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Abstract: A long-term SCC crack growth kinetics study, using precracked WOL samples, was performed. The samples were exposed in a mild steel storage tank containing a solution with high levels of ammonium and carbonate ions. Some samples were fully immersed in the tank content, while in other cases, intermittent drying took place in the tank upper regions. At short times, the highest (intergranular) crack growth rates were obtained at immersed regions and initially, the region with intermittent drying showed considerably slower growth rates. With pronounced exposure times, the trend however reversed and the growth in the intermittent drying region accelerated while the samples in the immersed location experienced a retardation in the SCC growth velocities. It was found that the SCC mechanism progressed through a process where small cracks first formed at the fatigue crack front and thereafter merged to form a continuous SCC crack front.
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1. Introduction

The synthetic production of natural gas, including production from dry biofuels, requires gas-cleaning processes [1], which often produce carbonate-rich high pH solutions contained in steel storage tanks. In the case of mild steel tanks, this necessitates the need to manage the risk of stress corrosion cracking. Stress corrosion cracking (SCC) has been reported for mild steel exposed to this type of liquid as early as 1959 [2] and subsequently it has been realized that the same mechanism is operative in several other applications, including the cracking of high-pressure buried pipeline steels [3]. The kinetics of SCC of mild steel in high-pH carbonate/bicarbonate solutions have therefore been investigated in some detail in laboratory studies [4], but relatively little has been reported regarding long-term in-situ behavior of precracked samples in service conditions. In addition, the variable of alternate wetting and drying on SCC kinetics, have not received much research attention in this context. This paper summarizes a long-term study aimed at investigating the kinetics of stress corrosion cracking of precracked samples in a storage tank environment, with alternate wetting and drying being a variable, as part of a programme aimed at mitigating SCC effects.

2. Literature

The laboratory simulations of this manifestation of SCC demonstrated that the active species were carbonates and bicarbonates, occurring at neutral to high levels of pH (6.7 to 11), where intergranular cracking was found in a narrow band (~300 mV wide), with the values ranging from −0.2 V (SCE) to −0.5 V (SCE) at a pH of 9.0 [5].
Some research has been performed aimed at evaluating SCC velocities, and maximum crack velocities in the order of $1\times 10^{-6}$ mm/s has been reported at pH levels of -7.7 in slow rate strain testing [6]. Such crack velocities are very high due to the nature of the test method (equivalent to 31.5 mm/year) and cannot be equated to the case of a service application such as buried pipeline or storage tank environments.

In another study by Sato and Murata, exposure trials for two years were performed in a coal gas transport line, using U-bend specimens [7]. The tests were supplemented with SSRT testing at different potentials in a coke oven gas liquid (see Table 1 for chemistry). Exposure temperatures were varied from 40 to 80 °C. The exposure results indicated that corrosion pits initiated on the U-bend sample surfaces, underneath a thick coal tar buildup, typically after six to 12 months. A critical crack depth of -70 micron was found, at which depth intergranular cracks initiated at the pit tips. Maximum crack growth rates of 125 micron/year was observed, with the average crack velocity being -70 micron/year ($2.22 \times 10^{-9}$ mm/s). In contrast, their SSRT testing produced intergranular crack rates of 0.86 mm/day at 50 °C. The study proposed that controlling the pH to above 9.5 and the temperature below 40 °C should be used to avoid SCC in the gas lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NH₄⁺</th>
<th>H₂S</th>
<th>Cl⁻</th>
<th>SO₄²⁻</th>
<th>CO₃²⁻</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tank</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>8.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. [7]</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Average over the time of the investigation, six periodic analyses.

The reviewed literature did not provide clear guidelines regarding what levels of crack propagation velocities could be expected for service applications of SCC in mild steel storage tanks, propagating from pre-existing cracks. Research performed on the kinetics of this type of cracking concluded that SCC progresses by a dissolution mechanism, which takes place at grain boundaries, and together with the opposing processes of film forming and film rupture determine the average SCC growth velocity [8]. Crack tip strain rate, the number of active cracks and crack coalescence have been shown to be important variables influencing SCC velocity [4] and a model has been proposed to describe SCC growth kinetics for this system [4,9]. Accordingly, Stage 1 establishes the required conditions for SCC crack initiation, and in Stage 2 the average crack velocity decreases with increasing time, due to many cracks initiating and the effective crack tip strain decreasing consequently. In Stage 3 the crack velocity remains constant with time, as the crack initiation rate diminishes and coalescence increases. Stage 4 is dominated by crack coalescence, resulting in the crack tip strain rate and crack velocity increasing with time.

