View of the {Rh$_2$(μ-O$_2$CCMe$_3$)$_4$[Ag(CN)$_2$]$_2$}$^{2-}$ units that form 6.

Figure 7. Interactions found between the closest (PPh$_4$)$^+$ cations in the structure of 6. Distances are shown in Å.

Taking into account the arrangement of these one-dimensional compounds in the solid state these complexes could exhibit functional properties such as gas occlusion or catalysis, similar to other three-dimensional compounds [46,47].

4. Conclusions

Anionic one-dimensional coordination polymers of the type (PPh$_4$)$_n$[Rh$_2$(μ-O$_2$CR)$_4$Ag(CN)$_2$]$_n$ (R = Me (3), Ph (4), CH$_2$OEt (5)) can be obtained using neutral dirhodium compounds and PPh$_4$[Ag(CN)$_2$]. The branched trimethylacetate ligand, which favours the solubility of the starting dirhodium complex, leads to the discrete heteronuclear complex (PPh$_4$)$_2$[Rh$_2$(μ-O$_2$CCMe$_3$)$_4$[Ag(CN)$_2$]$_2$] (6). The presence of bulky (PPh$_4$)$^+$ cations prevents the existence of intermolecular Ag-Ag interactions. The existence of C-H⋯π interactions between pairs of (PPh$_4$)$^+$ cations in 3a, 3b, 5 and 6 and significant cation-anion interactions in 4 have an important influence on the packing in solid state of these complexes.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/11/1/111/s1: Figure S1: Intermolecular interactions found in the structure of 1b. Figure S2: View of the chains that form 3b along the c axis in a 2 × 2 × 2 cell packing. Figure S3: Representation of the structure of 3a along the a axis in a 2 × 2 × 2 cell packing. Figure S4: Representation of the structure of 3b along the c axis in a 2 × 2 × 2 cell packing. Figure S5: View of the chains that form 4 along the direction of the Rh-Rh bond. Figure S6: View of the chains that form 5 along the direction of the Rh-Rh bond. Figure S7: Representation of the structure of 4 along the a
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