### 3. Specific Conditions

The welded storage tank in question was constructed from structural steel plate with strake thicknesses ranging from 35 mm to 6 mm (top strake). After some service, cracking typical of SCC were discovered on the tank floor and strake inner surfaces. The tank service temperature was in the order of 40 °C. The cracks were mostly associated with the strake welded joints, with cracking typically occurring at the weld toe location.

The typical chemistry of the tank liquid is given in Table 1, where it is compared to the coal gas liquid studied in the Sato and Murata study.
4. Experimental

4.1. Objectives

The following criteria for the experimental method were decided upon:

- The SCC crack growth kinetics from pre-existing cracks would be established. This approach would eliminate the “Stage 1” period in the SCC growth, where the required conditions for cracking are first established.
- SCC crack growth velocities in three distinct tank zones would be studied; close to the floor where permanent wetting would take place, at the “surface zone” where the steel is mostly covered by the tank content but where alternate wetting and drying will take place as tank levels vary (27% of tank height, immersed for ~80 per cent of the time), as well as in the “top zone” (50% of tank height) where the samples would be fully immersed for only ~40% of the time.
- Four successive exposure periods would be utilized, with the full study covering 13,080 h.

4.2. Technique

Laboratory precracked (in fatigue) and self-stressed WOL (Wedge Opening Loading) were used (Figure 1a). After the exposure to the medium, average (at nine positions, to BS 7448) and maximum SCC crack depths were determined by fracturing the samples cooled to liquid nitrogen temperatures. Metallographic evaluation of etched samples were performed on the polished sides of the samples. Manufacture of the WOL samples was performed from steel plate with similar chemistry to that of the strakes, as recorded in Table 2.

The four exposure periods employed were as summarized in Table 3. The first period was relatively short (670 h), to confirm the nature of the early stages of cracking. Table 2 furthermore summarizes the extent of immersion and number of drying events for the exposure locations.

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

**Figure 1.** (a) A schematic of the self-stressed WOL samples utilized in this study. The sample thickness, B, was 16.8 mm and the width, W was 38.0 mm. The dimension, a0, was 17.7 mm; (b) A calibration curve used for the preloading of the samples for immersion. The initial stress intensity was mostly in the order of 71 MPam^{0.5} (38.7 kN). Samples were taken with crack orientations both parallel (TL according to ASTM A 399) and transverse (LT) to the rolling direction.

**Table 2.** Chemical composition of the plate used for the WOL sample manufacture. All elements given in mass %, unless indicated otherwise. Mechanical properties were R_p; 345 MPa, R_m; 554 MPa, A; 20%. Steel specification BS4360 1990 Gr 43 C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Exposure history of Position 1 (top zone) and Position 2 (central zone) in terms of percentage immersion and number of drying incidents. Position 3 (floor zone) was always fully immersed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immersion Period, Hours</th>
<th>Top Zone, % Immersion</th>
<th>Surface Zone, % Immersion</th>
<th>Top Zone, # Drying Incidents</th>
<th>Surface Zone, # Drying Incidents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–670</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>671–2328</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2329–5940</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5941–13,080</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–13,080</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Experimental Results

5.1. First Exposure Period

The first samples were removed after an exposure period of 670 h. The SCC crack depths were determined and are reported in Table 4 and shown in Figure 2. It was observed that the first steps of SCC propagation was the development of single small cracks from the fatigue crack tip, as seen in the case of the “vapour zone” sample.

Table 4. SCC crack depths after 670 h exposure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Max. Crack Depth, mm</th>
<th>Average Crack Depth, mm</th>
<th>Ratio, $a_{\text{max}}/a_{\text{ave}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Floor, TL</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor, LT</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.24 $^{1}$</td>
<td>3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapour, LT</td>
<td>0.17 $^{2}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{1}$ Sample also showed SCC cracking normal to the precracked fatigue plane. $^{2}$ Individual small local cracks observed only, no general cracking.

Figure 2. Fracture surface after laboratory fracture of WOL specimens, immersed at the floor level for 670 h. Fatigue crack front is indicated with yellow hyphenated line, and the in-plane SCC crack front by the irregular hyphenated line. The bright fracture surface is the fresh (cryogenic) fracture (a) Notch longitudinal (TL orientation) showing in-plane SCC cracking; (b) Notch transverse (LT orientation) showing SCC cracking both in the plane of the fatigue crack and normal to it. Metallography demonstrated that cracking was intergranular in all cases.

5.2. Longer Exposure Periods

As the exposure times increased, the individual cracks merged and formed continuous crack fronts with reduced $a_{\text{max}}/a_{\text{ave}}$ ratios (after 5904 h, the ratios ranged from 1.24 to 1.38). The average crack depths over time are shown in Figure 3.

For most of the immersion times, it was found that samples at the floor level and those at the “surface level” (see Section 4.1) behaved similarly in terms of SCC growth, with the floor level average growth rates being slightly higher than those at the “liquid level”, where some intermittent
drying occurred. The samples in the top zone, however, initially showed slow growth rates, with growth rates rapidly increasing after exposure times of 5940 h.

Figure 3. SCC crack depths, average, as a function of immersion time for the three tank locations.

The average growth rates for the “immersed” (floor and surface) and “vapour zone” (top) samples are shown in Figure 4. The plot demonstrates the distinctly different growth behavior for the two classes of samples, with the governing power law equations for the two classes given in Figure 4. This difference was especially noticeable in the fourth exposure period (5941–13,080 h), when the incremental growth rate for the period was 3.3 times higher for the vapour (top) zone compared to that of the samples in the immersed zone (3.50 × 10⁻⁸ vs. 1.06 × 10⁻⁸ mm/s).

Figure 4. Average crack growth rates for the immersed samples (floor and “surface level” samples grouped together) and top (“vapour zone”) samples, showing decreasing growth rates for the immersed samples and acceleration of the vapour zone samples.

The values of stress intensity were established at the end of the exposure periods, and it was observed that the stress intensity (K₁-values) did not appear to be in the process of stabilizing and reaching the threshold value, with increasing time. After 13,080 h, for example, the ratios of the stress intensity factors after full exposure and that at the start of the tests, K₁₁₃₀ₕ/K₁₀, were found to be in the range of 0.91 to 0.97, for all samples.
6. Discussion and Conclusions

The average growth rates of this study, over the full test period and for both immersed and non-immersed conditions, are considerably higher than that established by Sato and Murato using U-bend specimens (Table 5). The higher rate in the current study is believed to be due to the precracking, as opposed to cracks initiating from the pits formed in the Sato and Murato study, and the time required for the establishment of the correct conditions for crack formation there. The current growth rate (~2 × 10⁻⁹ mm/s) is similar to that found by Parkins [4] (Figure 10) in a similar medium at very low applied strain rates (1 × 10⁻⁹/s) using SSRT samples, where many cracks initially formed and later coalesced.

The decline in growth rate with increasing time for the immersed samples, is in keeping with that expected in Stage 2 of the Parkins model, where initiation of multiple small cracks reduce the effective strain rate and growth velocity at the individual crack tips. In the case of frequent drying events and low immersion of the “vapour zone” samples, however, an increase in growth rate was experienced with increasing exposure time. In this case, increasing crack numbers with time can also be expected. The increasing growth rate for this zone must therefore be due to another factor, and is likely to be related to the destruction of the inhibiting films during the regular and long dry periods.

Table 5. Comparison of long-term average SCC growth rates found in this and earlier studies, in similar media and with similar steels. Figure 10 in Parkins [4] at a strain rate of ×10⁻⁹/s refers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Rate, mm/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>WOL</td>
<td>2.43 × 10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sato [7]</td>
<td>U-bend</td>
<td>2.22 × 10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkins [4]</td>
<td>SSRT</td>
<td>1.5 × 10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 For immersed samples after 13,080 h. The corresponding value for vapour zone samples was 2.24 × 10⁻⁹.
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